

# Memorandum

**Date:** November 10, 2022

**To:** Edgar De La Torre, LA County Department of Regional Planning

**From:** Dean Dusette, MRS Environmental

**Subject:** Baldwin Hills Community Standards District Settlement Agreement & Mutual Release Requirements 2022 Annual Compliance Report

---

## Background

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (“Settlement Agreement”), Term 29, dated July 15, 2011, regarding the County of Los Angeles’ (“County”) approval of the Baldwin Hills Community Standards District (“CSD”), found under section 22.310 of the Los Angeles County Zoning Code, and associated Environmental Impact Report, the County is required to schedule a public discussion at the Community Advisory Panel (“CAP”) meeting concerning the status of the compliance with the Settlement Agreement on an annual basis. The first such discussion was completed with a compliance handout at the July 26, 2012 CAP meeting and follow-up discussion at the September 27, 2012 CAP meeting. In early 2013 the County initiated the CSD Periodic Review process, pursuant to CSD Provision 22.310.070.G, with discussions beginning with the April 2013 CAP meeting. Compliance discussion, including the requirements of the Settlement Agreement, continued as an agenda item during the remaining 2013 CAP meetings. The Draft Periodic Review was released to the public in February 2014. As such, a separate presentation and public discussion on the compliance status of the Settlement Agreement was deemed unnecessary in 2013 since it had been discussed as part of the periodic review discussions. Subsequent CSD Settlement Agreement Requirements Compliance Report memos and associated CAP discussion dates are summarized in the table below.

<b>CSD Settlement Agreement Requirements Compliance Report Memo and CAP Discussion Dates</b>		
<b>Compliance Year</b>	<b>Memo Date</b>	<b>CAP Discussion Date</b>
2014	December 8, 2014	December 11, 2014
2015	December 2, 2015	December 10, 2015
2016	November 16, 2016	December 8, 2016
2017	October 5, 2017	October 26, 2017
2018	October 17, 2018	October 25, 2018
2019	October 29, 2019	December 12, 2019
2020	November 30, 2020	January 28, 2021
2021	December 20, 2021	January 27, 2022

The following summary may serve as the basis for the 2022 discussion on the terms of the Settlement Agreement and documents the status and/or implementation status of the Settlement

Agreement provisions. MRS Environmental (“MRS”), the Environmental Compliance Coordinator for the CSD, finds that the operator, Sentinel Peak Resources (SPR), has complied with the applicable Settlement Agreement terms at this time. MRS will continue to assist the County with enforcement of all applicable provisions of the Settlement Agreement and the CSD.

### **Status of Compliance with Settlement Agreement Terms**

(Numbers correlate to the numbered paragraphs in the Settlement Agreement)

#### **1. *Slant Drilling***

The 2022 Annual Drilling Plan was approved by the County on March 1, 2022. The Annual Drilling Plan documents compliance with Settlement Agreement Sections 1.a through 1.d. The drill rig was removed from the field in June 2014 for use elsewhere and has not returned to the oil field. Therefore, as of the date of this memo report, no new wells were drilled at the oil field in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, or 2022.

Sections 1.e, 1.f, and 1.g, provide guidance on definitions, environmental consideration, and non-interference, respectively, in support of the other requirements of Section 1 of the Settlement Agreement.

#### **2. *Noise***

In 2022, two noise complaints have been documented as of the date of this memo. One complaint involved a noisy pump unit, the unit was taken out of service and repaired. The second complaint was sourced to banging pipe from a maintenance rig; the rig crew was re-trained on the CSD noise provisions, which solved the problem.

Noise monitoring to date has not identified any violations associated with oil field operations above the baseline levels of the noise limits set forth in the Settlement Agreement. However, noise levels above the maximum criteria have been documented during drilling, re-drilling, or well reworking activities in past years. Past monitoring data collected that was associated with peak noise readings was investigated and analyzed by an Industrial Hygienist with the County’s Department of Public Health and found that the exceedances were not associated with oil field operations. Results of the analysis determined the causes to be emergency vehicles, motorcycles, airplanes, and portable equipment used for landscaping thus confirming compliance with the noise requirements of the Settlement Agreement.

#### **3. *Number of Drill Rigs***

The Settlement Agreement allows for the use of two drill rigs at any one time. To date, SPR did not drill any wells in 2022 and the drill rig (Rig Ensign 516) was removed from the oil field in June 2014. No drilling of new wells has occurred at the oil field during 2022.

