November 26, 2018

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple St. Ste 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 974-1411

Submitted via email to: executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov, firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, sheila@bos.lacounty.gov, fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov
CC: rruiz@planning.lacounty.gov, jsackett@planning.lacounty.gov

RE: Oppose the City-sized “Centennial” Sprawl Development in L.A. County

Dear Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the Centennial Specific Plan (Specific Plan No. 02-232). The Tejon Ranch Corporation proposes to construct a new city, Centennial, at the western end of the Antelope Valley, adjacent to the Angeles National Forest.
If completed, the project would result in the construction of 19,333 housing units, and more than 8 million square feet of infrastructure, commercial, and other forms of non-residential development.

Centennial exemplifies the worst aspects of 20th century sprawl: it would disproportionately increase air pollution, traffic and greenhouse gas emissions while destroying thousands of acres of irreplaceable natural resources. As explained in more detail below, we ask the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors to deny the issuance of project-level entitlements.

The undersigned organizations support the development of affordable housing in Los Angeles County that is consistent with smart growth principles and thoughtful urban planning. Specifically, we favor development on previously disturbed land within the current boundaries of urban areas. Sites that are suitable for development result in the densification of the County’s urban areas, and should be located close to existing mass transportation infrastructure. Los Angeles County development should also focus on ensuring the availability of affordable housing that is close to existing jobs. Lastly, the construction of new housing should not result in the destruction of diverse, irreplaceable habitats that the residents of Los Angeles County continue to value and cherish. The Centennial Project fails at nearly every measure of smart urban development.

*The Centennial Project Represents 20th Century-Style Sprawl Development*

The residents of Centennial would have to endure exorbitantly long commutes to the nearest urban centers, located 35 to 65 miles one-way from the project, mostly on Interstate 5. The draft environmental impact report (DEIR) for the project indicates the average one-way vehicle trip length from the project would be 45 miles, resulting in an increase of more than 600 million vehicle miles traveled per year on already congested freeways – or over 2,400 trips around the earth’s equator every year. This amounts to the addition of more than 75,000 vehicle trips per day to area roadways. The residents of Los Angeles County already endure some of the longest commutes in the nation, and Centennial would make this situation even worse.

The project is located less than 10 miles from the junction of the state’s two largest earthquake faults, the Garlock and San Andreas. Simulations indicate this area would be severely affected by major earthquakes on the San Andreas Fault. The project site is also notoriously windy and would suffer from a high threat of wind-driven wildfires. The project’s remote location means that Los Angeles County would bear the responsibility of providing emergency services to this remote area when catastrophic events occur. The taxpayers of Los Angeles County will be on the hook to pay for the cost of providing the public services that this
far-flung development will require. Simply put, the Centennial Development is poorly sited, in a remote and dangerous location.

The Centennial Project Negates Efforts to Reduce Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions

The construction of the project, daily vehicle trips by residents, and the loss of carbon sequestration in currently-intact habitats would all adversely impact California’s ambitious and much needed goals of reducing GHG emissions. The EIR does not adequately analyze, disclose, and mitigate for the emission of GHGs. At a minimum, Centennial’s developers should adopt zero-net GHG emissions as have other projects in the County. Approval of the Project would be a huge step backward in the County and state’s efforts to combat the climate crisis.

The Centennial Project Negates Efforts to Reduce Air Pollution in Southern California

Air pollution, particularly in the form of Particulate Matter (PM) 2.5 and 10, is already consistently above acceptable state and federal thresholds in the Antelope Valley. Future residents of Centennial would have to endure the immediate and long-term health effects of living in an area with poor air quality. Given that much air pollution is associated with vehicle emissions, the increase in vehicle miles traveled will result in a significant decrease in regional air quality.

The Centennial Project Will Destroy Irreplaceable Habitat for Plants and Animals

The area that would be developed to build Centennial is among the last places in the Antelope Valley where pronghorn “antelope” roam. The endangered California condor routinely soars above the project site. The Centennial site is currently home to breeding colonies of the tricolored blackbird. It is a species that has already lost more than 90% of its habitat, and was just designated as a Threatened Species by the State of California on April 19, 2018. Additional rare and threatened wildlife present on the project site include the American badger, burrowing owls, northern harriers, loggerhead shrikes, California legless lizards, and countless species of migratory birds. The Centennial Project represents a major threat to wildlife species that have been adversely affected by development in other parts of Los Angeles County.

