

CHAPTER 3

Additions and Corrections to the Draft PEIR

This chapter contains revisions to the Draft PEIR as a result of responses to comments received on the Draft PEIR during the public review period from February 27, 2023 to April 12, 2023. As described in Chapter 1, *Introduction*, to this Final PEIR, additions and corrections have been made to various sections of the Draft PEIR to provide clarity or revisions based on comments received on the Draft PEIR.

The changes described in this chapter do not result in any new or increased significant environmental impacts that would result from implementing the Project. The revised text does not provide new information that identifies new significant environmental impacts and does not identify mitigation measures that, if implemented, would result in significant environmental impacts. Instead, the additions and corrections made to the Draft PEIR below merely “clarifies or amplifies or makes insignificant modifications” in the already adequate Draft PEIR, as is permitted by State CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5(b). Therefore, the text changes provided below do not change any of the conclusions presented in the Draft PEIR in a manner that would require recirculation of the Draft PEIR.

3.1 Revisions to the Draft PEIR

The additions and corrections that follow are made to the text of the Draft PEIR in this chapter of the Final EIR. Amended text is identified by page number. Additions to the Draft PEIR text are shown with underline and text removed from the PEIR is shown with ~~striketrough~~. The additions and corrections to the Draft EIR have been included in this volume with the stated format to easily disclose these minor changes or revisions to the Draft PEIR to the public and decision-makers of the Project.

Primary Plan Components

The first bullet point under subsection entitled Zone Change No. RPPL2022003557 on Page 3-7 would be amended to:

“Make changes to the zoning map. The zone changes under consideration would generally but with some exceptions, be targeted within a one-mile radius of major transit stops and near high-quality transit corridors as follows:”

The third bullet point under subsection entitled Zone Change No. RPPL2022003557 on Page 3-7 would be amended to:

“Generally, Re-zone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural), so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.”

Table 3-1 would be amended as follows:

**TABLE 3-1
LAND USE AND ZONING CHANGE SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED GROWTH**

| Community | Location of Change | Existing Land Use Designation | Proposed Land Use Designation | Existing Zoning Designation | Proposed Zoning Designation |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Avocado Heights | <u>Areas near Properties zoned A-1 within one half mile of the intersection of Don Julian Rd and Workman Mill Rd</u> | H9 | <u>Increase in residential density to H18 No change</u> | A1 C1 (Restricted Businesses) | <u>R-2 or R-4 No Change</u> MXD (Mixed Use Development) |
| | <u>Commercial center property at the southeast corner of the intersection of Workman Mill Rd and Don Julian Road</u> | CG | <u>No change</u> | C-1 | <u>No change</u> |
| | <u>Existing A-1 zone parcels with residential uses in Avocado Heights (Outside of mapped Equestrian Districts)</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | A-1 | <u>No change</u> |
| Charter Oak | <u>Areas within a quarter-mile of a major transit stop (Arrow Hwy and Grand Ave). These areas are also located in proximity to HQTAs, existing commercial centers, and proposed village centers</u> | H9 | CG (General Commercial) | A-1 | C-3 |
| | <u>Areas within a quarter-mile of an HQTA. Many of these areas are also within one mile of a major transit stop</u> | H9 | H18 | A-1 | <u>R-1 or R-2</u> |
| | <u>Areas within a quarter-mile of an HQTA and located between E. Cienega Ave and E. Arrow Hwy</u> | H9 | H18 | A-1 | R-2 |
| | <u>A-1 parcels outside of an HQTA located between E. Cienega Ave and E. Arrow Hwy</u> | H9 | H9 | A-1 | <u>R-1</u> |
| | <u>Some areas along E. Arrow Hwy and S. Valley Center Ave</u> | H9 | CG | A-1, C-1, C-2, C-3 | MXD |
| | <u>Church site and 6 residential parcels along the northwest corner of the intersection of E Cienega Ave and N Valley Center Ave</u> | H9 | H18 | A-1 | <u>R-2</u> |

