



Los Angeles County
Department of Regional Planning



Planning for the Challenges Ahead

TO: Leslie G. Bellamy, Chair
Wayne Rew, Vice Chair
Esther L. Valadez, Commissioner
Harold V. Helsley, Commissioner
Pat Modugno, Commissioner

Jon Sanabria
Acting Director of Planning

FROM: James Bell, Principal Regional Assistant Planner
Ordinance Studies Section

SUBJECT: **PROJECT NO. R2009- 01476 - (1-5)/CASE NO. 200900011
AMENDMENT TO TITLE 22 (ZONING ORDINANCE) REGARDING
DOG AND CAT BOARDING AND BREEDING**

Agenda Of October 28, 2009 – Item No. 5

BACKGROUND

On March 3, 2009, the Board of Supervisors (Board) adopted a motion that requested the Department of Animal Care and Control, the Department of Public Works, the Department of Regional Planning, and the Department of Public Health to review existing County regulations governing the location, size and operation of dog kennels and dog breeding facilities, and make recommendations for ordinance amendments for improving the quality of care for the animals and for ensuring responsible and safe dog breeding.

The Department of Animal Care and Control (ACC) was designated as the lead Department in responding to the Board motion. Subsequently, ACC and the Department of Regional Planning (DRP) were identified as the two departments that have responsibilities for regulating animals in the County Code. The Departments of ACC and DRP collaborated on the proposed amendments to ensure consistency throughout the Code. The Departments of Public Works, Public Health, District Attorney's Office, and the Fire Department assisted in an advisory role.

During the past year, the Department of Animal Care and Control has experienced a significant increase in the number of cases in the County that involve the breeding of dogs at permitted or licensed kennels. Facilities that engage in large scale commercial dog breeding for profit are commonly referred to as "puppy mills." Unfortunately, many of these kennels have been found to endanger the health and welfare of adult dogs and puppies by housing them in overcrowded and unsanitary conditions. Many of these dogs are maintained without adequate veterinary care, food, water, and socialization. The County has had to seize hundreds of puppies and adult dogs due to such inadequate conditions.

CURRENT COUNTY REGULATIONS

The County Code addresses the care and keeping of animals in two ways. Title 10 (Animals) has requirements that establish minimum standards for the keeping of animals as well as

licensing requirements for animals. Title 22 (Planning and Zoning) has requirements that regulate how and where animals may be kept. The Department of Animal Care and Control (ACC) and the Department of Regional Planning (DRP) are proposing amendments to Title 10 and Title 22, respectively, which address issues relating to dog and cat breeding and boarding.

Currently, the Zoning Ordinance allows the following:

- Dog breeding in the Manufacturing Zones as a permitted use (Ordinance 54447, effective January 13, 1950).
- Dog kennels are a permitted use in the Heavy Agricultural (A-2) Zone and the Manufacturing Zones (Ordinance 4714, effective August 1, 1946).
- Dog kennels require a conditional use permit in the Commercial Manufacturing (CM) Zone.
- The Zoning Code was amended in 1971 to remove dog breeding from the A-2 Zone as a permitted use. (Ordinance 10366).
- Since 1971, the Regional Planning Department has approved dog breeding as an accessory use to a dog kennel. This practice was discontinued in 2008.
- The Zoning Ordinance does not define dog breeding or dog kennels.
- The Zoning Ordinance does not include limitations regarding the breeding or boarding of cats.

PROPOSED DRAFT ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

The proposed Zoning Code amendment includes the following:

- Establishes definitions of boarding and breeding facilities for dogs and cats. For consistency, the definitions will reference as they are defined in Title 10 (Animals).

Section 10.08.065 Boarding facility.

- "Boarding facility" means an animal facility used for the care and temporary boarding (including day care) of dogs and cats and other animals normally kept as pets, in return for consideration, not including an animal hospital which only boards animals receiving medical treatment.

Section 10.08.070 Breeding facility

-- "Breeding facility" means an animal facility engaged in the business of breeding dogs and cats or other animals normally kept as pets for sale or exchange in return for consideration.

- The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats.
- In the A-2 and M-1 Zones a boarding facility for dogs and cats is a permitted use.
- In the C-M Zone a boarding facility for dogs and cats requires a conditional use permit.
- Dog breeding is no longer a permitted use in the M-1 Zone.
- A breeding facility for dogs and cats is added as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the A-2, M-1, M-1½, M-2 and M-4 Zones.
- Boarding facilities (kennels) that breed dogs and cats must obtain a conditional use permit within five years.
- The existing limitations on the keeping of dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones are deleted and replaced by limitations for dogs and cats that apply to all zones.

DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL ORDINANCE

In response to the Board's motion, Animal Care and Control will provide two ordinances; one which primarily provides definitions and associated fees, and a second ordinance that establishes additional requirements for the care of dogs and cats. The ACC presented their first ordinance to the Board of Supervisors on September 22, 2009. ACC's intends on presenting their second ordinance to the Board of Supervisors in November. For reference, ACC's definition and fee ordinance and draft regulation ordinance are attached.

STATE LEGISLATION

Existing law proscribes specified acts against animals and imposes criminal penalties for a violation. Senator Nava introduced Assembly Bill 241 in January of 2009. This bill would have made it a misdemeanor for any person to have more than a combined total of 50 adult unsterilized dogs and cats, for breeding or raising them for sale as pets. Also, the bill would have authorized certain officers to lawfully take possession of an animal kept in violation of those provisions. Governor Schwarzenegger vetoed this bill on October 11, 2009. The Governor's veto message included the following:

"...This measure simply goes too far in an attempt to address the serious problem of puppy mills. An arbitrary cap on the number of animals any entity can possess throughout the state will not end unlawful, inhumane breeding practices. Instead this measure has the potential to criminalize the lawful activities of

reputable breeders, pet stores, kennels, and charitable organizations engaged in raising service and assistance dogs.”

ACC is currently reevaluating their proposed cap of 50 dogs and cats. DRP’s proposed ordinance does not place a uniform cap on dogs and cats at a breeding facility and is not affected by the Governor’s veto of Assembly Bill 241. As a conditional use permit is required for a breeding facility, specific environmental impacts from the number of dogs and cats on a parcel will be dealt with on a case by case basis through the discretionary process.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

An Initial Study was prepared for the draft ordinance in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Initial Study showed that there is no substantial evidence that the amendments will have a significant effect on the environment. Based on the Initial Study, the Department of Regional Planning has prepared a Negative Declaration for this project.

LEGAL NOTIFICATION

A 1/8 page legal advertisement was published in Antelope Valley Press newspaper on September 27, 2009. Case related materials were sent to all county libraries on September 25, 2009 and also posted on the Department of Regional Planning’s web site (<http://planning.co.la.ca.us>) under “Ordinances”. The American Kennel Club, Last Chance for Animals, and Best Friends Animal Society were notified of the public hearing.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH

A Puppy Mill Task Force was organized by the Fifth District office to address how to resolve issues with puppy mills. The Task Force is made up of representatives from the District Attorney, Animal Care and Control, County Counsel’s Office, the Department of Regional Planning, Last Chance for Animals, Golden Retriever Rescue, and Best Friends Animal Society. Their comments and suggestions have been incorporated into both the ACC and DRP’s draft ordinances.

At the time of this report, staff has received six phone calls from residents who are currently breeding dogs in the A-2 Zone. They were concerned that they will need to discontinue this use within five years.

After speaking with these residents, this issue was raised at the Puppy Mill Task Force which was held on October 7, 2009. The first draft of this ordinance did not allow dog breeding in the A-2 Zone. Staff has revised the draft ordinance to allow breeding facilities in the A-2 Zone with a conditional use permit. Existing approved boarding facilities with breeding as an accessory use would have five years in which to obtain a conditional use permit.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Regional Planning Commission adopt the attached resolution and forward Project No. R2009-01476-(1-5) to the Board of Supervisors for consideration in a public hearing.

SUGGESTED MOTION

“I MOVE THAT THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION ADOPT THE ATTACHED RESOLUTION AND FORWARD PROJECT NO. R2009-01476-(1-5) TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR CONSIDERATION IN A PUBLIC HEARING.”

Attachments

Draft Title 22 Ordinance
Summary Table of Proposed Changes
Draft Resolution
Negative Declaration
Board Motion
Animal Care and Control's Board letter dated September 22, 2009
Animal Care and Control's Draft Title 10 Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO.

An ordinance amending Title 22—Planning and Zoning of the Los Angeles County Code, to revise regulations for the keeping of dogs and cats.