Californians flock to the Antelope Valley Poppy Preserve to marvel at the displays of spring wildflowers. The area slated for development on Centennial has displays of wildflowers that easily rival the Poppy Preserve. Even more precious is the extensive native, perennial grassland that exists on the project site. This iconic California grassland, which has already been eliminated across much of California, is present on thousands of acres of the Centennial. The site is also home to at least ten species of oaks, and numerous species of rare plants. The intact habitats of Centennial are a living laboratory of evolution that cannot be replaced if lost.
The western Antelope Valley is also well known as a place of ecological transitions. As the junction between the Mojave Desert, Transverse Ranges, and the Tehachapi Mountains, Centennial features prominently in the future of the region’s biodiversity. In this era of climate change, transitional areas such as this play an important role as biological corridors, ensuring that plants and animals have a place to go as environmental conditions change. These biological resources serve to emphasize that this is an intact ecosystem that fosters relationships between plants, animals, and their environment. These habitats also provide valuable ecosystem services, such as the recharge of groundwater resources and the sequestration of greenhouse gases. Now more than ever, Los Angeles County should serve as a leader in the conservation of intact, functioning ecosystems.

We encourage the residents of Los Angeles County and Board of Supervisors to take a stand against Centennial — an antiquated, sprawl-style development that places the profits of a corporation ahead of the needs of the people of Los Angeles County. We support appropriate development in Los Angeles County that is consistent with modern urban planning principles. A vote in favor of the Centennial Specific Plan is a vote against logic and counter to the needs of the County’s residents. A vote against Centennial is a vote for sound planning decisions and for the conservation, in perpetuity, of irreplaceable habitats.

We strongly recommend the Board of Supervisors to reject the Centennial Specific Plan. Once again, thank you very much for the opportunity to provide comments on this project.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Jensen, PhD  
Southern California Conservation Analyst  
California Native Plant Society  
Claremont, California  
(530) 368-7839  
njensen@cnps.org

Aruna Prabhala  
Urban Wildlands Director  
Center for Biological Diversity  
Oakland, California  
(510) 844-7100  
aprabhala@biologicaldiversity.org

Maureen Forney  
Chapter Leader  
Great Old Broads for Wilderness, Middle California  
San Leandro, California  
(510) 999-1023  
middlecaliforniabroadband@gmail.com

Katherine King  
Secretary  
Tri-County Watchdogs  
Frazier Park, California  
(310) 663-6294  
katcking@gmail.com
Jack Eidt  
Founder  
Wild Heritage Planners  
Los Angeles, California  
(323) 362-6737  
jack.eidt@wilderutopia.com

Thomas Egan  
California Desert Representative  
Defenders of Wildlife  
Helendale, California  
(760) 221-7531  
tegan@defenders.org

Jessica Meaney  
Executive Director  
Investing in Place  
Los Angeles, California  
(510) 414-0894  
Jessica@investinginplace.org

Chelsea Tu  
Senior Attorney  
Center on Race Poverty & the Environment  
Delano, California  
(415) 346-4179 x304  
ctu@crpe-ej.org

Allen Hernandez  
Executive Director  
Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice  
Jurupa Valley, California  
(909) 570-7384  
Allen.h@ccaej.org

Emilia Crotty  
Executive Director  
Los Angeles Walks  
Los Angeles, California  
(508) 916-7863  
emilia@losangeleswalks.org

Lydia Ponce  
Co-Director  
Idle No More SoCal  
Member  
American Indian Movement  
Venice, California  
(310) 488-0850  
venicelydia@gmail.com

Travis Longcore, PhD  
Conservation Chair  
Los Angeles Audubon Society  
travislongcore@laaudubon.org

Sabina Virgo  
Steering Committee  
SoCal 350 Climate Action  
socalclimateaction@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Tammy McCullough
2922 Calle Guadalajara  San Clemente, CA 92673-3038
reynkaliki@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life. The immense costs of protecting residents in this remote area from inevitable wildfires would be borne by Californians in and beyond Los Angeles County.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will not help residents live near their jobs. Instead, Centennial would require construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, as well as associated public health and treatment costs.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a county official. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with more freeway maintenance and fire-prevention costs, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Liz Amsden
5158 Almaden Dr Los Angeles, CA 90042-1006
LizAmsden@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Ambriz
Whittier, CA 90603
ambriz214@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Anne Rochman
ANZA Anza, CA 92539
hooyanzatowndog@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is not only wrong for L.A. County, it is a disaster.

First of all, Centennial would be located in "high" and "very high" fire hazard areas, making them extremely vulnerable to catastrophic fires driven by high winds and climate change-related drought. As someone who lives in a high fire zone, has been evacuated twice (La Tuna and Creek fires), and has watched a wall of fire coming towards her neighborhood at 3 in the morning, I can assure you that planning a development in high and very high fire zone is outrageously stupid, dangerous, and life threatening. It is also a potential financial liability for the developers and anyone who approves the plan. PG&E are being sued for their lack of insight and contribution to the fires. I would not at all be surprised if the same will happen to developers, planners and supervisors. In fact, I hope this would be the case. It's time for developers and their friends in government to stop playing fast and loose with people's lives for short-term profits.

