

Groundwater Monitoring Program CSD Section E.19

Fourth Quarter 2014
Groundwater Monitoring Results

Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas
Inglewood Oil Field

January 15, 2015



Document Information

Prepared for Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas (FM O&G)
Project Name Inglewood Oil Field Land Treatment Units
 Los Angeles, California
 Fourth Quarter 2014 Groundwater Monitoring Results
 Groundwater Monitoring Program,
 Inglewood Oil Field, CSD Condition Number 19
Project Number 0534271A
Project Manager Daniel Tormey, PhD, PG
Date January 15, 2015

Prepared for:



Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas
5640 South Fairfax Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90056 USA

Prepared by:



ENVIRON International Corp.
707 Wilshire Blvd, Ste. 4950, Los Angeles, CA 90017

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table of Contents

Professional Certification	V
1 Introduction	1-1
2 Environmental Setting	2-1
2.1 Geology	2-1
2.2 Topography and Drainage.....	2-1
2.3 Site Hydrogeology	2-1
3 Groundwater Monitoring Methods	3-1
3.1 Monitor Well Array.....	3-1
3.2 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling.....	3-1
3.2.1 Water Level Monitoring	3-1
3.2.2 Well Purging.....	3-1
3.2.3 Groundwater Sample Collection and Analysis.....	3-2
4 Groundwater Monitoring Results	4-1
4.1 Groundwater Results.....	4-1
4.1.1 Groundwater Elevations	4-1
4.1.2 Groundwater Analytical Results.....	4-1
5 References.....	5-1

Figures

- Figure 1 Regional Location Map
Figure 2 Monitor Well Location Map and Groundwater Elevations

Tables

- Table 1 Groundwater Elevation Data
Table 2 Stabilized Groundwater Quality Sampling Parameters
Table 3 Groundwater Analysis Results – TPH, VOCs, and TRPH
Table 4 Groundwater Analysis Results – Metals, Nitrate, Nitrite, BOD5, TDS, and pH
Table 5 Cumulative Monitoring and Sampling Table

Appendices

- Appendix A Monitoring Well Data Sheets
Appendix B Time Series Graphs
Appendix C Groundwater Sampling Laboratory Analytical Data and Chain-of-Custody

Acronyms

BOD5	Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes
COC	chain-of-custody
County	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
CSD	Community Standards District
DTSC	Department of Toxic Substance Control
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FM O&G	Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas
LARWQCB	Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
m	meters
MCL	Maximum Containment Level
MTBE	Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether
ppb	parts per billion
Site	Inglewood Oil Field
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TPH-DRO	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel Range Organics
TRPH	Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Professional Certification

Groundwater Monitoring Program CSD Section E.19

Fourth Quarter 2014 Groundwater Monitoring Results

Inglewood Oil Field Los Angeles, California

This report has been prepared by ENVIRON under the professional supervision of the Principal(s) and/or staff whose signature(s) appear hereon.

The scope of work and specifications are presented in accordance with generally accepted professional geologic practice. There is no other warranty either expressed or implied.



January 15, 2015

Daniel Tormey, Ph.D., P.G.
State of California Professional Geologist #5927

Date



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1 Introduction

ENVIRON was retained by Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas (FM O&G) to prepare this report to describe the results of the groundwater monitoring activities conducted during the Fourth Quarter 2014 at the Inglewood Oil Field (site) which is located in the Baldwin Hills area of Los Angeles County as depicted in Figure 1.

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (County) approved the Baldwin Hills Community Standards District (Baldwin Hills CSD) to establish regulations, safeguards, and controls for FM O&G's proposed drilling and oil production over the next 20 years. The Baldwin Hills CSD and the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB) requested a groundwater-monitoring network to evaluate potential impacts associated with the site. Specifically, the LARWQCB requested that the network focus on preferred pathways in native canyon areas and suggested existing catch basins as likely target locations for the monitoring wells to determine impacts of oil field operations on groundwater quality.

The purpose of this report is to present the results for the quarterly monitoring and sampling activities in accordance with the approved *Groundwater Monitoring Program and Workplan, Inglewood Oil Field, CSD Section E.19*. The monitor wells to meet this purpose include MW-3, MW-4A, MW-4B, MW-4C, and MW- 5, MW-6, and MW-7. The objective of the monitoring is to evaluate potential impacts to groundwater quality associated with the increased field operations. The monitor well locations are presented in

Figure 2.

The remainder of this document is organized as follows:

- > Chapter 2 describes the environmental setting;
- > Chapter 3 describes the groundwater monitoring methods;
- > Chapter 4 presents the results of the groundwater monitoring activities; and,
- > > Chapter 5 presents the reference list.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

2 Environmental Setting

2.1 Geology

Numerous studies of the Baldwin Hills have concluded that the uplift of the Baldwin Hills has disconnected water-bearing sediments from groundwater supplies in the Los Angeles Basin, and that the formations are folded, faulted, and the limited supply potential is not appropriate for a water supply (DWR 1961, LARWQCB 2001, USGS 2003, Los Angeles County 2008). The prominent aquifer systems in the subsurface of the Los Angeles Basin are actually exposed at the surface in the Baldwin Hills, as is the Pico Formation, which is typically taken as the base of the fresh water supply aquifers (DWR 1961, USGS 2003). In groundwater models of freshwater flow in the Los Angeles Basin aquifer systems (USGS 2003), the Baldwin Hills is modeled as a “no flow” zone; that is, since the sediments beneath the Baldwin Hills are disconnected from the regional aquifers, groundwater flow is discontinuous across the Baldwin Hills. The following information summarizes the topographic, geologic, and hydrogeologic data that leads to these findings.

2.2 Topography and Drainage

The site is located in the Baldwin Hills, which form part of a chain of low hills along the Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone. The Baldwin Hills are the highest of the hills along this fault zone, reaching a height of 511 feet (153 meters [m]) above mean sea level. Sediments of the Baldwin Hills have been considerably warped and faulted. The north flank of the Baldwin Hills has been deeply incised by erosion, whereas the south flank slopes gently to the Torrance Plain and Rosecrans Hills.

No perennial or intermittent streams, as defined by the U.S. Geological Survey, are present within the field boundaries (Los Angeles County 2008). Surface runoff occurs primarily as sheetflow across drilling pads, structure pads, and slopes eventually flowing into ephemeral gullies and drainage ditches. Five surface water catch basins are located along these drainages within the CSD boundary to regulate discharge from the site and retain oil on-site in an event of a spill. The catch basins are depicted in Figure 2 and are identified as follows:

- > LAI Basin;
- > Stocker Basin;
- > Vickers I Basin;
- > Lower Vickers II Basin; and,
- > Upper Vickers II Basin.

Runoff from these basins is discharged to the Los Angeles County storm drain system. Two of the basins, LAI and Stocker, ultimately discharge through the storm drain system into Centinela Creek, which then discharges to Ballona Creek. Centinela Creek is located approximately 1.2 miles southwest of the active, surface field boundary. The other three basins, Lower Vickers II, Upper Vickers II and Vickers I, discharge to the storm drain system, ultimately reaching Ballona Creek, which is located approximately 0.2 mile south of the active, surface field boundary at the closet point.

