The southernmost area adjacent to CA-60 remained in the SEA and was not added to the development map. Areas outside of the boundary of the golf course were added to the SEA Development Map but areas with native vegetation should remain an SEA and not included in the SEA Development Map. (See files). The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been made from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south. SEA boundary follows the bike path. The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been made from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south.

Areas which are indicated within the operator's permits will be added to the SEA Development Map. The park itself will remain SEA. Areas that are part of the golf course and displays similar attributes to the area on the south were added to the SEA Development Map but areas with native vegetation should remain an SEA and not included in the SEA Development Map. (See files). The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been excluded from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south.

The areas within the proposed SEA contain oak trees that need to be protected. Trees in the flat area are newly planted and are not oak trees. On a small portion of the property where native vegetation had regrown, the SEA was expanded. Line was adjusted to precisely match the timeline and edge of the slope. This includes removing and adding minor edges along the SEA boundary to increase precision.

Several areas in the park have been developed and were added to the SEA Development Map. The park itself will remain SEA. Areas outside of the boundary of the golf course were added to the SEA Development Map but areas with native vegetation should remain an SEA and not included in the SEA Development Map. (See files). The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been excluded from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south. SEA boundary follows the bike path. The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been excluded from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south.

The areas within the proposed SEA contain oak trees that need to be protected. Trees in the flat area are newly planted and are not oak trees. On a small portion of the property where native vegetation had regrown, the SEA was expanded. Line was adjusted to precisely match the timeline and edge of the slope. This includes removing and adding minor edges along the SEA boundary to increase precision.

Several areas in the park have been developed and were added to the SEA Development Map. The park itself will remain SEA. Areas outside of the boundary of the golf course were added to the SEA Development Map but areas with native vegetation should remain an SEA and not included in the SEA Development Map. (See files). The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been excluded from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south. SEA boundary follows the bike path. The bike path is a reasonable demarcation for the boundaries. In the northern portion, the SEA boundary already follows the bike path. The area that is immediately north of the area currently proposed as SEA has been excluded from the SEA and displays similar attributes to the area on the south.
**Table:** SEA Request Action Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment ID</th>
<th>Proposed GSA Name</th>
<th>Commentor</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Reference APN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Recommendation Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph Combs, Manager, Corporate Development The Termo Company</td>
<td>Hanh Tran 3084-018-019 Black Butte</td>
<td>ballona wetlands</td>
<td>ballona creek</td>
<td>no adjustment recommended. all the ballona/ and lagoon areas that are governed primarily within California Coastal Zones as Coastal Resource Areas (CRAs) to acknowledge that these areas are governed primarily through the California Coastal Act and California Coastal Commission. at this time it is not our recommendation to add these areas as the rationale for changing the proposed map in incorporated areas does not support this. however, staff would recommend that areas with demonstrated biological value such as these might be appropriate to re-examine after the SEA program and General Plan updates are adopted during the biennial report on the status of the SEAs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>La Sierra Club</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Marcia Hanscom, Chair / Marcia Hanscom, Ballona Wetlands Restoration Committee</td>
<td>4211-007-005 ballona wetlands</td>
<td>ballona creek</td>
<td>no adjustment recommended. all the ballona/ and lagoon areas that are governed primarily within California Coastal Zones as Coastal Resource Areas (CRAs) to acknowledge that these areas are governed primarily through the California Coastal Act and California Coastal Commission. at this time it is not our recommendation to add these areas as the rationale for changing the proposed map in incorporated areas does not support this. however, staff would recommend that areas with demonstrated biological value such as these might be appropriate to re-examine after the SEA program and General Plan updates are adopted during the biennial report on the status of the SEAs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>La Sierra Club</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Marcia Hanscom, Chair / Marcia Hanscom, Ballona Wetlands Restoration Committee</td>
<td>470520394 indicator apns only, please see note</td>
<td>city of los angeles (venice)</td>
<td>no adjustment recommended. all the ballona/ and lagoon areas that are governed primarily within California Coastal Zones as Coastal Resource Areas (CRAs) to acknowledge that these areas are governed primarily through the California Coastal Act and California Coastal Commission. at this time it is not our recommendation to add these areas as the rationale for changing the proposed map in incorporated areas does not support this. however, staff would recommend that areas with demonstrated biological value such as these might be appropriate to re-examine after the SEA program and General Plan updates are adopted during the biennial report on the status of the SEAs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We believe the area of the Puente Hills SEA should be increased by including:

- Los Angeles Heights Island
- Heights Island
- Heights/LaHabra
- Claremont Hills Wilderness Park, City of Claremont
- San Dimas Canyon Wash
- San Antonio Creek
- Mountain Meadows
- Double Peak Park
- San Antonio Walnut Creek

Staff has received several requests to re-examine this area. The current boundaries allow for only a single narrow canyon for wildlife movement, bordered on the north side by a ridgeline and south of the canyon. But the exclusion of this property south of Claremont San Dimas Canyon should be changed. The current boundaries allow for only a single narrow canyon for wildlife movement, bordered on the north side by a ridgeline and south of the canyon. But the exclusion of this property south of Claremont San Dimas Canyon should be changed. The current boundaries allow for only a single narrow canyon for wildlife movement, bordered on the north side by a ridgeline and south of the canyon.

The El Segundo Dunes area is part of a historic incorporated city. It is preserved and does add connectivity however it does not meet the criteria for added as a historic SEA, nor was it added prior to 2011. However, staff would recommend that conserved areas with demonstrated biological value such as these might be appropriate to re-examine after the SEA Program and General Plan updates are adopted during the course of the SEAs.

The location of the Playa del Rey Sand Dunes – sometimes called the Airport Dunes or the El Segundo Dunes, these sand dunes created once by the natural flow of the Los Angeles River and the convergence at Playa del Rey of this river along with several other streams, are known to be as the Playa del Rey Sand Dunes. The location of the El Segundo Dunes is a narrow strip of land running along a beachfront, on the El Segundo Blue Butterfly, which is on the federal endangered species list, these dunes are a remnant of a significant sand dune complex that once went southeast all the way through the south bay beach cities. Actually, the sand dunes are still there, but they are paved over and built on, so these dunes offer a glimpse into our historical ecology and natural history. Restoration efforts have assisted a partial recovery of the endangered butterfly and have brought recognition to other species of plants and animals that are in need of protection at this site. Once partially covered by houses, but returning to their natural condition more and more, these dunes are a natural resource on the Los Angeles coast. Torrance Beach Dunes – The last remnant dunezone on a Los Angeles beach that is wild and natural, this small sand dune includes a rare dune beetle, as well as a magenta sand verbena that is rare. Bird species from Del Rey Lagoon and Wetland are part of this interesting sand dune area that is north of LAX and has been recovering as natural habitat since homes were removed from the area due to LAX. Bird observations include White-tailed Kite, Loggerhead Shrike, Red-Shafted Finch, Ferruginous Hawk and Great Blue Heron.

The Playa del Rey Sand Dunes – Sometimes called the Airport Dunes or the El Segundo Dunes, these sand dunes created once by the natural flow of the Los Angeles River and the convergence at Playa del Rey of this river along with several other streams, are known to be as the Playa del Rey Sand Dunes. The location of the El Segundo Dunes is a narrow strip of land running along a beachfront, on the El Segundo Blue Butterfly, which is on the federal endangered species list, these dunes are a remnant of a significant sand dune complex that once went southeast all the way through the south bay beach cities. Actually, the sand dunes are still there, but they are paved over and built on, so these dunes offer a glimpse into our historical ecology and natural history. Restoration efforts have assisted a partial recovery of the endangered butterfly and have brought recognition to other species of plants and animals that are in need of protection at this site. Once partially covered by houses, but returning to their natural condition more and more, these dunes are a natural resource on the Los Angeles coast. Torrance Beach Dunes – The last remnant dunezone on a Los Angeles beach that is wild and natural, this small sand dune includes a rare dune beetle, as well as a magenta sand verbena that is rare. Bird species from Del Rey Lagoon and Wetland are part of this interesting sand dune area that is north of LAX and has been recovering as natural habitat since homes were removed from the area due to LAX. Bird observations include White-tailed Kite, Loggerhead Shrike, Red-Shafted Finch, Ferruginous Hawk and Great Blue Heron.
14 Sierra Club

Chairman, Chair /Marcia Hasson
water and restoration committee /Terrie Brady, San Fernando Valley Group

11/10/2010

Oak Canyon, San Fernando Heights

Pine Valley, CA

The majority of these trees (60-80 in number) are located in two small side canyons in Oak Canyon. These trees are in the Glendora Hills and the outer three sides are in the Garvanza area near its junction with Oak Canyon. These scattered distribution might suggest these trees may be a remnant population from the time when different climatic conditions allowed them to be distributed in widely areas that they currently are. However, further evaluation by a biologist, including possible genetic testing to ascertain their ancestry, would seem to be in order.

