Appendix A
Form Letters
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacky Surber

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and our environment and should be rejected.

Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today’s smart-planning world. The traffic problems it would cause are almost unimaginable.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephen Kolodny

90212
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Weaver

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

L Kawecki

91738
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rachel Kelley

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marta Segura

90043
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Caephren McKenna

94610
From: Penelope Prochazka <propen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 30, 2017 6:26 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Penelope Prochazka

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angela Sharaby

93510
From: Marie Alabiso <Biscotti2@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 30, 2017 9:57 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marie Alabiso

02360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diane Sheheen

29078
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jason Phelps

48234
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sara sexton

76266
From: sara sexton <ssexton@sangertexas.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 1:37 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sara sexton

76266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

annamarie jones

96101
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Meredith Mohr

21921
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Gellman-Rodriguez

33810
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Zachary Finn

46012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Colleen Boye

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Morrison

95608
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Natalia Aviles

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marisol Morales

09850
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gloria Mejia

92543
From: dani Casselman <riogirl8@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 10:06 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

dani Casselman

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Feeney

80304
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jackie maldonado

60073
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Devin Brooke

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Taylor

91326
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

james kawamura

92336
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jen Lockett

98122
From: Thomas Jenson <twesley8000@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 22, 2017 6:22 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thomas Jenson

91206
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

tami mccready
93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stacie Ebling

94533
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Misha George

23513
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathi Ridgway

43110
From: Linda Prandi <lindaprandi@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2017 4:02 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Prandi

95834
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

claudia sall

92268
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Phil Fitzgerald

66061
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pat Parkin

LE10 2RL
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ann-Marie Corkett

11418
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Tillotson

75219
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Tillotson

75219
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Tillotson

75219
From: debra tiritilli <debtiritilli@q.com>
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2017 7:00 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

debra tiritilli

85120
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karma SamtenLhamo

04000
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

patrick BOOT

75229
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karma SamtenLhamo

04000
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lary McKee

97026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janice Schenfisch

93021
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

mauricio carvajal

9291583
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

mauricio carvajal

9291583
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

mauricio carvajal

9291583
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Connie Williams

64079
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pam Wilbourn

48066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pam Wilbourn

48066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Megan Baker

65810
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Cardoza

90032
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosina Cespedes

78244
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

shirley swan

b14 7sr
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Kuntz

14622
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angie Norris

32308
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

anita tsang-ling

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Megan Prior

77401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Paul Meyer

96822
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Peiching Lin

96822
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lavonne Engelman

28754
From: Ashley Hillard <ashleyj.hillard@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 12:38 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ashley Hillard

94115
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ken martin

06470
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jack Dunham

18840
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kim Sellon

07974
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angelica Whitefeather

90006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angelica Whitefeather

90006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynn Mccormack

28001
From: Julia Conklin <jconklin@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 5:48 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julia Conklin

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julia Conklin

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Spotts

84790
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ursula Alcantara

91746
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Seb Villani

91912
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Seb Villani

91912
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

SHAWNEE BADGER

91354
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Fujimoto

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bonnie Steiger

94109
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Charmaine Breitengross

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrew Sutphin

91362
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debby Ellis
91320
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Yuriko Hazlett

93036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heidi Hu

90005
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Paul and PJ Sullivan

93003
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

A D

91506
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Fein

90732
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

J. Woodworth

99025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jana Harker

91066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Hieber

87700
From: Rachel Grigelis <rgrigelis@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 15, 2017 11:46 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rachel Grigelis

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debra Cassiero

95476
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Percy HICKS SEVERN

91320
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Martin Kupel

92683
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maedie Olsen

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Paul Agosti

91730
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gena Krieger

21047
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Candris Madison

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patisu Lambert

91786
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

leslie klein

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sarah Alvarez

90505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Simoes

90277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michele Smolen

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sara sexton

76266
Sheryl Kristal

From: Amanda Santmyer <glibster@mindless.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2017 1:26 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amanda Santmyer

15213
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacki Hunter

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Victoria Curtis

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Emily Bernath

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Wieck

90813
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosa Cabrerizo

08906
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sha davies

96001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frances Onesti

90260
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remotesness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marina Tonkonogy

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rinko Hutchins
90041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Veronica Thompson

91303
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Darlene Handley

85308
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janet Heyman

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Rodgers

91384
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bryan Crawford

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Peter Wood

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Lagos

91710
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

GRACE JACKSON

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Isaac Salazar

90019
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacqui Bradshaw

93561
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cynthia Loewer-Torrez

95121
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Dale

63119
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

michelle diamantis

44420
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ben Fuller

TN2 5RY
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shannin Zevian

38024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carl Hoffmeister

32707
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carl Hoffmeister

32707
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Erin Kiesow

48170
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeremy Nathan Marks

20853
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Lenhart

12603
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pamela Gibberman

91402
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shaunt Kouyoumdjian

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Luci Lamb

93010
From: Noelle Toal <netoal@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 11:09 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Noelle Toal

91201
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kimberly Tilley

98328
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Olivia Ramey

85020
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alec Goodman

92534
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Colleen Boye

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kassie Siegel

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anne Marie Girard

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christina Mills

92363
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Okiyo Ososaka

94606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Okiyo Ososaka

94606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debra Salcido

91764
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lee Jesmain

92277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angela Poole

93535
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

paul Edelman

91364
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Whitney Shibuya

91350
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Hill

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gabriel Chang

90706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Reichmann

90056
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Robbin

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shirin Anderson

93035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sunday Leopard

93560
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

shirley swan

b14 7sr
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andi Townsend

90604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christina Singleton

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jackie Bear

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,  

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathleen White

91752
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank You For Your Time,

Victoria Simmons

93535
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

susan clark

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jana Harker

91066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Justin Herschel

91307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

melissa choi

91748
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

p mar

91789
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisi Brown

91504
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

james kawamura

92336
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gail Graff

91361
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Davis

90732
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jesse Emmert

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lauren Gardiner

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dorrit Ragosine

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Beverly

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristina Fukuda

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Natasha Khanna

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeff Vezain

91411
From: Timothy Liddell <theroadbear@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 2:41 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Timothy Liddell

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kenneth Irwin

90254
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marisa Strange

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jason Triefenbach

90804
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Duran

91325
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeanne Davenport

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Duran

91325
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Erika Ziesmann

91724
From: sharon byers <sibyers@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 1:10 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sharon byers

90242
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ted porter

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Bogdanovich

90732
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Holcomb

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Helen Holden

90004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melissa Fink

91024
From: Dennis Lyday <dlyday@ucla.edu>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 12:32 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dennis Lyday

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Valerie Kadium

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

darynne jessler

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sheila Goldner

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Irma Ruiz

90501
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Javier Del Valle

90640
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terry Edinger

91008
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

kira durbin

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deanna Rantz

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

P.P. Soucek

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Scott

91006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julia Conklin

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deborah Fischler

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cindy Stein

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

alyssa farra

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vance Handley

90034
From: Jo Baxter <jobaxter@roadrunner.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 9:38 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jo Baxter

92651
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

annabelle nye

91307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosy Morales

90275
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Biko Wright

93225
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Aronovitch

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Zumwalt

91367
From: Lynne McGrath <lmcgrath@glendale.edu>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 8:32 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynne McGrath

91106
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrew Kiefer

60660
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ann Ruethling

93243
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steve Loe

92399
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eric Cheevers

90005
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Genevieve Pickard

90028
From: Amanda Alderman <Aldermanjones@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 6:08 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amanda Alderman

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ria Jaggard

N12 9TP
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Timothy Wilson

90026
From: Karla Silva <karlasilva24@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 1:32 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karla Silva

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

V W

93535
From: Alberto Acosta <aacosta756@att.net>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2017 1:09 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alberto Acosta

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ren Navez

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dora Herrera

91206
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rebecca Geiser

91225
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Huguette Moran

90815
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brad Nelson

93035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robin F

90275
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Stroud

93036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gabriel Flores

93036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Kelehan

90041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anne Buttyan

90404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you, Rosalind Helfand

Rosalind Helfand

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lily Mejia

92543
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Omar Sharif

93004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Noah Youngelson

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

shirley alvarez

90006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anna Kraus

91606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cathy Kraus

91606
From: Susannah Lacagnina <mntsusannah@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2017 4:17 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susannah Lacagnina

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Aebi

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Antoinette Samardzic

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Dudley

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Fred Tashima

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cassandra Odom

95503
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rita Thio

91789
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Webb

90275
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heather R

90804
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

janet mazon

91801
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

demetra chazanas

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

stephanie nunez

91405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

stephanie nunez

91405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heather Pargen

90603
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Randi Johnson

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jay Wiskerchen

90008
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jillian Zale
93065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Manuel Wong

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Williams

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeffery Dorer

90057
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Gordon

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sisson Stewart

93535
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Judith Vogelsang

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melissa Hancock

90245
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Felicia Cox

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brett Holland

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ingrid Becerril

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kahlei Light

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristin Backlund

90732
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thomas Rose

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James malcolm

92373
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sylvia Ruiz

90086
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ari Chazanas

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steve White

90067
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cora Bird

90043
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christina Velasquez

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deirdre Casella

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Cunningham

90018
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Falkenbach

90505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Drew Feldmann

92045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

m. dees

90712
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Proteau

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karen McChrystal

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Locatell

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Nelson

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ann Tait

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Harriet Sherry

91746
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stanley Seeman

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Rodriguez

90038
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joanne Horton

97401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michelle Lind

90250
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shawn Martin

91390
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pamela Peterson

94040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Hotaling

92407
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mia Dravis

91730
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

JOANIE LAINÉ

92240
From: Suzann Rowe <suzannprowe@catsnstuff.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 10:23 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Suzann Rowe

90810
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roberta Thompson

91352
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roberta Thompson

91352
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Valeree Smith

91321
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pat Magrath

91767
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Renee Boteilho

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Cotner

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heather Hall

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kat W

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kat W

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Romie Ruiz

91201
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Antonio Orona

91764
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Veronica Cerpa

91733
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lauren Hilo

91780
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Antonia Chianis

92317
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

melissa Ochoa

90061
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Erika Lee

90211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Teri Herbst

90505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Flynn Namala

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Miranda Leiva

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Geena Verna

90505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brooke Knight

93002
From: Chris Withrow <chris_withrow@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 5:55 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chris Withrow

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

joan kaplan

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rhea Damon

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gariesue Gordon

93534
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Lippman Tabet ????

93561
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roberta Shorman

90211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Fatima Lopez

91331
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roger and Judith McClure

91387
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jodi Dorries

91416
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gail Wilke

91040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eric Bergman

91351
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ann charlesworth

93023
From: Joyce Foster <joycelfost@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 4:05 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joyce Foster

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laura Craun

93311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lita Garcia

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Popoff

90732
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Buksa

90815
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Helen Manning-Brown

93422
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rev. A.A. Patrick

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Irene Lutz

91351
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Donnelly

91768
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deborah Chew

90717
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Samuelson

90047
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Blaney

92373
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeffrey Jones

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacqueline Wells

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacqueline Wells

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tom Pitman

91506
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Albert Acosta

91790
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Natalie Ruckstuhl

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Clara Armenteros

33176
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rose An

91007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marlene Leone

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deborah Hill

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Albanese

91352
From: Jan Leath <ranjon2@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 1:08 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jan Leath

91205
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Daena Acevedo

91746
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Charlie Willis

90301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Smith

90401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sylvia Sanchez

92354
From: Stacey Feldman <staceyarthurfeldman@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 12:43 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stacey Feldman

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gail McMullen

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chris Leverich

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tracey Sands

91320
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Larry Branson

91767
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Margaret Mortimore

91208
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services, and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Edinger

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Miki Cannon

96740
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elaine Edell

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mayra Olmos

92345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deanna Rodriguez

91754
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Charles B.

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Sternberg

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ed Keesling

92284
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

angela flurry

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

gloria albert

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Adelson

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tiffany-Marie Austin

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michelle Mitchell

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Douglas Rosenthal

33066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patrizio Paratelli

90232
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Scott Jung

91030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eve Lyman

91405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marilyn van Oppen

90505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Reynolds

91040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jane Munson

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

mel marcus

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

C. Kitzmiller

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Botash

91773
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

George Merriman

92308
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

George Merriman

92308
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stevie Sugarman

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Taylor

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robin Lande

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California--far from job centers, public services and transportation--is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are--a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Anderson

92382
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol HIESTAND

92069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gilberto Mello

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brian Smith

90731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Darlene Morris

92284
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alana Johnson

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Lind

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cynthia Coffman

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephan Foley

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Harold Pick

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terry Kelling

93225
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

stanleigh jones

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ralph Bocchetti

92337
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dennis Landi

90813
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Hawkins

91320
From: Chris Bouckaert <FlikaSailor@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 8:46 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chris Bouckaert

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donald Burg

91766
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marion Libre

93312
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

SUE TILLINGHAST

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sandra Anderson

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kyla Dayton

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

shawna jones

90240
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lsia Lashaway

91020
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Verena Widy

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tamra Schnitman

91302
From: Shawn Williamson <shawnw768@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 7:13 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shawn Williamson

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Howell

43206
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,
Tom Francis

Tom Francis

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amber Wheat

90278
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Eveland

93003
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diane McLaughlin

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jim de Cordova

90409
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chris Dayani

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

vance arquilla

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gilda Jimenez

92336
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Randy Nichols

91020
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kari Harvey

92373
From: Elaine Anderson <Anderson.elaine.r@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 5:04 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elaine Anderson

91709
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ormand Tegland

92314
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Yuliya Rudnik

91031
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Barrett

92405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Haage

91763
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

gerrit woudstra

91126
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Canan Tzelil

90210
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Hahn

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Hahn

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Martha Camacho-Rodriguez

90241
From: Eugene Majerowicz <eugenemajerowicz@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 1:55 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California – far from job centers, public services and transportation – is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are – a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eugene Majerowicz

90008
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Toochis Morin

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Toochis Morin

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

audrey Jin

90274
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tony Grijalva

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Thilgen

90606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angi Haddad

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lois Harris

91711/2753
From: Monica Graham <monicagraham1@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2017 1:24 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Monica Graham

91767
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Geoff Regalado

91503
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amber Shiverdecker

32619
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

William Santschi

90077
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Ryan

90019
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Helen Miller

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lorraine Suzuki

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

khai hang

91706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Gray

91320
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Martinez

90717
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Francine Banda

90280
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karen Spiegel

91501
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Catherine Vidal

93035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bruce Saltzer

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Daniel aubouard

91470
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Indira Smith

94118
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brian Murphy

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kari Walters

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amanda Valencia

93004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amanda Valencia

93004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melissa Gandra

91715
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steve Williams

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dr. MJ Koerper

92397
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Myrna Barth

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susanna Davtyan

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ron rediger

91321
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharon Bushman

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

mark orlando

92345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Courtney Reid

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeff Cordeiro

91384
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Leigh Clark

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lil Judd

91342
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Bingo

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rebecca McGrew

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Lewis

91762
From: Claudia Lee <topdealdog@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:58 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Claudia Lee

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Claudia Lipson

91324
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janis Bates

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

C Pio

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Natalie Aharonian

91605
From: Tina Pirazzi <Tpirazzi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:26 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tina Pirazzi

90814
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jane Daniels

93021
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Giar-Ann Kung

91801
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steven Morris

90278
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debbie Bolsky

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Timothy Moore

93307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Sinner

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lawrence Freedman

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christopher Kneisel

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gail Hubbs

91320
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

debbie castaneda

91406
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

A.L. Steiner

90063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ANGELA JENKINS

90019
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharan Magnuson

91362
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shelley Sterrett

90402
From: Cathy Cousins <ccousins@webtv.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:34 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cathy Cousins

91606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robin Lande

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tina Markowe

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anna Pinto

93305
From: Sharon Torrisi <Satvet@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:19 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharon Torrisi

90254
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrew Abate

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Damon Brown

90016
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Aeolan Kelly

90039
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diane Winter

91750
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lusine Karabadzhakyan

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Irene Kang

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Davenport

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Phillip Randall

91367
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lenore Dowling

90039
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Busacca

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Klein

90245
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Johnson

90245
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I ask the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Rahmann

81435
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steve&Rachael Alvarez-Jett

90501
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patricia Nevi-Maguire

90275
From: Phyllis Shub <Phyllisshub@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 8:34 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Phyllis Shub

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karen Berger

91020
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Guillemette Epailly

90404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

LINDA ARAUJO

91733
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steven Cook

92315
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Burke

90007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roshan Reporter

90039
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Burke

90007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark McGuire

93255
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maria Cardenas

91702
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I strongly urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sara Hayes

90814
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patricia Marlatt

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Denis Petitt

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

D. Rowe

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gayle Brennan

91367
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Burke

90007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Burke

90007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anthony Castillo

90805
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eileen Shaw

90015
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Govreau

92256
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Hope Winthrop

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Save the condors and other endangered species.