#### **4. *Number of Wells***

Since the inception of the CSD, 127 new wells have been drilled at the oil field, therefore, the limit of no more than 500 new wells stipulated in Settlement Agreement Section 4.a. has not been reached to date. After reaching the 50<sup>th</sup> new or re-drilled well milestone in September 2011, a former operator, PXP, applied for and received approval by the Director in December 2011 to drill or re-drill up to 35 wells per calendar year excluding any bonus wells. This

approval is consistent with Section 4.b of the Settlement Agreement. To date for the calendar year 2022, SPR has not drilled any new wells and a drill rig has not been on the oil field since June 2014. Therefore; SPR is compliant with the requirements of the Settlement Agreement.

5. *Health Assessment and Environmental Justice Study*

The Department of Public Health (DPH) completed the first study in May 2012 ahead of the Settlement Agreement deadline of June 2012. The study was made available to the public on the DRP website and was presented to the CAP on July 26, 2012. The *Health Assessment and Environmental Justice Study* term of the Settlement Agreement required a second study to be completed by June 2019, however, the DPH presented a different approach and schedule in a letter dated May 28, 2019 to all parties of the Settlement Agreement. The letter acknowledged that the first study was unable to determine whether exposures to chemicals from the oil field activities at the Inglewood Oil Field directly affected health outcomes among individuals living nearby. The letter further details a three-phase approach, as developed by DPH in consultation with the CAP, for a different study approach aligned to occur concurrent with the CARB SNAPS Study. Finally, the letter estimated the completion date for the second study as July 2021. As of the date of this memo, DPH in consultation with a team from UCLA, continue to work on the second study with updates to the community at the monthly CAP meetings.

6. *Clean Technology Assessment*

SPR addresses this requirement with the submittal of the Annual Drilling Plan as required under CSD Provision 22.310.050.Z.6. The *Equipment and Techniques to Reduce Environmental Impacts* section of the Annual Drilling Plans provide a discussion on the latest equipment and technology that can reduce the environmental impacts of drilling activities. The discussion includes applicability of using electric rigs, coil tubing rigs, diesel-electric rigs, and natural gas-electric rigs along with the potential feasibility of their use at the Inglewood Oil Field.

7. *Electrical Distribution Study*

The Settlement Agreement required the County to facilitate an Electrical Distribution Study to review opportunities to streamline and consolidate electrical lines at the Inglewood Oil Field. The study was to be funded jointly by Southern California Edison (SCE), LA Department of Water and Power (DWP), PXP (operator at the time), and the County. Both SCE and DWP declined to participate with respect to funding the study and the County is exploring other funding options.

8. *Air Quality Monitoring*

The Settlement Agreement required a supplemental air quality monitoring study to address stakeholder concerns on potential acute and chronic exposure to air contaminants from the Inglewood Oil Field. Sonoma Technology Inc. was selected by the County to perform the study which commenced June 2012 consistent with the Settlement Agreement deadline of July 15, 2012. The Draft Final Baldwin Hills Air Quality Study Report was completed in April 2014 and submitted to the County and SCAQMD for review with the final report completed in February 2015. The Report was discussed by staff from Sonoma Technology Inc. at the February 26, 2015 and March 26, 2015 CAP meetings. The report is available to the public on the County's Baldwin Hills Community Standards District website.

9. *Back-Up Flare*

Installation of the new gas plant back-up flare was completed in 2012. The old gas plant flare remains and is maintained as a back-up as allowed for by CSD Provision 22.310.120.E.2 and the Settlement Agreement. SPR has complied with the requirement that only one flare be in operation at one time at the oil field.

10. *Well Plugs*

The Settlement Agreement requires that for all wells that are abandoned after the Settlement Agreement date, a 150-foot cement surface plug shall be used rather than the 25-foot cement plug required by CalGEM. All cement fills for plugging are witnessed and tagged by CalGEM as required in each abandonment permit. SPR complies with the 150-foot cement plug requirement for abandoned wells as verified by CalGEM via well abandonment plan approvals and inspections.

11. *Landscaping*

The landscaping plan for the Inglewood Oil Field was phased in 7 components with all work completed by December 2018 as summarized in the following table.

