Los Angeles County
Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead

Richard J. Bruckner
Director

March 16, 2017

TO: Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chairman
Supervisor Hilda L. Solis
Supervisor Sheila Kuehl
Supervisor Janice Hahn
Supervisor Kathryn Barger

FROM: Richard J. Bruckner
Director

UPDATE REPORT ON BOARD MOTION REGARDING THE OIL AND GAS STRIKE TEAM FOR UNINCORPORATED LOS ANGELES COUNTY (MARCH 29, 2016 – AGENDA ITEM NO. 12)

On March 29, 2016, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Board) passed a motion instructing the Director of Regional Planning, in coordination with the Fire Chief, Interim Director of Public Health, and Director of Public Works to convene a Strike Team to assess the conditions, regulatory compliance and potential public health and safety risk associated with existing oil and gas facilities in unincorporated Los Angeles County. The Board instructed the Strike Team to report back on a biannual basis with a summary of its findings and any recommendations on legislative and regulatory positions that the Board should consider.

In accordance with the Board's motion, the Strike Team is submitting the second update report to the Board. This report includes an update of Strike Team efforts on 1) updating the inventory of oil and gas facilities, 2) developing a public health screening assessment tool, and 3) facility findings. The report and the appendices can be accessed on the Department's web page at planning.lacounty.gov/oil-gas/strike.

The Strike Team held a public meeting on March 13, 2017, to discuss the second update report and to hear public comment. The Strike Team passed a motion to direct staff to file this report with the Board and to present to the Advisory Panel. This report is the second of three biannual Strike Team reports with the final report to be provided to the Board by September 29, 2017.

320 West Temple Street ▪ Los Angeles, CA 90012 ▪ 213-974-6411 ▪ Fax: 213-626-0434 ▪ TDD: 213-617-2292

Should you have any questions about this report, please contact Timothy Stapleton, Zoning Enforcement – West Area Section, at tstapleton@planning.lacounty.gov or (213) 974-6453.

RJB:JS:ts

Attachment: planning.lacounty.gov/assets/upl/project/oil-gas_20170313-report.pdf

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Department of Public Works
Department of Public Health
Fire Department

Oil and Gas Facility Compliance Review Project
Bi-Annual Report Number Two
County of Los Angeles Existing Oil Wells

March 13, 2017

Prepared for:
County of Los Angeles

Prepared by:
Marine Research Specialists (MRS)

Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning
Oil and Gas Facility Compliance Review Project

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 1
1.0 Background 1
1.1 Board Motion Regarding Proactive Planning and Enforcement of Oil and Gas Facilities Operating in Unincorporated Los Angeles County 1
1.2 Previous Reports 2
Inventory Report Recommendations 2
1.3 Project Objective 3
1.4 Project Scope 3
1.5 County Departments and Their Roles 3
Department of Regional Planning (DRP) 3
Department of Public Health (DPH) 4
Department of Public Works (DPW) 4
County Fire Department (Fire) 4
County Counsel 5
Strike Team Members 5
Project Staff 5
Advisory Panel 5
2.0 Progress Update 6
2.1 Chronology of Project Meetings 6
Project Staff Kick Off Meeting 6
Second Project Staff Meeting 6
Advisory Panel Kick Off Public Meeting 7
Strike Team Public Meeting 7
Second Advisory Panel Public Meeting 7
Third Project Staff Meeting 8
2.2 Operator Contact List/Operator Changes 8
2.3 Well Inspection Protocol 10
DRP Land Use Permits 11
DRP Title 22 11
Other County Permits 11
Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR) 11

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) 11
2.4 Compliance Checklists 11
Facility Checklist 12
Well Checklist 12
2.5 Public Health Screening Assessment 13
3.0 Facilities Inspected 13
3.1 Matrix Sansinena 15
Facility Description 15
Findings 16
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 18
Health Screen Summary 18
Photographs 18
3.2 Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain 22
Facility Description 22
Findings 23
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 24
Health Screen Summary 25
Photographs 25
3.3 Termo Oak Canyon 30
Facility Description 30
Findings 31
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 32
Health Screen Summary 32
Photographs 32
3.4 Linn Energy 35
Facility Description 35
Findings 37
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 38
Health Screen Summary 39
Photographs 39
3.5 California Resources Company (CRC) 43
Facility Description 43
Findings 47

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 49
Health Screen Summary 49
Photographs 50
3.6 Crimson Resource Management (CRM) 54
Facility Description 54
Findings 57
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 59
Health Screen Summary 60
Photographs 60
3.7 Brea Canon 64
Facility Description 64
Findings 66
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 67
Health Screen Summary 67
Photographs 67
3.8 Breitburn Energy 71
Facility Description 71
Findings 73
Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings 74
Health Screen Summary 75
Photographs 75
4.0 Recommendations to the Board 79
4.1 Recommendations of Other Facilities to be Evaluated 79
Associated Oil and Gas Facilities Outside County Jurisdiction 79
Oil and Gas Pipelines 79
Orphan and Abandoned Wells 80
Other Industrial Uses Related to Oil and Gas 80
5.0 Conclusion 80
5.1 Future Actions 80

Appendix A Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory Report A-1
Appendix B Well Inspection Protocol B-1
Appendix C Facility Checklist C-1

Appendix D Well Inspection Checklist D-1
Appendix E Health Screen E-1
Appendix F Matrix Sansinena Checklist F-1
Appendix G Termo Aliso Canyon & Oat Mountain Checklist G-1
Appendix H Termo Oak Canyon Checklist H-1
Appendix I Linn Energy Checklist I-1
Appendix J California Resources Company (CRC) Checklist J-1
Appendix K Crimson Resource Management (CRM) Checklist K-1
Appendix L Brea Canon Checklist L-1
Appendix M Breitburn Energy Checklist M-1

Executive Summary

On March 29, 2016, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Board) passed a motion instructing the Director of Regional Planning, in coordination with the Fire Chief, Interim Director of the Department of Public Health, and Director of the Department of Public Works, to convene a Strike Team to assess the conditions, regulatory compliance and potential public health and safety risk associated with existing oil and gas facilities in unincorporated Los Angeles County. The Board instructed the Strike Team to report back on a biannual basis with a summary of its findings and any recommendations on legislative and regulatory positions that the Board should consider. This report is the second of three biannual Strike Team update reports that will be provided to the Board during the 18-month long Strike Team effort. The purpose of the Strike Team effort is to prioritize sites for further action based on highest health or environmental risks through onsite visits with consideration of nearby communities, age and history of the facility, and use of regulated well stimulation techniques.

This report is an enhanced update of the initial report dated October 13, 2016, and includes the use of updated field inspection checklists, updated data on the two site visits presented in the first report, a review of the wells and facilities of six additional oil and gas operators visited over the last few months, and provides a public health screening assessment for each of the facilities visited through January 2017. This report also includes an overview from the first report of the initial Strike Team efforts, which focused on 1) updating the inventory of oil and gas facilities and 2) developing protocols to identify permit and compliance issues. For each facility inspected, this report includes a description of the existing facility, a description of existing conditions based on site visits, an analysis of permit compliance, recommendations for further action as appropriate, and a summary of next steps. The third and final report will be the culmination of the Strike Team's efforts and will include a review of the remaining oil and gas operations in the County as well as legislative recommendations.

1.0 Background

This is the second report to update the Board of the Strike Team portion of the March 29, 2016, motion as summarized below. Additionally, it builds upon the Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory report, a report issued in December 2015 identifying existing facilities within unincorporated Los Angeles County, summarized below and attached as Appendix A. This second report is cumulative in its analysis: the findings made in the first report have been incorporated as baseline information in this second iteration and built upon with updated information gathered from the Strike Team's most recent efforts.

1.1 Board Motion Regarding Proactive Planning and Enforcement of Oil and Gas Facilities Operating in Unincorporated Los Angeles County

On March 29, 2016, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Board) passed a motion to:

- Convene a Strike Team consisting of the Director of Regional Planning, the Director of Public Health, the Director of Public Works, and the Fire Chief to assess and report on a biannual basis the conditions, regulatory compliance and potential public health and safety risks associated with existing oil and gas facilities in unincorporated Los Angeles County.

- Review Los Angeles County Title 22: Zoning Code to ensure that oil and gas facilities may no longer operate by right in the unincorporated portion of the County and to ensure that regulations reflect best practices and current mitigation measures and technologies, minimize environmental impacts and protect sensitive uses and populations.
- Coordinate with cities throughout the County that are interested in collaborating on the development of regulatory requirements and protocols for monitoring and evaluating their local oil and gas facilities.
- Create an Advisory Panel consisting of independent experts in oil and gas exploration and production as appointed by the Board of Supervisors to assess the biannual reports of the Strike Team.
- Ensure that County Planning and Code Enforcement services are not negatively impacted.

1.2 Previous Reports

Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory: On July 28, 2015, the Board directed the Department of Regional Planning (DRP), in consultation with the Department of Public Health (DPH), to develop a detailed inventory of all oil fields and the associated level of environmental monitoring of all oil wells currently operating within the unincorporated areas of the County of Los Angeles (County). Marine Research Specialists (MRS), a consulting firm with expertise in the oil and gas industry, along with County DRP Staff prepared the Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory report dated December 2015 (attached as Appendix A), in response to the Board of Supervisors motion. The Oil and Gas Well Inventory report identifies facility and well locations based on information obtained from the State of California's Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources' (DOGGR) oil and gas well online database. This database was used to provide a preliminary list of oil and gas wells located in the unincorporated Los Angeles County area. The report includes a review of local, State, and Federal regulatory requirements for the drilling of oil and gas wells.

Inventory Report Recommendations

It was concluded in the Oil and Gas Well Inventory report that further research would be necessary to compare the existing County oil and gas well regulations with other agency regulatory standards to identify potential regulatory gaps. The comparison of these standards would allow for the review of County regulations, ensuring protections for the health, safety, and welfare of surrounding communities. It was recommended in the report that the standard conditions outlined in Title 22 and the suitability of "by-right" use of oil and gas wells within Title 22 be evaluated for effectiveness in protecting the health, safety and environment of the communities surrounding these operations. It was further recommended in the report that the well inventory be corroborated by on-the-ground site visits of oil and gas facilities to determine compliance and to review potential issues associated with health, safety, and environmental concerns.

Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Compliance Report, First Report: The initial report submitted to the Board in October 2016 includes the findings from two facility visits and was primarily prepared to solicit feedback from the Advisory Panel, the Board, and the public on the field inspection checklists and the well inspection protocol developed. These comments and recommendations received have been incorporated into this second report. In addition, the information obtained during the two facility visits detailed in the October 2016 report is also included in this report as baseline information.

1.3 Project Objective

The objective of the Oil and Gas Facility Compliance Review Project (Project) is to provide a review of all existing oil and gas facilities in the unincorporated County to include:

- Identification of applicable regulations and permit requirements through a regulatory review and review of permit records;
- Verification of regulatory and permit compliance through site visits;
- Evaluation of regulatory compliance of facility plans (such as emergency response plans);
- Description and understanding of existing facility conditions and the neighboring zoning and nearby receptors; and
- Analysis of public health and safety risk.

