



## August 21, 2008 Agenda

Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program Periodic Review

*Meeting Objective:* To develop an understanding of the Periodic Review and how the community can work with the Department of Regional Planning to develop our response.

1. Welcome (6:00pm-6:10pm)
2. Remarks by Supervisor Don Knabe (6:10pm-6:20pm)
3. The Periodic Review Explained (6:20pm-6:30pm)
4. Participating in the Response (6:30pm-6:35pm)
5. Process for Responding and Timeline (6:35pm-6:50pm)
6. Questions and Answers about Periodic Review (6:50pm-7:30pm)
7. Form Working Groups (7:30pm-7:50pm)
8. What's Next / Adjournment (7:50pm-8:00pm)

# **PLEASE JOIN US FOR A MARINA DEL REY COMMUNITY MEETING!**

Hosted by the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning,  
on *Thursday, August 21, 6pm-8pm*  
at *Marina del Rey Hotel*  
*13534 Bali Way*  
*Marina del Rey, CA 90292*

**Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning  
invites you to:**

- Learn about the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program Periodic Review
- Meet your County Planners
- Get Involved!

The California Coastal Commission has been working on a review of the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program. We anticipate that the Coastal Commission will adopt their Periodic Review recommendations in October.

The Department of Regional Planning would like to invite you and all other interested parties to join us to discuss our response, the meaning of a Periodic Review, and how you can be involved!

For more information, contact the Community Studies II Section at (213) 974-6422. Our office hours are Monday through Thursday from 7:00am-5:00pm.







# Marina del Rey LCP Periodic Review Meeting

## Working Group Sign-Up Sheet

### NEW DEVELOPMENT / TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

August 21, 2008 6:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m.

NAME	AGENCY / ORGANIZATION	PHONE NUMBER	E-MAIL OR STREET ADDRESS
Vivienne Versace	MDR Resident mcc	310-301-6617	vivfv@aol.com
Richard T. Miller -	Coalition to Save The Marina	(818) 845-8234	RTM155@AOL.com
PAULA WILDERMOTH	LARC	310-600-6966	paulawildermoth@aol.com
MIKE ROSENFELD	MSC II HOA	310 301-9500	miker@micos.com.com
marci kuss	resident	310-936-2461	marci2000@yahoo.com
VIRGINIA HARDY	Resident	310/921-2560	13426 Tahiti Way #115
Mary Ann Parham	<del>Resident</del> Resident	310-823-7437	13955 Tahiti Way #358
Dr. Thomas Vrebalovich	PHIZM TV Resident Marina City Club Towers	310-827-2020	4205 Marina City Dr PH 12 DRTOMVREB@AOL.COM
Thuy Le	Resident	(310) 591-4384	THUYCAMLE@yahoo.com
Steven cho	MDR OUTRIGGER CANOE CLUB resident, Boater & Marina supporter	310 289-2312	steven@choarchitecture.com
Darrell Steffey	YACHT CLUB MEMBER BOATER RESIDENT	(310) 706-1835	steffeyd5@yahoo.com
MICHELLE SUMMERS	YACHT CLUB MEMBER BOATER RESIDENT	(310) 678-9036	LMICHELLESUMMERS@AOL.COM
CHARLES HICKS	HOMEOWNER - MDR	(310) 822-8390	4316 MARINA CITY DR, CTN 522 MDR
Susan Sherrard	Resident	304 498-8398	slstw@aol.com
Richard Schroder	slip tenant	818 701-1809	schrosound@yahoo.com
BARBARA SKLAR	Resident, Marina supporter & boater	(310) 308-4643	odysseyyacht@yahoo.com
MATT KOT	WESTCHESTER,	(310) 901-5145	matthewkot@sbeglobal.net















# Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning

*Planning for the Challenges Ahead*



Bruce W. McClendon, FAICP  
Director of Planning

Press Release  
For Immediate Release  
August 7, 2008

Contact: Gina Natoli, AICP, Section Head, Community Studies II  
County of Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning

## Los Angeles County to Hold a Community Meeting on the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program (LCP) Periodic Review

LOS ANGELES – On August 21, 2008, the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning will host a community meeting to discuss the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program (LCP) Periodic Review. A Periodic Review of the LCP, conducted by the California Coastal Commission (CC), provides recommendations and comments on the implementation of the LCP's Land Use Plan. The Marina del Rey LCP, updated in 1996, is a two-part document that includes a Land Use Plan and a Local Implementation Plan (LIP). While the Periodic Review is meant to comment on the LIP only, the review provides an opportunity for community input into the entirety of the Plan.

The Department of Regional Planning hopes to receive the Periodic Review from the State by October 2008. The County will then have one year to respond to the Coastal Commission's recommendations. This August community meeting is intended to develop a process by which the community can provide its input into the Department's response. Additionally, the Department's meeting is intended to facilitate community participation in this response, determine if a larger response to the issues is necessary, and to garner additional information about development in the Marina.

For more information on the Marina del Rey LUP Periodic Review or the upcoming community meeting, please call the Community Studies II Section at (213) 974-6422 or [coastal@planning.lacounty.gov](mailto:coastal@planning.lacounty.gov).

Meeting Location: Marina del Rey Hotel  
13534 Bali Way  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

Meeting Date: Thursday, August 21, 2008 @ 6:00pm

Sincerely,

Gina Natoli, AICP  
Section Head, Community Studies II







# Marina del Rey LCP Periodic Review Meeting

## SIGN-IN SHEET

August 21, 2008 6:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m.

NAME	AGENCY / ORGANIZATION	PHONE NUMBER	E-MAIL OR STREET ADDRESS
• Helga Gendell	The Argonaut	310 822-1629 x105	helgagendell@datgnews.com
• Susan Shaward		310 578 2140	ststw@aol.com
• BILL VRESEK	MDR RESIDENT	310-285- <del>3014</del> <sup>3014</sup>	
• DAN GOTTLIEB	Msc II	310-301-4880	dag7@mac.com
• * Lin Werner	Rower CYC	310-395-4599	mslin4@aol.com
• * Judy Schaper	Rower CYC	310-301-4980	jeschaper@gmail.com
• BARRY FISHER	LARC MDR COM. BOATING UNITED MARINA NAV COUNCIL	310-557-1077	BFISHER557@AOL.COM
• Kurt JAENNEL		310-390-1515	
• Edgar Gutierrez	Lee Andrews Group	(562) 716 3867	egutierrez@leeandrewsgroup.com
• Deborah Tallent	Ray Club	310 486 7336	tallentdeborah@aol.com
• Jim DeRosier	RAY CLUB	310 779 0877	
• Ambrosia Sabala	The Log		ambrosia@the-log.com
• HARVEY SHAPS	Resident	310-821-4937	Marvin2 Shaps@AOL.COM
• The/M2 Shaps	Resident	310-821-4937	Marvin2 Shaps@aol.com
• Frank Newkirk	"	310-821-6423	wennan1@aol.com
• Lowell Saffin	Resident		
• Carla Andrus	Resident	310 306-3181	dsaffin@Route66LA.com
• Deena Suffin	smwyc	310-200-8551	
• Jane Shore	"	"	
• Derek Jones	WATERFRONT - MDR	310-709-8778	derek@emcdevelopment.com







## Marina del Rey LCP Periodic Review Meeting

### SIGN-IN SHEET

August 21, 2008 6:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m.