2.3 Site Hydrogeology

The Baldwin Hills are generally comprised of non-waterbearing strata that straddle the West Coast, Central, and Santa Monica groundwater basins. Groundwater within the Baldwin Hills, where present, is limited to perched zones located within canyon alluvium and weathered bedrock (DWR 1961, LARWQCB 2001). There are no domestic or industrial water supply wells located within the active surface field boundary, or within one mile of the Baldwin Hills.

The Baldwin Hills are underlain by a faulted, northwest-trending anticline, which is developed in sediments of Tertiary and Pleistocene age. Two principal northwesterly trending, nearly parallel faults offset the central portion of the hills, developing a downdropped block or graben across the crest of the anticline. The more easterly of the two structures is the Newport-Inglewood fault; the other fault is unnamed. Both faults are offset by secondary cross faults that trend northeast. The block east of the Newport-Inglewood fault is composed of sediments of Pliocene age and older and is cut by several small unnamed faults. One such fault extends along the northeast border of the Baldwin Hills and may be related to the prominent escarpment in that area. The Slauson Avenue fault extends northeast beyond the Baldwin Hills and offsets aquifers of the San Pedro formation. The Baldwin Hills form a complete barrier to ground water movement where the essentially nonwater-bearing Pico formation crops out. The Pico Formation is typically taken as the base of the freshwater zone across the Los Angeles Basin.

Potable groundwater aquifers of the Los Angeles Basin lie adjacent to the Baldwin Hills. Based on a hydrogeologic cross section completed along Ballona Creek (USGS 2003), the base of fresh water is highly variable as a result of faulting along the Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone. Along the north-northwest boundary of the Baldwin Hills, west of the Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone, groundwater is present in the Silverado Aquifer to a depth of 200 to 300 feet. Further west from the fault zone, the Silverado Aquifer thickens and groundwater is present to a depth of approximately 450 feet. The essentially non-waterbearing Pico Formation, commonly taken as the base of the fresh water aquifers, lies below the Silverado Formation (DWR 1961). East of the Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone and the Baldwin Hills, the base of fresh water is much deeper than west of the fault and numerous aquifers are present. Golden State Water Company Sentney Well #8 (State well No. 2S/14W/Sec 5/D08 or County well No. 2626P), located east of the fault zone, along Ballona Creek and approximately 1.2 miles north of the active surface field boundary, produces water from five separate stratigraphic intervals within aquifers at depths ranging from 70 to 370 feet. These depths correspond to the Exposition, Gage, Lynwood, and Silverado aquifers. Similar to west of the fault zone, the non-waterbearing Pico Formation lies below the Silverado Aquifer (DWR 1961).

Within the site, localized, perched groundwater has been measured at depths ranging from approximately 25 to 200+ feet bgs. Based on existing information, groundwater within this upper waterbearing formation is an unsaturated zone with localized perched water-bearing zones that are not continuous across the Baldwin Hills, and are not connected to the regional aquifer systems in the Los Angeles Basin. Because of this lack of water, the geological formations beneath the Baldwin Hills are not suitable for water supply (DWR 1961, USGS 2003, County of Los Angeles 2008).

3 Groundwater Monitoring Methods

This section summarizes the methods utilized during the groundwater monitoring activities. The monitoring activities proceeded in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Program and Workplan, Inglewood Oil Field, CSD Section E.19. The field activities and sampling methods are described in detail below.

3.1 Monitor Well Array

The objective of the groundwater monitoring program is to evaluate and monitor groundwater resources that may be affected by increased oil field operations. The monitor wells for this purpose were placed down-gradient of the catch basins on the site. The catch basins and associated monitor well are presented in Figure 2 and as follows:

- > LAI Basin (MW-3);
- > Stocker Basin (MW-4a, MW-4b, MW-4c);
- > Vickers I Basin (MW-5);
- > Lower Vickers II Basin (MW-6); and,
- > Upper Vickers II Basin (MW-7).

3.2 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling

The groundwater monitoring activities included the collection of depth-to-water measurements at each well and the collection of groundwater samples for chemical analysis. Activities were conducted on August 21, 2014, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) *Standard Operating Procedures for the Standard/Well-Volume Method for Collecting Ground-Water Samples* (May 2002), and in conformance with the general procedures outlined below. All equipment used for well evacuation and sampling was thoroughly washed with tap water, laboratory detergent (Alconox) and rinsed with purified deionized water prior to and after use.

3.2.1 Water Level Monitoring

Prior to purging and sampling each well, an electronic water level probe was used to measure depth-to-water and total depth in each well. Multiple measurements of water level were collected from a surveyed reference point (the top of the well casing) at each well, and purging and sampling was not performed until three successive readings had stabilized to within 0.01 foot. All water levels and total depth measurements were taken to the nearest 0.01 foot, and all measurements were recorded on field data sheets.

3.2.2 Well Purging

Prior to collecting a groundwater sample, each well was purged until dewatered or at least three casing volumes of groundwater were removed. In the case of dewatered wells, a sample was collected when recharge had restored the water column to 80 percent of the original height. The pH, turbidity, specific conductivity, and temperature of the purged water were measured during well purging as a measure of the aquifer conditions. Stability was considered to be achieved when the following conditions were met:

- > The well was dewatered; or
- > At least three casing volumes of water were removed;

- > Sequential readings of pH taken greater than 0.5 casing volumes apart were within 0.1 pH unit; and,
- > Sequential readings of specific conductivity taken greater than 0.5 casing volumes apart were within 3 percent; and,
- > Sequential readings of turbidity taken greater than 0.5 casing volumes apart were below 10 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) or within 10 percent.

A Grundfos® submersible pump was used to purge the monitor wells. Purge water was transferred to 55-gallon drums located in a secure area at the project site for subsequent processing through the facility's treatment and disposal system. The field measurements were recorded on Well Monitoring Data Sheets that are provided in Appendix A. The stabilized water quality parameters for each well are presented in Table 2.

3.2.3 Groundwater Sample Collection and Analysis

All sample containers were labeled using a waterproof marker and the label was affixed to the containers immediately before samples were taken for each individual well. Sample labels included the sampler's initials, location ID, time, analyses to be performed, and the preservation method used.

A clean pair of nitrile gloves was worn for sample collection at each well. Samples were collected from each well immediately after purging. Groundwater was sampled using a disposable bailer equipped with a bottom-emptying device, which allowed emptying the bailer from the bottom at a slow, controlled rate. The groundwater samples were decanted into the appropriate sample containers for each analyte. The sample containers were chemically preserved by the laboratory prior to the field activities. Samples collected for volatile organics analyses were handled with extra care to minimize any turbulence or aeration when filling the bottles. The bottles and caps were overfilled to form a convex meniscus and after collection, the sample container was inverted to check for the presence of air in the sample. If an air bubble was present, the sample was opened and the procedure repeated.

All samples were placed in individual Ziploc®-type bags, sealed, and stored in coolers on ice to maintain samples at 4°C prior to and during shipment to the analytical laboratory. Ice was sealed in double plastic bags. A chain-of-custody manifest was completed on-site and accompanied the samples to the lab. The samples were transferred to the laboratory within 24 hours of sampling.

All samples were analyzed for:

- > Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel Range Organics (TPH-DRO) by EPA Method 8015M;
- > pH units by EPA Method 150.2;
- > Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TRPH) by EPA Method 418.1;
- > Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) by USEPA method SM2540C;
- > Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) and Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) by EPA Method 8260B;
- > Metals by the EPA 200 series;
- > Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5 (BOD5) by EPA method 405.1; and,
- > Nitrate and Nitrite by Ion Chromatography.