Pending
Regional Planning Commission
Decision on 7/28/2014

N/A

No

No

No

This area does not meet the rationale for expansion— they are a remnant population from a time when different climatic conditions allowed them to be distributed more widely than they currently are. As a result these trees do not meet the SEA designation criteria and staff does not recommend adding this area at this time. However, it is possible that this change supports the criteria for anthropological areas of interest and could be added to any lists maintained by the General Plan of such sites.

14 Sierra Club

Chairman, Chair /Marcia Hasson
water and restoration committee /Terrie Brady, San Fernando Valley Group

6/13/2013

Chambers of the San Gabriel River/Whitewater adjoins, City of El Monte

Pine Valley, San Gabriel Canyon

In addition we believe the channels and open space along the San Gabriel River be added to an SEA not to connect the Pine Valley Hills with the San Gabriel Mountains along sediment filled channels.

Pending
Regional Planning Commission
Decision on 8/6/2014

N/A

No

No

No

This area does not meet the rationale for expansion—it is in incorporated city areas and not a part of any historic SEA. The potential for connectivity as suggested by Sierra Club makes this a good candidate for re-examination after the General Plan is adopted, in future SEA status review.

14 Sierra Club

Chairman, Chair /Marcia Hasson
water and restoration committee /Terrie Brady, San Fernando Valley Group

6/11/2013

Mountain Papayas

San Gabriel Mountains/Soledad Hills

Meridian Energy USA

This Big Tujunga Wash is one of the few places where this flower/Slender Horned Spineflower has the condition it needs for germination namely periods of flood followed by periods of drought.

Pending
Regional Planning Commission
Decision on 7/28/2014

N/A

No

No

No

Can the remaining natural areas to the east of this area be added to this possible 50 acre remnant population from a time when different climatic conditions allowed them to be distributed more widely than they currently are? In any case, further evaluation by a biologist, including possible genetic testing to ascertain their ancestry, would seem to be in order.

14 Sierra Club

Chairman, Chair /Marcia Hasson
water and restoration committee /Terrie Brady, San Fernando Valley Group

6/10/2013

City of Los Angeles, Hansen/Flood Control District

Tujunga Wash/Whitewater

Meridian Energy USA

The Big Tujunga Wash is one of the few places where this flower/Slender Horned Spineflower has the condition it needs for germination namely periods of flood followed by periods of drought.

Pending
Regional Planning Commission
Decision on 8/6/2014

N/A

No

No

No

This area does not meet the rationale for expansion—it is in incorporated city areas and not a part of any historic SEA. The potential for connectivity as suggested by Sierra Club makes this a good candidate for re-examination after the General Plan is adopted, in future SEA status review.

15 Meridian Energy USA

SEA/RBA, Director Project Development

Meridian Energy USA

This Big Tujunga Wash is one of the few places where this flower/Slender Horned Spineflower has the condition it needs for germination namely periods of flood followed by periods of drought.

Pending
Regional Planning Commission
Decision on 7/28/2014

N/A

No

No

No

No further action. As far as staff can determine the Tujunga Wash is already within a historic SEA which may have been changed on the proposed SEA Map. Unless further information is submitted staff believes this request is not justified.

16 Center for Biological Diversity

Renee Anderson

N/A

ATAS

In our quick review of the proposed SEAs we strongly suggest that the County include all Habitats including but not limited to federally designated critical habitat for federally and state listed endangered species. Including habitat for these species that are threatened or already extirpated identifies areas in the County that are crucial to the goals of the SEAs—"to ensure that development activities in these areas do not unduly compromise the underlying ecological systems of the County in such a manner that would threaten the future existence of these systems" (5018 CERCLA Ordinance at pg. 3). It also flags areas that will be challenging for development due to the presence of state and federally protected species. To be clear these are not the only areas that need to be included in the SEAs, but rather the SEAs should definitely include these types of areas.

Pending
Regional Planning Commission
Decision on 9/23/2014

N/A

No

No

No

No specific criteria identified for modification of boundaries. The request is too broad and would require modification of the criteria used to designate SEAs and this is a recreation of the entire designation process, a public process dating back to 2001.
Given the long-standing approval of the cemetery by the City and the measures implemented by Forest Lawn to protect and restore the natural resources, we request that the SEA designation exclude the Forest Lawn property and that the proposed SEA ordinance amendment include an exemption for cemeteries.

