

Thomas Dearborn

From: DRP AV Community Standards Districts
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2022 10:47 AM
To: Thomas Dearborn
Subject: FW: LLA Diktat's

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

FYI -

From: Andrew Eppink <apeppink@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2022 10:08 AM
To: DRP AV Community Standards Districts <AVCSDS@planning.lacounty.gov>
Subject: LLA Diktat's

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

My comment to Los Angeles County govt -

Re: public commenting period for CSD LLA

Sirs:

I'm entirely opposed to the imposition of lib/Dem diktats like this. From what I read placement of marine containers on properties is to be proscribed. As is operation of businesses in back yards etc. It'll go on and on, and on like that with repeated imposition of myriad odious lib diktats, "Do things our way Buster or it's the slam for you". Life in LLA will be will become unlivable. I realize it's just a routine imposition of the lib/Dem ethos - "The masses are morons who must kept strictly under control." Also, people corruptly and stupidly routinely vote for libs/Dems in California so there should be no problem, which is unfortunately true. People are corrupt enough, stupid enough to routinely vote for libs/dems in CA (And the other lib/Dem controlled places) and all the corruption accompanying that.

So that's my complaint, for whatever good it'll do which is likely not much.

Andrew P. Eppink

<https://planning.lacounty.gov/site/avcsd/>

Thomas Dearborn

From: Stormy Hope <stormy435@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2022 6:04 PM
To: Thomas Dearborn; Mark Herwick; Kristina Kulczycki
Cc: Robin Nute; Deb Hill; Elizabeth Andrew; Michele McCarty; Inez M; Stormy Hope
Subject: Re: Lake LA CSD Committee Special Meeting
Attachments: PastedGraphic-2.tiff

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Good Afternoon, Thomas,

As discussed during the Lake Los Angeles CSD meeting, on Friday, Oct. 7, 2022, the LLARTC CSD Committee has reviewed the Pearblossom CSD Draft and requests to have the following language incorporated in our Lake LA CSD:

-

(22.356.070 B.8)

p.25, Exhibit B:

8. *Paving.*

a. Notwithstanding Section 22.112.080 (Parking Design), access to parking spaces is from a highway, street, or alley which is paved with asphaltic or concrete surfacing, such parking areas, as well as the maneuvering areas and driveways used for access thereby, shall be paved with pervious materials, unless other materials are deemed necessary for the safety of pedestrian and vehicular traffic by Public Works.

b. Pedestrian pathways shall be marked with pervious pavers, or stone set in pervious gravel

The LLARTC, and the LLA CSD Committee, appreciates the work and efforts of Thomas Dearborn and Mark Herwick. We have been satisfied that working with all AVCSDs staff has been completed with understanding of our community and its needs. Some unfilled community necessities, such as resident-owned personal semi-truck parking and installation of pervious material multi-use walkways in all future commercial development, will be pursued in the near future..

Best Regards

Stormy Hope
CoChair, LLARTC CSD Committee
Lake Los Angeles Rural Town Council



Thomas Dearborn

From: Lauren Drutz <lau@zebradesignworks.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 9:33 PM
To: Thomas Dearborn
Subject: Lake Los Angeles Community Standards District

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Hello Thomas,

I am in favor of thoughtful design and quality of neighborhood standards such as those proposed to establish the Lake Los Angeles Community Standards District where I own an undeveloped property APN 3029 007 038.

Unregulated growth often leads to urban blight. I am glad that county planners are using experience, foresight and community input to create basic standards for new development in Lake Los Angeles.

I support the efforts to protect native plants, preserve the dark night sky and enact building criteria to enhance property values. Many of these concerns have been built into the proposed LLACS.

Thank you for including the underground utility line requirement. It is an excellent visual and safety feature.

Other standards should be stronger to improve overall aesthetics and rural feel. For instance, it seems contradictory to require specific residential architectural designs, colors, decorative accents, etc and then allow humongous shipping containers on parcels. Shipping containers do not fit into a community's rural character even when placed at the rear of a property.

Questions:

1. How will the standards (architectural, fencing, # of dogs, grading guidelines) be enforced?
2. I imagine hikers, walkers, bicycles and equestrians are welcome on the trail system. Are motorized vehicles such as OHVs RTVs, UTVs or dirt bikes allowed?
3. Are certain types of fences allowed or prohibited (solid concrete, wire grid such as barbed wire or livestock fencing, wooden rail, metal rail, wood panels, vegetative such as hedge or closely spaced trees, glass or...)?
4. What is the reasoning for the standards to allow very tall (8' high) fences? To keep deer, neighborhood dogs or unwanted visitors out or perhaps provide privacy or a feeling of safety?

Thank you for giving property owners a chance to ask questions and provide comments on the proposed standards.
Lauren Drutz