In addition, all samples were also analyzed for TPH-DRO with the silica gel filtering method, which removes hydrocarbons with a non-petroleum origin such as natural alcohols and other short chain organic molecules. All samples were analyzed by American Analytics, a state-certified laboratory located in Chatsworth, California, with the exception of BOD5, which was analyzed by American Environmental

Testing Laboratory in Burbank, California. Strict chain-of-custody (COC) procedures were maintained for all samples collected.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

4 Groundwater Monitoring Results

The results of the Fourth Quarter 2014 groundwater monitoring event are presented below.

4.1 Groundwater Results

Groundwater sampling was conducted on November 12, 2014, and involved the collection of samples from monitor wells MW-6 and MW-7. Monitor wells MW-3, MW-4A, MW-4B, MW-4C, and MW-5 were dry or contained insufficient water at the time of monitoring, so no sample was collected from these wells.

4.1.1 Groundwater Elevations

The groundwater elevation data presented in Table 1 indicates that there are several discontinuous perched zones across the site. This finding is consistent with results of the prior groundwater sampling events as well as other studies of the site, which determined that the water-bearing zones in the Baldwin Hills are internally discontinuous, as well as discontinuous with water supply aquifers elsewhere in the Los Angeles Basin.

4.1.2 Groundwater Analytical Results

Lab analysis returned the following detections in monitor wells MW-6 and MW-7:

- > **MW-6.** TDS was measured at 2,800 mg/L, pH was measured at 6.8, TPH-DRO was detected at a concentration of 0.32 mg/L prior to silica gel filtering and less than the 0.10 mg/L detection limit after, nitrate was detected at a concentration of 1.5 mg/L, and BOD5 was measured at 35.9 mg/L.
- > **MW-7.** TDS was measured at 2,100 mg/L, pH was measured at 6.7, TPH-DRO was detected at a concentration of 0.32 mg/L prior to silica gel filtering and less than the 0.10 mg/L detection limit after, nitrate was detected at a concentration of 3.1 mg/L, and BOD5 was measured at 23.9 mg/L.

The analytical results were all below the established state Maximum Containment Level (MCL) for drinking water standards. The results are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. Table 5 presents the cumulative analytical results and monitoring data collected since April 2010 and charts plotting TPH-DRO concentrations and groundwater elevation are attached in Appendix B. Laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank


5 References

- California Code of Regulation. 2006. Chapter 15. Domestic Water Quality and Monitoring Regulations. Article 16 - Secondary Water Standards. May 2006.
- California Department of Public Health. 2008. Maximum Contamination Levels and Regulatory Dates Drinking Water, EPA vs. California. November 2008.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1961. Bulletin No. 104, Planned Utilization of the Ground Water Basins of the Coastal Plain of Los Angeles County, Appendix A, Ground Water Geology.
- ENTRIX. 2009. Groundwater Monitoring Program and Workplan, Inglewood Oil Field, CSD Condition Number 19.
- Los Angeles County. 2008. Final Environmental Impact Report, Baldwin Hills Community Standards District (FEIR) dated October 2008, Los Angeles County.
- G. Chernoff, W. Bosan, D. Oudiz. 2008. Determination of a Southern California Regional Background Arsenic Concentration in Soil. Department of Toxic Substance Control, Sacramento, California.
- Gronberg, J.M. 2011. Map of Arsenic in Groundwater of the United States. Sacramento, CA. Website accessed July 3, 2013:
http://water.usgs.gov/GIS/metadata/usgswrd/XML/arsenic_map.xml#stdorder.
- Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB). 2013. Order No. R4-2013-23, Waste Discharge Requirements for Plains Exploration & Production Company. (Oil Field and Land Treatment Unit Operations), File No. 00-117, adopted April 26, 2001, revised February 7.
- Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas (FM O&G). 2013. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for Industrial Activities at the Inglewood Oil Field, June.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. Example Standard Operating Procedure: Standard Operating Procedure for the Standard/Well-Volume Method for Collecting a Ground-Water Sample. ATTACHMENT 4 in Groundwater Sampling Guidelines for Superfund and RCRA Project Managers, May 2002, pgs. 39-53.
- EPA. 2012. Basic Information about the Arsenic Rule. Washington, D.C. Website accessed July 3, 2012:
<http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/arsenic/Basic-Information.cfm>
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2003. Geohydrology, Geochemistry, and Ground-Water Simulation-Optimization of the Central and West Coast Basins, Los Angeles, County, California. US Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 03-4065.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

The image features a minimalist, abstract composition. A dark grey trapezoidal shape on the left overlaps with a light brown trapezoidal shape on the right. A thin, dark blue triangle is partially visible on the far right edge. Two thin, light grey lines intersect: one is nearly vertical, and the other is diagonal, crossing the horizontal boundary between the grey and brown shapes. The word "FIGURES" is centered in white, uppercase letters within the dark grey area.

FIGURES



Regional Location Map


Figure
1

DRAFTED BY: TLoew

Date: 1/5/2015


Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas
5640 South Fairfax Ave Los Angeles, CA 90056

PROJECT: 0534271A



Monitor Well Location Map and Groundwater Elevations

Figure
2



TABLES

TABLE 1
 Fourth Quarter 2014
 Groundwater Elevation Data
 Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas - Inglewood Oil Field
 Los Angeles, California

Well ID	Date	Wellhead Elevation	Depth-to-Water	Groundwater Elevation
		(feet msl)	(feet btoc)	(feet msl)
MW-3	11/12/2014	197.51	Dry	---
MW-4a	11/12/2014	230.28	Dry	---
MW-4b	11/12/2014	230.30	Dry	---
MW-4c	11/12/2014	230.63	Dry	---
MW-5	11/12/2014	172.82	Dry	---
MW-6	11/12/2014	97.62	63.91	33.71
MW-7	11/12/2014	186.18	49.30	136.88

NOTES:

btoc = below top of casing

msl = mean sea level

TABLE 2
 Fourth Quarter 2014
 Stabilized Groundwater Quality Sampling Parameters
 Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas - Inglewood Oil Field
 Los Angeles, California

Monitoring Well	Sampling Date	Well Diameter	Volume Purged	Temperature	pH	Electrical Conductivity	Turbidity	Comments
		(inches)	(gal)	(°F)	(standard units)	(uS/cm)	(NTUs)	
MW-3	11/12/2014	2	---	---	---	---	---	Dry
MW-4a	11/12/2014	2	---	---	---	---	---	Dry
MW-4b	11/12/2014	2	---	---	---	---	---	Dry
MW-4c	11/12/2014	2	---	---	---	---	---	Dry
MW-5	11/12/2014	2	---	---	---	---	---	Dry
MW-6	11/12/2014	2	5.0	76.0	7.10	3,521	50.0	
MW-7	11/12/2014	2	4.5	74.9	7.61	3,623	35.0	

NOTES:

MW- 3, 4A, 4B, 4C, and 5 contained insufficient water for purging or sampling

Samples were filtered with 0.45 micron filter while sampling (Turbidity values reduced to approximately 15 NTU's)

TABLE 3
 Fourth Quarter 2014
 Groundwater Analytical Results
 TPH, VOCs, and TRPH
 Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas - Inglewood Oil Field
 Los Angeles, California

Sample Location	Date Collected	TPH-DRO	TPH-DRO (w/Silica Gel Filtering)	VOCs					TRPH
				C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	
		(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	
MW-6	11/12/2014	0.32	<0.10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.5	<2.0	<5.0
MW-7	11/12/2014	0.32	<0.10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.5	<2.0	<5.0

Notes:

<# indicates compound was not detected above the indicated method reporting limit.