NAME	AGENCY / ORGANIZATION	PHONE NUMBER	E-MAIL OR STREET ADDRESS
Maureen Sterling	Beaches & Harbor	(310) 821-5420	msterling@bh.lacounty.gov
Maren Christensen		310 8231224	
Eran Eliezer	NGC Sailing Club	310 964 3918	
Sean McEachern	Legacy Partners		
Robert van de Hoek	322 Culver Blvd #17, PDR 90243	310 877-2935	robertvandehoek@yahoo.com
DeAnna Rivera	LARC	520-351-8441	deannarivera@gmail.com
Kim Langbecker	LARC	310.663.4139	KimLangbecker@sbcglobal.net
William O'Neill			woneill12@yahoo.com
Pamela Masten	resident/voter *what a joke this was!		PAmasten@aol.com
MIKE ROSENFELD	MARINA STRAND County II Hoff	310 301-9500	MIKE1@MICROS.COM.COM
Mary Ann Parham	Tenant	310-823-7439	13955 Tahiti Way #358 MDR 90292
Virginia Hardy	13925 Tahiti "S" MDR	310/821-2560	
John E. Magnuson		(310) 245-2629	4249 Via Marina #470 90292
PAUL MEDAWAR	MARINA DEL REY HOTEL	(310) 301-1000	13534 Pali Way 90292
Isaac Hakim	Maring Persee associat		
Darrell Steffey	RESIDENT, BOATER & MARINA SUPPORTER	310 706 7835	steffeyd5@yahoo.com
Barbara Sklar	RESIDENT, BOATER	(310) 308-4643	odysseyyacht@yahoo.com









# Working Groups

Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program Periodic Review

Working groups will be formed to provide Regional Planning staff with focused input on the Periodic Review recommendations and other issues. Individuals may participate in any working group or groups they choose.

Two types of working groups will be formed, based on:

1. Coastal Commission Periodic Review Subject Categories:
  - a. Recreational Boating
  - b. Marine Resources and Water Quality
  - c. New Development
  - d. Transportation and Circulation
  - e. Recreation and Visitor Facilities
  - f. Public Access
  - g. Biological Resources and Environmentally Sensitive Habitats
  - h. Cultural Resources
  - i. Hazards
  - j. Procedures

2. Existing Community Groups:
  - a. \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. \_\_\_\_\_
  - d. \_\_\_\_\_
  - e. \_\_\_\_\_
  - f. \_\_\_\_\_
  - g. \_\_\_\_\_
  - h. \_\_\_\_\_
  - i. \_\_\_\_\_

# Marina del Rey Periodic Review

August 21, 2008, Community Meeting Notes

## *Questions and Answers Session*

Q: Barish, Ina

Will there be a moratorium on all the pending projects that are currently in the process right now while this one-year review is taking place because many of those projects are in direct violation of those recommendations made by the Coastal Commission in January?

A: No, we're not proposing to recommend that the Board impose a moratorium on the development projects. All the development projects that you're probably concerned about will be subject to either just a public hearing at the County, where those issues could be raised, or they're going to be subject to a hearing at the California Coastal Commission and they certainly have the ability to deny a project.

Q: DeLange, David, Executive Director of Coalition to Save the Marina

Since you have set up the public part of this hearing as a Question and Answer period, instead of as a time when the public could offer you testimony: Did it occur to you that this Q and A format might be perceived as your not wanting to take testimony from the public so that you could create instead, with this Q and A format, an appearance of County authorities coming here to answer questions from an uninformed public, which we are not?

A: Absolutely not. This is just the first step in a long, year-long process of community and public participation. This is not your only opportunity to give us your input. You could call me tomorrow and give me input. You could send me a letter in three days and give me your input. There will be plenty of opportunity for input. Believe me, it doesn't stop here, and I wouldn't want it to stop here.

Q: Leneman, Mike, Multi-Marine

I just want to know how I can get on a committee to review the plan concerning recreational boating. It's a big problem without this mast-up storage. I thought there would be people here that I could ask some questions to, but I'd like to get on a committee. How do I do that?

A: Absolutely, that's number 7 on your Agenda. After the Question and Answer period we're going to get to forming the working groups. And this is a key part of the public participation process, are the working groups, and that is how you will be able to be most directly involved, is in the working groups. After I'm able to talk about that a little later, if you still have questions after the meeting, please come see me.

Q: Fisher, Barry with Los Angeles Rowing Club, United Marina Rowing Club

This year-long process is about macro-planning, about something that we'll see when we get the Coastal Commission report. Meanwhile, there are, as was mentioned by another questioner, lots of projects that seem to be out there. Some are on paper that you can see at Beaches and Harbors and other things. If we wanted to know what things are going forward, with agencies such as Beaches and Harbors, and what is in the macro-planning that is going to wait a year for the response by the County and then go forward with Coastal Commission, how do we find these things out? For example, development of Chace Park, what will happen in Marina Beach – so-called Mother's Beach.

A: Thank you Mr. Fisher. The Department of Beaches and Harbors has a very informative website where they track the projects for you; and there are development status charts on the Beaches and Harbors website; it's very informative. We are not stopping projects from being processed through our Periodic Review process; you'll be informed about projects first through the Beaches and Harbors website, and then second, in notices on the public hearings for those projects. That's how you'll find out about the projects that are moving forward.

Q: Mitchell, Peter

Do you see any conflict of interest between, one, the fact that the County will profit as landowner of the Marina from the redevelopment of apartment buildings and boat slips, and from rent increases, and, two, the fact that the County is suppose to oversee and protect the public interest, including the interests of local citizens in remaining in their existing homes and having affordable boat slips?

A: That's not a question for a land use planner; that's not an issue we're going to be addressing in the PR process, so I can't really answer that question.

Q: Barish, David

Now that the County is soliciting public input into the LCP review process, I wanted to know if the County, anybody in the County, has reviewed the recommendation amendments to the December LCP review report (No. W 10a) that was submitted by We Are Marina del Rey, the Coalition to Save the Marina, and CLEAN that was submitted to the Coastal Commission staff and commissioners?

A: No, because remember it's a Coastal Commission process and it's a Coastal Commission document right now. So when we receive the transmittal from the Coastal Commission, the people who have commented to the Coastal Commission, there might be changes made in the recommendations, there may not. But when we receive the final Periodic Review with the final recommendations, the comment period needs to start with the County, because we need to look at the final recommendations to see how we feel about the final recommendations. Wait until October and let's see if the Coastal Commission has changed some of those things. If not, you can make the same comments to us during the Periodic Review response process.

Q: Shapiro, Lynne

A world-famous pianist is playing tonight at Burton Chace Park and a lot of people that I know went there and also wanted to be here, and I'm wondering why didn't Regional Planning coordinate this meeting with the Department of Beaches and Harbors so that it wouldn't conflict?