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles ordains as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 22.08 is hereby amended to add to the list of definitions for words starting with the letter B in alphabetical order as follows:

-- "Boarding facility for dogs and cats" has the meaning set forth in Section 10.08.065 of Title 10 (Animals) of the Los Angeles County Code.

-- "Breeding facility for dogs and cats" has the meaning set forth in Section 10.08.070 of Title 10 (Animals) of the Los Angeles County Code. Said definition shall only apply to the breeding of more of than three dogs and five cats.

SECTION 2. Section 22.20.050 is hereby deleted:

~~22.20.050 Dogs.~~

~~Dogs may be kept or maintained in residential zones as follows:~~

~~A. A person shall not keep or maintain more than three dogs over the age of four months per dwelling unit in any residential zone, whether kept or maintained for the personal use of such _____ person _____ or _____ otherwise.~~

~~B. A service dog, as defined in Section 10.20.090 of this Code, shall not be counted toward the number of dogs authorized to be kept or maintained pursuant to subsection A of this section. (Ord. 2004-0048 § 1, 2004; Ord. 1494 Ch. 2 Art. 1 § 206, 1927.)~~

SECTION 3. Section 22.24.050 is hereby deleted:

~~22.24.050 Dogs.~~

~~Dogs may be kept or maintained in agricultural zones as follows:~~

~~A. A person shall not keep or maintain more than three dogs over the age of four months per dwelling in any agricultural zone, whether kept or maintained for the personal use of such person or otherwise.~~

~~B. A service dog, as defined in Section 10.20.090 of this Code, shall not be counted toward the number of dogs authorized to be kept or maintained pursuant to subsection A of this Section. (Ord. 2004-0048 § 1, 2004; Ord. 1494 Ch. 2 Art. 2 § 232.5, 1927.)~~

SECTION 4. Section 22.24.120 is hereby amended to delete from the list of permitted uses in the Zone A-2 as follows:

~~-- Dog kennel~~

SECTION 5. Section 22.24.120 is hereby amended to add to the list of permitted uses in Zone A-2 in alphabetical order as follows:

~~-- Boarding facility for dogs and cats~~

SECTION 6. Section 22.24.150 is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permits in Zone A-2 in alphabetical order as follows:

~~-- Breeding facility for dogs and cats~~

SECTION 7. Section 22.24.150 in the A-2 Zone is hereby amended to add the following:

C. Existing facilities formerly identified as "kennels" that engage in the breeding cats and dogs, except "hobby breeding" as defined and validly licensed under Section 10.20.045 of the Los Angeles County Code, shall obtain a conditional use permit for a breeding facility within five years of the effective date of Ordinance XXX.

SECTION 8. Section 22.28.260 is hereby amended to delete from the list of uses subject to permits in Zone CM in alphabetical order as follows:

~~—Dog kennel~~

SECTION 9. Section 22.28.260 is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permits in Zone CM as follows:

--Boarding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 10. Section 22.32.030 in the general regulations of the Industrial Zones is hereby added as follows:

22.32.030 Dog Breeding – Time Limit for Compliance

Existing facilities formerly identified as "kennels" that engage in the breeding cats and dogs, except "hobby breeding" as defined and validly licensed under Section 10.20.045 of the Los Angeles County Code, shall obtain a conditional use permit for a breeding facility within five years of the effective date of Ordinance XXX.

SECTION 11. Section 22.32.040 is hereby amended to add to the list of permitted uses in Zone M-1 in alphabetical order as follows:

--Boarding facility for dogs and cats

-- Dogs—Dog breeding; commercial dog kennels; dDog training schools.

SECTION 12. Section 22.32.070.A is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permits in Zone M-1 in alphabetical order as follows:

--Breeding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 13. Section 22.32.130.A is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permits in Zone M-1 and M-1 ½ in alphabetical order as follows:

--Breeding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 14. Section 22.32.190.A.4 is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permits in Zones M-2 and M-4 in alphabetical order as follows:

--Breeding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 15. Section 22.52.310 is hereby amended as follows:

22.52.310 Keeping animals permitted when--Limitations.

A. Dogs and cats – Limitations.

1. Dogs:

a. A maximum of three dogs over the age of four months per dwelling unit may be kept for personal use, including by hobby breeders. License(s)

for hobby breeders as required by Title 10 of the County Code shall be obtained from the Department of Animal Care and Control.

b. A service dog, as defined in Title 10 of the County Code, shall not be counted toward the number of dogs authorized to be kept or maintained pursuant to subsection a of this section.