µg/L = micrograms per liter.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.

MW- 3, 4A, 4B, 4C, and 5 contained insufficient water for purging or sampling

All samples analyzed by American Analytics, Chatsworth, CA.

TPH-DRO = Diesel Range Organics as part of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon with carbon chain differentiation by EPA Method 8015M

VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B

MTBE = Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 418.1

TABLE 4
 Fourth Quarter 2014
 Groundwater Analytical Results
 Metals, Nitrate, Nitrite, BOD5, TDS, and pH
 Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas - Inglewood Oil Field
 Los Angeles, California

Sample Location	Date Collected	Nitrate (mg/L)	Nitrite (mg/L)	Metals						BOD5 (mg/L)	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (mg/L)	pH	
				Arsenic (µg/L)	Barium (µg/L)	Chromium (µg/L)	Cobalt (µg/L)	Copper (µg/L)	Lead (µg/L)				Zinc (µg/L)
MW-6	11/12/2014	1.5	<0.60	<7.0	<100	<10	<50	<25	<10	<50	35.9	2,800	6.8
MW-7	11/12/2014	3.1	<0.60	<7.0	<100	<10	<50	<25	<10	<50	23.9	2,100	6.7

Notes:

<# indicates compound was not detected above the indicated method reporting limit.

µg/L = micrograms per liter.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.

MW- 3, 4A, 4B, 4C, and 5 contained insufficient water for purging or sampling

All samples analyzed by American Analytics, Chatsworth, CA with the exception of BOD5 analyzed by American Environmental Testing Laboratory, Burbank, CA

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

BOD5 20C = Biochemical Oxygen Demand at 20°C by EPA Method 405.1

Nitrate/Nitrite by Ion Chromatography (EPA Method 300)

Metals by EPA Method 6010B

TDS = Total Dissolved Solids by EPA Method SM2540C

PH by EPA Method 150.1

TABLE 5
Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas- Inglewood Oil Field
Los Angeles, California

Well ID	Date	TPH-DRO	TPH-DRO (w/Silica Gel Filtering)	BTEX/MtBE	Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TRPH)	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Nitrate and Nitrite	Metals	BOD5	COMMENTS
		C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ (mg/L)	C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ (mg/L)							
MW-3	Apr-10	1.3	0.14	0.95 toluene	<5.0	900	NA	NA	NA	
	Jun-10	1.4	<0.10	0.76 toluene	<5.0	780	NA	NA	NA	
	Sep-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Dec-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Mar-11	1.1	<0.10	5.8 toluene	<5.0	1100	Below Detection Limit	33 arsenic	40.1	
	Jun-11	1.3	0.18	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	850	<0.20	28 arsenic	50.5	
	Sep-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Nov-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-12	2.1	0.34	0.85 benzene, 0.57 toluene, 0.5 ethylbenzene, 1.73 xylenes	<5.0	760	Below Detection Limit	37 arsenic, 130 barium, 32 chromium, 36 copper, 4.2 lead, 88 zinc	43.4	
	Apr-12	1.3	0.19	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	810	Below Detection Limit	28 arsenic, 73 barium, 15 chromium, 19 copper, 79 zinc	40.9	
	Aug-12	0.99	0.23	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	764	0.1 nitrate	29 arsenic, 16 zinc	Feb-00	
	Nov-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-13	0.73	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	880	Below Detection Limit	32 arsenic	52.1	
	May-13	0.78	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	910	Below Detection Limit	28 arsenic	57.6	
	Aug-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Nov-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
Mar-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
May-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
Nov-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
MW-4a	Apr-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Dec-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Mar-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Jun-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Apr-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Aug-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	May-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Aug-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Nov-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
Mar-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
May-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
Nov-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
MW-4b	Apr-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Dec-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Mar-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Apr-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Aug-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	May-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Aug-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
Mar-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
May-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	
Nov-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry	

TABLE 5
Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas- Inglewood Oil Field
Los Angeles, California

Well ID	Date	TPH-DRO	TPH-DRO (w/Silica Gel Filtering)	BTEX/MtBE	Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TRPH)	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Nitrate and Nitrite	Metals	BOD5	COMMENTS
		C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ (mg/L)	C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ (mg/L)							
MW-4c	Apr-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Dec-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Mar-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-11	NS	NN	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-11	NS	NN	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-11	NS	NN	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Apr-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Aug-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	May-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Aug-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Mar-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	May-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
MW-5	Apr-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Dec-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Mar-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Jun-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Sep-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-11	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Apr-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Aug-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-12	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Feb-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	May-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Aug-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Insufficient Water
	Nov-13	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Mar-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	May-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
	Nov-14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Well Dry
MW-6	Apr-10	0.52	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,300	NA	NA	NA	
	Jun-10	0.48	<0.10	0.62 toluene	<5.0	2,700	NA	NA	NA	
	Sep-10	1.20	<0.050	7.2 toluene	<5.0	2,500	Below Detection Limit	70 barium, 22 zinc	49.2	
	Dec-10	0.31	<0.10	7.4 toluene	7.1	2,500	5.3 nitrate	70 barium	49.5	
	Mar-11	0.34	<0.10	5.9 toluene	<5.0	2,300	Below Detection Limit	72 barium	33.8	
	Jun-11	0.42	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,500	<0.50	Below Detection Limit	37.4	
	Sep-11	0.42	<0.10	2.0 toluene	<5.0	2,200	Below Detection Limit	51 barium, 23 zinc	34.1	
	Nov-11	0.34	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,000	Below Detection Limit	56 barium	30.4	
	Feb-12	<0.10	<0.10	<0.50	<5.0	1,600				
	Apr-12	0.40	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,200	Below Detection Limit	60 barium	36.7	
	Aug-12	0.36	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,580	Below Detection Limit	64 barium	38.8	
	Nov-12	0.42	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,400	Below Detection Limit	61 barium	23.2	
	Feb-13	0.36	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,600	Below Detection Limit	Below Detection Limit	41.6	
	May-13	0.24	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,500	Below Detection Limit	Below Detection Limit	63.0	
	Aug-13	0.40	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,500	0.65 nitrate	52 barium	23.0	
	Nov-13	0.36	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,400	0.61 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	50.7	
	Mar-14	0.42	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,800	Below Detection Limit	Below Detection Limit	43.1	
	May-14	0.44	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,600	Below Detection Limit	Below Detection Limit	37.4	
	Nov-14	0.32	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,800	1.5 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	35.9	

TABLE 5
Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas- Inglewood Oil Field
Los Angeles, California