We request that the Forest Lawn cemetery be excluded from the SEA designation and that the proposed SEA ordinance amendment include an exemption for cemeteries that are approved by the County pursuant to the Forest Protocol.

The Department corresponded with Forest Lawn Covina in August of 2012. During the last few months, staff reviewed the site and noted that areas along the edges of the proposed SEA boundary are already being developed. The DRP staff biologist recommended adjusting SEA boundaries to Hooper Road. Changes were made in March 2014; however, staff is rechecking the boundary adjustments for more recent aerial photographs and may make a site visit if necessary to confirm these edges are accurate to the developed area.

This area is currently within an SEA. The area outside the conservation area is privately owned and does not meet the criteria. Staff does not recommend adjusting at this time.

This change would prevent SEATAC assessment of potential impacts by proposed development adjacent to protected habitat areas such as the Antelope Valley California Poppy Reserve and Ripley Desert Woodland. Both of these parks are included in existing SEAs but the borders of these SEAs do not extend significantly beyond the existing borders of these state parks. Even with the approval of the proposed extension of SEAs in the Antelope Valley, these state parks will remain vulnerable along most of their borders.

Therefore, SEATAC review and assessment of the impacts of development adjacent to these important biological preserves remains imperative. Request asks that surrounding parcels be covered by the SEA ordinance in some way. The primary method of considering these edge parcels would be by expanding the boundary.

This area is currently within an SEA. The area outside the conservation area is privately owned and does not meet the criteria. Staff does not recommend adjusting at this time.

This change would prevent SEATAC assessment of potential impacts by proposed development adjacent to protected habitat areas such as the Antelope Valley California Poppy Reserve and Ripley Desert Woodland. Both of these parks are included in existing SEAs but the borders of these SEAs do not extend significantly beyond the existing borders of these state parks. Even with the approval of the proposed extension of SEAs in the Antelope Valley, these state parks will remain vulnerable along most of their borders. Therefore, SEATAC review and assessment of the impacts of development adjacent to these important biological preserves remains imperative. Request asks that surrounding parcels be covered by the SEA ordinance in some way. The primary method of considering these edge parcels would be by expanding the boundary.

This change would prevent SEATAC assessment of potential impacts by proposed development adjacent to protected habitat areas such as the Antelope Valley California Poppy Reserve and Ripley Desert Woodland. Both of these parks are included in existing SEAs but the borders of these SEAs do not extend significantly beyond the existing borders of these state parks. Even with the approval of the proposed extension of SEAs in the Antelope Valley, these state parks will remain vulnerable along most of their borders. Therefore, SEATAC review and assessment of the impacts of development adjacent to these important biological preserves remains imperative. Request asks that surrounding parcels be covered by the SEA ordinance in some way. The primary method of considering these edge parcels would be by expanding the boundary.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment ID</th>
<th>Related Comments</th>
<th>Commentor</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Reference APN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proposed SEA Name</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Map Change Date</th>
<th>Recommend Adding to SEA</th>
<th>Recommend Removing from SEA</th>
<th>Recommend Adding to Development Map</th>
<th>Recommend Removing from Development Map</th>
<th>Recommendation Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Santa Ana Creek</td>
<td>3244-001-036</td>
<td>San Andreas Creek</td>
<td>Removed parcel from SEA, suggests this designation does not reflect the criteria, area inside the Tejon Ranch are not natural habitats. Contends the existence of wildlife corridors in this area, areas of Tejon Ranch set aside. Staff disagrees with other groups not all the same areas in the SEA.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No adjustment recommended, our department does not come to the same conclusion regarding the value of the site. Although grasslands are not rare in CA, SAA assess resources of relevance to LA County. Additionally, we do not consider the presence of grazing a reason to find a site with biological value. Some degradation of the resource does not negate the resource. Lastly, conservation agreements made with other groups may prioritize separate resources from those our department assesses. Conservation agreements with independent private groups or stakeholders not helpful, comes in determining resource locations, but ultimately remains separate from the Department’s mission and context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Santa Ana Creek</td>
<td>3244-001-036</td>
<td>San Andreas Creek</td>
<td>Requested removal because the boundary expansion is not consistent with the active existing vegetation that maps RUSAP, certified EIR. Site requires review, and environmental approval permits. Enroachment into the existing planned development areas, such as residential lots in developing areas, and not in alignment with the floodplain and wetland resource area in the SFC Creek, the defined areas to protect the migration path of the threatened species (threespine stickleback fish), and extends into the flood area outside of the water course and habitat for migration. Lot #705, Tax Assessor Parcel #2044-001-085, and there should be a fuel mod designation area added too: Lot #706, Tax Assessor Parcel #2044-027, and 2830-015-036 &amp; 040.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>324603395</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Requests that all PHHPA owned or controlled parcels be included in the SEA.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>324603939</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Requests adding city of Whittier owned open space create connectivity in this area.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>324603939</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Requests that all PHHPA owned or controlled parcels be included in the SEA.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>324603939</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Requests adding city of Whittier owned open space create connectivity in this area.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Puente Hills, Michael Roeske, Michael Muench</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>324603939</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Requests adding city of Whittier owned open space create connectivity in this area.</td>
<td>Adj. Adjusted</td>
<td>8/6/14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
26 14th 14
Puente Hills
Preservation Authority
Ara Henderchian, Chairman
Lo Uttie Longacre, Ecologist
0310145001 Puente Hills
The boundary of the SEA at this location is questionable as it touches the Aurora Creek, an existing home.
Adjusted
Holding
No
No
No
No
Staff concurs, adjusted the edge of the SEA to the parcel line for this dense subdivisions area to be consistent with how other subdivisions boundaries were mapped.