The Project will enable the Board of Supervisors to update their understanding of the oil and gas facilities operating in the unincorporated County and the associated environmental protections and oversight provided by the various agencies tasked to monitor such facilities.

1.4 Project Scope

The Project scope includes review and assessment of all existing oil and gas production facilities in unincorporated Los Angeles County through site visits and review of permit records, description of existing facility conditions, evaluation of regulatory compliance, analysis of public health and safety risk and recommendations for further action. The scope does not include an evaluation of abandoned wells, a review of down-hole compliance issues (well testing and conditions of well bores below the surface of the ground) or ambient air monitoring beyond SCAQMD Rule 1173 monitoring (such as the installation of toxic air pollutant stations).

1.5 County Departments and Their Roles

County Departments involved in the Strike Team include the following:

- Regional Planning;
- Public Health;
- Public Works;
- Fire; and
- County Counsel.

The role of each of these is discussed below.

Department of Regional Planning (DRP)

The DRP is the lead County agency for this compliance review effort. DRP is responsible for the following components and tasks:

- the Director or his designee to attend Strike Team public meetings;
- Project management;
- hire and manage the consultant assisting the County;
- coordinate and facilitate staff meetings;

- coordinate and staff Advisory Panel and Strike Team meetings;
- research and collection of County permits, and ordinance and mapping data;
- coordinate information exchange between all involved agencies;
- develop the Well Inspection Protocol;
- develop the Facility Inspection Checklist;
- develop the Well Inspection Checklist;
- attend the field site audits;
- prepare field site audit findings; and
- prepare biannual reports.

Department of Public Health (DPH)

The DPH's role on the Strike Team includes:

- the Director or his designee to attend Strike Team public meetings;
- research and collection of DPH permits;
- research and collection of DPH issues, complaints, and enforcement actions;
- attend staff meetings;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Protocol;
- review and comment on Facility Inspection Checklist;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Checklist;
- review and comment on the Health and Safety Assessment Screening;
- attend the field site audits; and
- review and comment on draft reports.

Department of Public Works (DPW)

The DPW's role on the Strike Team includes:

- the Director or his designee to attend Strike Team public meetings;
- research and collection of DPW permits;
- research and collection of DPW issues, complaints, and enforcement actions;
- attend staff meetings;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Protocol;
- review and comment on Facility Inspection Checklist;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Checklist;
- attend the field site audits; and
- review and comment on draft reports.

County Fire Department (Fire)

The Fire Department's Fire Prevention Bureau/Petroleum Chemical Unit and the Health Hazardous Materials Division roles on the Strike Team includes:

- the Fire Chief or his designee to attend Strike Team public meetings;
- research and collection of fire prevention permits;

- research and collection of Certified Unified Program Agency-CUPA permits (hazardous materials, hazardous waste, above ground petroleum storage, and California Accidental Release Prevention Program-CalARP);
- research and collection of fire issues, complaints, and enforcement actions;
- attend staff meetings;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Protocol;
- review and comment on Facility Inspection Checklist;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Checklist;
- attend the field site audits; and
- review and comment on draft reports.

County Counsel

County Counsel provides the following assistance to the Strike Team:

- review of contract for consultant assisting the County;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Protocol;
- review and comment on Facility Inspection Checklist;
- review and comment on Well Inspection Checklist;
- attend staff meetings;
- attend Advisory Panel and Strike Team meetings;
- advise County on legal positions as necessary; and
- review and comment on draft reports.

Strike Team Members

The Strike Team is responsible for management of the Project and consists of the Department Heads, or their designated alternates, of the County agencies involved: DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire.

Project Staff

The Project Staff consists of staff from MRS, the consultant assisting the County with the Project, and staff from DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire. In addition, staff from the California Department of Conservation's Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR), the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), and Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB) have volunteered to assist the County in this effort.

Advisory Panel

The Advisory Panel consists of five members designated by each of the five member Board of Supervisors. The Advisory Panel members are issue area experts in oil and gas, environmental, and/or health issues. The Advisory Panel's role in the Project is to review, comment, and provide input on the Project findings and reports. The Advisory Panel consists of the following members:

- Julia May (1st District)
- Andrew Weissman (2nd District)
- Tim O'Connor (3rd District)
- Matt Rezvani (4th District)
- R. Rex Parris (5th District)

2.0 Progress Update

This section provides a summary of the Project activities completed through January 2017. Tasks completed include: three staff meetings, two Advisory Panel public meetings, a Strike Team public meeting, the development of an updated operator contact list, development of an inspection protocol, the development and update of inspection checklists, and the development of a public health screening checklist and screening health assessment. Including the two facility site inspections reviewed in the first report and additional operations reviewed through January 2017, a total of 452 of the 813 wells identified for Strike Team review have been as of the writing of this report.

2.1 Chronology of Project Meetings

Project Staff Kick Off Meeting

The initial kick off meeting for the Project Staff occurred on June 30, 2016. The meeting was attended by representatives from DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire with staff from County Counsel and the California Department of Conservation's DOGGR attending via teleconference. Staff from MRS also attended. Issues discussed at the kick off meeting are described below.

- Introduction of Project Staff – Staff from each participating County agency, DOGGR and MRS were introduced, and contact information was distributed.
- Purpose of the Project – The Board motion was discussed along with primary Project tasks.
- Timeline for first report – The first report would be issued as a draft in September 2016.
- Roles and responsibilities and regulatory authority – Project goals and agency responsibilities were discussed with each department or agency providing input on the process.
- Access to sites – The topics of site access and each department or agency's jurisdictional authority to visit the oil and gas facilities were discussed.

Second Project Staff Meeting

On August 18, 2016, the Project Staff met a second time, with the consultant MRS attending and with DOGGR staff attending via teleconference, to discuss Project progress. Items discussed are summarized below.

- Well inspection protocol – The well inspection protocol was discussed, and staff input on the document was received and incorporated.
- Facility inspection checklist – The facility inspection checklist was discussed, and staff input on the document was received and incorporated.
- Well inspection checklist – The well inspection checklist was discussed, and staff input on the document was received and incorporated.
- Completed site visits – It was stated that site visits to Matrix Sansinena and Termo Aliso Canyon/Oat Mountain had been completed.
- Summary of findings from completed site visits – Findings from the initial two site inspections were discussed, and methods for improving the site inspection process were discussed.
- First report to the Board outline – An outline for the first report was distributed and discussed.

- Potential legislative, regulatory, and legal position recommendations for the Board on overall safety of Project facilities – Subject input was discussed and noted for further discussion as the Project moves forward. It was agreed that it would be premature at the time of the meeting to make recommendations without completing additional site visits.
- Recommendations of other facilities for consideration of Strike Team evaluation – Facilities in adjacent jurisdictions were discussed, particularly those connected to facilities located in the County, pipelines, and other industrial facilities that use hazardous materials.

Advisory Panel Kick Off Public Meeting

The Advisory Panel kick-off meeting was held on August 31, 2016. Staff from the DRP facilitated the meeting with the assistance of County Counsel. Staff from MRS provided a Project overview and status update. Agenda items included:

- Project overview – Staff from DRP and MRS provided the Advisory Panel with an overview of the Project and an update on Project status.
- The role and responsibility of the Advisory Panel – Staff from DRP and County Counsel summarized the Advisory Panel tasks with the primary responsibility to review and comment on the Project reports.
- Brown Act responsibilities – County Counsel provided an overview of the Brown Act.
- Public comments – Comments were received from members of the public.
- Issues discussed from Advisory panel members and the public included:
    - abandoned and orphan wells;
    - odors and odor complaints;
    - methane emissions;
    - Monitor for all toxics in air quality;
    - chemical use and transport, cradle-to-grave tracking of all chemicals;
    - noise, storm water, and truck traffic;
    - fracking;
    - fence line monitoring; and
    - water injection and water aquifer protection.

Strike Team Public Meeting

A Strike Team Public Meeting was held on October 13, 2016. The meeting was attended by the Directors, or their designee, of Regional Planning, Public Health, Public Works, the Fire Chief, and members of the public. The panel discussed and heard public comments on the first Oil and Gas Compliance Project Report. The panel made an affirmative motion to forward the report to the Board of Supervisors.

Second Advisory Panel Public Meeting

The Advisory Panel met for the second time on November 9, 2016. The Advisory Panel, consisting of appointees from the First, Second, and Fourth Supervisorial Districts, discussed and heard public comments on the first Oil and Gas Compliance Project Report. The panel passed a motion to forward the Advisory Panel comments on the report to the Board of Supervisors.

Third Project Staff Meeting

The Project Staff met for a third time on January 31, 2017. Staff discussed the preliminary findings prepared for the second Oil and Gas Compliance Project Report.

2.2 Operator Contact List/Operator Changes

As one of the first steps in the Project, Staff contacted some of the owners/operators of the oil and gas wells researched in the *Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory Report*, included as Appendix A, to compile an initial contact list for this compliance review effort. Due to the dynamic nature of the oil and gas industry and the long operating life of an oil and gas well, it is common for multiple changes in ownership or operation of a well to occur over the lifetime of a production facility. In addition, regulatory agencies may take additional time to update their records and databases to reflect a change to the ownership or operation of a well. Current contact information for the owners/operators, with recent changes noted where applicable, for the Los Angeles County unincorporated portion of oil and gas well facilities in this study are listed below.

- Southern California Gas Company
  Centralized Correspondence
  P.O. Box 3150
  San Dimas, CA 91773
  Fields = Aliso Canyon, Honor Rancho, Playa Del Rey
  Total Wells = 160

- California Resources Corporation
  (Previous operator Vintage Production California LLC)
  CRC Long Beach
  111 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 800
  Long Beach, CA, 90802
  Steve Greig, Director Government Affairs
  Fields = Del Valle, Newhall-Potrero, Honor Rancho, Wayside Canyon, Ramona, Ramona North
  Total Wells = 135

- Breitburn Operating L.P.
  707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 4600
  Los Angeles, California 90017
  Antonio D'Amico, Vice President
  Investor Relations & Government Affairs
  Fields = Rosecrans, Rosecrans South, Sawtelle
  Total Wells = 80

- Linn Operating, Inc.
  LINN Energy
  5201 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 100
  Bakersfield, CA 93309
  Trent R. Rosenlieb, P.E., Manager, Government & Regulatory Affairs
  Total Wells = 75
  Fields = Brea Olinda

- Brea Canon Oil Co.
  Brea Canon Oil Co., Inc.
  23903 Normandie Ave
  Harbor City, CA 90710
  Rey Javier, Vice President
  Total Wells = 66
  Fields = Torrance
- Crimson Resource Management Corp.
  5001 California Avenue, Suite 206
  Bakersfield, California 93309
  Kristine Boyer
  Total Wells = 52
  Fields = Hasley Canyon, Castaic Hills, Aliso Canyon
- The Termo Company
  3275 Cherry Avenue
  Long Beach, CA 90807
  Mailing Address:
  P.O. Box 2767
  Long Beach, CA 90801
  Ralph Combs Manager of Regulatory, Community, and Government Affairs
  Total Wells = 47
  Fields = Oak Mountain, Aliso Canyon, Oak Canyon
- Hawker Energy Inc
  (Previous operator TEG Oil and GAS USA Inc.)
  326 S. Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 102
  Redondo Beach, CA 90277
  Total Wells = 37
  Fields = Tapia
- LBTH Inc.
  5574 Everglades St, # B
  Ventura, CA 93003
  Total Wells = 35
  Fields = Del Valle, Ramona
- Watt Mineral Holdings LLC
  2716 Ocean Park Blvd, Suite 2025
  Santa Monica, CA 90405
  Daniel Franchi, Director, Petroleum Operation
  Total Wells = 30
  Fields = Newhall, Placerita
- Matrix Oil
  (Previous operators Oxy USA Inc., California Resources Corporation)
  Matrix Oil

104 W. Anapamu St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Cindy R. True
Total Wells = 20
Fields = Sansinena, Whittier

As the Project moves forward, additional oil company information will be verified to include more operators and reviews.