Second, as Centennial will be 65 miles away from Downtown LA, it will do less than zero to address the county's housing shortage. To argue otherwise is simply lying. High-density housing near existing mass transit in job centers is the solution; not sprawl. But instead of solving a problem, it will create a financial burden—an $830+ million freeway—at a time when we need investment in transit and sustainability, not sprawl to line the pockets of a handful of well-connected individuals.

Third, Centennial would utterly devastate the wildlands of Antelope Valley and a time when 88% of the National Parklands of the Santa Monica Mountains, and thousands of others acres of habitat for wildlife has been recently destroyed by fire. These wildlands are a vital part of California's biodiversity, providing a home for wildflower fields, pronghorn sheep, badgers, kit foxes and iconic California wildlife.

Further, Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto the 5 Freeway, worsening gridlock as well as pollution in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Most of these will likely be fossil fuel-driven vehicles, adding both to pollution and climate change. This kind of thinking and development belong to the 1980s, not 2018, and certainly not to a supposedly forward-thinking and sustainability-oriented Los Angeles.

How you decide regarding Centennial will have far-reaching consequences, and I urge you to make the right choice for California's residents, wildlife and future—not the short-term profits of well-connected developers and investors—and reject Centennial. We simply cannot afford more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Suzannah Ferron
9737 Shadow Island Dr Sunland, CA 91040-1517
suzannah@pobox.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

We obviously need more development of affordable housing to accommodate our people, but DO NOT DISRUPT WETLANDS TO DO IT. PERIOD. Find another location but we need to protect our remaining wetlands and wildlands for the critters and so we have a place to go when we need to get back to nature, which, frankly, should happen more than it does, right?

Be good stewards and do the right thing. amanda

Sincerely,
Amanda Varona
411 N Kenmore Ave Apt 8 Los Angeles, CA 90004-3385
mandyinseattle@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Patricia Elder
9880 Wealtha Ave  Sun Valley, CA 91352-1231
pelder@ca.rr.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Seth Lawrence
1934 Evergreen St La Verne, CA 91750-3529
seth.lawrence08@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a lifetime L.A. area resident, voter, homeowner and business owner, it is very clear to me that Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a “high” and “very high” fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Dr. Mha Atma S Khalsa
1536 S Crest Dr  Los Angeles, CA 90035-3314
earthactionnetwork@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Eli Mann
17353 Los Alimos St Granada Hills, CA 91344-4748
idea.mann@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

I have been going to the Antelope's Valley for the last 15 years to visit my sister. We lament the sprawl that already exists there. We miss nature.

The recent fires tell us that we need to slow down and reconsider some projects. Let's infill already developed areas that have transit opportunities rather than build new.

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county's public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don't approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Laurene von Klan
230 16th St Santa Monica, CA 90402-2216
chicagoriver@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable. I agree we need more housing, but in-filling close to city centers is more sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

We are at a tipping point in the health of Planet Earth. A massive, far development like Centennial tips us in the wrong direction. Please vote no.

Sincerely,
Mary Fitzpatrick
3028 Margaret Dr Pasadena, CA 91107-4414
wordfitz@aol.com
I am against this project for two reasons. First, Tejon Ranch avoids or lies about their water source. Second, the traffic would be a nightmare. The Grapevine already has problems, especially with ice and snow, it is closed often because of weather and big rig accidents. To add several thousand more cars would be a disaster. No one could get in or out. Every since Tejon hired a real estate developer instead of a ranch manager they have been cutting up open space, animal migration paths and so on. It is ruining a very pristine part of California. At this rate, our state will be houses, outlet centers, and cement from south to north. Our great agricultural areas will be tract homes and malls.

Sent from my iPad
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable. To create a new community without plans for viable public transportation also makes zero sense.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward greedy developers while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Autumn Crockford
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
autumnbrowning.ab@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-causedwildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county’s housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Eileen Duffy-Urquizo
13210 Hindry Ave Hawthorne, CA 90250-4932
eilenkduffy@juno.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Savage
Altadena, CA 91001
ehsavage@charter.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Denise Monaghan
615 W California Blvd  Pasadena, CA 91105-2414
demona@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Tina Kingston
Loma Linda, CA 92354
sojourning_1@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Anna Pearson
735 Milo Ter  Los Angeles, CA 90042-3109
annajuliaperson@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jami Pillow
Pasadena, CA 91105
jamipillow@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Dennis Sellers
Lancaster, CA 93534
dancingman42@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

And where’s the plan for Mass Transit???

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Nick Santangelo
Altadena, CA 91001
nickjsantangelo@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jonas Genovez
Lancaster, CA 93536
jonasgenovez@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Andrea Z
91338
ngirl718@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Tisha Parti-Smith
Sylmar, CA 91342
reallittlepartigirl@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

The area in which Centennial is planned is very rich in biological resources. As a member of the California Native Plant Society, I've learned the value of these resources in protecting biodiversity and combating climate change. These are very important issues as we all know. Additionally, this planned development is in an area of very high fire danger, and with what we've seen over the last year or two, this could lead to many deaths and almost certain property destruction.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Sonie Sampson
San Francisco, CA 94121
sonie_sampson@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Cheri Smith
Los Angeles, CA 90046
cheriks@ca.rr.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial would be an ecological disaster. There is no way to justify this absurd project. I beg you to vote no on Centennial.