26 14th 14
Puente Hills
Preservation Authority
Ara Henderchian, Chairman
Lo Uttie Longacre, Ecologist
13000000008 Hacienda Heights
Turnbull Canyon Road
Puente Hills
The area and the area back into the designated SEA in any future development here would most likely require fuel modification clearance on the Authority property and which subsequent permission for such clearance would be denied by the Authority.
Holding
Regional Planning Commission Decision on 9/30/2014
H/A
No
No
No
No
The parcel is severely owned. Only portions of this parcel are within the proposed SEA. The Puente Hills Authority, owns a smaller parcel to the south west of this parcel and would be the boundary adjusted to fit with the current adopted SEA boundary. However the proposed SEA is much larger than the currently adopted SEA within this area. At this time, staff does not recommend increasing the boundary of the proposed SEA on this parcel.

26 14th 15
Puente Hills
Preservation Authority
Ara Henderchian, Chairman
Lo Uttie Longacre, Ecologist
0310135181 No Hens College Wildlife Sanctuary
Held in GTA to SEA.
Held for further review
Held
No
No
No
No
AERA, 55 Staff is holding for recommendations on requests for

26 14th 15
Hacienda Heights/Island
Director
800000000007 Regional
San Juan Heights/Island
Puente Hills
Shrubs and hills area back into the designated SEA in any future development here would most likely require fuel modification clearance on the Authority property and which subsequent permission for such clearance would be denied by the Authority.
Shrubs and hills area held in GTA to SEA.
Held for further review
Held
No
No
No
No
Staff concurs, adjusted the edge of the SEA to the parcel line for this dense subdivisions area to be consistent with how other subdivisions boundaries were mapped.

26 Aera Energy LLC
Jeffrey R. Plaisch, Project Manager
80000000003 Puente Hills
"Moore's consistent assertion that the AERA property does not fit the most part represent the type of high quality, undisturbed habitat that the Sea program is intended to protect... (the parcel owned by the company) is degraded and impaired, and are not contributing significantly to biological diversity or sustainability."
Holding
Regional Planning Commission Decision on 9/30/2015
H/A
No
No
No
No
County staff does not agree with the assertion that the property is too degraded to constitute an SEA. The resources on the site have been documented within the 2000 SEA Update Study and additional studies have been completed which establish the biological value of the site. Staff does not recommend removal of these parcels from the SEA. Staff is willing to add areas in operating under specific use permits or specific sites at grading and disruption to the SEA Development Map.

27 This suggestion was an internal departmental suggestion. Staff does not have any recommendations to make at this time which are generated by internal review.