2.3 Well Inspection Protocol

As part of the compliance review Project, a guidance document was developed to streamline the process for regulatory and environmental review of County oil and gas facilities. This document, the Well Inspection Protocol (Protocol), provides regulatory and field inspection guidance by issue item. The review issue areas are focused on existing DRP, DPH, DPW, DOGGR, and SCAQMD permit requirements and on regulatory requirements as specified in the DOGGR regulations related to surface facilities, in the EPA SPCC regulations, SCAQMD Rules, and Fire Department regulations and County Title 22 requirements. Issues detailed in the Protocol (see Appendices B through D) include:

- Adjacent land use;
- Air Quality;
- Bonding;
- Bonding;
- Business Plans (hazardous materials);
- DOGGR critical wells designation;
- DOGGR Idle Well Program;
- Drilling;
- Emergency Response Plans;
- Fire Issues;
- Hazardous Materials;
- Noise;
- Odors;
- Oil field infrastructure;
- Oil field wastes;
- Permits;
- Pipelines;
- Roads;
- Sanitation;
- Secondary containment;
- Security;
- Setbacks;
- Signage;
- Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plans;
- Storm water basins;
- Sumps;

- Visual and aesthetics; and
- Zoning.

DRP Land Use Permits

The Protocol includes tools for review of the identified DRP land use permits for each facility, to be field-checked for compliance.

DRP Title 22

As acknowledged in the *Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory Report* dated December 2015, many of the wells operating within unincorporated Los Angeles County do not have land use regulatory entitlements from the DRP. Pursuant to the County Zoning Ordinance, the primary land use regulations for oil wells are contained in Title 22, Section 22.24.120 D; the requirements for the A-2, Heavy Agriculture zone district. Therefore, to provide a baseline review for all facilities independent of the existence or type of DRP permit, the Protocol provides that each facility be reviewed pursuant to the Title 22, Section 22.24.120 D requirements as part of the Project.

Other County Permits

County departments DPH, DPW, and Fire provide applicable permit documentation to the Project Staff; these requirements are compared with DRP permit requirements and are reviewed in the field for compliance. DPH records are reviewed for issues related to public nuisance such as noise and vibration complaints or negative health outcomes. DPW data are checked for recent building permits and are field checked against new development at the oil and gas facilities. Fire Department records are reviewed for compliance with all the requirements of the Fire Code.

Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR)

DOGGR permits are typically limited to well down hole requirements, however, these permits are reviewed for consistency with County records and field verified.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)

The SCAQMD has been invited to participate in the review process. In addition, staff has requested odor complaint data from the SCAQMD for each facility to identify any facilities that may have odor complaints and could historically be considered a nuisance for the surrounding residents. SCAQMD Notices of Violation data has also been compiled for each facility. SCAQMD staff have participated in many of the site visits and conducted Rule 1173 air quality monitoring.

The Inspection Protocol is included as Appendix B.

2.4 Compliance Checklists

In order to facilitate documentation of Project findings in the field and to provide a consistent data base for the permit and compliance data collected for the Project, DRP, along with Project consultant MRS, developed checklist forms. The checklists provide a list of information and compliance items, organized by the issue areas identified in the Protocol, to be reviewed as part of the Project site visits. Two checklists were developed and implemented: one applicable to a facility or field as a whole (Facility Checklist) and one specific to a well or group of wells (Well Checklist). Each checklist is annotated with specific permit, land use, zoning, or other applicable information prior to the field verification compliance site visit.

Facility Checklist

The Facility Checklist covers the permit and compliance issues applicable to the overall facility or oil field including land use issues. Regulatory requirements associated with the facility are addressed, including DOGGR requirements, SCAQMD Rules, EPA SPCC requirements and Fire Department regulatory requirements. Appendix C provides details and specific regulatory references for each item under review. Regulatory issues are examined in the site visits as appropriate for each site. The adequacy of adherence to regulations and procedures that have been put in place to promote the protection of public health and safety and the environment are the focus of site visits. For example, the adequacy of a facility's spill plan and emergency response plan, in addition to myriad issues that could increase the risk of releases or spills, are included in the checklist as focal items for review. General data collected and annotated on the Facility Checklist includes:

- facility name;
- operator;
- facility and surrounding area land use;
- facility and surrounding area zoning;
- location of closest residence;
- location of closest school;
- location of closest drainage or creek;
- DRP permits;
- DOGGR permits;
- SCAQMD Rules;
- County fire permits;
- facility operational notes;
- neighbor issues and complaint history; and
- all environmental issue area subjects identified in the Protocol.

Note that the Facility Checklist was updated from the one that was used in the initial report to expand and clarify some items. Also, as part of the site inspection, some items are included in the Facility Checklists that are not specifically addressed as part of a regulatory requirement but that constitute "good engineering practice", such as the use or berms at well sites or leak detection and alarm systems on pipelines. Note that the purpose of the checklists and the site visits is to gather information for future decision making. The Facility Checklist is included as Appendix C.

Well Checklist

The Well Checklist covers the permit and compliance issues applicable to an individual or group of wells. General data collected and annotated on the Well Checklist includes:

- Well identification;
- Well field;
- Well lease;
- DOGGR well status;
- Well status at time of field visit;
- Required signage;
- Fencing;

- Berms;
- SCAQMD Rule 1173;
- DOGGR critical wells and associated requirements; and
- Idle wells, idle well testing, and idle well history.

Note that the Well Checklist was updated from the one that was used in the initial report to expand and clarify some items. The Well Checklist is included as Appendix D.

2.5 Public Health Screening Assessment

As noted in the first report for this Project, one component to be addressed as part of this effort is the assessment of the potential public health and safety risks associated with existing oil and gas facilities. Per the March 2016 Board motion, oil and gas facilities should "be prioritized for further action based on highest health or environmental risks" and "consideration should be given to the age and history of the facility, the proximity of nearby communities (specifically disadvantaged communities) or sensitive populations, and whether the facility is operating using controversial well stimulation techniques (such as hydraulic fracking)." For this report, the environmental, public health and safety issues have been included in the Facility and Well Checklists and then compiled into a separate checklist summary, which was used to screen facilities for additional assessment or review. The public health, safety and environmental risks are based primarily on a well or facility's proximity to populations; the presence of high pressure, high hydrogen sulfide gas in production; and operating pressures of subject wells and equipment. Environmental risks are based on a well or facility's proximity to creeks and waterbodies and on the presence of berms and free-flowing wells. The findings made as a result of conducting the public health screening assessment are included in Section 3.0 for each oil and gas facility visited for this Project to date. The Public Health Screening Checklists are included in Appendix E.

3.0 Facilities Inspected

Two facilities were reviewed in the first report:

- Matrix Sansinena.
- Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain.

This second report also includes for review the following additional facilities, owned by six operators, assessed as part of the Project through January 2017:

- Termo – Oak Canyon Field.
- Linn Energy – Brea Olinda Field.
- California Resources Company – Del Valle, Newhall-Potrero, Honor Rancho, Wayside Canyon, Ramona, and Ramona North Fields.
- Crimson Resource Management Company – Hasley Canyon, Castaic Hills, and Aliso Canyon Fields.
- Brea Canon Oil Company – Torrance Field.
- Breitburn Operating L.P. – Rosecrans, and Rosecrans South Fields.

The following sections, starting with an update to the two operations included in the first report, include findings from the Strike Team field visits and facility review through January 2017. See Figure 1 for a County-wide map of the oil field locations.

Figure 1 – Oil Field Locations



3.1 Matrix Sansinena

The Matrix Sansinena facility was inspected on August 9, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire along with the County consultant attended the inspection; a list of attendees is included in Appendix F. The Matrix Sansinena site was selected as one of the initial sites for review due to its proximity to residences and its operational potential for affecting the surrounding community.

Facility Description

The Matrix Sansinena facility consists of 13 electrically powered wells and a 3 phase emulsion pipeline to an offsite production area known as Site 8 located at 2342 Rancho Drive in the City of La Habra Heights. The facility does not contain any storage tanks, flares, or any processing equipment. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4. The wells have been idle for approximately one year, and the facility is not currently operational. The facility recently changed ownership from CRC to Matrix, and the new company is preparing to bring the facility back into production. The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Matrix Sansinena facility. Figure 2 provides an aerial view of the facility.

Matrix Sansinena Well Overview	
Operator	Matrix Oil
Current Zoning	A-1-5 Light Agricultural
Number of Wells	13 (DOGGR)
Fields	Sansinena
Leases	Sansinena
DOGGR District (s)	1
DOGGR Operator Code	O2475
DRP Permit	ZEC 965 issued 1952

Matrix Sansinena Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-1-5	Agricultural	Commercial nursery
South	R-A-2000	Residential, SCE	SCE facility
East	A-1-5	SCE	SCE facility
West	RA	Residential	City of La Habra Heights
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	224	Well	Site is inactive
Closest School	750	Well	Site is inactive
Closest Drainage	300	Well	Site is inactive

Figure 2 – Matrix Sansinena Site 9 Aerial

Findings

The review of the facility permit conditions and regulatory compliance during the site inspections performed on August 9, 2016, produced a set of findings, as listed below. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations to determine regulatory compliance. The Facility Checklist documents 127 (where applicable) items reviewed during the facility site visit; of those 127 items, items of note are listed in the table below:

Matrix Sansinena Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Setbacks	SB.2	Wells are within setback distance identified by DOGGR for critical wells.	DOGGR has not made a determination on critical wells status designation. All wells currently idle. Critical wells require additional safety measures and equipment, recommend DOGGR review prior to facility re-start.
Security	S.3	Outer fence does not have DOGGR required 3 barbed wires at top of fence.	Inner fence does have 3 barbed wire design but does not completely encircle facility. Access to the facility is not limited by DOGGR compliant fencing. Recommend operator review of facility fencing prior to facility re-start.