Thank you,

Verna Harrison

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ellen Trover

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tara Sanchez

90807
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Al Cullen

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

William Hewes

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chuck Bila

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tracy Elliott

91602
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Yazmin Gonzalez

90706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jane Mcgraw

92404
From: Joan Sitnick <Joansitnick@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 7:29 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Sitnick

91436
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeff Levicke

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kent Minault

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michele Pacheco

91784
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristine Ashton-Magnuson

91406
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chuck D

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patricia Little

93010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chereale Cormack

90211
From: Allison Gallegos <Dqallison@aim.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 7:19 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Allison Gallegos

91325
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anita Wisch

91355
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sally and Frank Richards

92373
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynn Mccormack

28001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alice Neuhauser

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thomas Conroy

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

tyson fountaine

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jamie Brozovich

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Krystel McCullough

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melissa Vasconcellos

93002
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Corey Benjamin

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

M Hempel

92375
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Terry

90402
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Leslie Aisenman

91342
From: Ted Myers <emiltonmyers@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 6:54 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ted Myers

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

LIsa Cash

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bob Drury

90814
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Callaway

91786
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Callaway

91786
From: Jeannette Welling <bongodrum@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 6:48 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeannette Welling

91362
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Takayama

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Sternberg

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vanessa Carpio

91754
Thank you,

Albert Utzig

92337
From: Robert Ricewasser <rbricewasser@aol.com>  
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 6:45 PM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Ricewasser

91016
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Margo McCall

90804
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

kirsten mcgregor

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

kat wilson

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tiio-Mai McCurty

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eileen Daniels

91387
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mr. Lawrence Jimenez

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ruth Oroshnik

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brent Spencer

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Wickham

91107
From: Joan Wickham <jwickie@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 6:34 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Wickham

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Russell

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pam Rogers

90706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Hillary Ostrow

91316
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Harris

93003
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dan Morgan

93560
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

gordon cook

93309
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

v & B Jones

90510
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alison Kaneshiro

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Geeta Sweeney

83646
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dominick Falzone

90005
From: James Columbia <Jmcolumbia@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 6:07 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Columbia

93306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Gallegos

90033
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ava Strong

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bob Moore

91381
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Goldberg

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jamie Purkey

90006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Georgia Tunioli

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Bates

93035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eric Ericson

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marguerite Dessornes

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debra Cohn

91011
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Corey Prost

90405
From: John Cloonan <johncloonan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 5:50 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Cloonan

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Madalyn Martinez

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heidi Palmer

91739
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

William Briggs

90254
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Hubbard

91117
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Selig

90250
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janet Laur

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Samantha Hathaway

97225
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janice Tanaka

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carla Mvkizzick

91043
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mindi White

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Bland

92314
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kaitlyn Prossor

90731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jaclyn Palmer

91103
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Whyman

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joel Rane

90043
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dorit Hanover

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frances Clark

92363
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Yeomans

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Donoho

91750
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

c. golden

93287
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sheldon & Shirl Pitesky

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Siegrist

92307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

herb schulsinger

11212
From: Kelly Coyne <mizcoyne@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 4:57 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kelly Coyne

90026
From: Joan Andersson <joan@zimark.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 4:56 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Andersson

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cynthia Gotler

90016
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Scott Warwick

91016
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lesley Vennero

91350
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Shaw

93065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michele DuPratt

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jose sanchez

91745
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

O Lewis

90009
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kevin Bigelow

90815
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angela Hoyes

91737
Sheryl Kristal

From: Carl Cartwright <carcart@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 4:32 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carl Cartwright

90605
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharon Schoen

91102
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brice Beckham

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tamara Matz

90016
From: Joanna Kelly <joanna809@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 4:29 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joanna Kelly

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Natalie Rosen

91001
From: Ingrid Skei <skeiing@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 4:28 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ingrid Skei

91362
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rita Weisheit

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kevin Dafesh

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Paul Sepuya

90018
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maxine Saine

93313
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thomas Lawson

90802
From: Alexis Kirschner <Ak47photography@att.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 4:18 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alexis Kirschner

90004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rachel Gonzalez

92373
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mariela Guastavino

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shakayla Thomas

90220
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Holly Burgin

91405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Phyllis Chavez

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

R Skidmore

92277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeanie Yoshihara

91745
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Goldberg

91202
From: Muriel Thompson <Muirthompson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 3:58 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Muriel Thompson

95482
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Harlan Lebo

90638
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jerry Matson

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Wendy G.

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sylvia Danzer

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sandy Levine

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roger and Judith McClure

91387
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heidi Buech

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cynthia Tawil

90601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Grace Garcia

91706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joseph Dadgari

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Kegler

90731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Judith Luscalzo

90806
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mercedes Jennings

91343
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Curtis Swan

90802
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Beth Goode

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heidi Wallace

90274
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisa Perry

90277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Martha Jones

91350
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joyce Foster

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amelia Jones

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rafaela Reyna

N/ A
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Irene Lacher

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dori Lehner

91309
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Catherine Charest

90240
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marguerite Shuster

91024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Daniel Gonzales

93536
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristin Torrey

92410
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

C Gorny

93543
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Grace Padelford

98034
From: Dawna Dorcas-Werner <ddorcas4@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 3:06 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dawna Dorcas-Werner

92336
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Fatimah Rodriguez

90019
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rebecca Mitsuse

91001
From: Rachel Rodriguez <petitefaerie@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 3:01 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rachel Rodriguez

91106
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Paula Hernandez

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cynthia Anderson
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alfredo Ramirez

90640
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynn Bossone

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sean Corrigan

90706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ed L

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

silvana zelmanovich

90211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Evette Garcia

90716
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Cavanaugh

90278
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ulrike Mehler

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

karen socher

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Wright

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maria Sol Caro

91103
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Georgia Tattu

90254
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

James Johnson

93065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dan Michaud

92399
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jeri pollock

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debi Bergsma

92335
From: Susan Hunt <huntsusan22@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 2:41 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Hunt

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nadia Haddad

91754
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rinea Lucia

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Freedland

92314
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Becker

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

robert meier

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donald Fischer

92382
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michelle Elgart

93308
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patti Taylor

91364
From: maddy wade <madeleinelindley@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 2:34 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

maddy wade

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Georgia Brewer

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Farber

91384
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gabriella Pinto

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anna MacKenzie

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Paula Borges

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Benjamin Billhardt

92337
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Perris Anderson

91103
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jasmine Rodriguez

90015
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sepi Yagoobian

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

maria pacheco

91343
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

maria pacheco

91343
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Enani

90019
From: anthony Montapert <amontapert@roadrunner.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

anthony Montapert

93004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

julie tonks

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melissa Cherry

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kavita Sharma

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Beverly Leifer

90802
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sarah Wilkinson

91010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angela Gardner

90604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thomas Gillespie

90638
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

casee maxfield

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ulises Tello

91754
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Monosmith

90254
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sil Vexter

90210
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susi Higgins

91203
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Thomas

92284
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nicole Rollings

92346
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mea Tefka

91007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Felena Puentes

93312
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisa Collazo

91786
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank B. Anderson

90731
From: Susan Wright <wrytesuzette@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 2:05 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Wright

93301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Lilly

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

elissa faye

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Consbruck

91342
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Burleigh

90019
From: Kelle Erwin <kelle@slickandslack.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 2:01 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kelle Erwin

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amanda Starzak

91377
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Douglas Dyakon

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rick Sparks

91602
From: Ann Northrop <ann_northrop@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:56 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ann Northrop

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nicholas Esser

93065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

susanne madden

90293
From: Steven Standard <swstandard@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:51 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steven Standard

90023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

rene kahn

91030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anithra Perry

92596
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Howard Labadie

93510
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Constance Walsh

92268
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Martin Tripp

91390
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Greta Choa

90040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Juan Lora

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Willy Aenlle

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Brooks

91364
From: Maggie Wineburgh-Freed <mwfreed@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:42 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maggie Wineburgh-Freed

90041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisa Hammermeister

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marie Lewis

90049
From: Vicki Laken <vlaken@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:36 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Laken

91301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Judith Spiegel

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Iliana Lopez

91740
From: Kevin Wightman <kkwightman@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:34 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kevin Wightman

91392
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Henrietta Komras

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacqueline Cochrane

90278
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karine Noel

90292
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

gene burke

91365
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kelly Henderson

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

richard dickey

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joshua Krasnoff

93022
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jean Molina

90815
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Goran sobel

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lora Elstad Bello

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Connie Stinson

92308
From: Tanya Lauderdale <t.lauderdale@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:24 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tanya Lauderdale

91384
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathryn Kind

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Virginia Croswhite

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

louise lewis

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

julie naumann

90277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Faviola Velasco

90032
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

frederique joly

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Virgie Smith

92284
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Teresa Young

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Annika Swenson

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Malynda Guess

90706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

PAULINE BEDFORD

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gerald Orcholski

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Debi McDowell

92311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jesse Croxton

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alexandria Luostari

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jessica Ramirez

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Lazar

91413
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Valencia

91105
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Lazar

91413
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bryan Matsumoto

90042
From: Eric Hudak <eric.hudak@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:09 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eric Hudak

90012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patti Bridges

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ken Kirschenbaum

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynda Harpe

90245
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kris Andersen

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

melanie fisher

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Erica Felsenthal

90212
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

TaMMie Brown

78358
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Laken

91301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Laken

91301
From: Vicki Laken <vlaken@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:03 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Laken

91301
From: Phyllis Shaw <pjs954@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:02 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Phyllis Shaw

90069
From: Marlene Ortega <prettyprinzezm@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 1:02 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marlene Ortega

91762
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

erin garcia

91356
From: Blaise Brockman <fatherblaise@holyangelsarcadia.org>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:57 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Blaise Brockman

91007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bernadette Jaeger

90025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kater Perez

91737
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sarah Murdoch

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Stayton

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Katharine Grantham

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jade Smith

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

MARCI sMITH

93402
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Judy Williams

93518
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lindsay Land

90277
From: GINA BLADES <obeezer@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:52 PM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project  

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

GINA BLADES  
91350
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Sincerely, Robert Woods

Robert Woods

91606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrew Melendez

91205
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Met Boern

75219
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melchor Peiro

91740
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rob Doucette

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cheryl Townsend

90278
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Randall Stiff

93510
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brenda Haig

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

marcia sewelson

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rayline Dean

93555
Dear Mr. Sackett,

To Whom It May Concern,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gayle Hall

Gayle Hall

92373
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tim Phillips

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Valerie Goldberg

91372
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christy Schilling

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

diana kliche

90804
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Brodersen

91201
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharon McCormick

91024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Becky Anderson

90277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Miller

90802
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ELIZABETH KIELY

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

M. Rosalie Kaufman

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

luisa kilduff

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Avron Daniller

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jeanne schuster

91791
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Matthew Foss

90026
From: Erica Munn <ericamunn@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:35 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Erica Munn

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Williams

91767
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vincent Young

91786
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Tacker

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

marie-elena mello

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Harry Can

91436
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kitty Robinson

90731
From: Therese Steinlauf <Tsteinlauf@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:32 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Therese Steinlauf

90292
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jenna didier

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Emily Palko

93060
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Bozung

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sam butler

90045
Maritza Vance <mrvinev@yahoo.com>  
Friday, July 7, 2017 12:28 PM  
Jodie Sackett  
Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maritza Vance

91304
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

WILLIAM CRANE

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

a miller

90404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lucila Mendoza

92345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Steloff

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Edy G Soto

91342
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bettina Graf

91106
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Phyllis Southard

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jeffrey martin

91007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Gowani

91396
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jane August

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Proteau

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mimi Lu

91745
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ronald Charleston

92399
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Noah Hall

90805
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kevin Diggs

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frances Goff

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lindsay Brice

10021
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Margaret Adachi

91205
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Judith Bloch

91403
From: Kathleen Kann <kathykann@ymail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:14 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathleen Kann

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rebecca Clark

91307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

susan dembowski

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Proteau

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gabriela Sosa

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Danielle Lescure

90028
From: Allan Murphy <murphy.al@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:12 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Allan Murphy

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ann Tidwell

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Birdie Ervin

90746
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Celia Goldman

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

janet maker

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Trevillian

91803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Floeck

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Wiley

92394
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laura Redgrave

91416
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

I-Ching Lao

90029
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jason Shepherd

91320
From: Franklin Fung <fungfranklin@gmail.com>  
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:05 PM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Franklin Fung

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Braja RuthAnne Tarletz

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephanie Park

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ross Heckmann

91006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tracey Kleber

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shawn Gardner

80303
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jerami Prendiville

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Michel

90029
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

barbara poland

91214
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lydia Yasuda

91765
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christopher Parsons

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Chung

90032
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Stark

91107
From: Jesse Calderon <ohjesse14@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:01 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jesse Calderon

91706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jessica Johnston

90033
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynne Weiske

90048
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amalia Thanou

90212
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Caroline Adams

93107
From: Eleanor Osgood <gardenbird1@att.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Eleanor Osgood

90232
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

a.f. shayne

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

janet maker

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

KATHLEEN GONNOUD

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Lewis

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Theresa Perry

91040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

S P

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terry Bezner

90503
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Irene Snavely

91724
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elvira Arias

90710
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sherry Price

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Luff

93003
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Ranger

92648
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Meraz

90660
From: C Evelyn <bcharmz@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:53 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