Sincerely,
Casey Maddren
Los Angeles, CA 90068
cmaddren@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jack Vivirito
South Pasadena, CA 91030
jackvivirito@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

We are sick of the unorganized and clusterfuck growth occurring in the northern reaches of LA county. The 5 freeway is a dangerous daily nightmare of congestion. Soon the 126 will join the 14 and the 5 as the 2 most heavily traveled in the county.

As supervisors you are supposed to protect the residents and mindful of the negative impacts on infrastructure and quality of life. Not be beholden to home builders and land developers!

Absolutely no to Centennial!

Sincerely,
Lawrence Nissen
Santa Clarita, CA 91350
hrtspace@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Ted Zehfuss
La Crescenta, CA 91214
tzehfuss@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Dan Kamikubo
Lakewood, CA 90713
egrdan@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jason Wise
Los Angeles, CA 90026
jasondwise@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a definite negative impact for L.A. County. We are currently experiencing one of the most devastating droughts and water supply is an issue which the County continues to ignore. Without a sustainable water supply plan, L.A. County is only setting themselves up for failure by continued unsustainable development. Sustainable water supply cannot be reached without a moratorium on development throughout L.A. County.

The current high fire hazard issues within L.A. County will contribute to the current low priority water sustainability. Rather than looking to grow development within L.A. County it would better serve your current constituents by numerous issues the County is experiencing rather than adding to them by non-sustainable development that will only add to the high fire hazard areas.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Linda Wucherpfennig
Littlerock, CA 93543
v11guzzigal@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Cantrell
Glendora, CA 91741
k3nistry@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Susan Hopkins
Pasadena, CA 91104
susanlhopkins@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Maria Yocum
Encino, CA 91316
maytina1@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Celeste Rothstein
Lakewood, CA 90712
blumeanie@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Martha Fuget
Costa Mesa, CA 92627
metorre45@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Brock Williams
Los Angeles, CA 90027
brockemsockemrobots@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Aren’t scores of dead people from the last round of fires enough? We don’t need housing 65 miles from L.A. We need it in L.A. This development would be a disaster. Please don’t do it.

Sincerely,
Eileen Duffy-Urquizo
Hawthorne, CA 90250
eileenkduffy@juno.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Cole
Hemet, CA 92544
missjennyofhemet@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kenny Grant
Santa Monica, CA 90405
darmarie@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Vincent Calvelli
Los Angeles, CA 90066
pushing.dl.tin@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jacob Fisher
Los Angeles, CA 90029
fisher.n.jacob@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
ken wallman
Los Angeles, CA 90066
venicebeachgallery@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county’s housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Bobby Pfeiffer
Wrightwood, CA 92397
wideout74@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
David Onions
Corona, CA 92883
davidwonionsjr@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please use your brains and do NOT expand housing on the outskirts of the county. Suburbanization is the root of most of the issues that we face. If you approve Centennial, you are contributing to the downfall of the human species by putting more cars on the road, more CO2 in the air, and permanently altering the planet’s ecosystem.

Don’t be short sighted.

Sincerely,

Robert Heavrin
Los Angeles, CA 90027
heavy1275@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Robert Schwarzenbach
Los Angeles, CA 90004
roschwarzenbach@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Brian Grimm
Pomona, CA 91767
biangrimm@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Linda Szitkar
Los Angeles, CA 90027
lindaszitkar@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Dustin Stephens
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
dustonian@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County and Southern California. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health. Stop treating the Antelope Valley and other areas of the north county like an unwanted step child that you can force your inconsiderate will on

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, more air pollution and less of the natural beauty and wildlife habitat which still exists.

Sincerely,
Saul Mendelsohn
Lancaster, CA 93535
gimpy1000@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Ravi Bemra
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362
rbemra@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Virginia Thomas
Ventura, CA 93003
healthygin@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Marsha Neill
Lancaster, CA 93535
marsha.neill@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Zuri Blandon
Los Angeles, CA 90007
zbm86@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Teri Love
Yorba Linda, CA 92886
teri46te@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Mo Langdon
449 W Foothill Blvd # 523 Glendora, CA 91741-3363
moie@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Renee Curtis
721 Pine St  Santa Monica, CA 90405-3813
tipitina50@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Ryan Koyanagi
1210 Redwood View Dr Pomona, CA 91766-4117
ryank.pf@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