A: First, I apologize if there are people who couldn't be here tonight or need to leave early to attend other activities. We scheduled the meeting for tonight because we need to have a process that starts far enough in advance of the Coastal Commission transmitting the Periodic Review to us that we can start informing and involving the public in this process. Again, this is not your last opportunity to participate, it is the first opportunity. And it's not the last opportunity to be informed, it's the first opportunity. It was certainly not our intent to intentionally plan something around another schedule. You know, it's not even 6:30 yet, and I understand that the concert starts at 7:00; we may finish in time for you to attend the concert. But as soon as we have events planned, when we know when the date is going to be, we will be letting the public know. That is what Regional Planning does; we let people know as soon as possible because we want your input on this.

Q: Rizzo, John, President of Marina Tenants Association

I've been coming to these meetings for 33 years. I've been to four thousand meetings. They've been a showboat. They have not considered the public – we speak, but we don't get any response for the public good. Since you're not going to stop the projects which are in themselves going to cover the whole Marina, the ones they've got in the pipeline; since you're not going to cover those, it seems to me, why shouldn't we consider this to be another sham, another show for the Coastal Commission?

A: Because the process is, we must respond to the Coastal Commission. That's not a sham and we need your input to help us respond to the Coastal Commission. That's what the Periodic Review is. The Periodic Review is not, what's the status of a project; the Periodic Review is are you implementing your Local Coastal Program in conformity with the Coastal Act.

Q: Hardy, Virginia

I want to bring up a subject that may not be on your planning, but it's very important. I've been a resident here for over 30 years, and it's on affordable housing or senior accommodations. I have written to very important people about it: Don Knabe and several very important other leaders. And I never get a response from any of them. I've been writing to them for years, and the last answer I got, and this was from a lawyer, he says to me, "Uh, I can't tell them what to do." My question is on affordable housing, whether it's going to be on a lottery, or whether it'll be on a first-come basis? Because we feel that there are people that have lived here for 10, 20, 30 or 40 years, and some are seniors, and it would be unjustified to leave them out.

A: I'll certainly try and find out that information for you, if you'd leave us your contact information, I'll try and get that for you. I'll do what I can.

Q: Don Kline, Coalition to Save the Marina

Originally when the Marina was voted for as stand-alone harbor, there was also part of Parcel A that was set up for recreation and ball parks and things like this, and the Board of Supervisors decided they didn't want to do that, for some reason, maybe the cost of maintenance or whatever. And so they removed this public option out of there, also without a public hearing, by the way. And in doing so, they relied on having Marina del Rey as a stand-alone boat harbor only. And then after the fact, all of these other venues, architectural firms, hotels, motels, and other venues that have come in here, have taken up all the space that the boaters need in order to maintain their facilities, like lockers and things of this nature. I think you really need to go back to the Land Use Plan to see really what was designed and what the people actually voted for. I've been here for 30 years, and like Mr. Rizzo I've been an advocate for 34, 35 years, and we have no dialogue. We speak and nothing happens. The same issues are still here that have always been here. One of the big problems is the fact that, the stakeholders are paying all of the money that comes from Marina del Rey is paid by the stakeholders. I think you should go back to the very Holy Grail, when the marina was first approved for use as a stand-alone marina. The lines are blurred between the County's right to collect revenue and the public's use of the public facility. These lines have been blurred.

A: What we have now is a certified Local Coastal Program that allows a certain level of development in places in the Marina, and that's the plan that we have. Through this Periodic Review process, we want to hear about the other issues and concerns that you have, and if you have an idea for changing land use, we'll hear that. I can't guarantee that the Board will adopt it. I can't guarantee that everything that people want will change, but we can ask for it and we can evaluate it during this process.

Q: Marino, Nancy Vernon

Since last year, the County has asserted to the Coastal Commission that it would be pointless to hold a public meeting on the LCP review prior to the Coastal Commission's adoption of the final LCP review report. What has suddenly changed that caused this last minute public meeting to be called in the middle of summer when a lot of people that I have heard from are away on vacation, where there is a major conflict of scheduling with a wonderful concert that, from 6:00 to 8:00, you're going to miss it and not get a seat over there? What changed that suddenly this meeting was so important to have it before the final review? Both Mr. Wicznewski, the former Director, and Mr. Hoffman, who was in charge of one of the departments over at Regional Planning, made this assertion to the Coastal Commission. So we want to know what's changed, why are we here tonight on this review? Have you opened something new up to us?

A: Again, it's important that we have the meeting now because the Coastal Commission is close to finalizing the Periodic Review and we want to have adequate lead time to start the process to involve and inform the community, so when we get that transmittal in October, we can hit the ground running on our response. We didn't want to take the first couple of months of our response period to set the framework and get people informed and involved. That's what we're starting now. This is for the Periodic Review response process.

Q: Eckleberry, Riggs, L.A. Rowing

I wanted to express that we would very much like to help the Department of Planning to get this thing done. To help us, we'd like to know more about what your mandate is to balance the land use development needs with the community's needs?

A: We need to listen to the public, but we also have a responsibility to the County as a whole, so the hard job is listening to all of the input and making choices, and we don't make the final choice on that. We'll make recommendations based on all the input that we receive. From a planner's perspective, that may be different from somebody else's perspective. It may be more line with yours, it may not, but we will put forth what we feel is the best document for review. That's the best I can tell you at this time.

Q: Gottlieb, Dan

I want to serve on some of these workshops, and if we should happen to recommend that something like, all projects which have violated the law and or misrepresented facts to obtain permits, which we have ways of finding out, and that these should be disentitled, and their leases torn up, how will this information be presented to the Coastal Commission?

A: That wouldn't need to be presented to the California Coastal Commission; that would just come straight to the County. If someone has violated a permit that we have issued, we in Regional Planning will report that to our Zoning Enforcement people. They are responsible for investigating all violations of permits that have been issued by the County for land use entitlements. If there is a problem with the lease, if they are in violation of the lease, you can tell us. That's a Beaches and Harbors issue; we would report it to Beaches and Harbors, and they would evaluate it and analyze it to see if there has been a problem with the lease. That doesn't need to go to the Coastal Commission. We can take care of those.

Q: Kape, John

There are a bunch of mysteries that I have, and maybe you could answer this one: I drive by the former Benihana site on Via Marina. Can you give a history of what's been done to that site; if it's for lease;

when Benihana left; and why there's been, I don't see a for-lease sign on it, whether anybody's paying rent for it; if it's being warehoused for a developer for future use?

A: I don't know the history of the Benihana site. Let me get the information for you. I want us to get information on the Periodic Review, and not necessarily the specific parcels. But what I do know that it is not our policy to let parcels sit or let a lease sit, if it can be leased out. But we don't put up lease signs here.

Q: Summers, Michelle

Thank you so much for holding a public meeting. All of us have been really anxious to start discussing with the County our issues that run deeper than the devices. We all want a beautiful Marina, and I appreciate how many people have shown up this evening to help us work on this problem because I believe that is the tactic we should take. I think we should take the lead as community members, and join committees to serve these different layers of concerns, so that when we have to face the Coastal Commission next October, we'll be well prepared and well informed and have a thorough community output. I believe that is the ultimate goal, to settle the question of how we should develop our Marina. While we are in the process of doing that, how do we make sure that new development seriously takes a look at our transportation problems, including biking, driving, walking, and how we are going to develop those infrastructural needs before we invite a huge amount of population growth? I'm very concerned because I've seen recently approval for a 5-story building right on the waterfront.