Matrix Sansinena Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Secondary Containment	SC.3	Berms and storm water sumps may not be sufficient to contain large release.	Not all areas are protected by berms and containment. Large release unlikely because facility does not have tanks or pressure vessels, wells have individual cellars. Recommend operator review of berms prior to facility re-start.
Emergency Response Plan	ER.2	Emergency Response Plan (ERP)	This review item, incomplete from the first report, has been submitted and reviewed. No further action is required.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proper drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Based on the nature of the compliance items identified, it has been determined that the facility is in overall compliance; however, additional follow-up will be necessary once the facility becomes operational and once the required plans are updated, submitted and reviewed.

Finally, as mentioned above, this facility is a production facility that transports all products to a separate facility for separation, processing and transportation to refineries. The processing facilities, although integral to the overall production of oil and gas from the Matrix Sansinena facility, are located and regulated in the City of La Habra Heights outside of the County's unincorporated area. A complete evaluation of the overall operation, once the Sansinena facility restarts production, should include a review of the processing and transportation facilities located within the City of La Habra Heights.

Checklists, along with other data for the Matrix Sansinena facility, are included in Appendix F. The table below provides a summary of County land use permits.

Matrix Sansinena Land Use Permit(s) Summary			
County DRP Permit	**Number of Conditions**	**Number in Compliance**	**Compliance Notes**
ZEC 965	5	5	Permit condition #1 includes Title 22 requirements by reference. Permit condition #2 not required per 1/20/53 Office of County Engineer and Surveyor letter. Permit condition #5 not applicable as facility does not contain permit specific infrastructure.
Title 22	14	14	No issues noted.

*DRP land use permit conditions total for environmental or safety permit conditions, non-administrative.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit are summarized in this section. Research on the SCAQMD web site for Matrix Sansinena, Facility ID 182930, did not result in any Notice of Violation (NOV) or Notice to Comply (NTC) documentation for the previous ten-year time period. No compliance data from DOGGR for this site has been received as of the date of this report.

Matrix Sansinena Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Sansinena Facility ID 182930	None	-
DOGGR	Sansinena	None received	-

Health Screen Summary

Matrix operates 13 wells located at this site within the jurisdiction of the County. The processing facility is located within the City of La Habra Heights. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a high public health risk for one of the four items. This particular item is related to the facilities proximity to sensitive receptors for the wells, where wells are located less than 100 feet from nursery employee areas and 160 feet from homes. For the remaining areas, including high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities, public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low as no pipelines or wells are located immediately adjacent to waterways, and the site area is bermed. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix F.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Matrix Sansinena – Fencing and Landscape Screening

Matrix Sansinena – Well Pad Area and Adjacent Residential Use

Matrix Sansinena – Idle Wells

Matrix Sansinena – Wells

Matrix Sansinena – Well 9A 12

Matrix Sansinena – Well Pad Area

3.2 Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain

The Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain facilities were inspected on August 16, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPW, and Fire along with MRS attended the inspection; a list of attendees is included in Appendix G. The Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain facilities were selected as part of the first report due to the proximity of the facilities to the Gas Company's Aliso Canyon Gas Storage Facility and the County's familiarity with the site.

Facility Description

The Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain facilities consist of 24 total oil wells, two tank farm/processing locations, and associated pipelines; pipelines include oil, gas and injection lines and range in diameter from two to three inches. The pipelines transport the well production to one of the two tank farm facilities where the oil and water are separated with the use of various tanks and heater treater vessels. The dehydrated oil is stored in stock tanks prior to shipping to market by tanker truck. The produced water is stored in tanks and is then either re-injected back into the producing formation via a water injection well or transported by pipeline to the adjacent Southern California Gas Company facility. The produced gas is dehydrated by gas scrubbers and transported via an existing pipeline to the Southern California Gas Company facility. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain facilities. Figure 3 provides an aerial view of the facilities.

Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain Overview	
Operator	The Termo Company
Current Zoning	A-2 Heavy Agricultural
Number of Wells	24 (DOGGR)
Fields	Oak Mountain Aliso Canyon
Leases	Del Aliso Del Aliso 1 Gardett Oat Mountain Orcutt Roosa
DOGGR District (s)	2
DOGGR Operator Code	T1200
DRP Permit	RPP 200602106 issued 2006

Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-2	Oil & Gas, Open Space	Telecommunication towers along ridge lines
South	A-2	Oil & Gas, Open Space	

Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain Adjacent Land Use Overview			
East	A-2	Oil & Gas, Open Space	
West	A-2	Oil & Gas, Open Space	
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Notes**	
Closest Residence	6,336	Porter Ranch Community School	
Closest School	8,741	Porter Ranch Community School	
Closest Drainage	Topography along ridgeline with multiple drainages		

Figure 3 – Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain Aerial

Findings

The subject permit conditions along with the site inspections performed on August 16, 2016, did not result in any significant compliance issues. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations. The Facility Checklist documented review of 127 (where

applicable) verification items completed during the facility background research and site visit inspection; of those 127 items, items of note are listed in the table below:

Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	SG.2	A vessel at well pad site should be labeled	Recommend that the gas separation vessel at Oat Mountain Del Aliso Well 1-4 pad site be appropriately labeled.
Bonding	B.1 thru B.3	Bonding information	This review item, incomplete from the first report has been submitted and reviewed, no further action is required.
Secondary Containment	SC.4	Dikes/berms	Maintenance on existing and new installation of berms for all well cellar areas was underway at the date of the site inspection. No further action is recommended.
Fire	F.5	Flow tests for fire hydrants	This review item, incomplete from the first report has been submitted and reviewed, no further action is required.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proper drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Checklists, along with other data for the Termo facilities, are included in Appendix G. County DRP permits for the Termo wells are listed in the table below.

Termo Field Land Use Permit(s)					
Permit Type	**Permit Year**	**Permit Number**	**APNs Linked to Permit**	**Number of Wells On Permit**	**Number of Wells on APNs in DOGGR Data Base**
RPP	2006	200602106	2826017040	1	3

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to Comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

Termo Aliso Canyon and Oat Mountain Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Aliso Canyon, Facility ID 83508	5 NOVs	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Oat Mountain, Facility ID 97081	6 NOVs and 5 NTCs	Corrected, in compliance
DOGGR	Oat Mountain	Deficiency letter, 4 items	Pending

Health Screen Summary

Termo operates 24 wells located at these sites within the jurisdiction of the County. The gas processing facility is located at the adjacent Gas Company facility. Oil is stored and shipped by truck. There are only onsite oil pipelines. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facilities rank as a low public health risk for all of the items. This is due to the remoteness of facility's to sensitive receptors for the wells and the absence of high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and the type of drilling activities at the sites. Public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low as wells are not free flowing, no wells are located immediately adjacent to waterways, and the tank site areas are bermed. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix G.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Termo Facilities – Main Gate (Southern California Gas Company)

Termo Aliso Canyon – Well, Pump Enclosure, and Well Cellar Secondary Containment

Termo Aliso Canyon – Process Treatment Vessel

Termo Aliso Canyon – Tank Farm

Termo Aliso Canyon – Tank Farm and Loading Rack

Termo Oat Mountain – Well and Adjacent Land Use Telecommunications

Termo Oat Mountain – Process Treatment Vessels

Termo Oat Mountain – Tank Farm

3.3 Termo Oak Canyon

The Termo Oak Canyon facilities were inspected on December 6, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPW, and DPH along with the SCAQMD and MRS attended the inspection; a list of attendees is included in Appendix H.

Facility Description

The Termo Oak Canyon facilities consist of 23 total oil wells, a gas cogeneration facility, a tank farm, a waste water facility, and associated pipelines; pipelines include oil, gas and injection lines and range in diameter from two to three inches. The gas cogeneration facility and tank farm are sited in different locations. The pipelines transport the well production to the tank farm facility where the oil and water are separated, some oil/water separation occurs at well heads. The dehydrated oil is stored in stock tanks prior to shipping to market by tanker truck. The produced water is stored in tanks, transferred to the waste water facility, and then re-injected back into the producing formation via a water injection well for water flood enhanced recovery. The produced gas is dehydrated by gas scrubbers and used to fuel the cogeneration system. The facility does not have a flare. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Termo Oak Canyon facilities. Figure 4 provides an aerial view of the facilities.

Termo Oak Canyon Overview	
Operator	The Termo Company
Current Zoning	A-2 Heavy Agricultural
Number of Wells	23 (DOGGR)
Fields	Oak Canyon
Leases	L.W. Gilmour Fee Rosann USL-F USL-G USL-H W. E. Stevens B
DOGGR District (s)	2
DOGGR Operator Code	T1200
DRP Permit	None

Termo Oak Canyon Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-2-2	Vacant	
South	A-2-2	Vacant	
East	A-2-2	Vacant, single family residential	
West	A-2-2	Vacant, single family residential	
Sensitive Land Uses			

Termo Oak Canyon Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	1,895	Well Roseann 1	Idle
Closest School	12,182	Well Roseann 1	Idle
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

Figure 4 – Termo Oak Canyon Aerial



Findings

The subject permit conditions along with the site inspections performed on December 6, 2016, did not result in any significant compliance issues. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations. The Facility Checklist documented review of 127 (where applicable) verification items completed during the facility background research and site visit inspection; items of note are listed in the table below.

Termo Oak Canyon Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification

Termo Oak Canyon Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
		posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proper drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Checklists, along with other data for the Termo facilities, are included in Appendix H. The only DRP permit found relating to the Termo facilities is related to the Oat Mountain wells. See Section 3.2.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies, as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit, are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to Comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

Termo Oat Canyon Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Oak Canyon, Facility ID 83509	1 NTC	Corrected, in compliance
DOGGR	No documents received to date		

Health Screen Summary

Termo operates 23 wells located at this site within the jurisdiction of the County. The gas processing and cogeneration area is located onsite. Oil is stored and subsequently transported by truck, no oil or gas is transported by pipeline. The facility's rank as a low public health risk for all of the high priority public health risk items. This is due to the large distance of the facility's to sensitive receptors and the absence of high pressures, absence of hydrogen sulfide and the type of historical drilling activities. Public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low as wells are not free flowing, no wells are located immediately adjacent to waterways, and the tank site and well areas are bermed. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix H.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Termo Oak Canyon – Gas Plant/Cogeneration Facility

Termo Oak Canyon – Tank Battery

Termo Oak Canyon – Well

Termo Oak Canyon – Well

3.4 Linn Energy

The Linn Energy facilities were inspected on September 15, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPW, DPH, Fire along with DOGGR staff and MRS attended the inspection; a list of attendees is included in Appendix I.