When my family relocated to Newhall, CA. in 1967 I was thrill to see sheep grazing on the main road into town. In my years of high school I hiked in the wooded hills behind our house and saw deer, golden eagles, owls, and many other creatures. Then Valencia was built and little by little that place became just north L.A., crowded, with traffic congestion, and yes, smog. Please dont do that to Antelope Valley. Don't destroy more wildlife habitat and just more L.A.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Cheryl West
8809 Via Rocosa Rd Joshua Tree, CA 92252-3265
cwest1950@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Casey Dolan
4909 Coldwater Canyon Ave Apt 3 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-2232
caseydolan@roadrunner.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Eric Fernstrom
719 Tufts Ave Burbank, CA 91504-3934
ericfernstrom@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Robbin Cutler
1237 Cornell Ave  Redlands, CA 92374-3779
robbin_cutler@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Parham
179 Panorama Dr  Bishop, CA 93514-7019
rjparham71@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county’s housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Steve Loe
33832 Nebraska St Yucaipa, CA 92399-2337
steveloe01@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Earl Dysert
10723 Hess Blvd  Morongo Valley, CA 92256-9775
earldysert@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Corinne Simon-duneau
3247 Kenneth Dr Los Angeles, CA 90032-2024
frenchcorinne@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jessie MacLeod
1970 Evans Ave  Ventura, CA 93001-3514
j_macleod@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Patricia Malin
484 Maple Circle Dr  Cincinnati, OH 45246-1510
pamalin48@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

OUR ENVIRONMENT CAN'T SUPPORT ANYMORE SPRAWL!! Save our wild spaces! Thank you.

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Megan De Wilde
11236 Sheldon St  Sun Valley, CA 91352-1116
megandewilde@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Judy Hess
25153 Corte Pico Murrieta, CA 92563-5293
jhess92563@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Lorelei Horry
5128 E Killdee St Long Beach, CA 90808-3416
l.woodz@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Lorelei Horry
5128 E Killdee St  Long Beach, CA 90808-3416
l.woodz@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Stacy Kennedy
914 S Alvarado St Los Angeles, CA 90006-3087
catsydestiny@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Roma Montano
4150 Marine Ave  Lawndale, CA 90260-1756
romamontano@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Su Andal
MULHOLLAND Calabasas, CA 91302
keleomana@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Joe Howell
7681 Grove St Tujunga, CA 91042-1210
auteur66@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county's public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Thom Neighbors
1237 E Florida St  Long Beach, CA 90802-3502
thom@bravoevents-online.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

It is important to keep this area pristine to protect the diversity of the local flora and fauna. We need to adopt better practices for where people live and stop assuming that because an area can be "developed" it's desirable to do so. Our future depends very much on creating better human habitats with smaller energy foot prints. Let's do so in areas where people already live. Also these projects should be driven by need and appropriateness rather than the greed of investors and developers.

Further Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Annette Fanto-Kurtos
744 Southview Rd Apt B Arcadia, CA 91007-6721
amfanto@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Chris Cole
1800 N Normandie Ave  Los Angeles, CA 90027-3900
jerseygirlimages@comcast.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a third generation Socal resident and PhD biochemist who works in biodiversity, I have seen sprawl slowly choke out wildlife and ruin the human quality of life in the LA Basin. Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Toni Lee
10982 Roebling Ave Apt 453 Los Angeles, CA 90024-2788
acetonile@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Chuck D
2834 LOMA Los Angeles, CA 90065
lomaed@ail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Eric Von brink
2749 Sunnyvale Rd Lancaster, CA 93536-4013
jimmyrecluse@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Steve Williams
740 Brooks Ave  Venice, CA 90291-3425
stevenmwilliams99@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Gina Thornburg
5146 Comercio Ave  Woodland Hills, CA 91364-3245
gtwriter5@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Maria Strmsek
PO Box 921234  Sylmar, CA 91392-1234
joyfullyadd@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Matthew Ware
1502 Ashland Ave  Santa Monica, CA 90405-4808
matthewrware@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Steve Loe
33832 Nebraska St Yucaipa, CA 92399-2337
steveloe01@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
James Odling
5170 O Sullivan Dr Los Angeles, CA 90032-4051
odlingj@pipeline.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Peter Crommelin
298 Poplar Crest Ave Newbury Park, CA 91320-4059
pcrommelin@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Patrick Davenport
1384 Appleton Way  Venice, CA 90291-2917
patrick@davenport.tv
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Riley O'Brien
952 Westbourne Dr  West Hollywood, CA 90069-4114
rileyj42@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Dan Ramos
1006 E 28th St  Los Angeles, CA 90011-5951
supercalirocker@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Caro Urquhart
6528 WILSON MILLS RD MAYFIELD VILLAGE OHIO  Cleveland, OH 44143
purquhart@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution. Accommodating more people is at the expense of everyone else. It is patently unfair. LA County is already grossly overpopulated.