<b>Phase</b>	<b>Plan</b>	<b>Landscaping</b>
1 - Ladera Crest View-shed North	Approved May 2011	Completed October 2011
2 – Windsor Hills/ La Brea View-shed	Approved October 2011	Completed June 2012
3– La Brea View-shed East/La Cienega Blvd.	Approved June 2013	Completed December 2018.
4 - La Cienega Blvd. Roadway	Approved June 2013	Completed November 2016
5 – La Cienega Blvd. Roadway	Approved June 2013	Completed November 2016
6 – Fairfax Avenue Roadway	Approved April 2016	Completed November 2017
7 – Stocker Street Roadway	Approved April 2016	Completed December 2018

The Settlement Agreement required that all landscaping be completed within three years of approval by the County of the final phase plan; since final approval of Phases 6 and 7 was approved in April 2016, all landscaping was required to be completed by April 2019. Therefore, as all required landscaping was completed in December 2018, the landscaping terms of the Settlement Agreement have been completed.

12. *Clean-up Plan*

PXP, the operator at the time, submitted an unused or abandoned equipment removal plan in 2009 which was subsequently approved and implemented. The *Unused or Abandoned Equipment Removal Plan Inglewood Oil Field* detailed the 64 pieces of equipment that were removed in 2008 and 2009. The plan was updated in 2012 with the updated plan document

listing the removal of four tanks from the oil field. In 2021 four out of service tanks along with the infrastructure associated with the former soil treatment facility were removed from the field and recycled. In 2022 three tanks, two operations trailers, the old gas plant operations shack, and the building at the top of TVIC hill were demolished and removed.

*13. Fracking Study*

The *Hydraulic Fracturing Study – Inglewood Oil Field* was completed in July 2012 with the final report dated October 10, 2012 consistent with the requirements of the Settlement Agreement. The report is available to the public on the Inglewood Oil Field web site.

*14. CSD Review Based on Reduced Production*

The intent of this requirement, and the associated CSD Provision 22.310.050.II, is to assist in the determination of the end of the project life of the oil field; this condition has not been triggered to date.

*15. CSD Provisions*

The requirements of the CSD and the Settlement Agreement remain in full effect. Pursuant to CSD Provision 22.310.070.G, *Periodic Review*, the compliance review was completed by the County in 2015 with the *Periodic Review Final Report* dated September 2015. Results of the Periodic Review document the oil field is being operated in compliance with all provisions of the CSD and the Settlement Agreement. CSD Provision 22.310.070.G requires that the Periodic Review process be initiated every five years and the second review, covering the compliance years 2014 through 2018, was initiated in October 2018 with a draft report released in September 2019. Subsequent to the release of the draft report and in part due to public comment, a Health Risk Assessment was prepared in concert with the Periodic Review process. The Health Risk Assessment was completed in December 2020 and the Periodic Review was presented to the Hearing Officer on September 30, 2021 and finalized in December 2021 with nine recommendations. Seven recommendations have been completed by SPR with the remaining two, involving posting information to the SPR Inglewood Oil Field website, are expected to be completed in late November 2022.

*29. Public Notice*

The Settlement Agreement is posted on the County Baldwin Hills Community Standards District Webpage. The County will discuss 2022 compliance with the Settlement Agreement at a forthcoming CAP meeting and continue to do so on an annual basis.

*30. Remedies for Breach*

The County continues to monitor and enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the CSD. As documented in the Periodic Review and this analysis, SPR is following the Settlement Agreement and the CSD at this time.

The following sections of the Settlement Agreement relate to legal and logistical issues and include provisions regarding the interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and are not linked to operational issues at the oil field:

*16. General Releases between Petitioners and County*

- 17. General Releases between Petitioners and PXP (SPR)*
- 18. Settlement of Claims for Attorney's Fees and Costs*
- 19. Parties Bound*
- 20. Integration*
- 21. Non-Assignment of Claims*
- 22. Settlement of Disputed Claims*
- 23. Additional terms*
- 24. Modifications*
- 25. Execution*
- 26. Authority to Execute*
- 27. Covenant Not to Sue*
- 28. Dismissal of Consolidated Cases*
- 31. Governing Law*
- 32. Captions and Headings*
- 33. Survival*
- 34. Good Faith Provision*

These items are in compliance at this time.