A: Absolutely. Those are the issues we can address in the Periodic Review response process, but also immediately, when projects come up for public input, that's when you give your input on it. You have to remember there are standards and regulations contained in the LCP about what can be done with transportation, what needs to be done with the circulation and the infrastructure. But your comment specifically about the biking and that access, people may need to be reminded at those public hearings that those are issues that need to be addressed. That's the most effective way to do it.

Q: Masten, Pam

I've been a resident for about 4 years, and just in that time I've seen tremendous negative impact with development here. May I suggest if you really truly want the public's input instead of a just a sham appearance of saying you've presented it to the public and that was an adequate response, that somebody cross-check with the local calendar so these meetings are not scheduled at a time when a big community event well-attended by the community is taking place. Maybe the next meeting could be on the Boat Parade Night.

A: None.

Q: Nahaas, John

In January, the Coastal Commissioners Kinsey and Commissioner Clark both stressed that the tensions in our community need to be heard by Los Angeles County. I see members in the audience from the Venice Neighborhood Council, I see Mar Vista has its own council, Playa Vista has organized its own council, Playa del Rey now has its own council. We're seeing all these neighborhoods have now organized through the City, through Bill Rosenthal, and now have a legitimate community planning process. Does Los Angeles County ever have that plan to where Marina del Rey, the citizens of Marina del Rey, can utilize that same kind of focus here in our community?

A: It was done in 1995 and 1996, when the last major update of the LCP was undertaken; there was extensive community input and a community process on that. Any time we revise a community plan, or implement a community plan, there is extensive public input into that process.

Q: Jeff

I feel that given all the development that's gone on, that I'm not going to be here very much longer. I was wondering, since you're called the Department of Regional Planning, is there a region that you can recommend that I and people like me might move to when we get kicked out of here?

A: I'm sorry, Sir, that is not an issue we'll be able to address in the Periodic Review response process.

Q: Audet, DeDe

I served on the old Venice Neighborhood Council in 1974 when we had some feeling about this marina. Now, Marina del Rey, this harbor, has neighborhood councils to the north, which is the Venice neighborhood council, you have Del Rey to the east, you have Westchester/Playa del Rey to the south. Anything that happens in this marina happens also to your neighbors. With this consideration, you're asking us to give you now, I will have to go back to the Land Use and Planning Committee of the Venice Neighborhood Council and sell them on using their staff, and believe me, the pressure for development on the west side of Los Angeles is enormous, and their plate is full at this time, so I will have to do quite a sales job. And I know the first thing they're going to ask me is, what assurance do we have that our recommendations will be either accepted or, if rejected, that we will be furnished with reasoning in the shape of findings, perhaps, why our recommendations are being rejected?

A: The Periodic Review process doesn't require findings, so we won't be creating findings out of this process; we're not required to do that. We cannot assure that any of the recommendations that we come up with will be approved by the legislative body. All we can do is put forward what we think is the best plan; there is no assurance. I have no assurance that I will be working on this for the next year, and then all the work will just be denied by the legislative body. We are not required to make findings, and so we're not required to tell you why a recommendation would or would not be accepted by the legislative body.

Q: Davis, John

I spoke to you today and you said that the County believes the year period in which the County must respond to the LCP findings, made by the California Coastal Commission in January, would be after October if, of course, the Coastal Commission approves the revised findings. I spoke to Mr. Timm at the Long Beach office of the Commission today, and he indicates to me that the time starts ticking in January once the findings were made, for your information.

I have one question, but I'd like to frame it first. The U.S. Coastal Zone Management Act of 1973 and the Coast Act provide access to the Coastal Zone. Public Law 780, signed by President Eisenhower, requires as described in House of Representatives document 389, that terms "reasonable with equal access for all" are mandatory at the Federal project and tidal – the improvement at Playa de Rey. Burton Chace, Chair of the Board of Supervisors, signed the required deed to the United States, affirming the same terms. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers may only, according to public law 780, modify the project but not change the terms approved by Congress. Illegal commercial rates affect and limit access for those who cannot afford more than the legal required rate of terms with reasonable access for all. By motion

of Supervisor Antonovich on November 6<sup>th</sup>, 1984, the County Board of Supervisors approved commercial rates at the federal project.

Under what legal statute could the County Board of Supervisors directly contradict the sovereign and restrict access to the coastal zone by employment of illegal commercial rates replacing terms reasonable with equal access for all signed into law by the President of the United States. There is no record that's official that's being created, so whatever anyone says here may be incorrectly recorded and mischaracterized.

A: That's a question we'll have to have the County Counsel research and answer for you. I can't answer it here tonight.

Q: Hanscom, Marcia, Co-Director of the Ballona Institute, Vice Chair of Conservation for the Sierra Club, Angeles Chapter, and Managing Director of CLEAN

Topic that sort of underlies everything we're looking at here, and that is money. It's very clear that the County has a need to get money – revenues from this Marina. The question that would help us to come to some kind of a solution together that might work for the community, might work for the environment, might work for the County is, how much money do you need? How much money is it that you have to have? Is there any sort of amount that the County Supervisors are looking for? Because if we can get to that answer, which I know has been asked in a lot of different forms, and has never been able to be really answered. I think that we could help the County kind of re-focus its efforts in development here, and I wonder if you have you looked at the idea of utilizing this area as the incredible environmental benefit that it is and actually promoting the fact that you have harbor seals in the harbor, and like they do at Pier 39 in San Francisco, where people will pay \$15 for a glass of wine to look at all of the harbor seals outside the window. In other words, embracing the ecological values here, and looking at finding your revenues that way, instead of turning this into another Miami. I'm just wondering if that's at all looked at, how, and can we help with that?

A: As land use planners, what we're concerned about is what's best for the Marina, and best for the residents and best for the County. It's a policy decision, how much money the Marina needs to generate. I don't know, and frankly, I don't care. I'm more concerned about the planning for the Marina itself. As far as eco-tourism, and looking at it that way, absolutely, during this process, let's talk about that, and give us your input on that and if you have some numbers, that would be helpful. Give us what information you have, on how that can help the County, and that will help us make our case.

Q: Steffey, Darrell

Over the last year or so I've been involved in following through some of the processes of the development here out of necessity because I have a real concern that the Marina is in the process of being destroyed. But the more I find out about the process, the more frustrated I become because it appears that deep within the innards of Beaches and Harbors, these leases are negotiated with developers with no public input whatsoever. After that is done and finalized, even though some of those leases are in contradiction to some of the current provisions of the LCP, they're passed on to the Harbor Commission, with basically no analysis and limited public input of three minutes for those people who can attend the meetings, then it goes to the Board of Supervisors, and even those meetings it appears it's pretty much rubber-stamped again, with little public input or no analysis of the project, and I don't know where Regional Planning fits into that – they must rubber-stamp the thing as well. Shouldn't the public be involved earlier on in the process in some sort of overall master plan of what this

Marina should be, otherwise it's going to turn into being a warehouse for high-density, a warehouse for people? And it doesn't seem like anybody's guarding the henhouses but a bunch of foxes, I mean, being from the Midwest. Should not the public be involved at a much earlier stage in what this Marina is going to be because you've got projects in the pipeline that you say aren't going to be stopped, but they had no public input to begin with. These projects are locked in concrete pretty much, and then they go to the Coastal Commission and by the County to try and get amendments to modify the LCP to allow them to go forth, and I don't think that's right.