Sincerely,
Robert Bostrom
558 Shepard St San Pedro, CA 90731-7135
rfbostrom@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kate Beauchene
1327 Hill St Santa Monica, CA 90405-4723
k8beauchene@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Patricia Cuocco
7210 Canyon Crest Rd Whittier, CA 90602-1929
patricia@fullerton.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Its not only inconvenience, gridlock and loss of habitat (biodiversity) - all of which are such incredibly important factors to consider but even more relevant are FIRE and WATER. The fact that an insanely huge community would be in yet another potential fire area PLUS the fact that Los Angeles has NO WATER...this is a disaster waiting to happen. When it does, it will be the developers and legislatures that allowed this to happen. Bloody hands will be theirs, and yours. and it WILL happen.

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
claudia colodro
1716 W Sunset Blvd  Los Angeles, CA 90026-3225
c_colodro@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
K Fenske
4258 Verdugo View Dr  Los Angeles, CA 90065-4318
karljfenske@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Robert Wasserman
1831 Amber Ln  Burbank, CA 91504-1902
wassermanrobert@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Krysten Hall
8524 Hargis St  Los Angeles, CA 90034-2622
krysten.l.hall@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Government has no business being in the real estate business!

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Nina Royal
10110 Samoa Ave Tujunga, CA 91042-2317
nroyal9259@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Derek Girling
237 Ximeno Ave Long Beach, CA 90803-1655
dcgirlng@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Diana Brown
37243 28th St E  Palmdale, CA 93550-6408
muzikismy1stluv@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
C Crawford
6822 E Mantova St  Long Beach, CA 90815-4915
cin4711@aol.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Roberto Diaz
949 Mayo St  Los Angeles, CA 90042-3147
rdiaz@usc.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Louis Ratzesberger
202 W Grand Ave # 9 El Segundo, CA 90245-3739
louis_iii@ratzesberger.org
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Catherine Hula
1105 Glenhaven Ave  Fullerton, CA 92835-3727
bijoucat@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
J Berman
12929 Warren Ave  Los Angeles, CA 90066-1744
sunniberman@verizon.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Lisa Hammermeister
16456 Shamhart Dr Granada Hills, CA 91344-2857
necrohead56@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Gail Slobodkin
13615 Valerio St Van Nuys, CA 91405-2738
burtihal@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Just - NO! What is wrong with our elected officials in Los Angeles County? Do you not spend time on either I5 or 405? It literally takes me 45 minutes every morning from the 405/101 to get to Santa Monica. This will only add to that commute so NO!

Sincerely,
Wendy Headlee
14275 Dickens St Apt 3 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-5857
wendyh605@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

There's stupid, and then there's unusually stupid. This plan is the latter.

Sincerely,
Anne Rodman
4 E Altadena Dr Altadena, CA 91001-5103
rodmananne@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Lydia Krejer
4633 Mount Armet Dr San Diego, CA 92117-4718
lusciouslynx@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Betina Loudermilk
10442 La Tuna Canyon Rd  Sun Valley, CA 91352-2107
bloudermilk@juno.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Anita Moradian
334 E Fairview Ave Glendale, CA 91207-1986
xanitabonitax@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
James Whitehead
20230 Keswick St Winnetka, CA 91306-4461
jawitheh@mtu.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Ksenia Kurnakova
5042 Wilshire Blvd # 501 Los Angeles, CA 90036-4305
kkurnakova@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Pamela Royce
530 S Lake Ave # 232 Pasadena, CA 91101-3515
pamelaroyce@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kathy Carhartt
3260 Coastal Oak Dr Simi Valley, CA 93065-7210
kcarhartt@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. As someone who experienced last week’s fire first hand, I couldn’t be more opposed: Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution. Please don’t do this......

Sincerely,
Pamela Mcsweeney
2636 Yellowwood Dr  Westlake Village, CA 91361-5560
pmcsweeneymartin@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Karen Smith
656 Las Lomas Ave  Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-3354
happymindalone@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Thomas Owens
702 W Alegria Ave  Sierra Madre, CA 91024-1004
thomasjosephowens@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Dear Board of Supervisors,
I implore you not to build this city! The negative impacts (traffic, fires, wildlife) will far outweigh anything “positive” (money) that you’re foreseeing! Please DO NOT proceed with plans to create Centennial.