C Evelyn

90020
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

NANCY TUCKER

90039
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosalie Prieto

93313
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brittany Rivera

90062
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deborah Lenny

91214
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a truly horrible idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California – far from job centers, public services and transportation – is a project that has no place in today’s smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are – a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

M.A. Steinberger

91042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

g goldfarb

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kirsten Milaney

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gina Ortiz

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brian Kessler

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Claudia Saporiti

90250
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Bence

90505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Garrett Weinstein

91307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephen Anderson

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michele Crook

91350
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,
Sophia Kang

Sophia Kang
90275
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Glenn Embrey

90278
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Antony Chapman

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pamela Bush

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Walker

10038
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brian Florian

90211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Peter Grimm

91104
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Luisa Miranda

91702
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Michael Darwin

91602
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brendan Nafarrate

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Helen Eiden

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Ray

92335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Lee

91208
From: Nancy Beningo <nbeningo@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:40 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Beningo

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

M L Chasteen

90213
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alison Taylor

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Sussek

91404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Staz Lindes

90029
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andi Klein

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christy Jackson

90807
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristina Throndson

90704
From: Michael Gordon <michael_gordon@charter.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:36 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Gordon

90813
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joshua Essoe

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Katherine King

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

michelle Barbour

91301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Syd Rumford

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rene Pineda

90068
From: Jennifer Minzey <jaminzey@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:34 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Minzey

91016
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Caryn Cowin

92211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Isabel Garcia

90814
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Len Baranski

91405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ESTHER CHAVEZ

91324
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Catherine Hunter

91214
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ann Bein

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

cassandra voss

91326
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Peter Altschuler

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Geoffrey & Linda Symcox

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Felicia Chase

91436
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Judith Moore

90732
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jodi Wille

91205
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karen Emanuel

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Foulger

92307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vicki Bingaman

93225
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Schiowitz

81330
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Robinson

93555
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Sanford

91406
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lesli Lytle

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Josh Hunt

90025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amy Agzarian

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Matthew Nasser

90021
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

KALINE KHALSA

90211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cristian Contreras

90201
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tammy Royce

93003
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Corbett Tuck

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Dick

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gloria Schneider

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Hart

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sue Petteway

90012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ravi Dhillon

93535
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karlene Donato

91801
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ryan Moradi

90604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chuck Heard

90401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisa Dahill

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Jensen

90094
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thomas Kelly

91770
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Timothy Taylor

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kelly Sakir

91030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steven Godin

91351
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gina Ghiglieri

91602
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diane Van ussel

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jan Liza

93225
From: Melanie Melzar <Mmelzard@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:08 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Melanie Melzar

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jan Sownie

90706
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brenda Calvillo

92648
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

peter galvin

95589
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Lane

93003
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tom Feldman

91011
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Blanca Dominguez

90037
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Vanessa Stine

91409
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jessica S

91010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kaisa Akerlund

91343
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Paul Albright

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jane Handel

93023
From: Frank McRae <ffmcrae@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:03 AM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank McRae

90010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephen Bryne

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Daniela Martinez

91731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Candace Rocha

90031
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jon Povill

90290
From: Rick Stack <rstack@bragertaxlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:02 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rick Stack

91214
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diana Aylward

91367
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jo Torina

91042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Van Heertum

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rob West

90056
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Zero Nunez

91405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diana Laufer

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gayle Batiste

91311
From: Thao Do <Mapinkevin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 11:00 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Thao Do

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brenda Liebeskind-Haines

91701
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Colleen Hanlon

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Antunez

91343
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Reback

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joy Turlo

90277
From: Lorraine Fontes <rbabi53@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:57 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lorraine Fontes

90241
From: Michael Ziegler <mzig77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:57 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Ziegler

90731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lorraine Fontes

90241
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Waldon Welty

91786
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Clotine Minick

93543
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Russell Melching

91101
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

jennifer bradley

90404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Virginia Watson

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

cathy crum

91301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary McAuliffe

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nadine Curias

91042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ivhis Miller

92405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Heisler

92369
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jim Talbot

91344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

fia Perera

93012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

George Grace

90027
From: Abby Wanamaker <abby.wanamaker@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:50 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Abby Wanamaker

91364
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joanna Hess

91205
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sandy Williams

91723
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Meredith Karley

91307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roger Hoffheimer

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sylvia Stickells

91367
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elen Lauper

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Francesco Masiello

90804
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joanne Doherty

93065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

MARTY BOSTIC

90025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Victoria Brandon

91325
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

KURT CRUGER

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Adil Mehta

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristine Burson

90034
From: Gail Wanhala <Gail.wanhala@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:45 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gail Wanhala

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Marks

1p014
From: David Berry <dberry@nagler.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:45 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Berry

90024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Morgan Funk

90232
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Harold Jackson

91768
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gregory Miller

97149
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janine Giaime

91607
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cynthia Vinney

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Harra1son

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pearl Montorio

93030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

gary baxel

92234
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jenny Nicklin

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marc Melinkoff

91364
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Merrill Berge
93010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Martha Atwell

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patricia van Hartesveldt

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dylan Flather

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

roger hollander

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

S Kovacs

90213
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Miriam Baum

91701
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Chirpin

91324
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Keith Wheldon

CA 9124
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bettina Rosmarino

90065
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

roger hollander

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Esther Levesque

93551
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gael Bailey

93222
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mir Faugno

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lois Toran

90292
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mieke Miller

91361
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Watkins

91301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Junko Takeya

91765
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

lauren kline

90277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

GEORGE BUDD

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kris Cordova

92354
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

GEORGE BUDD

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Helga Gendell

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Abbie Bernstein

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Vilim

90292
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laura Woods

92356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeffrey Fylling

92627—__
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisa Hoel

91406
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installation of a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

erika griesemer

60133
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Batsheva Kasdan

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sandra Zaninovich

90024
From: Barry Schwartz <barryschwartz1@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:28 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barry Schwartz

94559
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Leanna Noble

90802
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

steven goodelle

90039
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gesa Engel

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Leone Tiffany

91775
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharon Bills

91406
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

katie Shaw

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Renee Cossutta

91024
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Eckstein

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Peter Frank

90404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Howard Barr

91354
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kaija Hansen

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth K

92376
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ron Melin

95570
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Denise Crum

92057
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Denise Crum

92057
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Darienne Hetherman

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

J Perry

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

lowell abellon

90032
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alejandro Artigas

90029
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christa Neuber

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Howard Strauss

90232
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,
Jane Tucker

Jane Tucker
90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lorraine Rodriguez

92345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diane Smith

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tracy Silverman

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alex Saneski

92782
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gordon Nipp

93306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Noreen Lawlor

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deborah Pearl

90068
From: Mindi Shank <Mindishank@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:21 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mindi Shank

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosa G. Manriquez, IHM

Rosa Manriquez

90022
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mayumi Knox

91108
From: Janet Hoey-Klick <janet.hoey-klick@member.mensa.org>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:21 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janet Hoey-Klick

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laura Comstock

91362
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susanne Reed

90814
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Wayman Williams

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amelia Laughlin

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terri Davis

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael White

90059
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jo Young

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mikhail Vizel

93021
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cherylee Sapien

90713
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ANALIAS RIOS

90640
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Hollis Jordan

91602
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Liz Estrada

91326
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bradley Colden

90602
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

dona Poppe

91325
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathie Schenk

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Stephenson

90025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Stephenson

90025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alison Osment

91411
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joshua Avitia

90660
From: Christine Goodreau <e-g@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:15 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christine Goodreau

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bret Warner

91739
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jane Gothold

90603
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

David Garza

90038
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Beverly Johnson

92345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ann fischer

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robin Derby

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

William Schlesinger

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Casey Dake

91362
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amy Sullivan

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Drew Reese

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sarah Forrest

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathy Bayley

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sydney Lamb

91504
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephen Hutchinson

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pat McCormack

91406
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jeff Fromberg

90025
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elaine Livesey-Fassel

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosie Aguilar-Moreno

93001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

tamara mccready

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Yvonne Yvonne

91617
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

martin silberberg

01002
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Stein

91504
From: Mary Moore <mmoore3508@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:10 AM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Moore

92284
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brian Rutki

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sharon Rodriguez

92344
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sarah Fischbeck

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Liz Stromath

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Liz Stromath

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sue sands

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

anne perkins

90402
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Wena Dows

90230
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Madeline Wright

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alexandra Grossi

90041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Mathison

90069
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lyda Eddington

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

bev kelly

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

clara beard

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rossana Marchand

90731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert DeYoung

34292
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carlos Villalobos

90278
From: Susan Wold <swold@pharmavite.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:04 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Wold

91324
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Wendy Lohman

90024
From: Janine Comrack <janine@ojaimail.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:05 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janine Comrack

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nicole Mikals

91320
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carol Hewer

93555
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angel Orona

91803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Hannah Baker

90006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

john hewett

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Holly McDuffie

91606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Donna Cottrell

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John LaRoche

90038
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Smollin

91101
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patti Davis

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

stanleigh jones

91711
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angelica Whitefeather

90006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Deborah King

91204
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sheila Winston

91367
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Don Lukenbill

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

A Park

91710
From: Roberta Risafi <roberta.risafi@disney.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 10:01 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roberta Risafi

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Strong-Pardus

91030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosa Baeza

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

denise baker

91214
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosalie Salvato

91506
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Patrick Manalio

91361
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Daniel Tiarks

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

June Smith

90731
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

terance tashiro

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jerry Goble

90004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Krista Blore

90301
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

REGINA FAVAROTE

91103
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tim Hanson

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jessica Kam

93036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephanie Johnson

90078
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Charmaine Breitengross

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California — far from job centers, public services and transportation — is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are — a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Menne

93010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Natalie BuckParks

90063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Ballenger

91361
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tiffany Ruiz-Murillo

91504
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chad Silver

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rev. Joe Futterer

90290
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Annette Ehrlich

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Russo

91741
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Quimby

91006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tony Boldetti

91350
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lorene Cangiano

91390
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

david maher

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Warren

91303
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bruno Llorente

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

William Olson

90292
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Peter Celli

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ronald Warren

91206
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ernie Looney

91380
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sanda Jasper

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

alice hernandez

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ralph Lopez

90012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lauren Linhardt

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sejon ding

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Fred Granlund

93041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stacey Paydar

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Brigid Murphy

91710
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laurie Barre

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Samantha Avery

93535
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ronald Partridge

93063
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nicole Wilde

91351
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrew Behr

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rebecca Harper

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rita Santos Oyama

90803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Megan moriana

91316
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cindy Alba

90240
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mike Sinkov

90057
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

GARRINE PETERSEN

91352
From: John Fowler <JohannSebastianFowler@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:51 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Fowler

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Oscar Deleon

90247
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Rinnander

93041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Candace Rocha

90031
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Rinnander

93041
From: Michele Smolen <MICKI242@GMAIL.COM>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:50 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michele Smolen

91604
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elise Kost

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Melcher

91701
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Raymond Plasse

91307
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ken Gilliland

91042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janet Gardner

90250
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Crane

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roanna Frieze

90601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sandy Banks

90293
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rita Salner

92284
From: Noah Manne <noahmanne@gmail.com>  
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:49 AM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project  

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Noah Manne

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Allesandra Cadman

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jim Leske

91606
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Leatrice Floyd

90303
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

IRINA Tripolskaya

91007
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

marcia ellinger

91101
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marlene Licitra

91040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Deerlyjohnson

90806
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cherie Chantal

93021
DearMr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rachel Morales

90037
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mariana Caraveo

90802
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Angelica Gomez

90044
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Perri Kimono

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shira Miess

90638
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nicole Diaz-Ordaz

91030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Guy White

90029
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Art Van Kampen

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lou Insprucker

91011
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Beck

92399
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Brooks

93225
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Heather Clifford

91801
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steven Moore

91740
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janet Heinle

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janice Cleary

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Russett

90046
From: Trisha Lim <hiimtrish@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Trisha Lim

90012
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Georgia Scott

91040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Knutson

92345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Bass

90291
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tracy Gilbert

92377
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mara Johnson

91390
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

david peevers

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

david peevers

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nadine Hatcher

93010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alec Taratula

91801
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sara Katz

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alec Taratula

91801
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cheryll Desberg

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tony DeSena

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth G

90290
From: Dianna Linden <di@diannalinden.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:42 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dianna Linden

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sandra Gonzalez

93250
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Carrie Tanke

93021
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stella Chesler

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laura Okazaki

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Max Cap

90058
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Victoria Behar

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rosanne Basu

90254
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Frank Bell

92399
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Zvetelina Dar

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Margarette Woodard

92352
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

roy rosenblatt

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristen Renton

91354
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chad Johnson

90004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

margaret marshall

92374
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Paladin

91380
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Virginia Chavez

90270
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gina Otos

90503
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Victoria Brandon

91325
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Catherine Burke

91776
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Louise Sperr

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Wilson-Carter

90603
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Lin

91789
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dj Falk

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Adam Levin

91601
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bearirz Mateo

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barb Skoog

91030
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

yahm reichart

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Bearirz Mateo

91401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Diane Starzak

91377
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Daniel de Culla

90068
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynn Stevenson

91602
From: Aixa Fielder <aixa1@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:40 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Aixa Fielder

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nick Greene

90815
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terri Gedo

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lisa Kane

91506
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Neal Steiner

90034
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Halee Bernard

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Trisha Pahmeier

91306
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Barone

90401
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Richard Scobey

91722
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gail McMullen

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jocelyn Heaney

90028
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joann Evans

92324
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tamadhur Al-Aqeel

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lucille Walker

91723
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Smith

93015
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

madeleine smith

91364
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

shira goldman

91356
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Anthony Parr

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Robert Mize

93527
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Barbara Nagy

90503
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

KALINE KHALSA

90211
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Linda Schulz

93532
From: Nalani Ha'o <nalani.hao@sce.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:38 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nalani Ha'o

90808
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laura Sommerhauser

91505
Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Emily Bresler

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sarajo Frieden

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cami Winikoff

90265
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jack Scott

90041
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Henry Hespenheide

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Edwyna Rennie

91803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

June Escalante

90650
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

John Witemberg

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kristen Lowry

95688
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ivon rey

91803
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dan Esposito

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

lisa wang

91010
From: Tim Murphy <TheNumber1Murf@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:36 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tim Murphy

91506
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alicia Salazar

90032
From: Noel Park <noelparkone@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:36 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Noel Park

90275
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lorraine Lowry

95688
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dan Streeter Jr

90004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

tracy watada

90066
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

NANCY NEELY
91730
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ken lubas

91387
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

lollie ragana

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chris Digiovanni

91602
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Stannard

90056
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ingrid Brewer

93103
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

R Wells

90020
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rose Henderson

90044
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dorothy Li Calzi

90404
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

JM Villalobos

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Corey Allen Jackson

90245
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rob Bortolin

90245
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Twyla Meyer

91767
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

S. Calorie

90039
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Theodore Bergmann

90064
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Teresa Bippert-Plymate

92314
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Emily Louise Klatt

93550
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gerald Shaia

91352
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrew Frey

91106
From: Karen Profet <kprofet@kprofet.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 9:32 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Karen Profet

90266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sue McDonough

55105
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

shirley swan

b14 7sr
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

steve g.