Well ID	Date	TPH-DRO	TPH-DRO (w/Silica Gel Filtering)	BTEX/MtBE	Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TRPH)	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Nitrate and Nitrite	Metals	BOD5	COMMENTS
		C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ (mg/L)	C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ (mg/L)							
MW-7	Apr-10	0.21	<0.10	0.58 toluene	<5.0	1,100	NA	NA	NA	
	Jun-10	0.29	<0.10	0.86 toluene	<5.0	1,100	NA	NA	NA	
	Sep-10	0.48	<0.050	18 toluene	<5.0	2,000	6.9 nitrate	3.2 arsenic, 40 barium, 5.7 cobalt, 28 zinc	20.7	
	Dec-10	0.25	<0.10	11 toluene	<5.0	2,200	6.0 nitrate	45 barium	35.1	
	Mar-11	0.18	<0.10	6.4 toluene	<5.0	1,400	5.0 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	15.2	
	Jun-11	0.25	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,200	7.0 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	22	
	Sep-11	0.35	<0.10	2.7 toluene	<5.0	2,700	5.3 nitrate	48 barium	32.8	
	Nov-11	0.29	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,500	3.8 nitrate	60 barium	25.6	
	Feb-12	0.29	0.15	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,000	5.5 nitrate	26 barium, 2.7 chromium	14.6	
	Apr-12	0.12	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	510	Below Detection Limit	3.0 chromium, 5.7 copper	11.8	
	Aug-12	0.15	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,640	7.15 nitrate	35 barium	22.9	
	Nov-12	0.26	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,200	5.0 nitrate	3.0 arsenic, 50 Barium	12.7	
	Feb-13	0.16	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,600	3.7 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	21.5	
	May-13	<0.10	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,000	6.4 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	37.8	
	Aug-13	0.32	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,500	7.1 nitrate	33 barium	14.3	
	Nov-13	0.3	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,200	2.3 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	24.9	
	Mar-14	0.39	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	3,200	3.8 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	33.5	
	May-14	0.39	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	1,900	7.9 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	35.3	
	Nov-14	0.32	<0.10	Below Detection Limit	<5.0	2,100	3.1 nitrate	Below Detection Limit	23.9	

Notes:

<# indicates compound was not detected above the indicated method reporting limit.
mg/L= milligrams per liter.

APPENDIX

A

MONITORING WELL DATA SHEETS

Well Monitoring Data Sheet



Project #: 0534271A	Client: Freeport-McMoRan Oil & Gas
Sample Tech: Clint Olesen	Date: 11/12/2014
Well I.D.: MW-7	Well Diameter: 2 inch
Total Well Depth (TD): 58.45 ft	Depth to Water (DTW): 49.3 ft
Referenced to: PVC	Height of Water Column (feet): 9.15 ft
Depth to Free Product: NA	Thickness of Free Product (feet): NA
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]: 51.13 ft	

Purge Method: 2" Grundfos Sampling Method: Disposable Bailer

Purge Volume		Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
<u>1.5</u> (Gals.) X <u>3</u> = <u>4.5</u> Gals.		1"	0.04	4"	0.65
1 Case Volume	Specified Volumes (x3)	2"	0.16	6"	1.47
	Volume to Purge	3"	0.37	Other ()	radius ² * 0.163

Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Cond. (µS)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
1321	75.3	7.83	3,619	--	73	2	
1323	74.6	7.64	3,625	--	38	3	
1326	74.9	7.61	3,623	--	35	4.5	
Did well Dewater? No		Gallons actually evacuated: 4.5					

Sample Information						
Sample I.D.: MW-7			Sample Time: 1:30			
Duplicate: No			Duplicate ID: NA			
Duplicate Time: NA			Laboratory: American Analytics			
No. of Containers	Container Type	Volume	Analysis	EPA Method	Time	Preservation
3	VOA Vial	40 mL				Ice/HCl
2	Polyethylene Bottle	500 mL				Ice
1	Polyethylene Bottle	500 mL				Ice/HNO ₃
1	Amber Bottle	1 L				Ice
1	Amber Bottle	250 mL				Ice/H ₂ SO ₄


NOTES: Samples taken using 0.45 micron filter; purge times estimated based on sampling time.

APPENDIX

B


TIME SERIES GRAPHS

**TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations
Monitor Well
(MW-3)**



NOTE: TPH Detection Limits (0.1 mg/L April 2010 - Present)
 TPH Concentration with Silica Gel Cleanup Presented
 Well Bottom = 122.38 ft msl
 NS = No Sample Collected, Well Dry or Insufficient Water


TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations Monitor Well (MW-4a)



—▲ TPH Concentration
—x Groundwater Surface Elev.
—■ TPH Concentration


NOTE: Well Bottom = 110.12 ft msl
 NS = No TPH Sample Collected, Well Dry or Insufficient Water

**TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations
Monitor Well
(MW-4b)**



NOTE: Well Bottom = 63.66 ft msl
NS = No TPH Sample Collected, Well Dry or Insufficient Water


**TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations
Monitor Well
(MW-4c)**



NOTE: Well Bottom = 90.79 ft msl


NS = No TPH Sample Collected, Well Dry or Insufficient Water

**TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations
Monitor Well
(MW-5)**



NOTE: Well Bottom = 28.51 ft msl
NS = No TPH Sample Collected, Well Dry or Insufficient Water


TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations Monitor Well (MW-6)



NOTE: TPH Detection Limits (0.1 mg/L April 2010 - Present)
 TPH Concentration with Silica Gel Cleanup Presented
 Well Bottom = 21.21 ft msl

**Years
(2010-2014)**

**TPH Dissolved-Phase Concentrations and Groundwater Surface Elevations
Monitor Well
(MW-7)**



NOTE: TPH Detection Limits (0.1 mg/L April 2010 - Present)
 TPH Concentration with Silica Gel Cleanup Presented
 Well Bottom = 127.04 ft msl

APPENDIX

C

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA
AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY



9765 Eton Avenue
Chatsworth
California 91311
Tel: (818) 998-5547
Fax: (818) 998-7258

December 02, 2014

Jennifer Dishon

Environ (LA)

707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 4950

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Re : FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

A641106 / 4K12009

Enclosed is an analytical report for the above-referenced project. The samples included in this report were received on 11/12/14 17:20 and analyzed in accordance with the attached chain-of-custody.

Unless otherwise noted, all analytical testing was accomplished in accordance with the guidelines established in our Quality Assurance Program Manual, applicable standard operating procedures, and other related documentation. The results in this analytical report are limited to the samples tested and any reproduction thereof must be made in its entirety.

If you have any questions regarding this report or require additional information please call me at American Analytics.

Sincerely,

Viorel Vasile

Operations Manager

**LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS**

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	TAT	Date Sampled	Date Received
<u>418.1</u>					
MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
<u>8260B BTEXMTBE</u>					
MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
<u>Diesel Range Organics 8015M</u>					
MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
<u>EPA 8015M DRO (Silica Gel)</u>					
MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
<u>Metals Total 6010B</u>					
MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
<u>Nitrate as N by Ion Chromatography</u>					
MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager

**LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS**

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	TAT	Date Sampled	Date Received
-----------	---------------	--------	-----	--------------	---------------

MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

Nitrite as N by Ion Chromatography

MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

pH Measurement 150.1

MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

TDS SM2540C

MW-6	4K12009-01	Water	5	11/12/14 12:20	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

MW-7	4K12009-02	Water	5	11/12/14 12:30	11/12/14 17:20
------	------------	-------	---	----------------	----------------

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: Nitrite by Ion Chromatography