| Community | Location of Change | Existing Land Use Designation | Proposed Land Use Designation | Existing Zoning Designation | Proposed Zoning Designation |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Covina Islands | Areas near the intersection of N. Citrus Ave and E. Covina Blvd, adjacent to Cypress Park, which are within a half-mile of a major transit stop (Metrolink Covina) | H9 | H30 | R-A | R-3 |
| | Areas within a half-mile of the Arrow Hwy and Azusa Ave Transit Stop | H9 | H48 H9 | A-1 | R-1 R-2 |
| | Area near E. Gladstone Ave and Barranca Ave. near a proposed commercial center | H9 | CG | R-A | C-1 |
| | <u>A-1-6000 properties bounded by E Gladstone Street to the north, N Cerritos Avenue to the east, Big Dalton Wash to the south, and N Donna Beth Ave to the west</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>R-1</u> |
| | Area near Arrow Hwy and Barranca Ave and another near E Gladstone St and Barranca Ave | H9 | CG | A-1 | C-1 |
| | Area near E. Mauna Loa Ave and Barranca Ave (near Stanton Elementary School) | H9 | H48 No change | No change R-A | No change R-1 |
| | Area on the southeast corner of Irwindale Ave and E. San Bernardino Ave | No change | No change | C-1 | C-3 |
| East Irwindale | An area within one mile of a major transit stop and within a half-mile of an HQTA north of East West Arrow Highway and south of West Gladstone Street | H9 | H18 | A ₂ -1 | R-1, R-2 |
| | An area within a half-mile of a major transit stop and within a quarter-mile of an HQTA north of East West Arrow Highway and south of West Gladstone Street | H9 | H30 H18 | A ₂ -1 | R2, R4, MXD |
| | <u>A-1-6000 properties along E Orkney Street, Enid Ave, Renwick Road, Banewell Ave, Leaf Ave.</u> <u>A-1-6000 properties along Enid Avenue, Alcross Street, Bygrove Street, Gragmont Street, Devanah Street, and E Nubia Street</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>R-1</u> |

| Community | Location of Change | Existing Land Use Designation | Proposed Land Use Designation | Existing Zoning Designation | Proposed Zoning Designation |
|----------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <u>A-1-6000 properties along Arrow Highway, Enid Avenue, Woodcroft Street, Vogue Avenue, Hyacinth Avenue, N Banewell Avenue, Leaf Avenue, Homerest Avenue, Millburgh Road, Woodcroft Street, E Laxford Road, E Newburgh Street</u> | H9 | H18 | A-1 | R-2 |
| | <u>Existing A-1-6000 properties along the southern boundary of Arrow Highway, bounded between Little Dalton Wash to the west and Lark Ellen Avenue to the East.</u> <u>Existing A-1 properties along the northern boundary of Arrow Highway, bounded between Little Dalton Wash to the east, Millburgh Road to the North, and N Gareloch Avenue to the west.</u> | H9 | No change | A-1 | R-1 |
| | <u>Area on the southwest and northeast corners of Irwindale Ave and E. San Bernardino Ave</u> | No change | No change | C-1 | No Change |
| East San Dimas | <u>Within a half mile from proposed village centers</u> | H9 | H18 | R-A | R-2 |
| | <u>Select residential areas along N. San Dimas Canyon Road and near proposed commercial areas</u> | H9 | CG | R-A | C-4 |
| | <u>Parcels along the eastern side of San Dimas Canyon Road, between E Allen Ave to the north and Juanita Avenue to the South. Also included are parcels bounded by Sedalia Ave to the East, Damien avenue to the west, and Juanita Ave to the south.</u> | H9 | H9 | R-A | R-1 |
| | <u>Parcels between and surrounding Gladstone Street to the north, N San Dimas Canyon Road to the west, E Juanita Avenue to the south, Sedalia Ave to the East. Also included are parcels along E Baseline Road.</u> | H9 | H9 | R-A | R-1 |