28 14th 16
Chatsworth Nature Preservation
Linda Bridger, Administrator
800000000000 Sylmar
Mountains/Green Hills
Bouquet Creek and Box Canyon creeks. For example, see each subdivided by their own catchment area, yet both seem to be included in the SEA, along with the Bouquet Creek catchment area. The Mesotes Campus is extremely valuable wildlife habitat - with a perennial creek flowing even in this direct of drought years, dense riparian areas, oak woodlands, etc. - that, based on a recent San Fernando Valley Audubon Society survey (see e-bird), supports an abundance of bird species; including raptors. We believe it should be included in the SEA. What would be needed to change the boundaries of the SEA to bring about or to assure its inclusion in a separate SEA?
Held for further review
Held
No
No
No
No
We request RAP staff to conduct several surveys to determine this area. The boundary at this location runs along a watershed, however several groups have submitted scientific materials arguing that this location would meeting other SEA criteria. Staff is reviewing these materials and would be willing to consider adding this portion to the SEA if the materials are substantiated.

28 14th 16
Mitigation Bank
Richard Cypher
17000000395 Joshua Tree
Woodlands
Add to Joshua Tree Woodlands SEA.
Holding
Regional Planning Commission Decision on 9/30/2015
H/A
No
No
No
No
No further action recommended. These parcels are in escrow by a private mitigation bank for purposes of mitigation land in the future. This might be appropriate to add to the SEA in the future. Fydel is escrow closes and if the land meets theSEA criteria, however at this time staff has no confirmation that these resources are in active conservation management.
80  California Native Plant Society  Betty Landis, Conservation Committee  3270249030  Griffith Park  Mountain lion (Puma concolor) is the top carnivore in Griffith Park. Please add to the list of mammals. I ask that you consider expanding the Griffith Park SIA to include vital undeveloped areas between residential development in canyons and parks along Mulholland and on the ridges. I have seen evidence and CNPS comments on A County General Plan, Feb. 3, 2014, page 3 I have seen evidence that mountain lions travel along Mulholland and may have cubs in locations like Stone Canyon. There are very few along the way as well. Burnstein’s typology for the indelibly earned after him is in the Santa Monica Mountains, probably near Mulholland’s first reserves in Franklin Canyon. I haven’t found it yet, but the soil is the right type.

80  California Native Plant Society  Betty Landis, Conservation Committee  080124-001  Mandeville Canyon  Santa Monica Mountains  Please add the east and west ridges around the head of Mandeville Canyon to this SIA. There is a very rich west-east wildlife corridor extending from Topanga State Park through Rust, Sylmar, and Mandeville Canyons with an excellent range of habitats. It includes San Vicente Mountain Park and the undeveloped area alone Eucine Reservoir. Pending Regional Planning Commission decision on 8/9/2015

80  California Native Plant Society  Betty Landis, Conservation Committee  080011954  Mandeville Canyon  Santa Monica Mountains  Please add the east and west ridges around the head of Mandeville Canyon to this SIA. There is a very rich west-east wildlife corridor extending from Topanga State Park through Rust, Sylmar, and Mandeville Canyons with an excellent range of habitats. It includes San Vicente Mountain Park and the undeveloped area alone Eucine Reservoir. Pending Regional Planning Commission decision on 8/9/2015

81  AOC  DPW  Christopher Seagard, Environmental Programs  Manager  3206018310  Santa Monica Mountains/Site 100  Atwood Science Campus Landfill panels from the SIA

82  Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County  Wendy Watt  3700329391 (Indicator APN Only, see full list under request)  Removed Ridge of Edwards Author  Antioch Valley SEA

82  Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County  Wendy Watt  3700023931 (Indicator APN Only, see full list under request)  Bear in Vista, Antioch Valley  Antioch Valley SEA

82  Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County  Wendy Watt  3700023931 (Indicator APN Only, see full list under request)  Antioch Valley SEA  Intermittent Water Storage and Agricultural Sites. Property developed for recycled water storage reservoir is depicted in Area A on Figure 2. As an existing use, this area should be removed from the SEA boundary or exempted as an existing use at the time the expanded boundary went into effect. Area B on Figure 2 depicts land purchased and designated for agricultural operations using recycled water. These parcels were purchased as part of an approved Facilities Plan and EIR to serve a public need, we believe it would be inappropriate to add a SEA designation to this property now and potentially jeopardize the implementation of this approved public project. This request is consistent with Regional Planning's Conservation and Open Space Element Policy (C1.4) Prevent the use of recycled water, where available, for agricultural and industrial uses and support efforts to expand recycled water infrastructure (Chapter 4, p 271) of the May 2, 2013, Draft Antioch Valley Area Plan. The Districts requested these same adjustments in a comment letter dated August 30, 2007, however, the adjustments have not been incorporated in this iteration.