Facility Description

The Linn Energy Brea Olinda Field facility consists of 7 active wells, 58 idle wells, 10 plugged and abandoned wells, one emulsion storage tank, and a 3-phase emulsion pipeline to an offsite production facility. The offsite production facility, the Brea Canon Production Facility, is located in Orange County. The field does have a tank farm with a single, 2,400 bbl emulsion tank, in use with a level controller that controls a pump. Emulsion is pumped through a single pipeline to the processing facility offsite in Orange County (Brea Canon Production Facility). Produced gas is transferred via pipeline to the Stearns Gas Plant also located in Orange County. All active wells are powered by electricity and have fencing around the pump jack and dirt berm tertiary containment around the well cellars. The Field does not have any flares or any processing equipment located within the jurisdiction of Los Angeles County. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4. Linn Energy has an active plug and abandonment program with 10 plug and abandonment well operations completed and approximately 10 idle wells scheduled for plug and abandonment per year. The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Brea Olinda Field facility.

The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Linn Energy facility. Figure 5 provides an aerial view of the facilities.

Linn Energy Overview	
Operator	Linn Energy
Current Zoning	A-1.5 Agricultural
Number of Wells	75 (DOGGR)
Fields	Brea Olinda
Leases	Grazide-Fisher Puente Puente-Orange Rowland Grazide Orange-Rowland Orange-Grazide Menchego-Fisher
DOGGR District (s)	1
DOGGR Operator Code	L2025
DRP Permit	ZEC 216 Issued 1949

Linn Energy Brea Olinda Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	RPD-1.5U O-S A-1.5 A-1-15000 A-1-1	Oil and Gas Open Space Residential	Unincorporated LA County

Linn Energy Brea Olinda Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
South	A1 R1 A-1-5	Residential	Unincorporated Orange County (A1) City of Brea (R-1) Unincorporated LA County (A-1-5)
East	A-1-5 A-2-1	Oil and Gas Open Space	Unincorporated LA County
West	RA RA-SPO OS-C	Residential	City of La Habra Heights
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	236	C-14	Active
	245	C-13	Active
	316	Tank	NA
Closest School	1,833	C-14	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

Figure 5 – Linn Energy Aerial



Findings

The review of the facility permit conditions and regulatory compliance during the site inspections performed on September 15, 2016, produced a set of findings, as listed below. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations to determine regulatory compliance. The Facility Checklist documents 127 (where applicable) items reviewed during the facility site visit; items of note are listed in the table below.

Linn Energy Brea Olinda Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Oil Field Waste	FW.4	Some scrap metal and concrete is located throughout the field; however, the material does not belong to operator.	Although the material does not belong to the current operator of the wells and does not produce a significant visibility or aesthetic impact, it is recommended that the operator work with the responsible party to remove the materials as feasible.
Fire Department Oversight	HM.1 F.2	The Orange County Fire Department oversees the Brea-Olinda Oil Field because the majority of the field is located in Orange County.	It is recommended that LA County Fire continue to coordinate with Orange County Fire via the existing AHJ (Authority Having Jurisdiction) Agreement on the oversight of the Brea-Olinda Oil Field.

Linn Energy Brea Olinda Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proper drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Based on the field verification conducted and the nature of the compliance items identified, it has been determined that the facility is in overall compliance. Finally, as discussed above, with seven active producing wells, the oil field's production is transported to a separate facility located in Orange County for processing.

County DRP permits for the Linn Energy Brea wells are listed in the table below.

Linn Energy Brea Olinda Field Land Use Permit(s)					
Permit Type	**Permit Year**	**Permit Number**	**APNs Linked to Permit**	**Number of Wells On Permit**	**Number of Wells on APNs in DOGGR Data Base**
ZEC	1949	216	8269081001 8269081002	Not Noted	8

Checklists, along with other data for the Linn Energy facilities, are included in Appendix I.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies, as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit, are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

Linn Brea Olinda Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Linn Brea Olinda, Facility ID 151415	1 NTC	Corrected, in compliance
DOGGR	No documents received to date		

Health Screen Summary

Linn Energy operates 75 wells from this site within the jurisdiction of the County. The processing facility is located within Orange County, with some emulsion tank storage in Los Angeles County. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a high public health risk for one of the four items. This particular item is related to the facility's proximity to sensitive receptors for some well pads, where some wells are located less than 100 feet from a nursery employee area and less than 250 feet from homes. For the remaining areas, including high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities, public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are considered to be moderate as some wells and pipelines are located immediately adjacent to waterways, yet none of the wells are free flowing. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix I.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Linn Energy Brea Olinda – Well

Linn Energy Brea Olinda – Well

Linn Energy Brea Olinda – Tank Farm

Linn Energy Brea Olinda – Nearby Homes

Linn Energy Brea Olinda – Abandoned Well Marker

Linn Energy Brea Olinda – Tank Farm

3.5 California Resources Company (CRC)

The CRC operates in six oil fields within unincorporated Los Angeles County: Del Valle, Newhall-Potrero, Honor Rancho, Wayside Canyon, Ramona, and North Ramona. These fields were divided into three groups (i.e., two fields per group), each group being inspected by the Strike Team on separate dates: October 18, 2016 (Newhall-Potrero), November 1, 2016 (Del Valle and Ramona), and December 6, 2016 (Honor Rancho and Wayside Canyon). Staff from County DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire along DOGGR and SCAQMD staff and the County consultant attended the inspections; a list of attendees for each inspection is included in Appendix J.

Facility Description

The CRC wells can be grouped into three main geographic areas with separate processing facilities consistent with the site inspection schedule noted above and as described below.

Newhall-Potrero Fields (Ferguson and Rancho San Francisco Wells)

These fields contain a total of 38 active and 9 idle wells, the Ferguson Tank Battery, the Ferguson Gas Plant, pipelines, and a truck loading rack. Produced oil is transported from the well heads to the Ferguson Tank Battery, where the water is removed and the oil transported off-site via tanker truck. Produced water is injected back into the reservoir with a water disposal injection well; the fields do not utilize water flood recovery methods. Produced gas is gathered at the Ferguson Gas Plant where it is processed and sold via pipeline to the Gas Company. The Ferguson Tank Battery and Gas Plant operate with a vapor recovery system that goes to a flare during upset conditions. The flare was operating on the Strike Team inspection day. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

The operator informed staff that much of the property within the Newhall-Potrero Fields are used as temporary filming sets for movies, commercials, and television shows. Staff noted that structures and prepared scenes, as identified by the operator, are used for this purpose.

Del Valle and Ramona Fields (Barnes, N.L.F., Lincoln, Kern, Orduno, Langdon, and Ramona Wells)

These fields contain a total of 12 active wells and 70 inactive wells, the Kern Tank Farm, the Barnes Tank Farm, the N.L.F. Tank Farm, and truck loading racks at each tank farm. Produced oil is de-watered at each tank farm and is transported off-site via tanker truck. Produced water is re-injected back into the reservoir with a water disposal injection well; the fields do not utilize water flood recovery methods. Produced gas is transported by pipeline to the Santa Clara Gas Plant located in Ventura County; no gas treatment occurs on these CRC sites. All storage tanks and truck loading racks operate with a vapor recovery system tied to a vapor recovery compressor and the gas system. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

The Los Angeles County Fire Del Valle Training Center is located on the same parcel, APN 3271003900, as some of the Del Valle wells.

Honor Rancho and Wayside Fields

The Honor Rancho and Wayside fields contain a total of 17 active and 8 idle wells, the Honor Ranch Tank Battery, the Wayside Tank Battery, a truck loading rack, and a flare. All produced oil and gas goes to the Honor Ranch tank facility, the Wayside Tank facility is not in service and contains two out-of-service tanks. Produced oil is de-watered on-site and transported via tanker truck; the loading rack has a vapor recovery system. All produced gas is flared except for some that is used as make-up gas for the vapor recovery system. Produced water is re-injected back into the reservoir with a water disposal injection well; the fields do not utilize water flood recovery methods. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

These wells and facilities are located on County-owned property that also houses the Pitchess Detention Center North, a Los Angeles County Sheriff Department medium-security jail.

The tables below provide summaries of key information for the CRC facilities. Figures 6 and 7 provide an aerial view of the facilities.

CRC Fields Well Overview	
Operator	California Resources Company
Number of Wells	135
Current Zoning	A-2 Heavy Agricultural (78) R-1 Single Family Residence (4) OS Open Space (1) SP Specific Plan (52)
Fields	Del Valle Newhall-Potrero Honor Rancho Wayside Canyon Ramona Ramona, North
Leases	Barnes Ferguson Honor Rancho 'A' (NCT-1) Honor Rancho 'A' (NCT-2) Kern Langdon Lincoln N. L. & F. North Ramona Orduno Rancho San Francisco Wayside Canyon Unit
DOGGR District (s)	2
DOGGR Operator Code	V1370
DRP Permit	RPP201000886 RPP201301090 RPP201200350

* Well number may not match well total due to multiple zoning or revised APNs.

CRC Newhall and Potrero Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	R-1 SP	Vacant	Some agricultural use.
South	SP O-S A-2	Vacant	
East	SP R-1	Vacant Elementary School	
West	SP	Vacant	Some agricultural use.
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Status**
Closest Residence	1,407	Ferguson 8	Active
	2,817	Ferguson Tank Farm	Active
	11,435	RSF Gas Plant	Active
Closest School	3,823	Ferguson 8	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

CRC Del Valle and Ramona Fields Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-2-2 SP	Vacant	
South	A-2-2 SP	Vacant	
East	M-1 SP A-2-2	Vacant	LA County Fire Del Valle Training Center on same parcel as some Del Valle wells.
West	A-2-2	Vacant and County of Ventura	
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	3,472	Well Lincoln 20	Idle
	9,708	Well Kern 30	Active
Closest School	6,356	Well Lincoln 4	Active
	9,708	Well Kern 30	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

CRC Honor Rancho and Wayside Fields Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-2-5	Vacant	
South	A-2-2	Pitchess Detention Center	County Jail
East	A-2-5	Vacant	City of Santa Clarita east of Wayside Canyon

CRC Honor Rancho and Wayside Fields Adjacent Land Use Overview			
West	A-2-2	Vacant	I-5 Freeway
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	2,066	Wayside 58H	Active
	607 (Jail Dorm)	Honor Ranch 17	Active
Closest School	4,286	Wayside 58H	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

Figure 6 – California Resources Company Facilities Aerial



Figure 7 – California Resources Company Facilities Aerial

Findings

The review of the facility permit conditions and regulatory compliance during the site inspections performed on 10/18/2016, 11/01/2016, and 12/6/16 produced a set of findings, as listed below. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations to determine regulatory compliance. The Facility Checklist documents 127 (where applicable) items reviewed during the facility site visit; items of note are listed in the tables below.

CRC Newhall-Potrero Fields Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proper drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day

			deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

CRC Del Valle and Ramona Fields Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proposer drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

CRC Honor Rancho and Wayside Fields Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proposer drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Based on the nature of the recommendations identified above, it was determined that each facility is in overall compliance.

Checklists, along with other data for the CRC fields, are included in Appendix J.

County DRP permits for the CRC wells are listed in the table below.