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

AA I.D. No.	Client I.D. No.	Sampled	Prepared	Analyzed	Dilution	Result	Units	MRL
<u>Nitrite as N by Ion Chromatography (EPA 300.0)</u>								
4K12009-01	MW-6	11/12/14	11/13/14	11/13/14	2	<0.60	mg/L	0.3
4K12009-02	MW-7	11/12/14	11/13/14	11/13/14	2	<0.60	mg/L	0.3

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager

**LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS**

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: Nitrate by Ion Chromatography

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

AA I.D. No.	Client I.D. No.	Sampled	Prepared	Analyzed	Dilution	Result	Units	MRL
<u>Nitrate as N by Ion Chromatography (EPA 300.0)</u>								
4K12009-01	MW-6	11/12/14	11/13/14	11/13/14	2	1.5	mg/L	0.1
4K12009-02	MW-7	11/12/14	11/13/14	11/13/14	2	3.1	mg/L	0.1

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager

**LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS**

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: General Chemistry Analyses

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

AA I.D. No.	Client I.D. No.	Sampled	Prepared	Analyzed	Dilution	Result	Units	MRL
<u>418.1 (EPA 418.1)</u>								
4K12009-01	MW-6	11/12/14	11/19/14	11/19/14	1	<5.0	mg/L	5
4K12009-02	MW-7	11/12/14	11/19/14	11/19/14	1	<5.0	mg/L	5
<u>pH Measurement 150.1 (EPA 150.1)</u>								
4K12009-01	MW-6	11/12/14	11/13/14	11/13/14	1	6.8	pH Units	0.01
4K12009-02	MW-7	11/12/14	11/13/14	11/13/14	1	6.7	pH Units	0.01
<u>TDS SM2540C (SM2540C)</u>								
4K12009-01	MW-6	11/12/14	11/17/14	11/18/14	10	2800	mg/L	10
4K12009-02	MW-7	11/12/14	11/17/14	11/18/14	10	2100	mg/L	10

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager

**LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS**

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: BTEX/MTBE by GC/MS

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14
Units: ug/L

Date Sampled:	11/12/14	11/12/14	
Date Prepared:	11/18/14	11/18/14	
Date Analyzed:	11/18/14	11/18/14	
AA ID No:	4K12009-01	4K12009-02	
Client ID No:	MW-6	MW-7	
Matrix:	Water	Water	
Dilution Factor:	1	1	MRL

8260B BTEXMTBE (EPA 8260B)

Benzene	<0.50	<0.50	0.50
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	<0.50	0.50
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<2.0	<2.0	2.0
Toluene	<0.50	<0.50	0.50
o-Xylene	<0.50	<0.50	0.50
m,p-Xylenes	<1.0	<1.0	1.0

Surrogates

			<u>%REC Limits</u>
Dibromofluoromethane	108%	114%	70-140
Toluene-d8	103%	99%	70-140

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager

**LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS**

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: Diesel Range Organics by GC/FID

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14
Units: mg/L

Date Sampled:	11/12/14	11/12/14	
Date Prepared:	11/18/14	11/18/14	
Date Analyzed:	11/20/14	11/20/14	
AA ID No:	4K12009-01	4K12009-02	
Client ID No:	MW-6	MW-7	
Matrix:	Water	Water	
Dilution Factor:	1	1	MRL

Diesel Range Organics 8015M (EPA 8015M)

Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	0.32	0.32	0.10
---------------------------------	-------------	-------------	------

Surrogates

o-Terphenyl	117%	114%	<u>%REC Limits</u> 50-150
-------------	------	------	-------------------------------------

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: Diesel Range Organics by GC/FID (Silica Gel Cleanup)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14
Units: mg/L

Date Sampled:	11/12/14	11/12/14	
Date Prepared:	11/20/14	11/20/14	
Date Analyzed:	11/20/14	11/20/14	
AA ID No:	4K12009-01	4K12009-02	
Client ID No:	MW-6	MW-7	
Matrix:	Water	Water	
Dilution Factor:	1	1	MRL

EPA 8015M DRO (Silica Gel) (EPA 8015M)

Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	<0.10	<0.10	0.10
---------------------------------	-------	-------	------

Surrogates

o-Terphenyl	84%	73%	<u>%REC Limits</u> 50-150
-------------	-----	-----	-------------------------------------

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)
Method: Total Metals by EPA 6010B

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14
Units: ug/L

Date Sampled:	11/12/14	11/12/14	
Date Prepared:	11/19/14	11/19/14	
Date Analyzed:	11/20/14	11/20/14	
AA ID No:	4K12009-01	4K12009-02	
Client ID No:	MW-6	MW-7	
Matrix:	Water	Water	
Dilution Factor:	1	1	MRL

Metals Total 6010B (EPA 6010B)

Arsenic	<7.0	<7.0	7.0
Barium	<100	<100	100
Chromium	<10	<10	10
Cobalt	<50	<50	50
Copper	<25	<25	25
Lead	<10	<10	10
Zinc	<50	<50	50

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Analyte	Reporting Result	Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Nitrite by Ion Chromatography - Quality Control										
<i>Batch B4K1818 - NO PREP</i>										
Blank (B4K1818-BLK1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
Nitrite as N	<0.30	0.30	mg/L							
LCS (B4K1818-BS1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
Nitrite as N	4.78	0.30	mg/L	5.0	95.6	90-110				
LCS (B4K1818-BS2)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/14/14						
Nitrite as N	5.14	0.30	mg/L	5.0	103	90-110				
LCS Dup (B4K1818-BSD1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
Nitrite as N	4.71	0.30	mg/L	5.0	94.2	90-110	1.52	30		
LCS Dup (B4K1818-BSD2)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/14/14						
Nitrite as N	5.34	0.30	mg/L	5.0	107	90-110	3.99	30		
Nitrate by Ion Chromatography - Quality Control										
<i>Batch B4K1818 - NO PREP</i>										
Blank (B4K1818-BLK1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
Nitrate as N	<0.10	0.10	mg/L							
LCS (B4K1818-BS1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
Nitrate as N	4.81	0.10	mg/L	5.0	96.2	90-110				
LCS (B4K1818-BS2)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/14/14						
Nitrate as N	5.12	0.10	mg/L	5.0	102	90-110				
LCS Dup (B4K1818-BSD1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
Nitrate as N	4.72	0.10	mg/L	5.0	94.5	90-110	1.82	20		
LCS Dup (B4K1818-BSD2)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/14/14						
Nitrate as N	5.31	0.10	mg/L	5.0	106	90-110	3.74	20		
General Chemistry Analyses - Quality Control										
<i>Batch B4K1306 - NO PREP</i>										
Duplicate (B4K1306-DUP1)				Source: 4K12010-02 Prepared & Analyzed: 11/13/14						
pH	6.79	0.010	pH Units	6.77				0.295	20	
<i>Batch B4K1911 - NO PREP</i>										
Blank (B4K1911-BLK1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/19/14						
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	<5.0	5.0	mg/L							

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Analyte	Reporting Result	Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
---------	------------------	-------	-------	-------------	---------------	------	-------------	-----	-----------	-------

General Chemistry Analyses - Quality Control

Batch B4K1911 - NO PREP

LCS (B4K1911-BS1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/19/14						
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	4.2	5.0	mg/L	4.0	105	70-130		30		
LCS Dup (B4K1911-BSD1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/19/14						
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	4.5	5.0	mg/L	4.0	112	70-130	6.06	30		