33880
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Chris Lake

89149
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sara sexton

76266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

tere balboa

92530
From: tere balboa <superteree@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2017 12:01 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

tere balboa

92530
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Shirin Honarmand

1234
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rhianna Lynch

TN34 3TF
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Evelyn Assadian

9821
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pam Shaouy

30188
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Fred Rinne

94112
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Glen Venezio
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kevin W. McAlister

11710
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Samira Samiee

17647
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Faranak Fahimi

001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

ka Ktus

iran tabri
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

rozanna shahryari

12345
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cybele Knowles

85751
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacky Surber

91403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Joan Weaver

91311
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

K Krupinski

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

olivia silsneky

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susie Tortell

90405
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michael Wauschek

90703
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Don Pestana

90077
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

rachael currier

95993
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jordan Boye

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kimberly Tilley
98328
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terra Ninja

IP27
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Starla Morgan

72712
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Scott Murdock

02359
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rachel Karno

92059
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Andrea Lofthouse

91801
From: Nat Towsen <natavinotowsen@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 12:14 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon’s Centennial Project


Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nat Towsen

11219
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pam Hayes

70116
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lori Minor-Swensen

97124
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Madeco-Smith

56345
From: Colleen Boye <colleen.boye@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 11:41 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Colleen Boye

91001
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jen Kumar

02855
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kalli Doubleday

78725
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Simona Mercier

N5Y 2Z6
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Elisa LeFlore

73075
From: Kalli Doubleday <kdoubleday@utexas.edu>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 11:39 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kalli Doubleday

78725
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Lang

62233
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Steve Dildarian

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roselle Gaybbit

422615
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Roselle Gaybbit

422615
From: Jossy Zamora <archangel157@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 8, 2017 6:31 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jossy Zamora

92376
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Christine Gelabert

11553
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nora Mays Bowers

85739
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kat Ross

90074
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cheryl Walker

81005
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cheryl Walker

81005
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jennifer Mack

91750
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alicia Kern

90274
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sara sexton

76266
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Lynette Tudorache

07302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sheryl Mccabe

90805
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Sheryl McCabe

90805
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Michelle Krueger

46410
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julia Conklin

91107
Dear Mr. Sackett,

I strongly oppose the so-called Centennial City as proposed by the Tejon Ranch. This ill-advised plan must be rejected. This would be a city literally in the middle of nowhere. This is urban sprawl and “leap frog” development at its worst. The nearest towns of any size are 25 miles away, far from centers of employment.

Additionally there is no infrastructure, such as hospitals, schools, water, sewer, electrical or gas. Starting a city from scratch is costly and risky, not only for the developer but those who actually choose to buy into this type of lifestyle. Where will the development obtain sufficient water?

By virtue of its isolated location, Centennial City will require long commutes, resulting in more congestion on Interstate 5, especially in the Santa Clarita and San Fernando Valleys. Increases in air pollution will result from long commutes and the presence of so many people in this rural area.

From an environmental standpoint this development is a disaster. It would seriously impact some of the best native grassland remaining in the state and the wildlife which depends on this habitat. Instead of development this unique area must be kept in its natural state.

In today’s world of efficient and smart land use planning this project is nothing more than a throwback to the 1950’s. It is bad for the environment, bad for Los Angeles County residents and will result in taxpayer funds being spent to subsidize the developer through wider roads and infrastructure improvements.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Richard Saretsky

91789
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

We need to curb our overpopulation growth. Simply put. Our planet needs to survive.

Maggie Brennan

55315
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Can we please stop developing our natural resources with mega developments!! Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a terrible idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

This development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Terri Chapman

91505
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. There isn't enough drinking water. It's near and on earthquake faults. It's NOT a good place to put 19,000 homes!!

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, (which occasionally closes) exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Janet Westbrook

93555
Dear Mr. Sackett,

This is the last thing California needs... it's a terrible idea for LA, for local communities and for the state. LA County has enough sprawl as it is, and once these open spaces are gone, there's no getting them back. As a native-born Californian, I choose precious open space over more developmental sprawl.

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

bex lowry

91103
Dear Mr. Sackett,

They must be kidding. Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Alida Montanez-Salas

90650
Dear Mr. Sackett,

In this era of Trumpism, we must do everything that we can to preserve every bit of earth that we can.

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Susan Etheridge

90005
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

***This development will be a disaster! Please protect this land, and reject this plan!

Thank you,

Andrea Iaderosa

90027
Dear Mr. Sackett,

I am writing to ask you to please reject and deny the Centennial project. Instead, please preserve and protect the native landscape as is. It is the right thing to do.

Sincerely

Sierra Alder

93023
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation. Why is preservation of natural space such a difficult concept knowing what we now know about green space and lack of in LA environs?

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kathryn Louyse

91206
From: Maria Cubillo <isacubillo@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2017 12:13 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

I learned in biology that maintaining our biosphere is important for our planet's wellbeing thus ultimately our own wellbeing.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Maria Cubillo

90033
Dear Mr. Sackett,

As someone who is currently and quite personally at the mercy of voracious developers, I'm writing to say that Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

H. Fitzgerald

91413
Dear Mr. Sackett,

PLEASE STOP THIS NOW!!!

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nancy Abbott

90802
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. I saw this proposal originally on a local PBS show hosted by the late Huell Howser.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided. I drove right by there recently, and was horrified enough to see part of the land already developed into an outlet shopping center.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Charmaine Ham

91767
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. In this time of water uncertainty, is this the best way to use our natural resources in a water parched area such as the Antelope Valley?

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Amy Wolfberg

90046
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Please, STOP!! Think! Don't put Profit before People!!

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Nell Campbell

Nell Campbell

91384
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change in adaptation.

Being familiar with this area as a native Californian, I must again ask why destroy remarkable lands with yet another uninspired development to milk profits for the few. I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Rick Posten

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Dear Rep:

Enough is enough. Protect the last true wild spaces for our wildlife. Support biological diversity. We need it. Whatever happens to the least of us, happens to the rest of us.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Kelly Brock

91302
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

We have already lost too much in the way of wildlife and natural resources. Please don't allow the Centennial project to go forward. Our county needs to protect our grasslands, wildlife and air quality which will be negatively affected by this development.

Thank you,

Kristin Johnson

91722
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Through the decisions of the Regional Planning Commission I get the impression that they need an urgent retraining to learn the objectives of the job they are paid for. They are there NOT to open the doors to the developers but to protect the Californian resident and tax payer that supports them. Stop this totally useless but dangerous project and if the developers want work they should be building affordable housing in the blighted areas in the county. The Centennial project is an absurd plan that will only destroy more pristine areas, causing more degradation in our environment, increasing our already crowded traffic and so on. Solve the problems we already have, do not create more just to make a little more profit for a few. The simple fact that we have to sign this petition is the best example of how backwards our Planning Commission is and think!

Thank you,

Graciela Huth

90045
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

Stop selling our remaining open pace to build oversized houses that suck up our water. I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Tanya Wilson

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

With twenty years of opposition to the ill conceived design of the sprawling Newhall Ranch in the wetlands and floodplain of the Santa Clara River, and ongoing opportunities to create smarter, environmentally sustainable communities, you can expect the same vigilance from those of us who want to see a healthy future for our children and the planet. We still don’t have the transportation needs for the area nor the alternatives to landfills.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

barbara wampole

91384
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Jodie Sackett
LA County Department of Regional Planning Email:JSackett@planning.lacounty.gov

REJECT THIS PROJECT. NOT FIT FOR 21st CENTURY CALIFORNIA / EARTH.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Gregory Wright

91423
Dear Mr. Sackett,

WHEN DO WE STOP? WHEN DOES GREED STOP DETERMINING THE FUTURE OF THIS PLANET AND OUR STATE? WHEN DO WE CONSIDER THE RIGHTS OF THOSE OF US WHO LIVE SIMPLE LIVES ENHANCED BY THE BEAUTY OF NATURAL CALIFORNIA AND THE SPECIES WHO GIVE US SUCH PLEASURE. WHEN DO WE SAY NO TO THE DESTRUCTION OF IT? WHEN DO OUR REPRESENTATIVES REPRESENT US INSTEAD OF THE DEVELOPERS MONEY WHICH ENHANCES THEIR LIVES, NOT OURS. I WOULD NO SOONER VOTE FOR ANYONE WHO VOTES TO ALLOW THE BUILDING OF A CITY IN RURAL ANTELOPE VALLEY IN NW LOS ANGELES COUNTY. The giant "Centennial" development -- more than 19,300 houses and 10 million square feet of industry and commerce -- would be plopped down on top of California's very last, best native grassland. THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE AND WILL NOT BE FORGIVEN!

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Madeleine Fisher Kern

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Leave this land for the animals, NOT human encroachment that is destroying all natural lands.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

reem h

91750
From: Pat Wolff <partwolff@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2017 5:01 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Reject Tejon's Centennial Project

Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city, far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. And it would destroy one of the last, best native grassland in the state of California.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Pat Wolff

91006
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

ENOUGH ALREADY! I am watching the L.A. area being taken over by greedy developers and somehow, they seem unstoppable. 95,000, 130,000 square ft single family homes are being built right and left, the hills are being cut down and as if this is not enough, these developers are attacking the desert. We all know that the city sees the tax dollars pouring in... And, unfortunately, we all know that some individuals grant permits when they shouldn't... and give certificates of occupancy when the house is so poorly built that it is unsafe to live in it and has to be destroyed by the buyer because nothing is up to code... We are talking about a house sold for 25 million dollars in West Los Angeles... So here we go again...

Who came up with this mega development idea? Developers, for sure - hopefully the L.A. County 's department of regional planning will reject this construction in order to protect the environment.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Claude Rush

90077
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

The County should address the problems of its urbanized areas rather than simply spreading the pollution to more distant areas where the Centennial Project will exacerbate carbon pollution and create demand for yet more water in one of the driest areas of the county, simply for the sake of profits for a few investors. Any hope of multimodal transportation to this site is decades in the future and still requires a long commute to employment centers.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Genette Foster

91106
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

This massive project is a horrible idea. Please either scale it WAY back or drop it altogether!

Thank you, Susanna Sorin

susanna sorin

90701
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. The Antelope Valley has No water source to support the communities that now exist, and adding to the local water burden is illogical and unacceptable.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jacquelyn Roberts

93561
Dear Mr. Sackett,

I was absolutely shocked when I first heard of this proposed development in one of the few remaining relatively wild parts of the county (and one of my favorite places to visit). I can't imagine this sprawl of housing, stores, cars and traffic in this area. This is an area well known for the variety of wildlife it supports including Pronghorn Antelope and Golden Eagles.

A development of this type would forever change this unique area. In an era when we are trying to minimize the burning of fossil fuels, I cannot fathom why a development of this size would be proposed in this area, far from where the potential residents would have to travel to their jobs.

As someone who has long enjoyed this area and want to see it protected from development, I strongly urge you to reject this proposed community.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jonathan Fisher

91207
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.
Stop this project. We are being ruined by over development. Animals need space too and our environment is threatened by this kind of project. Our traffic is terrible, we have no water.. what are you thinking?? Developers don’t care, you need to.

Thank you,

chris van hook

90272
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation. Haven't we done enough damage to the environment?

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

I commute from the AV to Westlake every day. Traffic is already terrible, requiring me to leave my house at 4:30 to get to work by 6:30. Half of this travel is on the 14 but once we get close to the 5, traffic backs up for miles on the 14. So imagine my "joy" at the thought that this will get even worse.

Thank you,

Katherine Otis

93551
Dear Mr. Sackett,

To begin with, Tejon Ranch can be recognized for the beauty of their construction projects. Further, after many discussions, they have set aside a large portion of their land as a wildlife preserve. However, regardless of the quality of Tejon's projects, consideration must be taken to preserve certain areas not only for wildlife, but to also maintain a corridor where air, climate, traffic, and city sprawl must not be allowed to encroach upon these areas. As an 80-year-old native Angeleno I have seen the dramatic changes throughout Los Angeles County, Orange County, San Bernardino County, as well as those counties along our coastline and in Northern California. There is no questioning the dramatic changes and negative impacts affecting nearly all of California. And the side effects of such a project massively and negatively impacts California never ever to be restored. If you examine your consciences, you will most certainly agree California has had more than enough destructive impact upon it. That being said, and with respect to Tejon Ranch’s quality of construction and planning, it really is time to stop the intensive destruction of our limited open lands and in effect, limit the influx of those who want to live here. It may sound like a "not in my backyard" proclamation, however, the devastation of open lands is no justification for coming to California. Please: consider carefully what you have the power to do.

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today’s smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

JoAnne Klein

93312
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation. Our imperiled species need a place to live. We cannot overtake every wild space. It belongs to generations to come, wild and free. Please stand up to development and protect this land.

Thank you,

lynette sanchez

91722
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County, and its future, and needs to be rejected.

Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today’s smart-planning world: Voting to approve this is a vote for more congestion, and in a sense, a vote for climate change denial, given the consequences.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution and dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location’s remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

It is also bad water resource planning, on top of everything else.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site’s native grasslands and wildflower fields as a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation, and also be the wisest course of action -- the one that future generations will actually thank you for -- in a time of ongoing climate disruption.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mark Williams

91617
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

We are near the top of another real estate bubble. It will have popped long before project completion. Leaving a ghost town on our native grasslands.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

J. E. Brockman

90232
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation. The way a decent person of faith would.

Thank you,

jay nereim

90010
Dear Mr. Sackett,

WE DO NOT NEED THIS SPRAWL! Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Walter Dominguez

90035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Will the greed never end?

Why do you need to take the last native grasslands to do this out of scale project?

If you must do this please find a better location.
Save the protected wildlife, save the air quality.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,
Valeree Woodard

Valeree Woodard

92252
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

Los Angeles needs housing close to the existing jobs, existing services and existing cultural, social and recreational options which means smart development in the county's cities and urban corridors utilizing existing infrastructure and allowing people to take existing public transportation.

By siting Centennial so far from County core, this mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Liz Amsden

90042
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

I agree with this letter. Keep Tejon Ranch's wild lands intact without new development. The I-5, "Flying J" truck stop, IKEA and other commercial developments are enough.

Thank you,
Lupine Juncus

Lupine Juncus

93561
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

I have lived close to this area and know it to be a unique and irreplaceable treasure, a very fragile ecosystem that is home to many unique species of animals and plants, and a respite to the many of us seeking relief from the nonstop noise, pollution, and hyperactivity that is life in the city.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jamaka Petzak

91202
Dear Mr. Sackett,

We do not need more development. Especially development that endangers wildlife and the sanctity of our state.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Ken Choy

91040
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Nobody wants this. Please do not build this.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Katie Levine

90036
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided. Additionally it will place new burdens on firefighters to defend these new houses from the fires we can expect to threaten this area, not to mention the need to supply all the new residents with water.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Laurie McCall

91016
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Mary Ann Ruiz

91710
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

California continues to lose open, natural land and wildlife habitat to development especially in Los Angeles County. I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Cindy Loomis

90403
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Please protect the grasslands from ever growing greed.