| Community | Location of Change | Existing Land Use Designation | Proposed Land Use Designation | Existing Zoning Designation | Proposed Zoning Designation |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Hacienda Heights | Three areas that are <u>One area</u> within a half-mile of the proposed Village Center and existing Commercial Center at the corner of S. Hacienda Blvd and Newton St, that is are currently designated H5 and H2 , will increase in density to H30 | H2 and H5 | H30 | R-1 and R-A | R-2 |
| | <u>Select areas that are within a half-mile of the proposed Village Center and existing Commercial Center at the intersection of S. Azusa Ave and Colima St</u> | H5 | H30 | R-A | R-2 |
| | Two areas that are within a quarter-mile of the Village Center and Commercial Center at the intersection of S. Azusa Ave and Colima St | No change | No change | C-2 | MXD |
| | <u>Southwest corner parcels of Hacienda Blvd and Tetley St. (APN 8222001023, 8222001024)</u> | <u>CG</u> | <u>CG</u> | <u>CPD</u> | <u>C-3</u> |
| | <u>Subdivision north of Colima Rd and west of Azusa Ave and east of Countrywood Ave</u> | H5 | H18 | R-A | R-2 |
| | <u>Subdivisions north and south of Halliburton Ave, near Stimson Avenue</u> | H5 | H18 | <u>R-A/R-1</u> | <u>R-2</u> |
| Rowland Heights | Area on the west end of Colima Rd near the proposed Village Center | No change | No change | C-3 | MXD |
| | Along Colima Rd within a quarter-mile of existing commercial centers | U1 | H18 | R-1 C-1, C-2, C-3 | R-2 MXD |
| | Select areas within a quarter-mile of existing commercial centers | U1 or U2 | H18 | A-1 | R-2 |
| | <u>Calle Barcelona subdivision, South of Colima Road</u> | <u>U1</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>R-1</u> | <u>R-2</u> |
| | <u>A-1-6000 properties, Farjardo St. and Los Padres Dr.</u> | <u>U2</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>R-1</u> | <u>R-A</u> |
| | <u>Parcels: APNs 8761026018, 8761026019</u> | <u>U2</u> | <u>H18</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>R-2</u> |
| | <u>Seven parcels at the end of Greyhall Street.</u> | <u>U2</u> | <u>H6</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>R-1</u> |
| | <u>16 parcels along Brea Canyon Cutoff, between Walnut Dr and Searls Dr</u> | <u>U2</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>R-A</u> |
| Unincorporated <u>South El Monte</u> | <u>A-1 properties around Burkett Rd, Rush St and Parkway Dr</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> |

| Community | Location of Change | Existing Land Use Designation | Proposed Land Use Designation | Existing Zoning Designation | Proposed Zoning Designation |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Unincorporated South El Monte | Existing A-1 zone properties in the community outside of the mapped equestrian district | No change | No change | A-1, R-3, C-3 | No change |
| South San Jose Hills | An area near the Commercial Center at the intersection of Temple Ave and S. Azusa Ave | H9 | CG | A-1 | C-1 |
| | An area near the Commercial Center at the intersection of S. Nogales St and Northam St | No change CG | No change MU | C-2 | MXD |
| | <u>Existing A-1-10000 parcels along Giano Ave, Jeannie Dr, and La Puente Rd</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> |
| | <u>West area, between Azusa Ave and Sandalwood, from around Renault St, to north of Hemphill St.</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>R-A</u> |
| | <u>18 parcels on the south end of Yorbita Road, south of Sunshine Court and north of existing CM-zone parcels.</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> |
| Valinda | One area which is located along an HQT A and near a Village Center located at Amar Rd and Walnut <u>Echelon Ave</u> | H9 CG | CG MU | R-4 C-2 | MXD |
| | Another area that is within a half-mile of a major transit center (Azusa Ave and Amar Rd) | CGH48 | CG | C-1 | MXD |
| | One area located along S. Glendora Ave/N Hacienda Blvd | No change | No change | C-2 and C-H | MXD |
| | <u>Existing A-1-8000 and A-1-10000 parcels in northern Valinda. Located along Area is Francisquito Avenue, Alwood Street, Doublegrove Street, Dubesor Street, Fellowship Street, Maplegrove Street, Walnut Avenue, Mullender Avenue, and Griffith Avenue.</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>A-1</u> | <u>No change</u> |
| | <u>Parcels along Amar Road, between Echelon Avenue and N Indian Summer Avenue</u> | <u>H9</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>R-1</u> | <u>No change</u> |
| <u>West Claremont</u> | <u>Existing A-1-15000 lots along Baseline Road and Glen Way</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> | <u>No change</u> |
| West Puente Valley | Area on the western corner of Orange Avenue and Francisquito Avenue | CG | MU (Mixed-Use Development) | C-1 | MXD" |

Section 4.2 Agriculture & Forestry Resources

Impact 4.2-4, the sentence beginning line 8 on Page 4.2-15 has been amended to:

“The ESGVAP would generally re-zone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural), so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.”

Section 4.4, Biological Resources

The sentence immediately above Regulatory Setting on Page 4.4-8 has been amended to:

“Based on review of the CNDDDB, mountain lions (*Puma concolor*) have not been reported in the Planning Area; however, according to local news reports and tracking studies, mountain lions are present, and the SEAs could provide habitat for the species.”

