



Aaron Cornish  
Project Manager  
LTE

12900 Park Plaza Dr.  
Cerritos, CA 90703

T: 562.468.6894  
M : 949.241.7535  
ac4896@att.com  
www.att.com

January 3, 2012

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter is to inform you that AT&T will be working on its wireless communication sites throughout Southern California over the next several months. In order to complete this work, we have authorized Black and Veatch and its contractor CES, Inc., to assist us with permitting activities for site number NL0082. We express our full consent to act on our behalf and to access the sites to complete their work.

Please call if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Aaron Cornish  
LTE Project Manager, AT&T

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California

County of LOS ANGELES

On JANUARY 3, 2012


before me, CHRISTINA M. WAGER, NOTARY PUBLIC  
(insert name and title of the officer)

personally appeared AARON CORNISH

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature  (Seal)



## **Alternate Site Analysis – NL0082**

Alternative No. 1- Existing WTF site pole: The utility pole already located at the proposed location is developed with an existing WTF and other utility services. We looked at the possible co-location on the utility pole but it was disqualified for two reasons: a) The existing utility services are grandfathered regarding current GO 95 Rule 94 service separations and any modification to the pole would require a pole replacement with a pole in excess of 50 ft., which is inconsistent with current County of Los Angeles WTF Policies; b) The addition of six (6) six foot (6'-0") antennae in addition to the exiting pair of antennae at the same elevation and azimuth would create a cluster of antennae that would add visual mass to the structure. The current design of placing the antennae on a different utility pole maintains a more even distribution of the antennae.

Alternative No. 2 - Utility Pole to south: The utility pole to the south of the proposed location is developed with existing utility services. We looked at the possible co-location on the utility pole but it was disqualified for two reasons: a) The existing utility services are grandfathered regarding current GO 95 Rule 94 service separations and any modification to the pole would require a pole replacement with a pole in excess of 50 ft., which is inconsistent with current County of Los Angeles WTF Policies; b) The structural integrity of the pole with the addition of six (6) six foot (6'-0") antennae would be compromised and a replacement pole would also be necessary.

Alternative No. 3 – Private Property Options: The area is surrounded primarily with active and passive open space and very low residential areas. There are no private property options in the immediate vicinity of the proposed project and the nearest private property locations are significantly down-slope from the project location and would not meet the RF objectives to close the significant gap in coverage.

No other viable options are present within the intended area of service.