Thank you,

Zan Best

90277
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

We should try to help wildlife, not destroy it.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Marjorie Hoskinson

91360
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and our environment and should be rejected.

Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. The traffic problems it would cause are almost unimaginable.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Stephen Kolodny

90212
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

Centennial is wholly within the largest and most heavily used wildlife corridor in the state that extends from the low Mojave Desert through the Transverse Ranges, Temblor Range, Carrizo Plains, Salinas Valley to the Coastal Range at Big Sur and Monterey County. Disruption of biological movement because of the development and its constraints will spell ruin for countless animal and plant populations.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Julie Clark De Blasio

90049
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Dear County Board of Supervisors:

Are you kidding me? Now, the greedy developers want to get their filthy fingers on yet another piece of natural land. The City of Los Angeles already has overbuilt the entire city with ugly, boxy apartments that no one can afford. Is the LA County Board of Supervisors so anxious to plow the county that the Antelope Valley Wildlife Preserve and the surrounding areas will be completely plowed under. This area has been a refuge for wildlife and an area where families can enjoy themselves. Like many other Angelenos, I have had enough of overbuilding. This project HAS NOT ONE GOOD REASON FOR BEING BUILT.

The proposed Centennial development on Tejon Ranch’ is nothing but a further excuse to overbuild. (Last time I checked, Los Angeles already has a Century City; why do we need this?) I have watched literally dozens of historic Hollywood bungalows razed to add a bunch of ugly new apartment buildings that have no redeeming architectural value while the history of Los Angeles is plowed under with no regard to all that made this city great.

Los Angeles is better than this. The Tejon Ranch Company is aligning itself with the devastation known as the Trump administration.

It is inconceivable to me why the LA County Board of Supervisors would even entertain the notion of overbuilding further. There is absolutely no need for this development. None. There are no small businesses in the plan, no adequate transportation, no stores other than behemoths such as McDonalds, Starbucks, and the like.

This is an absolutely horrible idea and should be summarily rejected. We don't need to further ruin California's environment by installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California. The development is miles away from from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world. The only thing that will be accomplished is that The Tejon Ranch Company will further glut our freeways and roads with unnecessary cars.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution, dump additional commuter traffic onto I5, and exacerbate traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided. Are there any plans to build reliable transportation in NW LA County? No, of course there aren't.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are. This natural legacy is a critical component of the history of LA Country and should be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW GREEDY DEVELOPERS TO PLOW UNDER YET MORE OF OUR HISTORY. ENOUGH!
Please vote no on allowing this project to go forward. Once the land is plowed under, there will be no getting it back. This is not a move forward: it is a further decimation of all native Angelenos like me are vehemently against.

Thank you,

Beverly J Goldrup

90004
Dear Mr. Sackett,

As a third generation Californian wholly invested in our state, Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Like many Californians, I care deeply about sustainable development. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

This mega-development will increase air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution.

Many Californians also like myself, care deeply about thriving ecosystems and our native wildlife. Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Constance Franklin

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Thanks s is a terrible idea! We don't need this development in Southern California!! This company has no idea about the needs of people or why we live in California. We love our wild lands. Please stop them.

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Jessica Davis

91335
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Please do not approve this project! It will be disasterous for our habitat. NO MORE SPRAWL!

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

meredith hackleman

90032
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

It would be better to build near transportation hubs and rehab areas that are already developed.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

patty cornell

90026
Dear Mr. Sackett,

Tejon Ranch’s proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development (almost 20,000 new houses) will result in significant increases in air pollution; dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, already clogged with commuters. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on UNCERTAIN PROMISES(?) that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

sharon miller

93035
Dear Mr. Sackett,

SERIOUSLY! I CAN'T EVEN WATER MY LAWN, TRAFFIC IS ALREADY AT A STANDSTILL ON THE 405, 5, 170, AND 101 AND YOU ARE GOING TO ALLOW A NEW HUGE DEVELOPMENT. CRAZY!!!!!!!!!

Tejon Ranch's proposed Centennial new city is a bad idea for Los Angeles County and should be rejected. Installing a new city in the middle of the last, best native grassland in the state of California -- far from job centers, public services and transportation -- is a project that has no place in today's smart-planning world.

The mega-development will result in significant increases in air pollution in already bad air basins. It will dump additional commuter traffic onto Interstate 5, exacerbating traffic and pollution. It will create unnecessary carbon pollution due to the location's remoteness and reliance on uncertain promises that multimodal transportation will be provided.

Los Angeles County should celebrate and protect the site's native grasslands and wildflower fields as the treasures that they are -- a natural legacy to be preserved for future generations. Maintaining the rural nature of the area will also protect the unique plants and animals that call this area home, and preserve the wildlife movement corridors that are essential for climate-change adaptation.

I urge the county to reject the ill-conceived Centennial project and instead designate the site for open space and conservation.

Thank you,

Dr. Tony Knight

91604
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Kirk
Tucson, AZ 85716
rkirk@biologicaldiversity.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jeff Wiedner
Houston, TX 77008
jeffwiedner@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for LA, then you need to say no to Centennial.

LA's wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

LA County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, LA should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for LA.

Sincerely,
Cybele Knowles
Tucson, AZ 85716
cybeleknowles@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Vicki Bingaman
Frazier Park, CA 93225
vbrehabber@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alvaro de Regil
Moorpark, CA 93021
cantabro@jussemer.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joseph Hardin
Santa Monica, CA 90405
joehardin@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Amy Andersen
Lancaster, CA 93536
manddersen3@MSN.COM
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Angela Gardner
Whittier, CA 90604
angie4dolls@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

David Soto
Santa Clarita, CA 91390
dsoto@job-dog.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Barbara Ballenger
Westlake Village, CA 91361
baballenger1@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

June Sharp
Bakersfield, CA 93313
sunnycanuck77@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Julia Brandreth
Los Angeles, CA 90046
juliabrandreth@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Catherine Ridder
Altadena, CA 91001
caridder2@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brooke Knight
Ventura, CA 93002
BKnight3@Mailbox.Org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Steven Morris
Redondo Beach, CA 90278
kf6jvt@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rosario Sandel
Reseda, CA 91335
rgopezsandel@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Scott Jung
South Pasadena, CA 91030
sjung810@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anna Pinto
Bakersfield, CA 93305
annamp88@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
cody russell
Northridge, CA 91325
cody.russell.791@my.csun.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Thomas Gillespie
La Mirada, CA 90638
tlgillespie@ca.rr.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Taylor Kirsch
Newbury Park, CA 91320
taylorjoykirsch@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jane Granskog
Los Osos, CA 93402
granskog46@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Terri Gedo
Los Angeles, CA 90045
ozakigedo@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kathy Popoff
San Pedro, CA 90732
kathy_popoff@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Francisco Ortega
Culver City, CA 90230
fortega2007@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Linda Klein
El Segundo, CA 90245
kleinjohnson@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stephen Kolodny
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
sak@kolodny.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Barbara Dincau
Ventura, CA 93006
barbaradincau@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Abigail Jaye
Van Nuys, CA 91401
abbe@tyrantsintherapy.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Theodore Bergmann
Los Angeles, CA 90064
dbergmann@sag.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Michael Davanzo
Valley Village, CA 91607
michaeljdavanzo@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Josh Kaye-Carr
Ventura, CA 93001
care2@applexpert.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jennifer-Lynn Jankesh
Santa Monica, CA 90403
jljankesh@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Emma Ausman
North Hollywood, CA 91602
lunnalupin@dslextreme.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sabrina Venskus
Ojai, CA 93023
venskus@lawsv.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Emilia Leon
Long Beach, CA 90803
emilialeon@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Grainger
Claremont, CA 91711
Eavgrainger@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Olga Trojakova
Torrance, CA 90501
olga.trojakova@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Florence Hoffert
El Segundo, CA 90245
florencehoffert@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brian Kessler
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423
brian200ca@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Stephen Anderson
Simi Valley, CA 93063
tangosteve@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Samantha Honowitz
Los Angeles, CA 90045
geraldandsam@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kat Burgess
Santa Monica, CA 90404
kburgess77@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Penelope Prochazka
Simi Valley, CA 93063
propen@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Noah Youngelson
Los Angeles, CA 90066
sohanmonk@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Katrina Rivers
Los Angeles, CA 90026
shebreathesfire@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marc Woersching
Valley Village, CA 91607
mwoersch@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cassandra Herrera
Lawndale, CA 90260
ch69rbcl@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

J Nasella
Glendale, CA 91201
snas@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area. We do not want to have to pay for this, nor do we want more urban sprawl and more overpopulation of our area, which is already overpopulated. People need to go elsewhere!

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jamaka Petzak
Glendale, CA 91202
jmuhjacat@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Ogonowski
Ojai, CA 93023
mogo666@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robert Chirpin
Northridge, CA 91324
gldlight@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Erin Garcia
Tarzana, CA 91356
airingrc2@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Emme G
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
lumijulitink@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marisa Strange
Long Beach, CA 90803
strange523@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Margaret Tollner
Lakewood, CA 90713
mtollner@dhs.lacounty.gov
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michael Gordon
Lakewood, CA 90712
michael_gordon@charter.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Frank Frattaroli
Los Angeles, CA 90004
fnf@rcn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Cindy Loomis
Santa Monica, CA 90403
loomisc@ehs.ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Melissa Vasconcellos
Ventura, CA 93006
Melissajv1967@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jackie Bear
Los Angeles, CA 90048
bearhux@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Claudia Saporiti
Hawthorne, CA 90250
Claudiasaporiti@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Justin Vasquez
Northridge, CA 91325
justin.vasquez.258@my.csun.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Justine Bruhanski
Lancaster, CA 93535
justeenbruhanski@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Obrien
Torrance, CA 90504
dorothyob@hotmail.com
From: amymfranz@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Amy Franz
<amymfranz@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 8:06 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Amy Franz
La Habra, CA 90631
amymfranz@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diane Smith
Los Angeles, CA 90049
dsmith@csjla.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rose AN
Arcadia, CA 91007
dewsparkle.rose@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Susan Bogdanovich
San Pedro, CA 90732
bogdanovich.susan@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sonic Jensen
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
hakerpetersen@yahoo.dk
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brian Rutkin
Culver City, CA 90230
Brianrutkin@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Vineyard
Simi Valley, CA 93065
zinfulrabbit@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kieu Doan
Stevenson Ranch, CA 91381
kieuduyendoan@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Linda Hillman
Camarillo, CA 93012
lghillman@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carol Locatell
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423
pinotell@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Charles Wolfe
Sylmar, CA 91342
cawolfe@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Peevers
Los Angeles, CA 90066
peeversla@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Doug Flack
New York, NY 10012
tonyobst@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Canan Tzelil
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
canant@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Susan Ryan
Los Angeles, CA 90019
susanryan2010@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Louise Priest
Santa Paula, CA 93060
bazwez@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Wendy Rosenfeld
North Hollywood, CA 91601
houseofhats@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Twyla Meyer
Pomona, CA 91767
tmmacc15@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Arterian
Los Angeles, CA 90039
darterian@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Michael Kast
Panorama City, CA 91402
michael_kast@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Eugene Majerowicz
Los Angeles, CA 90008
eugenemajerowicz@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Sean O'Keeffe
Los Angeles, CA 90064
sokeeffe@sas.upenn.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robert Ricewasser
Monrovia, CA 91016
rbricewasser@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brennan Nerhus
Long Beach, CA 90808
Btnerhus@cox.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Sisse Jensen
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
sissejensen@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fichman
Studio City, CA 91604
Fichman24@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diane Esper
Burbank, CA 91501
diadele2@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Lovell
South Pasadena, CA 91030
lllpolytax@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jerome Bender
Duarte, CA 91010
jerome.d.bender@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Leili Barwick
Los Angeles, CA 90020
leilibarwick@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dan Morgan
Rosamond, CA 93560
nasaduck@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anna Schofield
Los Angeles, CA 90024
annakadiev@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

David Gabriyelyan
Sun Valley, CA 91352
davidgabriyelyan@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandy Levine
Pasadena, CA 91104
sandylevine@lycos.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jerry Matson
Pasadena, CA 91104
jmatson@rocketmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rosalie Prieto
Bakersfield, CA 93313
rosalie.prieto@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rosanne Basu
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
rosannebasu@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bob Drury
Long Beach, CA 90814
turvydrop@charter.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Chuck Rocco
Simi Valley, CA 93065
crocco1250@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robert Russo
Glendora, CA 91741
russocc@russocc.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Elaine Brandt
Venice, CA 90291
elainebrandt19@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ellen Monocroussos
Los Angeles, CA 90032
ellendesign@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gene Trautmann
Van Nuys, CA 91411
drumsonfire@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cinzia Paganuzzi
Santa Monica, CA 90405
cinzia_paganuzzi@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nancy Carter
Westlake Village, CA 91361
njcr95@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Katie Levine
Los Angeles, CA 90036
kleine24@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Phe

Chatsworth, CA 91311
jacqueline.phe.810@my.csun.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Yackley
Los Angeles, CA 90027
meyackley@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karen Sarkisian
Van Nuys, CA 91405
Ksarkisian80844@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kristina Wunder
Santa Monica, CA 90403
kristinasattler@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Andrea Szucsik
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
andrea.szucsik@live.ca
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sean Corrigan
Bellflower, CA 90706
quoll_fnq@optusnet.com.au
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

James Reynolds
Sunland, CA 91040
jacquesrenault@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Angela Vela
San Fernando, CA 91340
angela.vela.563@my.csun.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tim Murphy
North Hollywood, CA 91602
TheNumber1Murf@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California’s two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandra Glover
Malibu, CA 90265
sandy@sandygloverart.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kerry Nickols
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423
kerryintheykelp@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carol Stott
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
carol.milestone@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
M.S. Epstein
Los Angeles, CA 90036
storyjewels@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
g goldfarb
Malibu, CA 90265
georgia.goldfarb@healthequality.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jo Young
Culver City, CA 90230
joellen@youngcanine.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Jensen
Santa Monica, CA 90401
jjensen@actii.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Alena Jorgensen
Temple City, CA 91780
aj.1156@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Meaghan Clark
North Hollywood, CA 91605
meaghan.clark.90@my.csun.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Eiko Ono
Los Angeles, CA 90038
eiko0911jiro@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Stephen Hutchinson
Glendale, CA 91202
shutchin@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Judith Luscalzo
Long Beach, CA 90806
jluscalzo@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Tanya Wilson
Glendale, CA 91202
scootergrrl@pacbell.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gail Christensen
Burbank, CA 91501
lyricalworker@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sam Butler
Los Angeles, CA 90045
samjbutler@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Garcia
North Hollywood, CA 91601
nicolasvalencia80@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Celine Wan
Rowland Heights, CA 91748
celinrocks00@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tara Martinez
Bakersfield, CA 93311
dantaramar3@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Celine Wan
Rowland Heights, CA 91748
celinw1115@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. Yes, this is suburban scrawl at its worst. People should live near where they work.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jerry Schneider
Los Angeles, CA 90065
jerryspe9@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A. When does this stop with developers? They have a one track mind and shame on the County if they give in to this. You can't go back.