A: Well, the projects are not complete until the final approval have been given, the permit has been issued, so there is plenty of opportunity for public input during the regulatory process, which is where Regional Planning becomes involved. I'm not familiar with the lease process, but I can tell you we do have a master plan for the community. It's the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program, that is your master plan. It was approved by the public and certified by the California Coastal Commission in 1996. This is your master plan. The projects that are moving through the process are either consistent with this or they're not. If they're not consistent with this, they need to go to the California Coastal Commission for an amendment, and they can either approve or deny it. I know it can seem frustrating, but that's how the process works. We have guidelines and policies set in place for what's allowable here. If somebody builds to within those guidelines, now you may not be happy with the guidelines, but the process of going through and getting the permit itself, it's either going to be something that's approved at the Regional Planning Commission, where your public input is given, or it's going to have to go through the Board of Supervisors to the California Coastal Commission, which again your public input is given. That's the process we have.

Q: Franklin, Dorothy

Are you going to do a comprehensive review of the LCP, including a master plan and EIR for the entire Marina, which was recommended by an 11 to 1 vote of the Coastal Commission? Actually, we do have an LCP; it's just that you're not following it.

A: No. We are not going to do a comprehensive review, or a master plan, or an EIR for the Marina. Again, this is your master plan; it doesn't require an EIR itself. The individual projects require EIRs. I think it's important to say that if what you want is a new LCP, that's something that you need to give us input on during this process, and we will let the legislative body know that this is what you want. It doesn't mean we'll get it.

Q: Scholl, Katherine

5 things I know:

1. I live in Neptune Marina and my home is scheduled for demolition;
2. I'll lose my home;
3. I don't know when;
4. I don't know how much notice I'm entitled to by law. I've been on the Internet for 5 years, making phone calls, and being sent in circles just trying to find how much notice I'm entitled to by law.
5. I don't know how to qualify for the low-income housing that I registered when I was sent a survey two years ago.

What I don't know, is why hasn't there been a website or a body politic, or something available to the thousands of people being displaced as all of these demolitions and re-buildings with high-rises occur? If it's there and I've missed it, enlighten me.

A: I don't think there is a website that contains that information, but I think it would be a great idea, and I will actually propose that to the Department of Beaches and Harbors.

Q: Rivera, DeAnna

I would really love it if you could supply some plain language about what exactly our power is in these recommendations that you're seeking. I'm really unclear about what the power is, so when we form our workshops or committees, whatever it is that you want to call them, what import will those comments genuinely have? To make this useful, to make this process or the workshops useful, whatever your answer might be to that question, I genuinely have recommendations for how to do that because it concerns me that there is a line of people with questions who are probably not going to have them answered. And it concerns me, quite frankly, that there are elders in this room who are sort of being treated not the way elders should be treated. And I know everyone is doing their best effort, but there is a lot of room to make sure that people are genuinely heard and I genuinely want to offer my help with that.

A: Your input is, because you're experts from living in the Marina, and working in the Marina, and doing your recreation in the Marina, so the import of that to Regional Planning is very important. We have County experts and we have public experts. As far as I'm concerned, your input has the same level of importance as it does for any of the County departments because you know what it's like here. So to me, it's very important.

Q: Walden, June

The Marina is ugly. It's going up too tall. It's changing the character. I've heard people from Venice, Playa del Rey, all of those places, talk about how it impacts them. I would like to know, as a citizen of Culver City who lives off Jefferson Blvd. who can't out of her house for two hours every morning, and can't leave in the afternoon because of the traffic, how far does this thing go, if you're going to do an environmental impact report? You're impacting Mar Vista, Culver City all that way. The infrastructure for your transportation in this area is crummy, it's awful. You can't drive down Lincoln Blvd. How far does an EIR go? Does it take into account all of those people who truly are impacted who may not be in the Marina, who may be in Culver City, Mar Vista, Santa Monica, whatever.

A: Yes, EIRs do look at the traffic impacts to intersections that are not located within the Marina. They may not go as far as you live. There is no set distance, but it does go out of the Marina. Traffic is definitely an issue we can address in the Periodic Review. Those are recommendations that we can look at making, or perhaps making some changes.

Q: Miller, Richard, President of Coalition to Save the Marina

A court decree mandating this review process made about four years ago requiring that it be completed in two years, and we're several years past that deadline. In this review process, there have been recommendations made by the Coalition and other related groups asking that this review process take into consideration the cumulative effects of the 15 or 20 projects in and around the Marina that are in process or planned. My question is that Regional Planning seriously take a look at those recommendations regarding cumulative impact and involve the Coalition to Save the Marina and other

related groups around the Marina in your part of this process, and we would hope that this would be concluded as soon as possible because I said, the process is several years past due.

A: Regional Planning, and I don't know if this has been discussed anywhere else but, Regional Planning is going to have a cumulative study done, a focused study done, of the projects that will require LCP amendments. We're going to be looking at traffic and the other issues with all of those projects that would require an LCP amendment. We will be doing a focused impact study on those projects. And this response process that we're getting ready to go through over the next year, your group will be able to participate as one of our working groups. Like I said, I want to work with all of the groups and come out and listen to you. We want to find input from you that we could put into our draft report that will eventually be a final report that goes to the Board of Supervisors. We want to work with you very much.

Q: Hardy, Virginia

I want stress because you mentioned that the County was only responsible for the findings. Which brings to mind the answer I got from, actually a lawyer, "Well, I can't ask them to do anything," which is unacceptable to me because I've worked in law offices where the attorneys were such experts at, in court rules, they brought up their little findings to judges of Superior Court, Appellate Court, and Supreme and Federal Court. I still think putting us all on a lottery for affordable and senior housing is unfair and unjust. I'm against the lottery.

A: We'll find out that information; I don't know.

Q: Steffey, Darrell

Under the past director of Beaches and Harbors, public input was essentially non-existent. However, I'm optimistic with the new acting director that seems to be a breath of fresh air, that he's more receptive to public input, and getting ideas and communication with the public. So hopefully, that will be a wave of the future and we can get more public input at earlier stages of these developments. Is there a requirement for any amendment to the LCP that's put forth to the Coastal Commission to first subject to a public hearing, and if not, why not? Unfortunately, at those public hearings, often the public are limited to two or three minutes, so it's not really much public input in any of those meetings from what I've observed over the last year or so.

A: There is a requirement for several public hearings. Any LCP amendment first would go through the Regional Planning Commission, with a public hearing, then it has to go through the Board of Supervisors for a public hearing, and finally, it has to go to a public hearing at the California Coastal Commission. So there are three public hearings that, with the County, would result from our application for an LCP amendment.