Page 4.4-25, Mitigation Measure MM BIO-4.4-2, is revised as follows:

BIO-4.4-2: Construction, ground-disturbing activities, and vegetation removal shall avoid the general avian nesting season of February 15 through September 15 (as early as January 1 for some raptors). If construction of future projects that contain or are immediately adjacent to suitable nesting habitat must occur during the general avian nesting season, a pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist within 7 days prior to the start of construction activities to determine if any active nests or nesting activity is occurring on or within 500 feet of the project. If no sign of nesting activity is observed, construction may proceed without potential impacts to nesting birds. If an active nest is observed during the pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey, an adequate buffer shall be established by a qualified biologist around the active nest depending on sensitivity of the species and proximity to project impact areas. The qualified biologist will implement a minimum buffer of Typical buffer distances include up to 300-feet for passerines, and up to 500-feet for raptors, and 0.5 mile for special-status species, if feasible but can be reduced as deemed appropriate by a monitoring biologist. On site construction monitoring may also be required to ensure that no direct or indirect impacts occur to the active nest. Personnel working on a project, including all contractors working on site, should be instructed on the presence of nesting birds, area sensitivity, and adherence to no-disturbance buffers. Project activities may encroach into the buffer only at the discretion of the monitoring biologist. The buffer shall remain in place until young have fledged as determined by a qualified biologist, or the nest is no longer active as determined by the monitoring biologist.

Section 4.5 Cultural Resources

Impact 4.5-1, on page 4.5-32 and 4.5-33 is amended as follows:

Less-Than-Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated. An impact would be significant if it would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Historical resources include built resources (buildings, structures,

objects) and archaeological resources that meet the criteria outlined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a).

The results of the cultural resources records search through the SCCIC indicates that a total of 69 cultural resources have been recorded within the unincorporated islands and communities of the Plan Area. It should be noted that seven resources are listed more than once in the table to include locations that span more than one municipality. These resources include prehistoric archaeological sites and isolates, historic-period archaeological sites and isolates, historic architectural resources, two California Historical Landmarks and one historic district.

Of these, five meet the criteria for historical resources as outlined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a). These five resources include two structures (San Antonio Dam and Azusa conduit), one building (Webb School of California), one trail/road (The Mojave Road - listed four times), and one historic district (San Dimas Experimental Forest - listed twice).

Of the results, ~~26~~ the remaining resources do not meet the criteria for historical resources as outlined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a). ~~These resources include 17 buildings, 6 structures, 2 historic period archaeological sites, and 1 railroad.~~

~~The remaining 38 resources require further evaluation to determine if they qualify as historical resources.~~

A review of the BERD indicated that an additional 15 historical resources have been recorded within the unincorporated islands and communities of the Plan Area. These resources include a single-family residence constructed in 1928 in Hacienda Heights; and 14 single-family residences constructed between the 1910s and 1930s in West Claremont.

A review of early historic aerial photographs dating from the 1930s and 1940s compared with current-day aerial photographs indicates that there are remaining agrarian single-family residential properties in several of the areas, many of which have been surrounded by vernacular mid-20th century residential development over time. A few areas also include mid-20th century industrial, educational, and government-owned properties.

The one stone residence/ranch structure (2S2) and the eleven single-family residences with an eligibility status of 5S2 within Table 4.5-2 (*California OHP Built Environment Resources Directory – Eligible and Unevaluated Listings*) should also be considered to meet the criteria for historical resources as outlined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a).

The ESGVAP is a policy document that does not include proposals for or approvals of any specific projects, and as a result, would not result in impacts to historical resources. However, future projects facilitating land use/zoning changes and policies included in the ESGVAP could involve structural improvements, demolition/alteration of existing structures, and/or ground disturbing activities (for construction of residential, commercial

and mixed-use development) that could, depending on their location, result in direct or indirect adverse changes to the significance of historical resources. Future projects would be required to comply with existing federal, state, and local regulations that protect historical resources and undergo the County's discretionary review process, where applicable, including completion of subsequent project-level planning and environmental review under CEQA. Such projects nonetheless could result in significant impacts to previously recorded and as-yet-unidentified archaeological and /or historic architectural resources qualifying as historical resources under CEQA.

Any project that proposes the demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of a building or structure more than 45 years in age or that involves ground disturbing activities or impacts any of the resources with an eligibility status of 1CL, 2S2, 3S or 5S2 as set out in Table 4.5-1 and Table 4.5-2 of the Draft EIR could result in a significant impact to historic architectural and/or archaeological resources qualifying as historical resources under CEQA. However, implementation of mitigation measures **CR-4.5-1** through **CR-4.5-6** would reduce potential impacts to less than significant levels.