2. Cats:

a. Up to five cats over the age of four months per dwelling unit are permitted for personal use (see Section 10.20.038 of the Los Angeles County Code for additional requirements and limitations), or

b. Hobby breeders as defined in Section 10.08.155 of the Los Angeles County Code may have a maximum of three cats for personal use over the age of four months per dwelling unit. A license(s) for hobby breeder as required by Title 10 of the County Code shall be obtained from the Department of Animal Care and Control.

B. Other than dogs and cats, per subsection A, a person shall not keep or maintain any animal other than those permitted in Sections 22.20.040, 22.20.050, 22.24.040, 22.24.050 or 22.24.160 for personal use in any zone except as hereinafter specifically permitted in this Part 3 and subject to all regulations and conditions enumerated in this Part

3. This section, however, shall not be interpreted to prohibit the keeping of animals for personal use to the extent permitted by commercial provisions in the same zone, subject to the same conditions and restrictions.

SECTION 16. Section 22.52.330 is hereby amended as follows:

22.52.330 Other animals permitted as pets--Permit required.

Animals other than those listed in this Part 3 or in Sections 22.20.040, ~~22.20.050~~, 22.24.040, ~~22.24.050~~ or 22.24.160, or 22.52.310, or in numbers greater than those given in Sections 22.20.040, ~~22.20.050~~, 22.24.040, ~~22.24.050~~ 22.52.310 and ~~22.52.320~~, or on lots or parcels of land having less than the area required, may be kept or maintained for personal use or as pets provided an animal permit has first been obtained as provided in Part 3 of Chapter 22.56.

DRAFT

Summary of Proposed Title 22 (Zoning) Ordinance Changes for Dogs and Cats

Section	Title	Amendment
22.08.020	Definitions	Add definition for boarding facility for dogs and cats and for breeding facility for dogs and cats
22.20.050	Dogs	Delete the sections, content transferred to Section 22.52.310
22.24.050	Residential and Agricultural Zones	Delete dog kennel and replace with boarding facility for dogs and cats
22.24.120	A-2 Zone Permitted Uses	Add breeding facility for dogs and cats
22.32.040	M-1 Zone Permitted Uses	and existing breeding facilities required to get a CUP within five years.
22.24.150	A-2 Uses Subject to Permits	Delete dog kennel and replace with boarding facility for dogs and cats
22.28.260	CM Zone Uses Subject to Permits	Existing breeding facilities required to get a CUP within five years.
22.32.030	Chapter 22.32 INDUSTRIAL ZONES Part 1 General Regulations Dog Breeding – Time Limit for Compliance	Delete Dog breeding
22.32.070.A	M-1 Zone Permitted Uses	Add breeding facility for dogs and cats
22.32.130.A	M-1, M-1 & 1/2, M-2 and M-4 Zones Uses	Dogs and cats –Limitations, content transferred from Sections 22.20.050 and 22.24.050 and cat limitations added, cross references deleted.
22.32.190.A.4	Subject to Permits	
22.52.310	Keeping of animals permitted when- Limitations	
22.52.330	Other animals permitted as pets—Permit required	Cross references changed to reflect section numbers of limitations on dogs and cats.

**RESOLUTION
REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

WHEREAS, the Regional Planning Commission of the County of Los Angeles conducted a public hearing on October 28, 2009 on the amendment to Title 22 (Planning and Zoning) of the Los Angeles County Code relating to requirements for dog and cats and their boarding and breeding.

WHEREAS, the Regional Planning Commission finds as follows:

1. On March 3, 2009, the Board of Supervisors (Board) adopted a motion that requested the Regional Planning Commission prepare and consider an amendment for improving the quality of care for the animals and for ensuring responsible and safe dog breeding for the Board's review and approval.
2. During the past year, the Department of Animal Care and Control has experienced a significant increase in the number of cases in the County that involve the over breeding of dogs at permitted and/or licensed kennels. Many of these dogs were maintained in over-crowded conditions without adequate veterinary care, food, water, and socialization. The County has had to seize hundreds of puppies and adult dogs due to such conditions.
3. The resulting Dog and Cat Ordinance, presented as Project No. R2009-01476-(1-5), delete the term "kennel", adds definitions for dog and cat boarding and breeding, and requires a conditional use permit for dog and cat breeding in the Manufacturing and Heavy Agricultural (A-2) zones.
4. A 1/8th page legal advertisement was published in the Antelope Valley Press newspaper on September 27, 2009. Case related materials were sent to all county libraries on September 25, 2009 and also posted on the Department of Regional Planning's web site (<http://planning.lacounty.gov>) under "Ordinances". The American Kennel Club, Last Chance for Animals, and Best Friends Animal Society were notified of the public hearing.
5. The Department of Regional Planning has determined that a Negative Declaration is the appropriate environmental documentation under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) reporting requirements. Potential impacts were evaluated and determined to be insignificant.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Regional Planning Commission recommends to the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles as follows:

1. That the Board hold a public hearing to consider the proposed amendment to Title 22 of the Los Angeles County Code, the Dog and Cat Ordinance;
2. Certify completion of and approve the attached Negative Declaration and find that the amendment to Title 22 (Zoning Ordinance) of the Los Angeles County Code will not have a significant effect on the environment; and

3. That the Board adopts the ordinance as recommended by this Commission and amend Title 22 accordingly, and determine that the amendment is consistent with the goals and policies of the Los Angeles County General Plan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by a majority of the voting members of the Regional Planning Commission of the County of Los Angeles on October 28, 2009.

Rosie O. Ruiz, Secretary
Regional Planning Commission
County of Los Angeles

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ROBERT E. KALUNIAN
Acting County Counsel

By _____
Tracy D. Swann
Deputy County Counsel

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
320 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PROJECT NUMBER: R2009-01476

1. DESCRIPTION: The proposed ordinance amendment to the Los Angeles County Code, Title 22 (Planning and Zoning), includes the following:
 - Creates definitions for a boarding facility for dogs and cats and a breeding facility for dogs and cats.
 - The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use.
 - The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the CM Zone.
 - Dog breeding as a permitted use in the M-1 Zone is deleted.
 - Breeding facility for dogs and cats is added as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the M-1, M-1 and ½, M-2 and M-4 Zones.
 - The existing limitations on the keeping of dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones are deleted and replaced by limitations for dogs and cats that apply to all zones.
 - Existing approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use must stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of the ordinance.
2. LOCATION: Unincorporated Los Angeles County
3. PROPONENT: Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning
4. FINDINGS OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS:
BASED ON THE INITIAL STUDY, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
5. THE LOCATION AND CUSTODIAN OF THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS ON WHICH ADOPTION OF THIS NEGATIVE DECLARATION IS BASED IS:
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, 320 WEST TEMPLE STREET,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

PREPARED BY: James Bell
Principal Regional Planning Assistant

DATE: September 24, 2009

STAFF USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: R2009-01476

CASES: Case No. RADV
T200900011



STUDY * * * *

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

GENERAL INFORMATION

Map Date: NA Staff Member: James Bell

Thomas Guide: NA USGS Quad: NA

Location: Countywide

Description of Project:

The proposed ordinance amendment includes the following:

- Creates definitions of a boarding facility for dogs and cats and a breeding facility for dogs and cats.
- The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use.
- The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the CM Zone.
- Dog breeding as a permitted use in the M-1 Zone is deleted.
- Breeding facility for dogs and cats is added as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the M-1, M-1 and 1/2, M-2 and M-4 Zones.
- The existing limitations on the keeping of dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones are deleted and replaced by limitations for dogs and cats that apply to all zones.
- Existing approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use must stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of the ordinance.

The existing zoning ordinance allows dog kennels in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use and requires a conditional use permit (CUP) in the CM Zone. The proposed ordinance also allows this use (boarding facility for dogs and cats) in the A-2 and M-1 zones as a permitted use; a CUP would be required in the CM Zone. The existing zoning ordinance only allows dog breeding as a permitted use in the Manufacturing Zones. The proposed ordinance amendment requires a conditional use permit for this use in the Manufacturing Zones. The existing zoning ordinance allows the keeping of three (3) dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones. The proposed ordinance amendment would allow the keeping of the same number of dogs (3) for personal use and would limit the number of cats one could keep for personal use to five (5)

subject to the requirements of Section 10.20.038 of the Los Angeles County Code. Hobby breeding of dogs and cats would be allowed subject to the limitations on the number of animals and the requirements of Title 10 of the Los Angeles County Code. These requirements would be more restrictive than the present zoning ordinance. The existing zoning ordinance allows nonconforming uses to continue for various periods of time depending on the use's type of construction. A dog kennel's typical construction type would typically allow a five year amortization period or less. The proposed ordinance amendment's five year amortization period would be in most cases longer or the same timeframe as the existing zoning ordinance.