Q: Ruba, Nancy

I have been trying for two years to see Supervisor Knabe. I use to live on a floating home which is in the Marina at this time, sitting there, where I'm locked out of, so-called "legally," by my dockmaster. I had a fire in March of 2006. I was allowed to put my floating home back together until another floating home needed a space that sat at Seamark. It sat at Seamark for nine months; it was not worked on at all. Mariners Bay decided to give them a space. It is the only Marina that is presently accepting floating homes. I am a senior citizen, my husband is a Korean War veteran. This is our only home. We were evicted from our home after we worked on it for three months, and the floating home that is exactly like mine was moved in front of me and proceeded to redo its roof, re-fiberglass the outside, build a dock

around the side of it, all in front of me while my interior work was halted by the dockmaster. The present - Santos Kriemann has gone and said to me the reason you've been evicted is because you were working on your floating home which you were not given permission to do by the dockmaster. The dockmaster gave me oral permission in front of Deputy Rockford of the County Sheriff's office to work on the interior of my floating home. Yet I was evicted. There is no place for a floating home in the Marina, no place to go. Therefore, I was taken to an eviction process; I was not allowed to show a picture of my home. I was considered a boat. A boat can pick up and anchor. I have no hope. I have been here 12 years, I have paid my rent on time and for two years, my rent and my liveaboard fees for two years. I did not have to pay liveaboard fees because I was not living aboard my floating home, but I did that in order not to lose my space. I was not evicted from my home, I was evicted from the Mariner's Bay Dock. They broke into my home and locked me out on February 14<sup>th</sup>. I believe that's illegal. The County Supervisors are the actual owners of all the docks in the Marina. Everybody else is a lessee of these people. Doesn't the buck stop at the owners? Would not the owners be the people that would control the evictions and the untimely, and the harassment by the dock masters, who are also the being paid under the table? There is a black market. I am holding a Los Angeles Times article.

A: If there are problems with the dock masters and the lessees, that would be something that, if they're in violation of their lease, that's absolutely something we can do something about. Issues between a tenant and a lessee are a civil matter that we don't have input into. See me after the meeting, we'll take down some information.

Q: Barish, David

I'm a little confused; you said the LCP is our master plan and that we don't need an EIR. The LCP, I guess, is the EIR. Why do we have over 8 projects in the pipeline that are not allowed by the LCP? I don't get the connection. It's either our master plan or it's not. If it's not, let's do a new one. If it is, cancel those projects. I don't understand the difference.

A: With the County planning process, someone can always ask to amend a plan. They're allowed to ask. That doesn't mean they get to amend the plan, there's a process for it to go through. Our process allows people to ask to amend the plan. That's how it works.

Q: Freedman, Steve

We understand that County officials are required to solicit our input in the Periodic Review process. Residents and recreational users have been providing input on planning and recreational issues for decades. County officials have consistently ignored our input. How will things be different in this process? Our input is never utilized in the planning process.

A: Because I will not ignore your input. Now again, I can't guarantee what the results will be of the draft report that we send forward, but I will not ignore your input.

Q: Leneman, Mike

I'm getting a feeling after I got the timeline on this thing that it might take quite some time to have some input, which I'm still willing to join a committee to do. I realize now that I have a more immediate impact: right now in mast-up storage there are 30 or 40 empty spaces and all my customers have been told that there is no room, and they're not allowing any new boats in. If I wait for the year time period that this is going to take to have any impact at all, very simply I'll be out of business. Do you have any suggestions for me as to where I can go and what I can do to find out what's going on in mast-up

storage? Empty spaces galore, and they've said we are not letting anybody new into mast-up storage. I posed the question to Santos Kreimann, I posed it in writing, in e-mail, directly. He asked me for the information, he has not responded to that question.

A: You're going to the source, and I'll speak with the Director and ask him respond to you if he can.

Q: Dr. Mansour Rahimi

A question was raised about cumulative impact assessment and review. I think it is extremely important for the public to get involved into that framework. Yet I see the working groups are divided into 8 or 9 or 10 individual working groups. Do you have a process whereby at the end you bring these working groups or the results of these working groups' ideas back into a cumulative framework? The very idea of these working groups addressing individual components of the issues; that's questionable.

A: The results that we receive from the working groups will go into our draft response. As far as that focused study, that is something that the County will undertake. In the session after this, I'm going to talk about the working groups, and I think I will answer your question.

Q: No stated name

Mr. Knabe, are you going to be involved in these piecemeal workshops you have set up? And, what role will you play as the County? What does the County mean to you, Mr. Knabe?

A: Mr. Supervisor, if you don't mind, I'd like to answer most of that question. The Supervisor's office will not be involved in this process until our draft report is transmitted to the Board of Supervisors for their consideration. It's really not appropriate for them to be involved earlier in the process.

Q: Audet, DeDe

Now, if what I'm saying is incorrect, please correct me: Los Angeles County is asking the community to consider and propose an LCP for Marina del Rey. Will you correct me so I can ask my question? If the community responds, what public procedure will be followed in considering the community responses? Do you have any idea of the timeframe when will it go to the Board of Supervisors?

A: No, Ma'am, that is not correct. What the County is asking you to do is help us to respond to the Coastal Commission's evaluation of how we have implemented the LCP. And then we're also asking for your input on the other issues and concerns that you have with the Marina. We have the community meeting that will be scheduled next summer to take your input; when we go to the Regional Planning Commission to get input from them, that will also be a public meeting, and when we go to the Board of Supervisors, that's the key. They will be the ones who take your input at the legislative level and direct us on how to revise the report. Your input's given every step of the way. By early fall next year. I can't be more specific at this time.

Q: Bessette, Andy, President, Marina Boat Owners Association

I would like to know the name of the person who came up with this idea that you eliminate almost 2,000 boat slips in the Marina, so that you can build apartments on the boat owner parking that we used to have? Please tell me who we should be blaming for this. Who came up with this idea to steal the boat-owner parking so you can build more apartments?

A: The Local Coastal Program allows development on certain parcels. Parking again is an issue that we can address in the Periodic Review process. But the current Local Coastal Program allows when parking is removed from one place that it just has to be replaced somewhere else in the Marina. So again, that's an issue we can make a recommendation on in the LCP review process. I suppose you could say it was the California Coastal Commission when they certified the LCP in 1996 and that was made part of the LCP.

Q: Marino, Nancy Vernon

Ms. Scholl spoke very eloquently about the thousands of residents being displaced by redevelopment, and you agreed that there should be a web resource to assist them. I put it to you, Ms Natoli, and also to you, Mr. Knabe, that any redevelopment that contemplates the displacement of thousands of residents is not only bad planning; it is morally indefensible when our LCP requires only that the County maximize the revenue potential from the recreational use of the parcels. That's a completely different story. Nowhere in our LCP does it mention that the existing community is going to be tossed out along with the old buildings and brand new ones that do not solve Los Angeles County's housing problem. There is no shortage of posh living quarters for anyone. What there is a shortage of is affordable living for working people. We don't want to go into a lottery system and ask for a handout. We are working, we are self-sustaining, we are proud, we pay our own way. My question is, why do you, our County officials, refuse to uphold our existing law? Six projects that you acknowledge and three additional ones that we believe require an amendment because they are not in compliance with our current LCP, are being pushed through the development pipeline and have gotten your signature on lease options which contractually bind you to it. Why do our County officials refuse to uphold the law until you have offered these amendments for public review?