CRC Field Land Use Permit(s)					
Permit Type	**Permit Year**	**Permit Number**	**APNs Linked to Permit**	**Number of Wells On Permit**	**Number of Wells on APNs in DOGGR Data Base**
RPP	2010	201000886	2866004900 2866004905	4	13
RPP	2014	201301090	2866004900 2866004901 2866004905 2866004909	5	22
RPP	2012	201200350	2866004900 2866004905	4	14

Checklists, along with other data for the CRC facilities, are included in Appendix J.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies are derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit, and those findings are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to Comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

CRC Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Rancho San Francisco, Facility ID 151899	3 NOVs and 4 NTCs	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Barnes, Facility ID 148895	1 NOV and 1 NTC	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	NLF, Facility ID 148897	2 NOVs	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Honor Rancho/Wayside Canyon, Facility ID 148894	None	
SCAQMD	Lincoln Facility ID 148896	None	
SCAQMD	Kern Orduno Facility ID 164709	None	
SCAQMD	Langdon Facility ID 165538	None	
SCAQMD	Middle Orduno Facility ID 168998.	None	
DOGGR	Newhall/Potrero (RSF) Facilities	Full compliance letter 1/6/16	
DOGGR	Newhall/Potrero (RSF) Facilities	Inspection report 10/18/16	Pending
DOGGR	Del Valle/Romana Facilities	NOV, 2 items	Pending

Health Screen Summary

Newhall-Potrero Fields

CRC operates 47 wells located at these sites within the jurisdiction of the County. The gas processing is transported by pipeline to the Gas Company. Oil is stored and shipped by truck. The only oil pipelines are onsite, no oil is transported by pipeline. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facilities rank as

a low public health risk for all items. This is due to the remoteness of facility's and wells to residences and the temporary nature of activities associated with filming onsite. The other public health risk items present a low risk due to the absence of high pressures, absence of hydrogen sulfide and low level of historical drilling activities. As a result, public health risk levels are considered low. Spill risks are low since wells are not free flowing, and, although some wells are located adjacent to waterways, those well areas and the tank site are appropriately bermed, ameliorating the spill risk. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix J.

Del Valle and Ramona Fields

CRC has 84 wells located at these sites within the jurisdiction of the County. The gas processing is transported by pipeline to the Santa Clara Gas Plant in Ventura County. Oil is stored and shipped by truck. Only onsite oil pipelines. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a moderate public health risk for one of the four items. This is due to some of the wells being located within the LACO Fire Department Del Valle Training Center in proximity (nearer than 100 feet) to buildings, although all wells are located a substantial distance from residences. The other high priority public health risk items present a low risk due to the absence of high pressures, absence of hydrogen sulfide and low level of historical drilling activities. Public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low since wells are not free flowing and no wells are located immediately adjacent to waterways and the tank site and wells areas are bermed, which provides secondary containment in the event of a spill. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix J.

Honor Rancho and Wayside Canyon Fields

CRC operates 25 wells located at these sites within the jurisdiction of the County. The gas is flared at the site. Oil is stored and then shipped by truck. The only oil pipelines are onsite. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a low public health risk for all four items. This is due to facility's and wells not being in proximity to residences, although some wells are located near the County jail buildings. The other public health risk issues present a low risk due to the absence of high pressures, absence of hydrogen sulfide and low level of historical drilling activities. As a result, public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low as wells are not free flowing and, although some wells are located adjacent to waterways, well areas and the tank sites are bermed. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix J.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

CRC Ferguson – Gas Plant

CRC Kern – Well #1

CRC Honor Rancho – Tank Battery

CRC Wayside Canyon – Out-of-Service Tank Battery

CRC Orduno – Well

CRC NLF – Tank Battery

3.6 Crimson Resource Management (CRM)

CRM operates in three oil fields in Los Angeles County, Aliso Canyon, Castaic Hills, and Hasley Canyon. These fields were inspected by the Strike Team on November 15, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire along DOGGR and SCAQMD staff and the County consultant attended the inspections; a list of attendees for each inspection is included in Appendix K.

Facility Description

The CRM wells can be grouped into three main field areas with separate processing facilities as described below.

Aliso Canyon (Standard-Sesnon Wells)

This field contains a total of 4 active, 8 idle wells, and associated pipelines. All well production is transported from the well heads to facilities operated by the Gas Company. The produced oil is processed at a Gas Company Tank Farm at the Gas Company facilities, and produced water is re-injected back into the reservoir in a Gas Company injection well. Wells are powered by the produced gas in small, less than 50 horse power, pump engines that utilize propane for backup fuel. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

Castaic Hills Field (CHU and Rynne-Fisher Wells)

This field contains 12 active and 12 idle wells, two tank farms, and associated pipelines. All produced oil goes to the Turney Tank Farm where the water is removed and the oil is transported by tanker truck. Produced water is transported from the Turney Tank Farm to the Golden Tank Farm where the water is removed by tanker truck and appropriately disposed. All produced gas is burned on-site at the CHU 144-36 well pump engine. Production volumes are low, averaging 35 barrels of oil per day and 40 barrels of water per day. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

Hasley Canyon Field (Burns Crist, Mabel E. Strawn, Mabel Strawn, McGillevrae, and Saad Wells)

This field contains 14 active and 2 idle well, several tank farms and associated pipelines tying most of the facilities together. The Mable E. Strawn and Mabel Strawn wells oil production is de-watered at the Mabel Strawn Tank farm and transported by tanker truck. Oil production from the McGillevrae wells is processed at the McGillevrae Tank Farm and transported by tanker truck. Burns Crist and Saad wells oil production is taken to the associated Burns Crist and Saad Tank Farms, respectively, the produced oil is then transferred by tanker truck. All Hasley Canyon field produced water is transferred from the various tank farms to the McGillevrae Tank Farm where it is transported off-site by tanker truck. All produced gas is burned at the Mable Strawn, Burns Crist, and Saad Tank farms in small heaters to warm and improve the viscosity of the oil for transfer and loading into tanker trucks. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Crimson field facilities.

Oil and Gas Well Overview	
Operator	Crimson Resource Management Corp.
Number of Wells	52
Current Zoning on Subject APNs and Number of Wells	A-2 Heavy Agricultural (43) M-1.5 Restricted Heavy Manufacturing (2) R-1 Single Family Residence (5) RPD Residential Planned Development (2)
Fields	Hasley Canyon Castaic Hills Aliso Canyon
Leases	Burns Crist CHU Mabel E. Strawn Mabel Strawn McGillevrae Rynne-Fisher Sadd Standard-Sesnon 1
DOGGR District (s)	2
DOGGR Operator Code	C9035

Crimson Aliso Canyon Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-2-2	Vacant	Field in and adjacent to Gas Company wells and infrastructure.
South	A-2-2	Vacant	
East	A-2-2	Vacant	
West	A-2-2	Vacant	
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Status**
Closest Residence	6,240	Well Standard-Sesnon 1- 46	Active
Closest School	8,903	Well Standard-Sesnon 1- 46	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

Crimson Castaic Hills Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	RPD-1-2U A-2-2 R-1-7500 RPD-500-1.9U	Vacant Single family residential	
South	M-1 R—1-5000	Industrial Residential	
East	M-1 R-1 RPD 180	Industrial Residential	

Crimson Castaic Hills Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
West	A-2-2	Vacant	
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	315	Well CHU 144-36	Active
	778	Turney Tank Farm	Active
Closest School	1,109	Well CHU 54-35	Inactive water injection
	3,647	Turney Tank Farm	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

Crimson Hasley Canyon Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Zoning	**Land Use**	**Notes**
North	A-2-2	Vacant	
South	MPD-DP R-1	Vacant	
East	A-2-2 RPD-5000-2.8U M-1.5	Single family residential and warehouses	
West	A-2-2	Single family residential	
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance	**Well/Tank**	**Well Status**
Closest Residence	1,321	Well Burns Crist 6	Active
Closest School	3,647	Well Burns Crist 7	Active
Closest Drainage	Field topography is hilly with multiple drainages.		

Figures 8 provides an aerial overview of the facilities.

Figure 8 – Crimson Resource Management Aerial Views



Findings

The review of the facility permit conditions and regulatory compliance during the site inspections performed on November 15, 2016, produced a set of findings, as listed below. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations to determine regulatory compliance. The Facility Checklist documents 127 (where applicable) items reviewed during the facility site visit; items of note are listed in the tables below.

Crimson Aliso Canyon Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proper drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Crimson Aliso Canyon Field Findings			
Secondary Containment	SC	Two wells contained fluid levels requiring removal per DOGGR inspector, DOGGR 1777(c)(3).	It is recommended that the fluid be removed per DOGGR regulations. It is acknowledged that during rainy season fluid levels in cellars can accumulate after rain events and between vacuum truck maintenance events.

Crimson Castaic Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Oil Field Waste	FW.4	Some scrap metal and concrete, material does not belong to operator.	It is recommended that metal, concrete, trash, and other debris be removed from tank farm and wells sites and disposed of properly.
Fire Fuel Management	F.3	Tank farms have significant amount of weeds/vegetation in and around the facilities.	Weed abatement recommended for safety and compliance with Fire regulations.
Safety/Signage	G.7	The loading racks do not have signage summarizing loading procedures for safety and security.	It is recommended that the loading rack use process and security procedures signage to be placed at all loading rack locations
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proposer drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.
Safety/Security	NA	Well 144-36 has fencing around the well cellar, however, there is no fencing or enclosure around the well pump jack/well pump motor. The well pump motor is a I.C. engine with large fly wheel and belt.	It is recommended that a fence or enclosure around the pump unit be installed due to large moving parts that may represent a safety hazard should a member of the public gain access to area. Recommend fencing be placed around entire pump area.

Crimson Hasley Canyon Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Oil Field Waste	FW.4	Some scrap metal and concrete, material does not belong to operator.	It is recommended that metal, concrete, trash, and other debris be removed from tank farm and wells sites and disposed of properly.
Security	S.2	Saad and Burns Crist Tank Farms fencing not complete or damaged, access by public is possible.	It is recommended that fencing be repaired to prevent access by the public per DOGGR requirements.
Security	S.2	Loading rack at Saad Tank Farm hose lock was not locked.	It is recommended that the loading rack system be locked when not in use and that the loading rack procedure signs should include lock instructions.
Safety/Signage	G.7	The loading racks do not have signage summarizing loading procedures for safety and security.	It is recommended that the loading rack use process and security procedures signage to be placed at all loading rack locations
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	It is recommended that well work requirements be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 2) requirements for proposer drilling mud disposal and storage; 3) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 4) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.

Based on the nature of the compliance items identified above, it has been determined that the facility is in overall compliance.

The County land use permit research did not identify any permits associated with the Crimson wells or oil and gas operations.