Sincerely,
Edwyna Rennie
Alhambra, CA 91803
erennie@mattconstruction.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Julian Siminski
Studio City, CA 91604
julian.siminski@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Michale Noll
Valley Village, CA 91607
garabaldi001@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nancy Sagatelian
Pasadena, CA 91106
nancysagatelian@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Amelia Jones
Santa Monica, CA 90405
ailema90@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michael Michel
Los Angeles, CA 90029
jackmcghee007@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ambrosia Lefton
Simi Valley, CA 93063
james93063@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Lollie Ragana
Santa Monica, CA 90405
lragana.lr@gmail.com
From: sandra.e.anderson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Sandra Anderson  
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 4:16 PM  
To: Jodie Sackett  
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandra Anderson  
Valley Village, CA 91607  
sandra.e.anderson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lisi Brown
Burbank, CA 91504
wordcatcher1@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Antal Kalik
Lawndale, CA 90260
bender171@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paulette Doulatshahi
Los Angeles, CA 90045
pdoulatshahi@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Alberto Acosta
Burbank, CA 91505
aacosta756@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Polly Lewis
Frazier Park, CA 93225
pelewislll@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Judis
Encino, CA 91436
samjay@ix.netcom.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Reem H
La Verne, CA 91750
rchaddd@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Proteau
Los Angeles, CA 90036
proteaum@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Claudia Moorman
Los Angeles, CA 90044
starfifty.cm50@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robin Russell
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
parkhouse1@mac.com
From: jeanm1201@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jessica Jean Posner <jeanm1201@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 4:01 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jessica Jean Posner
Palmdale, CA 93551
jeanm1201@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Linda Lyke
Los Angeles, CA 90065
Lyke@oxy.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ron Hansel
West Covina, CA 91790
sgvvec@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brianna Rotella
Ventura, CA 93001
Briannarotella@gmail.com
From: gailgraff99@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Gail Graff <gailgraff99@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 3:52 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gail Graff
Westlake Village, CA 91361
gailgraff99@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Richard Dickey
West Hollywood, CA 90069
rdickey@feralflowers.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Schuster
West Covina, CA 91791
jeanneschuster@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Elvira Arias
Harbor City, CA 90710
elviraa10@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jason Bowbeer
Whittier, CA 90602
animatronik@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Fia Perera
Pasadena, CA 91101
Fiasperera@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paulette Heath
Los Angeles, CA 90041
Bheath5@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Sandra Hise
Los Angeles, CA 90065
s_hise_02@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon
pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts.
Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in
at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever,
paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native
grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and
pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable
housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population
through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major
earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this
remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires
and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lisa Rennie
Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274
tulipsunflower@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brian Murphy
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423
bdarbito@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Deepak Dhillonn
Palmdale, CA 93551
Dipilu2@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Charlie Brown
Los Angeles, CA 90043
cbaff@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Remelman
Panorama City, CA 91402
slicknickxp@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Joan Mead
Los Angeles, CA 90026
catladylataos@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Susan Christensen
Glendora, CA 91741
smcstoy@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Corey Prost
Santa Monica, CA 90405
coreyprost@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Martha Atwell
Los Angeles, CA 90026
ladirtfarm@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Judy Williams
Caliente, CA 93518
twoaks1@wildblue.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Darynne Jessler
Valley Village, CA 91607
darynnej@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Margaret Abate
Oak Park, CA 91377
abatem913@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lori Wilson-Carter
Whittier, CA 90603
lwcarter1@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Clary Neil
West Covina, CA 91790
clary_neil@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Cressy
Ventura, CA 93003
kcressy@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marguerite Shuster
Sierra Madre, CA 91024
mshuster@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Carol Brenner
Moorpark, CA 93021
car8cla@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Gordon Cook
Bakersfield, CA 93309
gcook1489@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karesa McElheny
Sunland, CA 91040
karesa.mcelheny@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ron Vanderford
Burbank, CA 91501
ronvanderford@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cindy Weis
Canyon Country, CA 91387
cindyweis10@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Melanie Melzar
Los Angeles, CA 90036
Mmelzard@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Lapointe
Los Angeles, CA 90031
hawkvision@live.ca
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Pamela Rogers
Bellflower, CA 90707
robinsonmatthew964@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Robert Sennert
Canoga Park, CA 91309
rrtopcom1@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Susan Sloan
Los Angeles, CA 90064
suesloan@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial. L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dennis Kortheuer
Long Beach, CA 90804
dennis.kortheuer@csulb.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Connie Call
Los Angeles, CA 90066
connie.call@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
barbara Murray
Los Angeles, CA 90041
barbaram@yogananda-srf.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shannon Bucci
Los Angeles, CA 90035
shannonbucci21@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Daniel Lee
Culver City, CA 90232
d7wl@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
James Lamm
Culver City, CA 90230
jim.lamm@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Valerie Lizarraga
Montebello, CA 90640
lizarragaval@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ronald Ringler
Long Beach, CA 90815
ronald.ringler@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Roberta Thompson
Sun Valley, CA 91352
bobbi.thompson@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Karla Devine
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
kjdevine99@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Greg Sweel
Santa Monica, CA 90405
gsweel@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It’s the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Andrew Schulsinger

La Puente, CA 91744

aschulsinger@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jessica Dardarian
Winnetka, CA 91306
jessicadardarian@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Carla Marting
Camarillo, CA 93010
adventrgrl@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shakayla Thomas
Compton, CA 90220
tshakayla88@yahoo.com
From: dockenpolk@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Docken Polk
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 2:33 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Docken Polk
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
dokenpolk@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Luke Schelhaas
Studio City, CA 91604
Ldschel@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Carrie Hesse
Los Angeles, CA 90026
artemite@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Douglas Dyakon
West Hollywood, CA 90069
douglasdyakon@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carol Simoes
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
carolnnorm@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anthony Kiedis
Santa Monica, CA 90405
milkinandwaxin@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Wu
Oak Park, CA 91377
t.wu.812@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Richard Cox
Venice, CA 90291
rickcox9@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Waters
Torrance, CA 90505
dianawaters09@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Steve-Rachael Alvarezjett
Torrance, CA 90501
alvarezjett@icloud.com
From: derby@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Lauren Derby <derby@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 2:19 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Lauren Derby
Santa Monica, CA 90405
derby@history.ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Iliana Lopez
Glendora, CA 91740
smarty2082@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Stillwater
Los Angeles, CA 90020
BonnieAndApril@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Naomy Guerra
Los Angeles, CA 90019
naomy.guerra@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Julia Berkowitz
Los Angeles, CA 90025
jujuberkowitz@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Barbara Byer
Pasadena, CA 91104
byer@enallt.unam.mx
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alexa Canales
Carpinteria, CA 93013
lxfullerton@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Candris Madison
Los Angeles, CA 90034
candrism@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
James Wrinkle
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
jim@jameswrinkle.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joseph Razo
Camarillo, CA 93012
joe_razo@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, a new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Pete Cox
Mission Hills, CA 91345
pete73cox@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Pash
La Verne, CA 91750
dmpash@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Shepherd
San Fernando, CA 91340
tech@jlshepherd.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A. You have done one wrong thing after another to this State- do something right for a change.

Sincerely,
Danielle Charney
Santa Monica, CA 90405
shineshuge@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Peter Stearns
Long Beach, CA 90807
Stearnspedro@gmail.com
From: canada.eh.4444@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Alecia Weaver <canada.eh.4444@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 2:10 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alecia Weaver
Studio City, CA 91604
canada.eh.4444@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Melissa Liscomb
La Verne, CA 91750
m_liscomb@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gabriella Turek
Pasadena, CA 91106
gaby@dv8.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Cervantes
Covina, CA 91722
horsemenpi@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Denis Petitt
Burbank, CA 91505
denis.petitt@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Smith
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
madismi1@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Marcella Bottero
Los Angeles, CA 90066
Mbfitnow@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Georgia Tattu
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
giattu@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Michael White
Los Angeles, CA 90059
white837@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lynne Henry-Dinneen
Torrance, CA 90503
California.lilly@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tamadhur Al-Aqeel
Los Angeles, CA 90035
tamadhur@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karen Neubert
Los Angeles, CA 90041
kneubert@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Caitlin Wylde
Los Angeles, CA 90026
caitlinwylde@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Christina Gomez
San Dimas, CA 91773
luvmydogxo@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Rayline Dean
Ridgecrest, CA 93555
RaylineDean@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Travis Langen
Los Angeles, CA 90042
travislangen@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Victoria Miller
Encino, CA 91436
Vemiller@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Vicki Miceli
Santa Monica, CA 90405
smprincss@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Kocincki
Long Beach, CA 90815
ticktock57@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Mary McAuliffe
Los Angeles, CA 90028
mcpuff05@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Tina Brown
Newbury Park, CA 91320
Kopismom@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jaynie Hainline
Santa Clarita, CA 91350
jayniemomcat@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Eric Bergman
Canyon Country, CA 91351
timeandout@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anouchka Ferrer
Pasadena, CA 91104
anouchka.skincare@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
H. Coetzee
La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011
hcoetzee@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Li Gad
Los Angeles, CA 90068
bluestoneparkview@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A. In other words don't do it, it will be bad for all of us.

Sincerely,
Timothy Michel
West Covina, CA 91792
tmichel@911tap.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandy Stuhaan
Ridgecrest, CA 93555
smstuh@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Julia Conklin
Pasadena, CA 91107
jlconklin@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ronald Warren
Glendale, CA 91206
ronw@imageiv.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Leslie Winston
Redondo Beach, CA 90278
winstonl@humnet.ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tom And Deborah Tataranowicz
Malibu, CA 90265
trubbledog@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Patrice Sena
Pasadena, CA 91106
patricesena@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Matt Cooper
Northridge, CA 91325
mattjcooper@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mindy Leighton-Toth
Los Angeles, CA 90006
eternalserena@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Vivian Deutsch
Calabasas, CA 91302
duodeutsch@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Krister Olsson
Los Angeles, CA 90013
krister@tree-axis.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Terry Bezner
Torrance, CA 90503
teryb@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Mark Stannard
Los Angeles, CA 90056
mark.stannard@ymail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Stanley Haye
Ridgecrest, CA 93555
stanhaye@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dan Esposito
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
danjesposito@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brenda Haig
Long Beach, CA 90803
bjh124@charter.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shea Rowan
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
rachelcronin@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Damon Brown
Los Angeles, CA 90016
d.brown.2@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Brittany Rivera
Los Angeles, CA 90062
Brittanyarivera3@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Barbara Miyamoto
Porter Ranch, CA 91326
Bmiyamoto47@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Merijule Washington
Pasadena, CA 91107
merijule@yahoo.com
From: lilyval02@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Liliana Valerio <lilyval02@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 1:28 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Liliana Valerio
Northridge, CA 91325
lilyval02@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gerald Orcholski
Pasadena, CA 91104
gerryjim@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Susan Monaster
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292
susanlily@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cathy Thornburn
Los Angeles, CA 90041
cthornburn69@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Taryn Braband
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
tarynbraband@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tiayuana Conroy
Lancaster, CA 93534
tiamconroy@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Matthew Nasser
Los Angeles, CA 90068
mmatt37@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Fitzpatrick
Pasadena, CA 91107
wordfitz@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Donna Shaw
Simi Valley, CA 93065
dshaw77708@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial. L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard. The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Timothy Root
Claremont, CA 91711
t.root1@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gina Ortiz
Claremont, CA 91711
ginarina@ca.rr.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Alan Yamamoto
Newhall, CA 91321
yamamoto_alan@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Donna Williams
Los Angeles, CA 90028
wacincconserve@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gabriella Arias
Los Angeles, CA 90066
garia.fierce@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Donnal Poppe
Northridge, CA 91325
donnalpoppe@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shirin Anderson
Oxnard, CA 93035
shirinanderson@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Charles B.
Tarzana, CA 91356
cb3jd2@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Garrett Weinstein
West Hills, CA 91307
gwein42@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Russell Blandino
Burbank, CA 91506
russino@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Will Beinbrink
Venice, CA 90291
williambeinbrink@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ariel Ouziel
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
aouziel25@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Maillet
Van Nuys, CA 91411
davidmaillet91@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Carol Becker
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423
carolfirstmd@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Martha Pace
Los Angeles, CA 90046
pace.martha@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rita Thio
Walnut, CA 91789
supertooth@ymail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Blaise Brockman
Arcadia, CA 91007
fatherblaise@holyangelsarcadia.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it’s directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It’s the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Sue Matz
South Pasadena, CA 91030
4koolkatz@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Chris Van Hook
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272
Ccvanhook@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Felena Puentes
Bakersfield, CA 93312
fpuentes19@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Goodwin
Los Angeles, CA 90068
gdplusgd@pacbell.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ryan Shopay
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
ryantshopay@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marc Gaede
La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011
chacopress@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tyler Welbourn
Ventura, CA 93001
tyler.welbourn@patagonia.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lesley Meyer
Los Angeles, CA 90065
lesleymeyer@Mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Anna Kraus
North Hollywood, CA 91606
bergienoho@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marnie Gaede
La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011
chacopress@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Groome
Topanga, CA 90290
otorongo@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Cathy Kraus
North Hollywood, CA 91606
thatscat@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

P. Feinblatt
Pasadena, CA 91104
pepi1655@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jed Fuhrman
Topanga, CA 90290
fuhrman@usc.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Maria Sol Caro
Pasadena, CA 91103
sol_caro@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Patterson
Ojai, CA 93023
bpatter123@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gaston Willig
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Gastonmusic@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Leigh Jewell
Santa Clarita, CA 91350
lkjewell@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ann Scheid
Pasadena, CA 91105
scheid@usc.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Laura Hauther
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Maakies23@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ron Wloch
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
rdw8889@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Steev Beeson
Los Angeles, CA 90068
upovmx@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stephen Bryne
Ventura, CA 93001
scbryne@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

 Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jessica Ramirez
Los Angeles, CA 90045
devotchkax@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Janet Pielke
Claremont, CA 91711
jvop43@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Burton Weinstein
Simi Valley, CA 93063
b.weinstein@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lorene Cangiano
Santa Clarita, CA 91390
lcangiano@chomg.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Deborah Depiano
Los Angeles, CA 90048
ddpskin@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jamie Green
Ventura, CA 93004
springhead@qnet.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bas García
Altadena, CA 91001
basvanschooten@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Missie Smith
Tehachapi, CA 93561
missiestatts@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Clark
West Hills, CA 91307
kirby1234@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Thomas Conroy
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
trconroy@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Laufer
Los Angeles, CA 90046
vivid55@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alice Neuhauser
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
apntrc@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