Thank you,

Lesa

Sincerely,
Lesa Miller
12400 Ventura Blvd # 793 Studio City, CA 91604-2406
lesamiller@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jami Burrows
2271 N Beachwood Dr Los Angeles, CA 90068-2954
jami_burrows@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Loti Densmore
9731 Aldea Ave  Northridge, CA 91325-1914
lori.densmore@ymail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Luna Gooding
12025 Washington Pl Apt 5 Los Angeles, CA 90066-5355
lunasee52@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Carole R Beams
PO Box 553 North Hollywood, CA 91603-0553
carolebeams@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kathie HENDERSON
7700 Paseo Del Rey  Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8349
k-henderson@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Zachary Gold
20 Clubhouse Ave  Venice, CA 90291-3202
zjgold@g.ucla.edu
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Sean Harrison
6542 Yarmouth Ave  Reseda, CA 91335-6216
seha842@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Campbell
28894 Conejo View Dr  Agoura Hills, CA 91301-3381
jennilou67@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Wendy Waldman
PO Box 7114 Northridge, CA 91327-7114
longhousegirl@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Miryam Liberman
5019 Via Andrea Oaks CA91320 Newbury Park, CA 91320-6763
MLiberman1MD@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
renee newberry
3120 4th St Santa Monica, CA 90405-5471
disfunction8@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Hannah Beadman
3009 1/2 Sierra St  Los Angeles, CA 90031-2117
deerhoof3x@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Especially after this disastrous fires this must be a no go. I , as a taxpayer, don’t want to be on the hook for any of it. Please show environmental stewardship and leadership.

Sincerely,

Kristy Munden
1280 S Cloverdale Ave  Los Angeles, CA 90019-2840
kristymunden@sbcglobal.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Lisa de Vincent
726 Claymont Dr  Los Angeles, CA 90049-1302
divadevincent@me.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Karen Dahring
1235 E Woodbury Rd Pasadena, CA 91104-1465
kdahring@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Michelle Kuranishi
13060 Meadowlark Ave  Granada Hills, CA 91344-1212
estabm@live.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

I live in the Antelope Valley and will fiercely protest the creation of Centennial in our most lovely and cherished area. Why not instead consider putting it near California City or further outlying areas, where it can positively impact those economically challenged areas?

Sincerely,
Karen Stenback
1832 Minter Way  Lancaster, CA 93534-5144
Karenstenback@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Richard Griffith
B9 269th Harbor City, CA 90710
giffithrichard50@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Joette Snyder
20217 Pienza Ln  Porter Ranch, CA 91326-4241
joettesgallery@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Alison Osment
14848 Gilmore St Van Nuys, CA 91411-1512
ali.osment@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Cathy Micale
5604 W Avenue W14  Acton, CA 93510-1606
actinjomo@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kelly Hobby
577 N Chester Ave  Pasadena, CA 91106-1116
kranma@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Katherine Kean
11049 Cardamine Dr Tujunga, CA 91042-1205
katherine@katherinekean.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kristina Fukuda
3544 Keystone Ave Apt 3 Los Angeles, CA 90034-5501
kmfukuda@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Betty Sword
1155 Heather Sq Pasadena, CA 91104-3708
bjsword@earthlink.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Nicole Rand
4120 Maple Trl  Frazier Park, CA 93225
cirahantise@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Marcia Justman
4159 Vicasa Dr Calabasas, CA 91302-1874
marciajustman@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please, please, please do not allow this disastrous project to move forward!!

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Debbie Prosser
524 W Avenida De Las Flores Thousand Oaks, CA 91360-1506
debbieprosser@msn.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kate Brandt
1964 Palmerston Pl Los Angeles, CA 90027-1816
kate.brandt@icloud.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Julie Talbott
2632 Wordsworth Ct Thousand Oaks, CA 91362-5348
julietalbott@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Bri Knickerbocker
19401 Hemmingway St Reseda, CA 91335-1639
bri.nk@live.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
steven meeks
PO Box 78474  Los Angeles, CA 90016-0474
meeksmusic@hotmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Catherine Hula
1105 Glenhaven Ave  Fullerton, CA 92835-3727
bijoucat@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Christina Johnson
2744 Hackett Ave  Long Beach, CA 90815-1546
christina@teamjohnson.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

wanda frank
2 Shoal Cdm  Corona Del Mar, CA 92625
fwanda@cox.net
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Pettis
25408 Silver Crest Ct  Santa Clarita, CA 91350-3346
ecokare2@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Carol Newman
4121 W 159th St  Lawndale, CA 90260-2724
whatmeworry101@yahoo.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Alicia Gonzalez
25736 Dark Creek Rd  Calabasas, CA 91302-2107
aliciagonzalez@mac.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Laura Lund
5930 Benner St  Los Angeles, CA 90042-4866
lauramlund@live.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

No to projects, like Centennial’s, that don’t benefit our communities wellbeing.

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a “high” and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Earnestly and Sincerely;

Sincerely,
Gale Dorion
6716 Hillpark Dr Apt 306 Los Angeles, CA 90068-2120
gale.dorion@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,

Johanna Girard
1261 Sinaloa Ave  Pasadena, CA 91104-3152
jo@artclassesofpasadena.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Patrick Danner
Torrance, CA 90505
pldanner@directv.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
Kevin M
LOS ANGELES  Los Angeles, CA 90042
ktm2013@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

NO to Centennial.

Centennial is a destructive and self-serving project with no healthy benefit for L.A. County.

Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor.

Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Centennial is acting destructively.