A: Our planning process allows for people to ask to amendments to all of our community plans and it does that because it's not by right. You can't ask for an amendment and get it approved; it has to go through a planning process. That's why it's allowed, because it's a public process, and it can be considered.

Q: DeLange, David, Executive Director of the Coalition to Save the Marina

Does Mr. Knabe perceive that this community, despite the question and answer format provided us tonight, where we weren't really allowed to give testimony, but that this community has nevertheless spoken tonight with a unified voice, and that we are telling you, the County officials, the County's plans for redeveloping the Marina are far too ambitious and unacceptable? Does Mr. Knabe perceive that's the message from us?

A: I'm sure the Supervisor has heard your comment.

Q: Gottlieb, David

A question about process: you said that if I thought something was wrong with a certain project, as far as misrepresentation or actually violating the law that I should go to the division of Regional Planning. Actually, I've done that a couple of times, and I've dealt with people which I've felt, if I repeated what they said, I would put them in a bad light and I would be embarrassed about it. For example, I've sent a letter to the Design Control Board pointing out a violation of the Brown Act, and the acting chairman said he was only interested in important things. This was in a private conversation, so I feel embarrassed saying his name. But could I give this to somebody who, if they said that, I could freely tell their name? And that person is you? I don't think anyone at the Board of Supervisors would say that

writing a false description of what you're going to do is something of minimal importance. Can you get me the name of a person?

A: No, Sir. The Design Control Board is under the Department of Beaches and Harbors. We'll get that for you after the meeting; I'm going to have to check the directory.

Q: Nahaas, John

Follow up to first question on community planning. You had said a minute ago that we were all here for, to really give input on our Local Coastal Program. The Coastal Commission unanimously passed a finding for a comprehensive study of this community and how it's changed with the massive development. And they also asked for community-based planning. As a planner, and Mr. Don Knabe, as a Supervisor, how do both of you feel about community-based planning?

A: Community-based planning is the foundation of what we do at Regional Planning with the community plans.

Q: Shapiro, Lynne

Will you, since our meeting with the Supervisor is key, hold it here in the Marina, so that working people can attend that meeting? It's virtually impossible for most of us to go Downtown between 3:00 and 6:00 in the afternoon, or even earlier.

A: All we can do is propose that.

Q: Rody, Christine

My suggestion is one that I would like to think is a win-win suggestion for everyone. The Coastal Commission requires access for the public to reach the beach and the ocean and things. I'd really like to have the same in return for those of us who are serious boaters. Is there any way to create dingy docks, places where we can go, and use the restaurants here in the Marina without having to get in the car and drive there?

A: There are ways to do that. Let's propose that, let's make that recommendation to do that in this response process.

## ***Working Groups Session***

Questions about the Working Groups:

Q: How will you get people who were not able to make it tonight, those people enjoying the concert, involved in the working groups?

A: That's a good question. I'm not sure, do you have any ideas? We sent out a mailing; we sent out postcards to 9,420 people in the Marina; we put up flyers; we have the information on the website. I'm not quite sure what else we can do. But what I would appreciate is if, word of mouth, if you could let your friends know, and we'll continue to keep the information up on the website.

Q: Let's suppose I want to be on the working group "J." Now, who's going to be on there from the County?

A: There won't be an individual sitting on the group. This will be the public's working group. What you'll do is once a month meet with the County, you'll meet with us to talk about your progress, ask us questions if you have questions, and we'll take any comments that you have at the time. So a County representative will not be sitting on the group. This will be your process, this will be your working group.

Q: One of my objections to this process is that it's largely anonymous. People write recommendations and you don't know who they are. They write things that they look like they violate the law, and you don't know who they are. Now we're going to be on working groups for the County, and we don't know who we're with, who we're talking to. I want to talk to somebody.

A: You're going to be talking to me, or you're going to be talking to Jeff. As I mentioned earlier, we're your primary points of contact for the Periodic Review. We're your Periodic Review planners. But we will not be sitting in on your working groups whenever you get together to meet. That's something that you will need to do on your own.

Q: Miss Natoli, I have a couple of questions. First of all, come October 29<sup>th</sup>, I don't know if you've ever been to Burton Chace Hall, but if we have this many people in Burton Chace Hall, it's going to be uncomfortable. Can you not find another way, another venue where we all can sit in comfort? Because Burton Chace doesn't lend itself to a large group like this, and we're anticipating even more people being part of this process.

A: We'll certainly look at that. We'll look at trying to find another venue. Thank you for recommending that.

Q: Second, it seems like there are a lot of people that have concerns here, more than just how you've divided it up. And they might not even know what community groups are available to them. To me, again I'm just talking about community, why can't I as a citizen just come in and be able to, rather than spend five minutes on each group, I want to know what every group is doing, rather than read minutes of what other groups are doing; go to your website, or somehow get some documents from you. I would think as a community we should want to plan together each one of these steps. It seems like you're dividing and conquering.

A: Absolutely not. We need to get the focused input. But perhaps during the period from October 29<sup>th</sup> through the spring, perhaps we could schedule several get-together meetings of the working groups. We'll look at doing that.

Q: With all due respect, 9,420 postcards doesn't begin to cover the number of people who use the Marina and who should have been notified. You need to do better. Why were just the residents, the people in the incorporated areas of the Marina did not get their notices? The Venice Neighborhood Council, Mar Vista Community Council, Del Rey Neighborhood Council, Westchester/Playa Neighborhood Council, Palms Neighborhood Council – all are impacted by these developments. Why did they not get notices? You have to do some kind of follow-up to let the rest of the community know. We have busted our tails for the last couple of weeks trying to get this word out, and a lot of these

people here tonight are because of our efforts not because of your bulk rate postcards that most people didn't pay a lot of attention to.

A: What I would like from you is, sometime within the next week or so, if you could give us a list of other groups that you've contacted. Because if they are not on our courtesy mailing lists, they wouldn't have received anything from us. So give us what you have.

Q: Deputy Napolitano has never ceased to emphasize the fact that we are just 10,000 people here in Marina del Rey, and there are 10 million people in the County of Los Angeles who are interested in Marina del Rey, and have a right to know about this. I want to know what is going to be done to promote this to let the people of Los Angeles County know. It's our recreational resource. It's not your private pocket book. It is our recreational resource that is under attack here, and we want this to be noticed to every resident in L.A. County because every resident has the right to participate. You said it was sent to all residences in Marina del Rey and to the liveaboards. Why not the boat owners? They're up in San Fernando Valley, down in El Segundo, they are all over the lot. Why, you had their addresses. I was suppose to have gotten my notices, I did not get my two postcards from the courtesy list. I have to tell you that. I got one postcard at a bulk rate mail addressed to "resident." You said that it went to both the Department of Beaches and Harbors courtesy list and the Department of Regional Planning's courtesy list. I did not get either one of those postcards. Why weren't the boat owners notified? Boat owners pay property taxes, you have that list. Every boat owner in Marina del Rey is a property owner. Every boat owner pays a property tax to the County of Los Angeles. They are property owners. It may not be the list you've been using, but you need start. You need to have started weeks ago with this meeting, but you need to start from this point forward at a minimum. The people of Los Angeles need to be involved.