James Talbot
Granada Hills, CA 91344
jamestalbot@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Dayna Dunne
Los Angeles, CA 90026
ddenterprises@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Edy G Soto
Sylmar, CA 91342
missgee79@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Helfand
Los Angeles, CA 90068
rozhelfand@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marta Segura
Los Angeles, CA 90043
soysegura@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kristen Ingham
Bakersfield, CA 93312
kristeningham@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Suzy Goodman
Santa Monica, CA 90404
bythesea1124@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Louanne Insprucker
La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011
lainsprucker@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Janet Heinle
Santa Monica, CA 90403
janetheinle@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joe McLaughlin
Los Angeles, CA 90026
jojomclaughlin@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
MaRia Bodmann
Granada Hills, CA 91344
maria@balibeyond.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Richard Van Heertum
North Hollywood, CA 91601
rjv@ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Joe Berman
Los Angeles, CA 90027
Joseph.s.berman@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tami McCready
Simi Valley, CA 93063
stevenmccready@roadrunner.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Pearl Nghe
Ventura, CA 93004
pearln76@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

David Miller
Long Beach, CA 90802
pixel_wrangler@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Christina Babst
West Hollywood, CA 90069
seamusminnie@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Erika H
Simi Valley, CA 93065
erika.hucal@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Pettis
Santa Clarita, CA 91350
ecokare2@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sophie Klahr
Los Angeles, CA 90042
sophie.klahr@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Henry Hespenheide
Los Angeles, CA 90045
hahiii@ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Angela Davis
Los Angeles, CA 90018
surrealsircuss@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Swire
Simi Valley, CA 93063
dasbigboy@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Norma Newman
Los Angeles, CA 90039
aprilcrone23@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lesley Terwilliger
Ventura, CA 93001
Lellyt@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shea Rowan
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
shearowan@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ann Tait
Pasadena, CA 91104
anntait@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shauna Hoffman
Santa Clarita, CA 91350
suspect@whodunitcruises.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lynne Ann
Los Angeles, CA 90048
movieblonde@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paul Gullam
Bakersfield, CA 93309
pgullam@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Christa Neuber
  West Hollywood, CA 90069
seamusminnie@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Cesar Lujan
Los Angeles, CA 90028
cesar120@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Genevieve Burciaga
Burbank, CA 91505
genagoura@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Mark Geraghty
Santa Monica, CA 90405
Msgeraghty@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Martin Tripp
Santa Clarita, CA 91390
rechn@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tina Dooley
Bakersfield, CA 93386
tina.dooley@khs-net.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It is your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cathy Scott
Canyon Country, CA 91351
resper8@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sue Sands
Malibu, CA 90265
susanfsands@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Whitney
Venice, CA 90291
kathleenwht@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alice Goldstein
Venice, CA 90291
monarchperson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sue Petteway
Los Angeles, CA 90012
sfpetteway@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Don Grierson
Los Angeles, CA 90046
donunda@pacbell.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Sussek
Van Nuys, CA 91404
ace_mrs@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ken Stein
Thousand Oaks, Ca 91360
Ken.stein57@gmail.com

Sincerely,
Ken Stein
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
ken.stein57@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irrereplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jill Davine
Culver City, CA 90232
jsdavine@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sacha Naschinski
Hawthorne, CA 90250
sachaski86@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandra Christopher
Burbank, CA 91505
Scottishmist33@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Karen Emanuel
Tarzana, CA 91356
karenabbychallice@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cindy Stein
Thousand Oaks, Ca 91360
Cinfish65@yahoo.com

Sincerely,
Cindy Stein
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
cinfish65@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Holly Burgin
Van Nuys, CA 91405
burgin.holly@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ernie Looney
Santa Clarita, CA 91380
Earthling109@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Anne Elder
Los Angeles, CA 90027
draselder@pacbell.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Amy Agzarian
Culver City, CA 90230
amyducky@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Javier Del Valle
Monterey Park, CA 91754
jdelvalle@dvacommercialre.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Heather Schraeder
Culver City, CA 90230
hschraeder@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Lydia Martinez
Hawthorne, CA 90250
lyllian2777@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Fred Granlund
North Hollywood, CA 91601
fgranlund@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Vinney
Culver City, CA 90230
cvinney@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tom Francis
Santa Monica, CA 90405
wateringlass@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jan Leath
Glendale, CA 91205
ranjon2@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Jones
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423
jjones11749@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Emmanuel Guzman
La Puente, CA 91744
eguzm013@ucr.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Cindy Tipayaosot
Sylmar, CA 91342
cintippi@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jan Loza
Frazier Park, CA 93225
jan.loza@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Levinson Rodriguez
Mission Hills, CA 91345
levrodriguez23@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Lech Naumovich
Alameda, CA 94501
lech@goldenhour.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Isabel Freeman
Topanga, CA 90290
isf90290@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Amanda Tenney
Glendale, CA 91201
chillout8@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Gaal
Van Nuys, CA 91401
sgjazkat@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rena Lewis
Ojai, CA 93023
relew7@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nancy Curl
San Gabriel, CA 91775
nan.corra@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michael Wisniewski
Hacienda Heights, CA 91745
wizfam@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Angel Orona
Alhambra, CA 91803
angelorona111@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in “high” and “very high” fire hazard severity zones. And it’s directly on top of California’s two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Laurie Kay
Pasadena, CA 91107
lauriebethkay@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

LOUISE RANGEL
Santa Paula, CA 93060
WEEZYF@ROADRUNNER.COM
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anabelle Anderson
La Verne, CA 91750
anabelleanderson@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
agnew wilson
West Hollywood, CA 90069
kazoor277@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Vicki Manzanares
Valencia, CA 91355
vimsj@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Isabel Garcia
Long Beach, CA 90814
ivgrlima@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Karen Berger
Montrose, CA 91020
kareneliseberger@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tyffanie Bell Sypher
Chatsworth, CA 91311
Tyffaniebellsypher@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Yates
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272
kmydds@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rob Seltzer
Malibu, CA 90265
rsscpa@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Beatriz Mateo
Van Nuys, CA 91401
Beatrili0118@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Annika Swenson
Long Beach, CA 90808
widget043@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Yazmin Gonzalez
Bellflower, CA 90706
evaunit2001@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Roanna Frieze
Whittier, CA 90601
afrieze@goldenstatefoods.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dirk Verbeuren
Valley Village, CA 91607
napalmdirk@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Susi Higgins
Glendale, CA 91203
susihiggins@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lulu Larocca
    North Hollywood, CA 91602
Lulu@laroccaskincare.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Dorrit Ragosine
Los Angeles, CA 90068
Dragosine@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Malissa Daniel Beeson
Los Angeles, CA 90068
upov@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Beckett
Los Angeles, CA 90016
jonathanbeckett@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Truscinski
Newbury Park, CA 91320
marktrus@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Denise Belsey-Crum
Canyon Country, CA 91387
learnmore1@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robin Lande
Los Angeles, CA 90036
robinsberlin@gmx.de
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ralph Lopez
Los Angeles, CA 90012
santiago-69@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
M.A. Steinberger
Tujunga, CA 91042
Midicello@littleblackdots.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Denise Belsey-Crum
Canyon Country, CA 91387
learnmore1@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paige Ziehlermartin
Pasadena, CA 91107
paige_ziehlermartin@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Steve Hanlon
Los Angeles, CA 90049
steve.hanlon@teamone-usa.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Karen Jones
Venice, CA 90291
khjones1949@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Topp
Malibu, CA 90265
Bethtopp@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

James Doctolero
Ventura, CA 93003
doctolerojames@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Chris Hall

Los Angeles, CA 90028

cj.hall.7@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Maxine Saine
Bakersfield, CA 93313
m_saine@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gina Otos
Torrance, CA 90503
ginaotos@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Barbara Piszczek
Oxnard, CA 93036
bpiszczek@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Wena Dows
Culver City, CA 90230
wenadows@juno.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ruth Olafsdottir
Santa Monica, CA 90403
rutholaf@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bettina Rosmarino
Los Angeles, CA 90065
brosie96@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Crispi
Los Angeles, CA 90034
diana@thecrispifamily.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

kira durbin
Van Nuys, CA 91411
caliginger13@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it’s directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Pillsbury
Camarillo, CA 93012
rapillsbury@roadrunner.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Victoria Brandon
Northridge, CA 91325
wyandotte4@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Olivia Silensky
Los Angeles, CA 90026
pymcat@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Andrea Milton
Canoga Park, CA 91304
Archiemilton@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sonja Roth
Los Angeles, CA 90064
sonja.roth@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ron McGill
Los Angeles, CA 90039
underconsume@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jason Shepherd
Newbury Park, CA 91320
jshep13@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Melanie Vollbrecht
Moorpark, CA 93021
dispatch@axicom.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Julie Nelson
North Hollywood, CA 91601
Julienelson7@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

I-Ching Lao
Los Angeles, CA 90029
nihaomao293-news@yahoo.com
From: kayfoster0@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Nora Foster <kayfoster0@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 12:02 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nora Foster
Santa Monica, CA 90405
kayfoster0@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Andrea Thamm
Acton, CA 93510
violetculture@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sarah Friedman
Los Angeles, CA 90026
sarah.friedman@sierraclub.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elaine Livesey-Fassel
Los Angeles, CA 90064
livesey-fassel@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Daniel Rebson
Long Beach, CA 90807
drebson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Candice Kim
Pasadena, CA 91104
candicesungkim@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

David Carlson
Venice, CA 90291
orders@infinitefield.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cathy Crum
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
cscanatomyarts@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Adil Mehta
Chatsworth, CA 91311
ccensemble@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Yvette
Valley Village, CA 91617
yyvette44@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Avila
Whittier, CA 90601
maavila1@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial. Smart growth, not just growth for the sake of growth.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gregg Oelker
Altadena, CA 91001
n2caves@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Deerlyjohnson
Long Beach, CA 90806
suzannedj3@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it’s directly on top of California’s two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It’s the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Patricia Boroughs
Sylmar, CA 91342
Pat.boroughs@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gregory Alper
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272
alper@alpermusic.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ken Windrum
Los Angeles, CA 90004
kwindrum@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Therese Ryan
Palmdale, CA 93550
mandm2872@earthlink.net
From: drldick@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Lori Dick <drldick@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:55 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lori Dick
Claremont, CA 91711
drldick@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kevin Diggs
Simi Valley, CA 93063
kevin@diggstownusa.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nicole Stoltzfus
Los Angeles, CA 90064
nstoltz07@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
D. Trevor Shiffermiller
La Puente, CA 91744
thorshammer@shiffermiller.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Selene Thompson
Los Angeles, CA 90014
Hairbyselene@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Susan Falkenbach
Torrence, CA 90505
sandscout@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Lynette Henderson
Chatsworth, CA 91311
lynettekhenderson@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Julia Gibson
Los Angeles, CA 90068
juliamarygibson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Loren Fierman

Long Beach, CA 90807
lorenf@speakeasy.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nora Oliver
La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011
norahjo.1968@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nalani Hao
Long Beach, CA 90808
nalani.hao@sce.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Judith S Anderson
Long Beach, CA 90807
jskanderson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ken Gilliland
Tujunga, CA 91042
empken@empken.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Katherine Davis
Los Angeles, CA 90057
katsilverthread@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Aixa Fielder
Los Angeles, CA 90028
aixa1@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stacie Cox
Santa Monica, CA 90405
srcstuff@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ken Hense
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292
kenhense@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities. More concrete and more humans are not needed.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
R Taylor
Los Angeles, CA 90025
rita.t8@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Roger Hollander
Tarzana, CA 91356
rogerhollander@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

John Cloonan
Ventura, CA 93001
johncloonan@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Valerie Weiss
Los Angeles, CA 90043
Vweiss17@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Barbara Poland

La Crescenta, CA 91214
catsdogsnroses@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Molly Bosted
Altadena, CA 91001
mbosted@pacbell.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Susie Tortell
Santa Monica, CA 90405
stortelli@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tom Fitzpatrick
Los Angeles, CA 90048
tomfitz6@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Julie Stein
Pacoima, CA 91331
jstein817@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Ronald Partridge
Simi Valley, CA 93063
raturon@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lauraine Gibbons
North Hollywood, CA 91602
mailforlulu@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alec Taratula
Alhambra, CA 91801
alec.taratula@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jean Woodrow
San Pedro, CA 90732
jeanwoodrow@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Chavez
Santa Monica, CA 90405
chavez_art@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joan Sitnick
Encino, CA 91436
joansitnick@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Danielle Harden
Granada Hills, CA 91344
hardd300@coyote.csusb.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Nancy Grant
Simi Valley, CA 93063
Nkaufman99@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

All of the reasons stated above signify why this project would be disastrous for the future of our area and our state. The harm it would do would be irreversible. Please!!!!! Do not go forward with this project or any other of its magnitude and destructive capabilities. Please!!!

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Linda Trevillian
Alhambra, CA 91803
linda-in-alhambra@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Brooks
Frazier Park, CA 93225
mbrooks2521@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Chad Johnson
Los Angeles, CA 90004
ckenjohnson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Don Lukenbill
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403
wordsmith_works@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stephen Stone
Los Angeles, CA 90063
stevestone1945@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Chris Hernandez
Sun Valley, CA 91352
Crypticwizzard@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California’s two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dale Schafer
Malibu, CA 90265
daleschafer@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Steve Duggan
Littlerock, CA 93543
sduggan16@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
John Hawkins
Newbury Park, CA 91320
John.Hawk7@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Susan O'Connell
Ventura, CA 93006
susoc3@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Erica Munn
Los Angeles, CA 90028
ericamunn@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Batsheva Kasdan
Los Angeles, CA 90035
bska@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jeffery Dorer
Los Angeles, CA 90057
jeffdorer2002@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

John Paladin
Santa Clarita, CA 91380
PaladinEsq@AOL.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Erica Tyron
Claremont, CA 91711
ericatyron@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rachel Kelley
Santa Monica, CA 90405
rachelbernota@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Wendy Lohman
Los Angeles, CA 90024
wlohman@support.ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rhys Marsh
Los Angeles, CA 90077
marsh.email@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Reback
Los Angeles, CA 90042
mark@consumerwatchdog.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Noel Park
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
noelparkone@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tracy Goestenkors
Los Angeles, CA 90068
tracygoes@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kim Krizan
Los Angeles, CA 90065
kimkrizan@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Nadia Allaudin
Los Angeles, CA 90067
nadia_allaudin@ml.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Tatyana Mitnik
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
mitnikt@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jessica De Ruiter
Los Angeles, CA 90039
jessica@jessicaderuiter.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

John Edman
Glendale, CA 91208
westonedman@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jessica Dreyer
Los Angeles, CA 90035
jessicaedreyer@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mara Johnson
Santa Clarita, CA 91390
mjohnson50@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ann Bein
Los Angeles, CA 90064
abein@ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Leslie Klein
Los Angeles, CA 90027
kleinleslie@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Tamara Matz
Los Angeles, CA 90016
tmmatz@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Steve Graff
Los Angeles, CA 90025
stevegraff12@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marta Cunningham
Los Angeles, CA 90064
martacunningham@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Flynn Coleman
Los Angeles, CA 90025
fmc@berkeley.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kat Ross
Los Angeles, CA 90074
katross98@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Patricia Smith
Camarillo, CA 93012
seahorse1@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Spotts
Saint George, UT 84790
raspotts2@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Martha Burton
Los Angeles, CA 90049
martha.burton@cbre.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Catherine Beauchamp
Pasadena, CA 91103
clhediting@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Deborah Austin
Torrance, CA 90505
debraust@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joseph And Kimberly Walker
Los Angeles, CA 90026
jtoddwalker@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brit Davis
Whittier, CA 90605
Brtdvs@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Steviann Yanowitz
Van Nuys, CA 91406
steviannkagen@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

David Czamanske
South Pasadena, CA 91031
dczamanske@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Merredith Ahearn
Port Hueneme, CA 93041
mahearn396@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Wendy Wee
Walnut, CA 91789
idalingi@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Berkeley Stewart
Whittier, CA 90601
Berkeleystewart@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial!