Sincerely,
Gale Dorion
6716 Hillpark Dr Apt 306 Los Angeles, CA 90068-2120
gale.dorion@gmail.com
Dear Jodie Sackett,

We need open space and wetlands not sprawl! Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
gary wheaton
5318 E 2nd St  Long Beach, CA 90803-5324
garywheaton@hotmail.com
Dear Commissioners:

LA County needs a solution to housing affordability! Centennial at Tejon Ranch is what LA County needs now more than ever!

The Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Sierra Club, Audubon California, Endangered Habitats League and the Planning and Conservation League have all agreed to save 90% of the highest value and most biological diverse portions of Tejon Ranch.

Now, Centennial at Tejon Ranch will match the value of this unprecedented conservation and become a national model of an environmentally sustainable community for working-class Angelenos. It will power the entire community with its own clean, renewable energy, including achieving all 2016 CALGreen Tier 1 measures, use state-of-the-art water conservation measures, and with over 95 miles of trails and clustered development, it will allow the future of residences to walk and bike to work, schools, and retail services.

Centennial is a net zero electricity and net zero water community that will provide 19,000 new homes, 1,900 affordable units, 23,000 new jobs, $21.5 million in surplus to the County, all while preserving 240,000 acres of the most pristine natural lands in California.

The County of Los Angeles has already identified Centennial as an Economic Opportunity Area and the new community is consistent with the County’s General Plan. Further, it is included in the Sustainable Communities Strategy and Regional Transportation Plan for the Southern California Association of Governments.

The solution to our County’s housing affordability crisis is here!

Centennial is designed to be a sustainable, self-contained community. It embraces the smart-growth principles that are highly needed in the County of Los Angeles.

We need to approve this project, begin development on this much-needed community and allow for our housing crisis to come to an end.

Thank you.

--

This e-mail was sent from a contact form on We Need Housing (https://secure-web.cisco.com/1S0xyArHM7hSdaTHalFwhrWqY6AbRJ9FktXSOF-DdwkDUBqNiSzp0AxNBewFvdCX7DVayw6Ti-89DzWmcIAjaEvUI10go8nP2Kfsk51cM4ex3txhasfagGoQE17H7RbfIOZCoz_HJy4-3kDm_rKTaUJ1LTi6V53gRmsCvTv6XRF8ANzbpoNng47HFufq5-Hhv5sN5dzcJtparspGJY6zrfGd03ozB8KrxEiCzrH0AtL1BuXaYvjuqEWutMRA4Rj3Kykfed8zUafKQ-KPIdVxKVg7g-JbHe7LIDxbEyErFK3MgaLJ_iGrUeTuirhw4AzbxANQfUeE51aqYapxMla2QeUomGHUfi-KDC6kWQvrXZDfyQLH8JvA5jGeLDW-MDzGEPkIZorWRhFom0apCH1q9CoEdCa95EFS4mD5t74S8/https%3A%2F%2Fweneedhousing.org)
Dear Jodie Sackett,

Gorman water will be sold wholesale to allow for this horribly out of scale development cash grab by Tejon Ranch. Tejon Ranch defrauded us through our mutual broker in the purchase of our home, they conduct themselves as above the law then and will proceed accordingly in this disastrously ill conceived nightmare- search out more public record truth on who this corporation is, they have decimated our wild cat populations with their illegal and excessive hunting. Gorman water has been selling to LADWP which they denied until proved otherwise, and this month our bill doubled- but we shut down our water to replumb the house so how is this even possible???

The cast of characters involved here are self serving at least, shady as all heck. Watching this go through I might as well finish restoration of my original Ridge Route home and put it up for sale, Centennial will ruin the quality of life for everyone in this region. I do not expect anyone to demonstrate the courage to stop it, because surely to rubber stamp it through means $$$ *short term* all around.

Centennial is a terrible deal for L.A. County. Centennial would be located in a "high" and "very high" fire hazard area subject to intense winds. Locating thousands of people in this fire-prone area will certainly lead to more human-caused wildfires, scorched homes and loss of life.

Centennial will not help address the county's housing shortage. Building a new city 65 miles from downtown LA will isolate potential residents from economic, educational and cultural opportunities. Instead, Centennial would require the construction of a new freeway at an initial cost of $830 million, which would divert scarce funds away from transit projects that make our existing communities more livable and sustainable.

Centennial would add tens of thousands of new cars each day onto Highway 5, leading to further gridlock in Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley. Thousands of long-distance car trips will lead to more cancer- and asthma-causing air pollution, worsening the county’s public health.

Your decision on Centennial is perhaps the most far-reaching decision you will ever make as a supervisor. Please, don’t approve Centennial. It would reward Wall Street speculators while leaving county residents stuck with the bill for more freeways, more wildfires, more traffic, and more air pollution.

Sincerely,
jen abram
49858 Gorman School Rd Gorman CA Lebec, CA 93243
anonscriba@gmail.com