Section 4.13 Public Services

The Library Facilities Mitigation Fee section on page 4.13-9 is amended as follows:

New residential development in the unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County is subject to a library mitigation fee. The fee is intended to supplement facilities needs and mitigating the impact that new residential development will have on the library system. The Library Facility Mitigation Fee differs across the seven library planning areas. East San Gabriel Valley is in planning area 4 and has a fee of \$1,094.00 ~~967.00~~ per dwelling unit (County of Los Angeles FY 2022b-23).

Impact 4.13-1 v) Libraries on pages 4.13-15 and 4.13-16 is amended to:

Less-Than-Significant Impact. As mentioned above, the ESGVAP would result in increases in density and development intensity which could result in population growth, this growth would not be unplanned and would be consistent with existing regional planning document assumptions regarding population growth. While population growth as a result of the ESGVAP would remain consistent with regional planning document projections, demand for library services may increase as a result.

While the ESGVAP itself would not create additional housing, rezoning would allow for new housing development with increased local population densities. The ESGVAP would not induce regional population growth beyond SCAG projections. Los Angeles County's library mitigation fee program requires residential development projects to pay a fee which acts to mitigate adverse impacts as a result of development. The fee is intended to supplement facility needs and mitigate the impact that new residential development will have on the library system. The Library Facility Mitigation Fee differs across the seven library planning areas. East San Gabriel Valley is in planning area 4 and has a fee of \$1,094.00 ~~967.00~~ per dwelling unit (County of Los Angeles FY 2022b-23). This fee will

mitigate the burden of new development on existing library services and will help maintain the guidelines for facility space of 0.5 gross square feet per capita and 2.75 items per capita. Additionally, goals 8 from the Public Services and Facilities element of the General Plan will ensure that there is a comprehensive public library system. Policy PS/F 8.2 acts to support the library mitigation fee which adequately address the impacts of new development. Policy PS/F 8.1 will ensure a desired level of library services through coordinated land use and facilities planning. The goals and policies outlined in the General Plan along with the library mitigation fee will ensure that impacts to the library system resulting from increased densities in targeted areas would be less than significant. No mitigation is required.

Section 5 Alternatives

The first sentence under the Agriculture and Forestry Resources section on Page 5-7 is amended to:

“As discussed in Section 4.2, Agriculture and Forestry Resources, the Project would generally rezone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural), so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.”

The first sentence under the Agriculture and Forestry Resources section on Page 5-22 is amended to:

“As discussed in Section 4.2, Agriculture and Forestry Resources, the Project would rezone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural), so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.

The first sentence of paragraph 3 on Page 5-22 is amended to:

“Alternative 2 would generally rezone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural) within the Alternative 2 Planning Area, so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.”

The first sentence under the Agriculture and Forestry Resources section on Page 5-35 is amended to:

“As discussed in Section 4.2, Agriculture and Forestry Resources, the Project would generally rezone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural), so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.”

The first sentence of paragraph 2 on Page 5-36 is amended to:

“Alternative 3 would generally rezone agricultural zones that are developed with residential uses from A-1 (Light Agriculture) to an appropriate residential zone, such as R-1 (Single-family residence) or R-A (Residential Agricultural) within the Alternative 3 Planning Area, so that zoning would reflect the existing use and would be consistent with the General Plan land use policy designations.”

Appendix C ESGVAP Plan Area Communities: Land Use and Zoning Change Figures

The Draft PEIR was prepared in conjunction with the Draft East San Gabriel Valley Area Plan and associated supporting information such as the ESGVAP Proposed Land Use Policy and Zoning Web App (available online at: <https://lacounty.maps.arcgis.com/apps/instant/sidebar/index.html?appid=48eb4076c4e74f2caa8f2a21a78dcfd6>). The Web App is dynamic and as part of ongoing outreach efforts undertaken by the County, has been updated frequently since publication of the Draft EIR. As such, all of the Land Use and Zoning Maps included within Appendix C (from Page 3 to Page 20) for Avocado Heights, Charter Oaks, Covina Islands, East Irwindale, East San Dimas, Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, South San Jose Hills, and Valinda have been updated online.

At this time, revised figures are not proposed to be included within the Final PEIR, as the County’s outreach is ongoing, and map changes are therefore also ongoing. Including revised figures could lead to these being superseded the moment they are published. The ESGVAP Proposed Land Use Policy and Zoning Web App should be used for up-to-date Land Use and Zoning information. Additionally, the ESGVAP Noticing Web App can be used to view proposed changes, which provides the same information but is focused on individual properties:

<https://lacounty.maps.arcgis.com/apps/instant/lookup/index.html?appid=4aa28c9e872a4f4381c3fb9cab5d228d>.

This page intentionally left blank