Batch B4K1914 - NO PREP

Blank (B4K1914-BLK1)				Prepared: 11/17/14 Analyzed: 11/18/14						
Total Dissolved Solids	<10	10	mg/L							
LCS (B4K1914-BS1)				Prepared: 11/17/14 Analyzed: 11/18/14						
Total Dissolved Solids	58.0	10	mg/L	50	116	80-120				
LCS Dup (B4K1914-BSD1)				Prepared: 11/17/14 Analyzed: 11/18/14						
Total Dissolved Solids	49.0	10	mg/L	50	98.0	80-120	16.8	25		
Duplicate (B4K1914-DUP1)				Source: 4K12010-02 Prepared: 11/17/14 Analyzed: 11/18/14						
Total Dissolved Solids	2660	100	mg/L	2850			6.90	20		

BTEX/MTBE by GC/MS - Quality Control

Batch B4K1810 - EPA 5030B

Blank (B4K1810-BLK1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/18/14						
Benzene	<0.50	0.50	ug/L							
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	0.50	ug/L							
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	<2.0	2.0	ug/L							
Toluene	<0.50	0.50	ug/L							
o-Xylene	<0.50	0.50	ug/L							
m,p-Xylenes	<1.0	1.0	ug/L							
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	56.2		ug/L	50	112	70-140				
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	50.6		ug/L	50	101	70-140				
LCS (B4K1810-BS1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/18/14						
Benzene	27.5	0.50	ug/L	20	137	75-125				**
Ethylbenzene	20.7	0.50	ug/L	20	104	75-125				
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	17.2	2.0	ug/L	20	86.0	70-135				
Toluene	21.0	0.50	ug/L	20	105	75-125				
o-Xylene	20.5	0.50	ug/L	20	102	75-125				

Viorel Vasile
 Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Analyte	Reporting Result	Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC %REC	Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
---------	------------------	-------	-------	-------------	---------------	-----------	--------	-----	-----------	-------

BTEX/MTBE by GC/MS - Quality Control

Batch B4K1810 - EPA 5030B

LCS (B4K1810-BS1) Continued

Prepared & Analyzed: 11/18/14

Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	52.5		ug/L	50		105	70-140			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	47.9		ug/L	50		95.9	70-140			

LCS Dup (B4K1810-BSD1)

Prepared & Analyzed: 11/18/14

Benzene	22.5	0.50	ug/L	20		112	75-125	20.0	30	
Ethylbenzene	20.8	0.50	ug/L	20		104	75-125	0.385	30	
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	21.3	2.0	ug/L	20		106	70-135	21.1	30	
Toluene	19.1	0.50	ug/L	20		95.3	75-125	9.92	30	
o-Xylene	19.6	0.50	ug/L	20		97.8	75-125	4.54	30	

Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	56.6		ug/L	50		113	70-140			
---------------------------------	------	--	------	----	--	-----	--------	--	--	--

Surrogate: Toluene-d8	48.9		ug/L	50		97.7	70-140			
-----------------------	------	--	------	----	--	------	--------	--	--	--

Matrix Spike (B4K1810-MS1)

Source: 4K14012-10 Prepared & Analyzed: 11/18/14

Benzene	21.4	0.50	ug/L	20		107	70-130			
Ethylbenzene	20.0	0.50	ug/L	20		99.9	70-130			
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	20.0	2.0	ug/L	20		99.8	70-130			
Toluene	19.4	0.50	ug/L	20		96.8	70-130			
o-Xylene	19.1	0.50	ug/L	20		95.6	70-130			

Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	55.3		ug/L	50		111	70-140			
---------------------------------	------	--	------	----	--	-----	--------	--	--	--

Surrogate: Toluene-d8	48.8		ug/L	50		97.6	70-140			
-----------------------	------	--	------	----	--	------	--------	--	--	--

Matrix Spike Dup (B4K1810-MSD1)

Source: 4K14012-10 Prepared & Analyzed: 11/18/14

Benzene	23.3	0.50	ug/L	20		116	70-130	8.45	30	
Ethylbenzene	20.6	0.50	ug/L	20		103	70-130	3.06	30	
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	23.2	2.0	ug/L	20		116	70-130	15.0	30	
Toluene	19.4	0.50	ug/L	20		97.0	70-130	0.258	30	
o-Xylene	20.4	0.50	ug/L	20		102	70-130	6.53	30	

Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	56.4		ug/L	50		113	70-140			
---------------------------------	------	--	------	----	--	-----	--------	--	--	--

Surrogate: Toluene-d8	48.7		ug/L	50		97.5	70-140			
-----------------------	------	--	------	----	--	------	--------	--	--	--

Diesel Range Organics by GC/FID - Quality Control

Batch B4K1825 - EPA 3510C

Blank (B4K1825-BLK1)

Prepared: 11/18/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Analyte	Reporting Result	Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Diesel Range Organics by GC/FID - Quality Control									
<i>Batch B4K1825 - EPA 3510C</i>									
Blank (B4K1825-BLK1) Continued				Prepared: 11/18/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	<0.10	0.10	mg/L						
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl	0.0461		mg/L	0.050	92.1	50-150			
LCS (B4K1825-BS1)				Prepared: 11/18/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	0.910	0.10	mg/L	1.0	91.0	75-125			
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl	0.0738		mg/L	0.050	148	50-150			
LCS Dup (B4K1825-BSD1)				Prepared: 11/18/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	0.931	0.10	mg/L	1.0	93.1	75-125	2.26	30	
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl	0.0720		mg/L	0.050	144	50-150			
Diesel Range Organics by GC/FID (Silica Gel Cleanup) - Quality Control									
<i>Batch B4K2007 - EPA 3510C</i>									
Blank (B4K2007-BLK1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	<0.10	0.10	mg/L						
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl	0.0472		mg/L	0.050	94.4	50-150			
LCS (B4K2007-BS1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	0.596	0.10	mg/L	1.0	59.6	75-125			***
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl	0.0514		mg/L	0.050	103	50-150			
LCS Dup (B4K2007-BSD1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Diesel Range Organics as Diesel	0.754	0.10	mg/L	1.0	75.4	75-125	23.3	30	
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl	0.0538		mg/L	0.050	108	50-150			
Total Metals by EPA 6010B - Quality Control									
<i>Batch B4K1920 - EPA 3010A</i>									
Blank (B4K1920-BLK1)				Prepared: 11/19/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14					
Arsenic	<7.0	7.0	ug/L						
Barium	<100	100	ug/L						
Chromium	<10	10	ug/L						
Cobalt	<50	50	ug/L						
Copper	<25	25	ug/L						
Lead	<10	10	ug/L						

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Analyte	Reporting Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC %REC	%REC Limits	RPD RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
---------	------------------	-----------------	-------	-------------	---------------	-----------	-------------	---------	-----------	-------

Total Metals by EPA 6010B - Quality Control

Batch B4K1920 - EPA 3010A

Blank (B4K1920-BLK1) Continued

Prepared: 11/19/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14

Zinc <50 50 ug/L

LCS (B4K1920-BS1)

Prepared: 11/19/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14

Arsenic	1100	7.0	ug/L	1000	110	80-120	20
Barium	1070	100	ug/L	1000	107	80-120	20
Chromium	1100	10	ug/L	1000	110	80-120	20
Cobalt	1120	50	ug/L	1000	112	80-120	20
Copper	1080	25	ug/L	1000	108	80-120	20
Lead	1120	10	ug/L	1000	112	80-120	20
Zinc	1160	50	ug/L	1000	116	80-120	20