A: I'm very concerned about the liveaboards because we received those mailing addresses from the dock masters, and I wasn't sure whether that was correct. I would like to know, what is the best way for us to get information to the liveaboards? As far as the other mailing lists, we don't have a reasonable way of tracking boat owners. It's easy for us to get a list of property owners, but that doesn't help us here in the Marina of course. If you have a reasonable way of gathering that list, please let me know. We'll check with the Assessor.

Q: Audet, DeDe

I think, as a matter of protocol, since three neighborhood councils that are in Council District 11 of the City of Los Angeles, will be affected by this LCP, that it would probably be a good idea to approach Council District 11 of the City of Los Angeles rather than trying to work from individual persons because there are three neighborhood councils of Los Angeles that are directly affected, and I do think they should be working through their elected representatives.

A: That's a good idea. We'll contact Council District 11 and ask them for some contact information.

Q: Meisnor, Stu

I think that the working groups sound like a very good idea and I'm thinking about the time investment that it might take and I'm wondering, what happens if one of these groups decides that the plans that you have in mind for development is really antithetical to the public interest of what the Marina was developed for? What if one of these whole working groups comes out and says, you know what, you really should have half, or a quarter, of these buildings? You shouldn't pave over all of these parking lots

if we're going to promote, if we're going to develop recreation with public money. Then what happens if one of these working groups comes up with strong recommendations? You listen, do we have any power, do you do anything?

A: We're going to have to evaluate all of the recommendations that are given to us by the working groups, all of their comments and input, and, again, this is where that balanced process comes in, that we're going to need to look at what the public is asking for and what we're trying to do on a County-wide scale. Now, I may agree with some of your recommendations, I may not agree, but we can make the first proposal to the Board. They may agree with us, they may not. All I can tell you is that we're going to have to wait and see what the recommendations are that come from the working groups, to see what we feel we can propose as the Department of Regional Planning.

Q: Will you propose anything that is against -- I understand that there have already been agreements signed to develop things that are supposedly antithetical to the plan. So let's say that one of the groups says, no, no, no, that you really shouldn't have this, are you going to listen to the working group?

A: We might. If we agree with it, we will listen to it and we will propose it.

Q: Will you owe anything to the other parties with whom you've signed an agreement? Are you beholden to them in any way; are you more beholden to them more than a working group?

A: Absolutely not. Now, all of the comments that we gather throughout this process will be given to, will be put in the draft report and put in the final report, so everybody from the Small Craft Harbor Commission, to the Design Control Board, to the Regional Planning Commission, to the Board of Supervisors, to the California Coastal Commission, will see all of your comments from the working groups. They'll know what you have said.

Q: Daroca, Andrea

This could have all been resolved a long time ago if we could have had input with our Supervisor. The frustration has just escalated. And if he would have been there to talk with us, hear our concerns, I'm sure this wouldn't have come to this point now.

A: Thank you for your comments.

Q: Bisette, Andy, President, Marina Boat Owners Association

I would like you to show us your sincerity. You've sent these notices to all of the residents in the Marina, but you've avoided sending them to all of the boat owners in the Marina. You have easy access to that information through your business partners, the lessees. You have no reason whatsoever to not inform everyone involved. We would like to see a sign of your sincerity in this.

A: I absolutely agree with you. I didn't realize there was an easy way to access that information. We're going to get that information and put it on a mailing list.

Q: Franklin, Dorothy

I did not receive a postcard or notification of this at all. However, I do get a bill from the Marine Division of the County Assessor's Office. So, check with the Marine Division.

A: Thank you very much.

Q: Locally, we have asked that Beverly Moore from the Information Center become more involved with educating and promoting this whole planning process to all of the citizens of the County that this belongs to. As it's been pointed out, it doesn't belong to Don Knabe, or Gloria Molina, or Zev, or any of the other Supervisors. They are here as public servants. You've done a terrible job as far as the Marina goes. We should be involved in planning first. It's always putting the cart before the horse, again. So I think you should talk about planning. I think we should get Beverly Moore involved. I think you should have banners up. You should promote it more than you even promote the concerts. Because this is important to everybody that lives in the County. It belongs to them. This is public land for public use. You have not done the outreach. I don't think you'll have it done in time for October.

A: We certainly won't be mailing to 10 million residents, but we will mail to--we have a General Plan mailing list that goes County-wide and we'll certainly mail that out. Many people who are interested in the Marina are checking the Beaches and Harbors website to see what's happening. Some of them will find out that way. We'll do the best we can.

Q: Mr. Miller

We appreciate your best efforts, thanks. It occurs to me that there has already been a wealth of input put into the California Coastal Commission who has been studying the LCP for several years now. I'm wondering if you're going to access the volumes of input that they have? It seems like we're re-inventing the wheel, when they've already got a lot of input from various interest groups. I think it would be wise to acquire the material that they've been gathering over the last several years, and the numerous recommendations, some that they've looked at and adopted and other that they have not adopted. You can have an independent review of the volumes of material, and it's already in an organized manner.

A: Thank you, I appreciate that Mr. Miller. I think if there's information that you feel was submitted to the California Coastal Commission that would help us, we need it submitted again because it's a new process. We need to have the information and input from you. Hopefully, a lot of it you could just duplicate and send to us, and not have to go through the process with your group again. But again, since the final Periodic Review hasn't been issued, there might be some changes. It's very important for us to look at that final document and see what they're saying in October.

Q: Walker, Roslyn

My legal mailing address is Marina del Rey ZIP 90292. I did not receive anything regarding this from the County. May I suggest that one quick way to get out large numbers of information for this very short time you have between now and the date you just gave us is the L.A. Times and the Argonaut, full or half-page. Many of us read the paper. I hope you all know how disappointed we are, in the way our taxes are paying everyone's salary here, including our Supervisor. This is a very poor job. It should have been done before anything happened here in the Marina. It's so after the fact.

A: We do plan on doing that for October 29<sup>th</sup>. Thank you for your comments, Ma'am.

Q: Suggestion: Many of us who live in L.A. city, but we are residents of the Marina. For example, Marina Peninsula, Silverstrand, and all of the condominiums along Via Dulce, that may be affected by

this terrible sewer project. So we deserve to be notified too. I'm not talking about just that (the sewer project). But these County meetings, what goes on in the Marina affects us too.

A: That sewer project is a City of L.A. project, and they should be noticing you on it.

Q: On number 2 you say, "Existing Community Groups", but they're all blank. These groups have been working for years. I've known these people. It seems to me if you were working with the community, you would at least have some of those groups listed. What are you guys doing?

A: Not making assumptions, sir. We're not making assumptions. That's what we're doing.

Q: Newman, Fred

I think you know when the Marina was set up years and years ago as a public land, and then sold to the County to take care of additions thereafter. But all that's happened is it's turned into an asphalt jungle. So all this talk is not any good. Supervisor Knabe – I've been trying to reach him for a long time – he's been instrumental in this. You're talking care of the developers, not the people in the Marina. All this talk about setting up this and having meetings is a sham.

A: Thank you for your comments, Sir.