Pending Regional Planning Commission decision on 8/9/2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment ID</th>
<th>Related Comments</th>
<th>Commentator</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Reference APN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proposed SEA Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wendi Wente</td>
<td>206201193</td>
<td>Santa Monica Mountains</td>
<td>Calabasas Landfill</td>
<td>The active Calabasas Landfill is shown in Figure 1. We appreciate Regional Planning’s prior efforts to adjust the SEA boundary to exclude the Calabasas Landfill. We request a slight adjustment to the proposed SEA boundary (shown hatched in red) so that the boundary does not encroach on landfill property.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wendi Wente</td>
<td>277018993</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Whittier Narrows WWF</td>
<td>The Whittier Narrows Water Reclamation Plant is shown in Figure 1. We request that the red hatched area be removed from the proposed SEA boundary. Without such adjustment, any work on this site would require SEA review under the current proposed ordinance and such reviews would not be in the public’s best interest.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wendi Wente</td>
<td>322203992</td>
<td>Puente Hills</td>
<td>Puente Hills Landfill</td>
<td>The Puente Hills Landfill is shown in Figure 6. We request adjusting the majority of this site.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Windsong Pacific Ltd.</td>
<td>311110184</td>
<td>Outside of Agua Dulce</td>
<td>Santa Clarita River</td>
<td>Perhaps the SEA was not aware that a Puente Hills landfill was used in Figure 6. While the landfill has stopped receiving waste, there will be ongoing maintenance and post-closure construction projects for years to come. Similar to previous comments, we request that the red hatched area be removed from the proposed SEA boundary. Without such adjustment, any work on this site would require SEA review under the current proposed ordinance and such reviews would not be in the public’s best interest.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>318001395</td>
<td>Mission Heights/California Heights Island</td>
<td>Mission Heights</td>
<td>Staff is reviewing these comments. We request a slight adjustment to the proposed SEA extents so that SEA does not encroach on landfill property and trigger SEA reviews for routine work onsite.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>318000036</td>
<td>Mission Heights/California Heights Island</td>
<td>Mission Heights</td>
<td>Staff is reviewing these comments. We request a slight adjustment to the proposed SEA extents so that SEA does not encroach on landfill property and trigger SEA reviews for routine work onsite.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beethoven Farms</td>
<td>317606809</td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td>Antelope Valley SEA</td>
<td>Staff is reviewing this comment. If you look closely, the boundary of the Agricultural Land does not coincide with parcel boundaries, but overlaps a small portion of the listed properties. When a GIS based analysis is conducted, these properties are being included within the proposed SEA boundary. The incorporated city of Lancaster and therefore are not subject to the SEA Ordinance.</td>
<td>Held for further review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment ID</td>
<td>Commentor</td>
<td>Contact Name</td>
<td>Reference APN</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Proposed SIA Name</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bolthouse Farms</td>
<td>Kimiko Lizardi</td>
<td>3374022904</td>
<td>Lancaster slightly north of Plant 42</td>
<td>Antelope Valley SEA</td>
<td>&quot;We request an explanation and evidence to support the expansion of the SEA boundary in this area.&quot;</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bolthouse Farms</td>
<td>Kimiko Lizardi</td>
<td>3376004905</td>
<td>Lancaster slightly north of Plant 42</td>
<td>Antelope Valley SEA</td>
<td>&quot;We request an explanation and evidence to support the expansion of the SEA boundary in this area.&quot;</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Caltrans, Vulcan, Sespe Consulting</td>
<td>Angela Driscoll</td>
<td>none given</td>
<td>Big Rock Creek Fan</td>
<td>Antelope Valley SEA</td>
<td>&quot;Caltrans has recently made significant improvements to Highway 138 that crosses the existing Regionally Significant Aggregate Resource Areas E-5, E-4, E-3, E-2, and E-1 of the Big Rock Creek Fan. The result of these improvements is that surface flow of storm water runoff has been permanently and significantly reduced and is no longer subject to nature. This warrants removal of this area from consideration as an SEA.&quot;</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Justin Lane</td>
<td>3036-008-042</td>
<td>Antelope Valley SEA</td>
<td>&quot;We are making a formal request for these properties and surrounding areas that would be of potential quarry use to be removed from consideration for the new proposed SEA area.&quot;</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Regional Planning Commission Decision on 8/6/2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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