LCS Dup (B4K1920-BSD1)

Prepared: 11/19/14 Analyzed: 11/20/14

Arsenic	1130	7.0	ug/L	1000	113	80-120	2.60	20
Barium	1120	100	ug/L	1000	112	80-120	4.47	20
Chromium	1140	10	ug/L	1000	114	80-120	3.50	20
Cobalt	1160	50	ug/L	1000	116	80-120	3.16	20
Copper	1120	25	ug/L	1000	112	80-120	3.27	20
Lead	1150	10	ug/L	1000	115	80-120	2.81	20
Zinc	1190	50	ug/L	1000	119	80-120	2.46	20

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

Client: Environ (LA)
Project No: NA
Project Name: FM O&G - Inglewood (GW-CSD)

AA Project No: A641106
Date Received: 11/12/14
Date Reported: 12/02/14

Special Notes

[1] = ** : Exceeds upper control limit

[2] = *** : Exceeds lower control limit

Viorel Vasile
Operations Manager



American Environmental Testing Laboratory Inc.

2834 & 2908 North Naomi Street Burbank, CA 91504 • DOHS NO: 1541, LACSD NO: 10181
Tel: (888) 288-AETL • (818) 845-8200 • Fax: (818) 845-8840 • www.aetlab.com

Ordered By

American Analytics
9765 Eton Avenue
Chatsworth, CA 91311-4306

Number of Pages 2
Date Received 11/13/2014
Date Reported 11/24/2014

Telephone: (818)998-5547
Attention: Viorel Vasile

Job Number	Order Date	Client
75040	11/13/2014	AA

Project ID: A641106/4K12009
Project Name: PO# SUB02814-A641106

Enclosed please find results of analyses of 2 water samples which were analyzed as specified on the attached chain of custody. If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Checked By: _____

Approved By: _____

Cyrus Razmara, Ph.D.
Laboratory Director



American Environmental Testing Laboratory Inc.

2834 & 2908 North Naomi Street Burbank, CA 91504 • DOHS NO: 1541, LACSD NO: 10181

Tel: (888) 288-AETL • (818) 845-8200 • Fax: (818) 845-8840 • www.aetlab.com

Page: 1 A

Ordered By

American Analytics
9765 Eton Avenue
Chatsworth, CA 91311-4306

Project ID: A641106/4K12009
Date Received 11/13/2014
Date Reported 11/24/2014

Telephone: (818)998-5547
Attention: Viorel Vasile

Job Number	Order Date	Client
75040	11/13/2014	AA

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE

AETL received 2 samples with the following specification on 11/13/2014.

Lab ID	Sample ID	Sample Date	Matrix	Quantity Of Containers
75040.01	4K12009-01	11/12/2014	Aqueous	1
75040.02	4K12009-02	11/12/2014	Aqueous	1

Method ^ Submethod	Req Date	Priority	TAT	Units
SM5210B	11/20/2014	2	Normal	mg/L

The samples were analyzed as specified on the enclosed chain of custody.
No analytical non-conformances were encountered.

Checked By: _____

Approved By: _____

Cyrus Razmara, Ph.D.
Laboratory Director



American Environmental Testing Laboratory Inc.

2834 & 2908 North Naomi Street Burbank, CA 91504 • DOHS NO: 1541, LACSD NO: 10181
 Tel: (888) 288-AETL • (818) 845-8200 • Fax: (818) 845-8840 • www.aetlab.com

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Ordered By

American Analytics
 9765 Eton Avenue
 Chatsworth, CA 91311-4306

Telephone: (818)998-5547

Attn: Viorel Vasile

Page: **2**

Project ID: **A641106/4K12009**

Project Name: **PO# SUB02814-A641106**

AETL Job Number	Submitted	Client
75040	11/13/2014	AA

Method: SM5210B, Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5 days, @ 20C (Standard Methods)

QC Batch No: 111414-1

Our Lab I.D.		Method Blank	75040.01	75040.02		
Client Sample I.D.			4K12009-01	4K12009-02		
Date Sampled			11/12/2014	11/12/2014		
Date Prepared		11/14/2014	11/14/2014	11/14/2014		
Preparation Method		SM5210B	SM5210B	SM5210B		
Date Analyzed		11/19/2014	11/19/2014	11/19/2014		
Matrix		Aqueous	Aqueous	Aqueous		
Units		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L		
Dilution Factor		1	1	1		
Analytes	MDL	PQL	Results	Results	Results	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	5.0	5.0	ND	35.9	23.9	

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

QC Batch No: 111414-1; Dup or Spiked Sample: 75040.01; LCS: Clean Water; LCS Prepared: 11/14/2014; LCS Analyzed: 11/19/2014;

Units: mg/L

Analytes	SM Result	SM DUP Result	RPD %	SM RPD % Limit	LCS Concen	LCS Recov	LCS % REC	LCS/LCSD % Limit		
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	35.9	35.9	<1	<15	198	170	85.9	80-120		



American Environmental Testing Laboratory Inc.

2834 & 2908 North Naomi Street, Burbank, CA 91504 • DOHS NO: 1541, LACSD NO: 10181
Tel: (888) 288-AETL • (818) 845-8200 • Fax: (818) 845-8840 • www.aetlab.com

Data Qualifiers and Descriptors

Data Qualifier:

- #: Recovery is not within acceptable control limits.
- *: In the QC section, sample results have been taken directly from the ICP reading. No preparation factor has been applied.
- B: Analyte was present in the Method Blank.
- D: Result is from a diluted analysis.
- E: Result is beyond calibration limits and is estimated.
- H: Analysis was performed over the allowed holding time due to circumstances which were beyond laboratory control.
- J: Analyte was detected . However, the analyte concentration is an estimated value, which is between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL).
- M: Matrix spike recovery is outside control limits due to matrix interference. Laboratory Control Sample recovery was acceptable.
- MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level
- NS: No Standard Available
- S6: Surrogate recovery is outside control limits due to matrix interference.
- S8: The analysis of the sample required a dilution such that the surrogate concentration was diluted below the method acceptance criteria.
- X: Results represent LCS and LCSD data.

Definition:

- %Limi: Percent acceptable limits.
- %REC: Percent recovery.
- Con.L: Acceptable Control Limits
- Conce: Added concentration to the sample.
- LCS: Laboratory Control Sample
- MDL: Method Detection Limit is a statistically derived number which is specific for each instrument, each method, and each compound. It indicates a distinctively detectable quantity with 99% probability.



American Environmental Testing Laboratory Inc.

2834 & 2908 North Naomi Street, Burbank, CA 91504 • DOHS NO: 1541, LACSD NO: 10181
Tel: (888) 288-AETL • (818) 845-8200 • Fax: (818) 845-8840 • www.aetlab.com

Data Qualifiers and Descriptors

MS:	Matrix Spike
MS DU:	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ND:	Analyte was not detected in the sample at or above MDL.
PQL:	Practical Quantitation Limit or ML (Minimum Level as per RWQCB) is the minimum concentration that can be quantified with more than 99% confidence. Taking into account all aspects of the entire analytical instrumentation and practice.
Recov:	Recovered concentration in the sample.
RPD:	Relative Percent Difference