Sincerely,

Alana Johnson
Los Angeles, CA 90026
ajohnson@lapl.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

In addition to the thoughtful and factual info presented here, there is another extremely serious issue. California is still recovering from a severe drought. There are a few communities adjacent to the proposed development that have been experiencing very poor and extremely high mineral content water supplies. I know Tejon has some sort of water arrangement for water due to pipelines having access across Tejon property (not exactly certain of the arrangements) but with a project of this size, don't you think you are "stealing from Peter to pay Paul"? What kind of water access guarantee will be provided to those communities who will undoubtedly be placed in jeopardy when water is no longer available? The added pollution as described below is actually one of MANY reasons that such a project should NOT at this time be considered.

I think it is important to also note that I am familiar with Tejon Ranch. They are definitely what I perceive as a "class act". All one has to do is visit the ranch and take a look at the grounds as well as the homes which the executives occupy. There's no question that Tejon will construct a lovely new "city", however, the problem of water, pollution, and not even Tejon Ranch can control human impact no matter how nice or well thought out community would be built by Tejon.

Further, the application to build Centennial City is not new. I believe an application for this project was filed some 20 or so years ago, and at that time it was not approved. It might behoove the supervisors to review that disapproved application as the conditions, if not worsened over the years, still exist.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.
The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Klein
Bakersfield, CA 93312
katznk9s@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

This is a very sensitive and very beautiful wild area!

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Barbara Dincau
Ventura, CA 93006
barbaradincau@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

All thinking persons know we are in the middle of a climate crisis.

Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts.

• 99% percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. Centennial's project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

Centennial is a Poster Child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates major environmental impacts.

• The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults.

• The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on IRREPLACEABLE GRASSLANDS in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paul Steffen
Simi Valley, CA 93063
ecoimages.ps@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

The Antelope Valley already has plenty of development and not enough water. To build a new community in this area is poorly thought out. Unless there is a major development of mass transportation, the pollution will be multiplied, and our air quality is already poor. The use of water resources will exhaust our limited supply.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Kae Bender
Lancaster, CA 93536
kaebender@juno.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

There are too many dangers inherent in this proposed project location. We need to remember the tragic losses of the Santa Rosa and Thomas fires and say no to this project.

Sincerely,
Linda Strong
Montebello, CA 90640
Lindacuyama@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

I'm in favor of creating affordable housing by building up, not out. Let's use our Measure H money to support granny flats and other infill. Let's convert dead strip malls, department stores, and churches into affordable living space. Let's disincentivize investment real estate BIG TIME, from purchase to keeping living spaces empty. I'm far more in favor of seeing the county exercise eminent domain on dead spaces (e.g., places like the Nelles property in Whittier, which just broke ground for a mismanaged market rate development) than expand outward. Yes to affordable housing, no to sprawl.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Megan Hobza
Whittier, CA 90604
meganhobza@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial will be a traffic and environmental disaster. This one needless dopey project. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you’re committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Tevet Tee
Los Angeles, CA 90065
theshurguth@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

As you know California is in the midst of a long drought. Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles not to mention the water that will be needed for the development.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Janet Girard
Covina, CA 91722
jgirard@hipco.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. This is ridiculous!

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial. That's right!

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers. That's right. This is a good description for your jobs - to protect our communities and natural resources.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life. And where is all the water going to come from or you do have water making machinery?

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A. I am a life and active member of the Sierra Club, also the Audubon Society, and the Center for Biological Diversity, among other organizations. Please don't let the Centennial go forward: It is wrong for Los Angeles, California, the USA, and Mother Earth.

Sincerely,

Murray J Aronson Aronson
Los Angeles, CA 90046
operafan101@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Stop the anti-life for profit project. Leave the natural habitat alone and protect it better, for the benefit of US, the real people now and as a heritage for future generations!

PLEASE say NO to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Margot Eiser
Montebello, CA 90640
mde4peace@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

JUST WHAT WE NEED.....MORE CARS TRYING TO GO SOMEWHERE IN THE AM.

Sincerely,
Garrine Petersen
Sun Valley, CA 91352
RICKANDJERI@COPPER.NET
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please say no to this project!!!

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Nicole Siskind
Calabasas, CA 91302
NSiskind@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please take into account the issues described in this letter when making your decision on the Centennial development. I agree wholeheartedly with it! The wildlife all around LA is one of its greatest assets!m, especially our endangered species! Protect them! Tejon Ranch is a treasure that we should preserve!!

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ann Waterman
North Hollywood, CA 91602
awatt40@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Such a destructive development would simply undo progress that L.A. and the State have made environmentally and, also, repeat past mistakes that we have struggled to "clean up". It would not make any sense to give permits that you know would create a "one step forward, two [or more!] steps BACK" situation. We cannot afford (in all senses of the word) to take ANY steps back in this current climate crisis!

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A. Let common sense prevail!

Sincerely,

Sally Arscott
Los Angeles, CA 90065
sophies.aunt1@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard. As a result, who in their right mind would want to live there and, if they did, residential property value would and should be compromised, assuming that proper disclosures are provided. Then when (not "if") earthquakes and fires occur in the area, City, County, State and most likely Federal resources will be required to fund the disasters.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Thank you for your careful consideration and please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sheila Harkrider
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
sharkrider@health-law.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles. And where will they get their water?

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Andrea Dietrich
Los Angeles, CA 90026
dandiiline@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

I have lived on the Westside of LA city since 1984. I have seen unchecked, widespread development since then, without any plans to improve infrastructure, services transportation, or mitigate traffic. Too much building with decreasing green space for kids and pets. Gridlock every day, starting at 2 and going until 8 at night or later. Homeless and garbage all over the sidewalks and in our alleys. I have no confidence that this development project will be any smarter. Southern California is becoming an environmental and human disaster. Save our state from unchecked development!

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tracey Schuster
Los Angeles, CA 90025
theodakis59@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joanna Kelly
Studio City, CA 91604
joanna809@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large, negative climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale, as it does not take into account the consequences. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles, that's ridiculous!

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are too rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built. Wildlife needs habitat as well.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers/highest bidders (money really is the root of all evil).

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population, and planet through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults (did No One take any of this into consideration?!). The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard-put a town there, and it will be destroyed along with its inhabitants.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities-that would be the smart thing to do.

Please say no to Centennial: It's certainly the wrong vision for L.A.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kate Considine
Camarillo, CA 93012
kbaran9815@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial. IT'S A BONEHEAD MOVE!

We don't have enough water to service our current needs. Don't build this hellhole!

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Craig Marshall
Long Beach, CA 90815
cubensis.craig@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

This is the last thing LA County needs. Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Eisen
Glendale, CA 91205
headlessjonee@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please recognize the beauty of our state and avoid destroying it for new sprawl. Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marjorie Hoskinson
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
hoskinml@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

And, for Ventura and Santa Barbara counties each of which has recently experience major disasters: first, wild fires with "unprecedented" devastation in their wake which then contributed to massive flooding. Two weeks ago, we all experienced an earthquake. These "natural" disasters are real considerations that are made worse by the kinds of development sprawl that Centennial is proposing. I urge you to say NO to this development plan. More sprawl is simply unsustainable in Southern California. We need open space and safe havens for what little wildlife is left NOT more development!

Sincerely,
Jane Handel
Ojai, CA 93023
janehandel@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Hello - please read this message to the end to understand why the ironically named Centennial development owes its conception to the bad old ideas of the last century...

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

I urge you in the strongest terms: please say no to Centennial - it's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
William Winburn
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
robinwinburn@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

I am old. I have watched the destruction of the high desert and the creation of suburban slums. Urban sprawl and more roads and cars are not the answer. They are destroying Southern California.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Delilah Ball
Inglewood, CA 90301
pinklight@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

More cars, more overuse of fossil fuels, more contributions to climate change, further destruction of our wild lands. When will this endless destructive sprawl end? It is killing our state and destroying the quality of our lives.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Delilah Ball
Inglewood, CA 90301
pinklight@att.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

THIS IS SUCH A HORRIBLE PLAN FOR OUR STATE! I WILL DO EVERYTHING I CAN TO EDUCATE EVERYONE I CAN TO OPPOSE IT. I THOUGHT YOU, SUPERVISOR BARGER, WE'RE A CHAMPION OF PRESERVING NATURAL AREAS WITH YOUR SUPPORT OF IMPROVING THE ARROYO SECO. I HOPE YOU WILL OPPOSE THIS DEVELOPMENT!

Sincerely,

Laurie Kay
Pasadena, CA 91107
Lauriebethkay@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

I am an urban planner and environmental advocate with my own firm, Wild Heritage Planners. I also am a Co-Founder of SoCal 350 Climate Action, working to solve the climate crisis here and around the world. This project is a travesty, and the opposite what we need to move forward toward greenhouse gases rising, extreme weather escalating, and mass extinctions of species happening.

We will be organizing our communities to stop this project. 20th Century sprawl thinking is no longer acceptable.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California’s native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Jack Eidt
Los Angeles, CA 90050
jack.eidt@wilderutopia.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we are in the midst of an accelerating climate crisis. As such, ALL future development decisions must prioritize climate change mitigation. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated & short-sighted land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you must say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not to sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting affordable, transit-friendly, urban housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

As a biologist, parent and California taxpayer, I urge you to say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rose Ann Witt
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362
rawitt@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Lee
Montebello, CA 90640
mspengo2@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a very concerned Los Angeles resident, taxpayer, business owner and voter, I urge you to STOP the proposed Centennial development!

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mha Atma S Khalsa
Los Angeles, CA 90035
earthactionnetwork@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis, and transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

Please say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

Please instead support transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Maggie Wineburgh-Freed
Los Angeles, CA 90041
mwfreed@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a voter and long-term LA resident, I’m concerned about encouraging more sprawl and development in LA County.

Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karina White
Sierra Madre, CA 91024
kwglow@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

I was born and raised in Los Angeles county. I have lived here all of my life, including in Santa Clarita. I have hiked all over Angeles National Forest and used to visit acquaintances by driving past the very area Centennial wants to "develop". I know first-hand that this decision is wrong in so many ways.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard. Building on this land would be short-sighted, narrow-minded, and just plain stupid.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe, affordable housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Christina Williams
Los Angeles, CA 90027
cmwfey@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dixie Coutant
Tehachapi, CA 93561
digidix@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

It already takes me 1.25 hours to go 24 miles to work. We can't handle anymore cars. Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kim Bingaman
Canyon Country, CA 91387
animalrightsfreak@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please say NO to Centennial!

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Isaacs
Long Beach, CA 90804
chelseacamille@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please Stop these sprawling projects that bleed tax payers and contribute to environmental degradation.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Miles Lewis
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
miles.h.lewis@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

I would have presumed that the need for sustainable development is self-evident in a time of unbelievable ecological and climate crisis, but based on the possible development of the Centennial project, now I’m not so sure.

We need to be thinking about how to contract our footprint on the planet, not expand it, and building more far-flung commuter communities in quiet areas is not the answer.

As an Angeleno I have, over time, shrunk my movements, telecommuting more and living locally as much as possible. I have consciously adopted my lifestyle to be a responsible member of the global community, and I hope that my actions and those of like-minded people will set an example for responsible development in the future.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.
Sincerely,
Subir Trivedi
Santa Monica, CA 90403
subirtrivedi@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

This is absolutely irresponsible planning and an extremely bad move for all the citizens of the state! Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorns also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michelle Thomas
Ojai, CA 93023
michellethomas16@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

The Centennial planned community concept will exacerbate our region’s shortage of water and decimate biodiversity in a region that is already losing continuity across its irreplaceable open spaces, especially wildflower fields and grasslands.

Why does Centennial seem like a good idea to anyone? The real question is: What should we do when cities become so massive that they can no longer be sustained by the natural environment around them and can no longer provide the "quality of life" and services their citizens need and deserve? Sustainability must be the first requirement for establishing new communities and for revitalizing L. A.’s urban core. A very tall order, but we need to get working on it now. We’ve not done a good job since the 1930s in controlling urban sprawl as it has taken over so many regions of once-beautiful California,

“Bad land use planning” has built housing subdivisions in flood plains and in areas of high wildfire risk... and allowed structures to be built that cannot withstand the inevitable, major earthquake.

There is an optimal size for cities. Beyond that, as cities like Los Angeles spread out like amoebas, too much is lost and cities begin to rot at their centers. You know where those areas are, because most of us try to avoid driving through them or living there. The challenge facing municipal, county, and state government is how to say "No!" when cities get, literally, too big... When long commutes on gridlocked freeways are no longer feasible and adding costly extra lanes can no longer ease traffic congestion... When absurdly long drives between jobs to reasonably priced housing won’t work anymore. How can the urban centers be wisely overhauled and redesigned to support L. A.’s population and its existing low-cost housing needs?

The County bending over backwards to facilitate whatever "planned community" big developers want to build to assure their profits may be the easiest political course, but it is no longer a good idea.

As urged below, please commit to a resounding, courageous "No!" to the 5,000 acre Centennial project. Say "No!" its powerful developer, Tejon Ranchcorp. Don’t saddle taxpayers with the infrastructure costs to build on one of California’s invaluable wild areas. Save these places for now and far future.

Scientists warn that we’re in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

L.A.’s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. 99% of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It’s your job to protect our communities and natural resources. L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development.
The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities. Meet that challenge now instead of later!

Please say "NO!" to Centennial.

Sincerely,
Lori Paul
Altadena, CA 91001
gaboon@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Here in Pasadena, we listen when scientists warn us that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities out in the middle of nowhere, with little to no nearby access to needed infrastructure and located in frequently dangerous high-fire risk areas and on fault lines, has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, irresponsible, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities, our health, and our natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hubbs-Chang
Pasadena, CA 91105
Squirebran@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

We're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say 100% NO to Centennial.

As you know, L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built. It's YOUR JOB to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers!

L.A. County MUST set standards for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

WE DEMAND YOU SAY NO to Centennial: It's the TOTALLY WRONG vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
A.L. Steiner
Los Angeles, CA 90063
asteinerny@gmail.com