Checklists, along with other data for the Crimson facilities, are included in Appendix K.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to Comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

Crimson Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Pending determination of correct Facility ID numbers	Pending	Corrected, in compliance
DOGGR	Aliso Canyon	4/19/16 NOV, 8 items	Pending
DOGGR	Castaic	4/21/16 NOV, 20 items	Pending
DOGGR	Hasley Canyon	4/21/16 NOV, 14 items	Pending

Health Screen Summary

Aliso Canyon

Crimson operates 12 wells located at this site within the jurisdiction of the County. The processing facility is located at the adjacent Gas Company facility. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a low public health risk for all of the four items. This is due to the remoteness of facility's to sensitive receptors to the wells and the absence of high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities. As a result, public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low since wells are not free flowing and no wells are located immediately adjacent to waterways and the tank site areas are bermed, providing secondary containment in the event of an oil spill.

Castaic Hills

Crimson operates 24 wells located at this site within the jurisdiction of the County. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a moderate public health risk for one of the four items based on the findings of the site visit. This particular item is related to the facility's proximity to sensitive receptors for some well pads, which in some cases wells are located 315 feet from residences. For the remaining three areas, including high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities, public health risk levels are low. Spill risks are low since wells are not free flowing and no wells are located immediately adjacent to waterways and the tank site areas are bermed.

Hasley Canyon

Crimson operates 16 wells located at this site within the jurisdiction of the County. Of the high priority public health risk items, the facility's rank as a low public health risk for all of the items. This is due to the remoteness of facility's to sensitive receptors. For the remaining three areas, including high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities, public health risk levels are also considered to be low. Spill risks are considered moderate as some wells are located in proximity to creeks, and not all well pads are bermed, yet wells are not free flowing, and the tank site areas are bermed.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Crimson Aliso Canyon – Well

Southern California Gas Company – Gas Plant

Crimson – Golden Tank Farm Water Loading

Crimson – McGill Tank Farm

Crimson Castaic – Well

Crimson - Burns Christ Tank Farm

3.7 Brea Canon

Brea Canon operates in the Torrance Oil Field in Los Angeles County. The field and associated leases were inspected by the Strike Team on November 29, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire along with SCAQMD and DOGGR staff and the County consultant attended the inspections; a list of attendees for each inspection is included in Appendix L (DOGGR staff did not sign the sign-in sheet).

Facility Description

The Brea Canon wells are grouped into four leases and two basic units, the Joughin Unit and the South Torrance Unit. Production from these wells is processed at two main locations outside the County of Los Angeles at 23903 S. Normandie Avenue and 630 W. Lomita Boulevard in Harbor City. These Brea Canon facilities include tank farms, a gas plant, a cogeneration unit, a truck loading rack, and associated pipelines. Produced oil from the Joughin wells is transferred by pipeline to the Normandie Avenue facility, where it is de-watered and transported off-site by pipeline. The South Torrance well oil production is processed at the Lomita Boulevard location, and the oil is transported by tanker truck. All gas production is transferred by field pipelines to the Normandie Avenue facility, where it is processed and used as fuel in cogeneration turbines to power the wells in the Torrance field. The gas plant does not have a flare. Produced water is re-injected into the reservoir in a water flood well enhancement process. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

The tables below provide summaries of key information for the Brea Canon wells and facilities.

Operator	Brea Canon Oil Company
Number of Wells	66
Current Zoning on Subject APNs and Number of Wells	M-1 Light Manufacturing (1) M-2 Heavy Manufacturing (17) C-2 Neighborhood Business (2) C-3 Unlimited Commercial (9) R-1 Single Family Residence (24) R-3 Limited multiple residence (13)
Fields	Torrance
Leases	Joughin 1 Joughin 4 Joughin Unit South Torrance Unit
DOGGR District (s)	1
DOGGR Operator Code	B6100

Brea Canon Field Adjacent Land Use Overview		
	Zoning	**Land Use**
North	Multiple APNs and Zoning	The Torrance Oil Field wells are scattered throughout the southern portion of the West Carson Zoned District. Wells are adjacent to single family detached homes, mobile homes, industrial and commercial uses, and the 110 Freeway to the west.
South		
East		
West		
Sensitive Land Uses		

Brea Canon Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Status**
Closest Residence	Various	There are 47 wells on properties adjacent to single family detached residences or mobile homes.	
Closest School	1,206	Well Joughin4 - 5	Active

The Brea Canon Torrance Field is located in an urban, built-out environment. The Facility Checklist provides for the review of wells located within certain distances to certain standards as identified by County DRP, the County Fire Code, and DOGGR. The table below summarizes the location criteria and number of wells that meet that critera.

Brea Canon Wells to Public Land Uses		
Checklist ID Code	**Criteria**	**Number of Wells**
SB.2	DOGGR definition of a critical well; 300' from occupied building or airport runaway (DOGGR 1720)	65
SB.3	DOGGR definition as 100' Critical well; 100 from street, highway, navigable/perennial water, public facility (DOGGR 1720)	35
SB.5	DOGGR definition as public nuisance well; Within 100 feet of outer boundary or public street (DOGGR 3600)	53
SB.6	Wells within 20' of a public highway? (Title 22.7)	1
SB.7	Wells within 100' of building? (Fire 3406.3.1.3)	50
SB.8	Wells within 300' of assembly or school? (Fire 3406.3.1.4)	1
SB.9	Wells within 75' of street or railway? (Fire 3406.3.1.2)	18

Figure 9 provides an aerial overview of the facilities.

Figure 9 Brea Canon



Findings

The review of the facility permit conditions and regulatory compliance during the site inspections performed on November 15, 2016, produced a set of findings, as delineated below. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations to determine regulatory compliance. The Facility Checklist documents 127 (where applicable) items reviewed during the facility site visit; items of note are listed in the table below.

Brea Canon Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Well Work Procedures	FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur.	Well work requirements should be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) the use of non-combustible sound proofing material; 2) the use of mufflers/silencers on IC engines; 3) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 4) requirements for proposer drilling mud disposal and storage; 5) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 6) well work procedures that take place in proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.
Brush Clearance	F.3	A few well sites do not have sufficient clearance from brush.	Review all well sites to ensure proper fire department clearance from brush.
Signage	WSG.3	Signs for Wells 7-F and 13-F are difficult to see based on location.	Signs are in compliance; however, it is recommended that the signs be moved for better visibility.
Security	S.3	Well W-3 block perimeter wall is damaged.	It is recommended that the block wall be repaired pursuant to DOGGR regulations.
Secondary Containment	SC.4 SC.5	Some well locations have the potential for storm-water and/or emulsion spill runoff.	It is recommended that certain well site berm design and maintenance be reviewed and modified for better containment volumes. Wells noted for review include 4-E, 5-E, 6-E, 22-F, 11-D, and 12-F.
Signage	WSG.2	Signs for Well AC-31 does not have emergency contact information.	It is recommended that emergency contact information be added to the sign for compliance with DOGGR regulations.

Based on the nature of the compliance items identified above, it has been determined that the facility is in overall compliance.

County DRP permits for the Brea Canon wells are listed in the table below.

Brea Canon Field Land Use Permit(s)					
Permit Type	**Permit Year**	**Permit Number**	**APNs Linked to Permit**	**Number of Wells On Permit**	**Number of Wells on APNs in DOGGR Data Base**
ZEC	1964	7430	7409022037	7	6
ZEC	1965	7553	7409019014	15	1
ZEC	1966	8120	7409029011	Maximum of 20	7

Checklists, along with other data for the Brea Canon facilities, are included in Appendix L.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to Comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

Brea Canon Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Brea Canon, Facility ID 082512	None	
DOGGR	No documents received to date		
Harbor City	Brea Canon pipelines	Oil Spills from pipelines in December 2011, October 2005, March 2010, and June 2010.	To be determined.

Health Screen Summary

Brea Canon operates 66 wells at this site located within the jurisdiction of the County. The processing facility's are located adjacent to the County in the cities of Los Angeles and Harbor City. Of the high priority public health risk items, the Brea Canon facility's rank as a high public health risk for one of the four items. This particular item is related to the facility's' proximity to sensitive receptors, where in some cases wells are located closer than 50 feet from homes. For the remaining areas, including high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities, public health risk levels are considered low. Spill risks are high as pipelines are located immediately adjacent to waterways and, although annual pressure tests are conducted on some portions of the pipeline system, there are no means of detection or alarms in the event of a leak. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix L.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Brea Canon – Well and Adjacent Homes

Brea Canon – Tanks

Brea Canon – Well

Brea Canon – Site 9

Brea Canon – Well in Mobile Home Park

Brea Canon – Pipeline

3.8 Breitburn Energy

Breitburn Energy operates the Rosecrans and Rosecrans South oil fields in Los Angeles County. The fields and associated leases were inspected by the Strike Team on December 13, 2016. Staff from County DRP, DPH, DPW, and Fire and the County consultant attended the inspections; a list of attendees for each inspection is included in Appendix M.

Facility Description

Breitburn Energy operates 47 active and 17 idle wells located in the Rosecrans and Rosecrans South fields. The primary processing facility for the Breitburn Rosecrans and Rosecrans South field wells is the Rosecrans LACT, located at 130 Rosecrans Avenue in the City of Gardena. The Rosecrans LACT facility processes produced oil and produced water from the Rosecrans, Rosecrans South, and seven other leases in the area. The produced water is re-injected into the reservoir in a water flood well enhancement process. Produced gas is processed at a Gas Plant located at 13601 Broadway, Los Angeles. Other Breitburn facilities include the O'Dea Tank Farm, the Callendar Tank Farm, the Crawford Tank Farm and the Riverside Tank Farm. The produced water is pumped to the O'Dea Tank Farm prior to re-injection. The Crawford Tank Farm contains several out-of-service (OOS) tanks. The facility does not use hydraulic fracturing or other enhanced well completion techniques subject to Senate Bill 4.

Ten wells are within parcels directly adjacent to residential lots, and four wells are within parcels located directly across a street buffer from a residential lot.

The tables below summarizes key information for the Breitburn Energy facilities. Figure 10 provides an aerial view of the facilities.

Operator	Breitburn Operating L.P.
Number of Wells	64
Current Zoning on Subject APNs and Number of Wells*	M-2 Heavy Manufacturing (38) M-1.5 Restricted Heavy Manufacturing (23) R-1 Single Family Residence (10) B-1 Buffer Strip (7) IT Institutional (16)
Fields	Rosecrans Rosecrans, South
Leases	Rosecrans Rosecrans A
DOGGR District (s)	1
DOGGR Operator Code	B6131

* Well number may not match well total due to multiple zoning or revised APNs.

Breitburn Energy Field Adjacent Land Use Overview		
	Zoning	**Land Use**
North	Multiple APNs and Zoning	The wells are scattered throughout the southern portion of the Athen Zoned District and the northwestern portion of the Victoria Zoned District. These wells are adjacent to single family detached homes, light to heavy industrial uses, and interwoven by public streets.
South		
East		
West		

Breitburn Energy Field Adjacent Land Use Overview			
Sensitive Land Uses			
	Distance (feet)	**Well/Tank**	**Status**
Closest Residence	43	Well Riverside 1	Active, ICE powered well pump
	1,109	Rosecrans LACT Tank Farm	Active
Closest School	926	Well Padelford 2	Idle
	2,425	Rosecrans LACT Tank Farm	Active

The Breitburn Rosecrans and Rosecrans South fields are located in an urban, built-out environment. The Facility Checklist provides for review of wells located within certain distances to certain standards as identified by County DRP, the County Fire Code, and DOGGR. The table below summerizes the location criteria and number of wells that meet the critera.

Breitburn Energy Wells to Public Land Uses		
Checklist ID Code	**Criteria**	**Number of Wells**
SB.2	DOGGR definition of a critical well; 300' from occupied building or airport runaway (DOGGR 1720)	61
SB.3	DOGGR definition as 100' Critical well; 100 from street, highway, navigable/perennial water, public facility (DOGGR 1720)	11
SB.5	DOGGR definition as public nuisance well; Within 100 feet of outer boundary or public street (DOGGR 3600)	57
SB.6	Wells within 20' of a public highway? (Title 22.7)	0
SB.7	Wells within 100' of building? (Fire 3406.3.1.3)	42
SB.8	Wells within 300' of assembly or school? (Fire 3406.3.1.4)	0
SB.9	Wells within 75' of street or railway? (Fire 3406.3.1.2)	8

Figure 10 – Breitburn Facilities Aerials



Findings

The review of the facility permit conditions and regulatory compliance during the site inspections performed on December 13, 2016, produced a set of findings, as listed below. Information was gathered on land use, zoning, nearby sensitive land uses, existing permits, and facility operations to determine regulatory compliance. The Facility Checklist documents 127 (where applicable) items reviewed during the facility site visit; items of note are listed in the table below.

Breitburn Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
Well Work Procedures	N.2, N.3, N.4, N.5, FI.2, FW.3, AQ.6, AQ.11	Although drilling has not occurred recently at any well sites located within the County, there are a number of requirements that should be followed if drilling were to occur due to the proximity to residences.	Well work requirements should be documented in a well work procedure and should include: 1) the use of non-combustible sound proofing material; 2) the use of mufflers/silencers on IC engines; 3) SCAQMD requirements related to notification (Rule 1148.2) and use of rubber grommets for piping/tubes/rod replacement activities; 4) requirements for proposer drilling mud disposal and storage; 5) Limits on time-of-day deliveries to well sites; 6) well work procedures that take place in

Breitburn Field Findings			
Issue Area	**Checklist ID Code**	**Description**	**Recommendation**
			proximity to the public should be addressed in a Community Health and Safety Plan.
Signage	AQ.8	Perimeter fencing or well sites do not have SCAQMD contact information posted pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 1148.2 (d) (13).	Applicable perimeter or well fencing should have the notification information as per SCAQMD requirements.
Safety/Signage	G.7	The loading racks do not have signage summarizing loading procedures for safety and security.	It is recommended that the loading rack use process and security procedures signage to be placed at all loading rack locations
Noise	NA	Well Riverside 2 submersible pump motor emitted noise at 70 db adjacent to residential neighborhood.	Public Health to provide finding/recommendation.
Safety/Signage	G.7	The loading racks do not have signage summarizing loading procedures for safety and security.	It is recommended that the loading rack use process and security procedures signage to be placed at all loading rack locations

Based on the nature of the compliance items identified above, it has been determined that the facility is in overall compliance.

County DRP permits for the Breitburn wells are listed in the table below.

Breitburn Energy Field Land Use Permit(s)					
Permit Type	**Permit Year**	**Permit Number**	**APNs Linked to Permit**	**Number of Wells On Permit**	**Number of Wells on APNs in DOGGR Data Base**
PP	1989	37782	6132044033	6	1

Checklists, along with other data for the Breitburn facilities, are included in Appendix M.

Other Agency Historical Compliance Issues and Findings

Compliance findings from other regulatory agencies, as derived from agency input, agency web research, or agency field findings during the Strike Team Project field visit, are summarized in the table below. SCAQMD data, Notice of Violations (NOV) and Notice to Comply (NTC) data is from the SCAQMD FIND website for the previous ten-year data period. DOGGR data is as supplied by DOGGR to the Strike Team as of February 2017.

Breitburn Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Callender Lease, Facility ID 150214	None	
SCAQMD	O'Dea Lease Facility/Flare, Facility ID 150209	None	

Breitburn Other Agency Compliance Issues			
Agency	**Location**	**Compliance Item(s)**	**Status**
SCAQMD	Rosecrans LACT, Facility ID 150203	None	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Rosecrans Gas Plant, Facility ID 150208	None	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Crawford Lease, Facility ID 150205	1 NTC	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Riverside Lease, Facility ID 150212	1 NTC	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	O'Dea GenSet, Facility ID 153236	1 NTC	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Rosecrans GenSet, Facility ID 150206	3 NTCs	Corrected, in compliance
SCAQMD	Rosecrans Wells, Facility ID 150197	None	
DOGGR	No documents received to date		

Health Screen Summary

Breitburn operates 64 wells at this site located within the Rosecrans and Rosecrans South fields. The processing facility is located within the jurisdiction of the County. Of the high priority public health risk items, the Breitburn facility's rank as a high public health risk for one of the four items. This particular item is related to the facility's proximity to sensitive receptors; some wells are located less than 50 feet from homes. For the remaining areas, including high pressures, hydrogen sulfide and historical drilling activities, public health risk levels are considered low. Spill risks are considered low as no pipelines are located immediately adjacent to waterways, and there are minimal environmental receptors. The Public Health Screening Checklist is included in Appendix M.

Photographs

Photographs are included on the following pages of this section.

Breitburn Callender – Tank Battery

Breitburn Chandler – 4 Well-Submersible Pump

Breitburn Rosecrans – Loading Rack

Breitburn Rosecrans – Well (Industrial Land Use)

Breitburn Rosecrans – Well (Gravel Pit)

Breitburn – Well (Parking Lot)

4.0 Recommendations to the Board

This report is the second of three such reports to the Board on the Oil and Gas Facility Strike Team Project. This cumulative report provides documentation on the methodology, documentation, health screening, and status of the Project progress, and presents the findings of the field site audits to date. This second report provides an update on all the facilities visited through the end of January 2017. One component of the Project is for Staff to provide recommendations to the Board on the following:

- legislative positions;
- regulatory positions;
- legal positions as necessary; and
- other facilities that may benefit the County by undergoing a similar review.

Recommendations on legislative, regulatory and legal positions are premature at this time and are reserved for the final cumulative report when a comprehensive list of recommendations can be assembled. Section 4.1 below discusses recommendations for other facilities to be evaluated based on Project research and site visits completed to date.

4.1 Recommendations of Other Facilities to be Evaluated

Associated Oil and Gas Facilities Outside County Jurisdiction

With 88 incorporated cities located within Los Angeles County, oil and gas well fields may cross jurisdictional boundaries, wells may be associated with processing facilities located in an adjacent County or City, or oil from wells located outside the County may enter the County by pipeline or tanker truck. For example, as noted in Section 3.0, for the Matrix Sansinena, Linn Energy, CRC, Brea Canon, and Breitburn operations, some produced oil or gas is transported by pipeline to a processing facility located outside the County of Los Angeles.

Therefore, staff recommends, on a case by case basis, that the Board consider review of relevant oil and gas facilities located outside County jurisdiction under the parameters of the Project. Specifically, as discussed above, staff recommends that the County consider contacting the following jurisdictions to discuss Strike Team review of the oil and gas processing facilities under their oversight:

- City of La Habra Heights (Matix Sansinena wells);
- Orange County (Linn Energy wells);
- County of Ventura (CRC Del Valle and Ramona Field wells); and
- Harbor City (Brea Canon wells).

This item is also in support of the recommendation for outreach to surrounding jurisdictions as identified by the Board. Additional recommendations for review of facilities located outside the County will be included in future reports as appropriate.

Oil and Gas Pipelines

The two primary methods for transportation of oil and gas are by pipeline and by truck, with pipeline transport being the preferred method for a variety of environmental reasons. Several counties in California, such as Santa Barbara County, have promulgated oil transportation policies requiring certain new projects to use pipeline for the transportation of oil. Offsite transportation of oil by pipeline in California is regulated by the Department of Transportation (DOT), with the jurisdiction delegated to the

State Fire Marshall in most areas including Los Angeles County. Staff has identified certain criteria which may trigger a recommendation for including an oil or gas pipeline for Strike Team review including:

- high pressure pipelines;
- pipelines containing sour gas;
- pipelines that are routed through residential neighborhoods or other sensitive land uses; and
- pipelines with a documented history of environmental or safety issues.

Staff has not identified any specific pipelines for further review at this stage of the Project; recommendations may be included in future reports as appropriate.

Orphan and Abandoned Wells

These types of wells are not addressed in the scope of this Project; however, during the research and review of well data for this Project, information on the ownership, status, and documentation on the abandonment details for many of these wells may be incomplete. Therefore, staff recommends that these types of wells be considered for review in a future project.

Other Industrial Uses Related to Oil and Gas

As discussed at the initial Advisory Panel meeting, the chemicals used for drilling and well maintenance activities may not be reflected in a facility's hazardous materials business plan or inventory; this is due to the fact that the use is very short term and that chemicals are typically brought on-site on a job by job basis. Staff will conduct further research on the subject to determine the types and amounts of chemicals used and how those chemicals are transported on and off oil and gas facilities and to provide a recommendation on the subject and other industrial uses in the final cumulative report.

5.0 Conclusion

Results to date support that the Project is moving forward in a manner consistent with the Board's March 2016 motion and with the goals as discussed in this report. The *Well Inspection Protocol, Facility Checklist*, *Well Checklist, and Pubic Health Screening Checklist* provide staff with applicable tools to perform the site inspections and to document the infrastructure and compliance of the oil and gas facilities of interest for this review. This report is the second update of three biannual reports on the Project; continued Project activities are listed below and will be documented in future reports.

5.1 Future Actions

Future Project actions shall include the following:

- oil and gas facility site inspections are planned for Watt Minerals Holdings LLC once the field become accessible after recent rain events;
- additional operators are to be contacted for future site visits;
- continued coordination and data gathering with other regulatory agencies is to continue to occur as needed;
- Project Staff meetings are to occur as needed;

- upcoming Advisory Panel meetings are to be held; and
- future biannual report preparation to be completed.

Appendix A: Los Angeles County Oil and Gas Well Inventory Report

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix B: Well Inspection Protocol

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix C: Facility Checklist

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix D: Well Inspection Checklist

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix E Health Screen

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix F Matrix Sansinena Report

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix G Termo Aliso Canyon & Oat Mountain Report

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix H Termo Oak Canyon 3.3

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix I Linn Energy

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix J California Resources Company (CRC)

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix K Crimson Resource Management (CRM)

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix L Brea Canon

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix M Breitburn Energy