Gross Acres: Countywide

Environmental Setting: Countywide (urban, suburban, non-urban, rural)

Zoning: Countywide

Community Standards District: Countywide

General Plan: Countywide

Major projects in area:

<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION & STATUS</u>
N/A	

NOTE: For EIRs, above projects are not sufficient for cumulative analysis.

REVIEWING AGENCIES

Responsible Agencies

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LA Regional Water Quality Control Board | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Commission |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board
(Check RWQCB if septic system proposed) | <input type="checkbox"/> Army Corps of Engineers |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Trustee Agencies

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Fish and Game | <input type="checkbox"/> State Parks |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Special Reviewing Agencies

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> National Parks | <input type="checkbox"/> Elementary School District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> National Forest | <input type="checkbox"/> High School District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Edwards Air Force Base | <input type="checkbox"/> Local Native American Tribal Council |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy | <input type="checkbox"/> Water District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (AQMD) |

Regional Significance

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> SCAG | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality Management District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

County Reviewing Agencies

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sheriff Department | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Department of Animal Care and Control |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sanitation District (Check if sewers proposed) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DPW: Land Development Division, Environmental Programs, Traffic & Lighting, Drainage & Grading
GMED | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fire Dept.: Planning Division | |

- Public Health: Environmental Health:
- Public Health: Environmental Hygiene (noise, air quality and vibration)
- Solid Waste Management (landfills, trash trucks & transfer stations)
- Public Health: Land Use Program (septic systems & wells)
- Cross Connection and Water Pollution Control Program (recycled and reclaimed water)

IMPACT ANALYSIS MATRIX		ANALYSIS SUMMARY (See individual pages for details)				
				Less than Significant Impact/No Impact		
CATEGORY	FACTOR	Pg	Less than Significant Impact with Project Mitigation		Potentially Significant Impact	Potential Concern
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAZARDS	1. Geotechnical	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Flood	6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Fire	7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Noise	8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RESOURCES	1. Water Quality	9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Air Quality	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Biota	11	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Cultural Resources	12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. Mineral Resources	13	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	6. Agriculture Resources	14	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	7. Visual Qualities	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
SERVICES	1. Traffic/Access	16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Sewage Disposal	17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Education	18	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Fire/Sheriff	19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. Utilities	20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
OTHER	1. General	21	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Environmental Safety	22	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Land Use	23	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Pop/Hous./Emp./Rec.	24	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. Mandatory Findings	25	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING

FINAL DETERMINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Regional Planning finds that this project qualifies for the following environmental document:

NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inasmuch as the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was determined that this project will not exceed the established threshold criteria for any environmental/service factor and, as a result, will not have a significant effect on the physical environment.

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, in as much as the changes required for the project will reduce impacts to insignificant levels (see attached discussion and/or conditions).

An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was originally determined that the proposed project may exceed established threshold criteria. The applicant has agreed to modification of the project so that it can now be determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the physical environment. The modification to mitigate this impact(s) is identified on the Project Changes/Conditions Form included as part of this Initial Study.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT*, inasmuch as there is substantial evidence that the project may have a significant impact due to factors listed above as "significant".

At least one factor has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to legal standards, and has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on the attached sheets (see attached Form DRP/IA 101). The Addendum EIR is required to analyze only the factors changed or not previously addressed.

Reviewed by: James Bell, Principal Regional Planning Assistant Date: September 24, 2009

Approved by: Karen Simmons, Supervising Regional Planner Date: September 24, 2009

Determination appealed – see attached sheet.

*NOTE: Findings for Environmental Impact Reports will be prepared as a separate

document following the public hearing on the project.

**HAZARDS - 1. Geotechnical
SETTING/IMPACTS**

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project located in an active or potentially active fault zone, Seismic Hazards Zone, or Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone?</p> <p><i>The entire zoning ordinance planning area lies within a general region of known fault zones and seismic activity. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an area containing a major landslide(s)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance is countywide in application, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area containing a major landslide. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an area having high slope instability?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area containing high slope instability. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site subject to high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area containing high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposed project considered a sensitive use (school, hospital, public assembly site) located in close proximity to a significant geotechnical hazard?</p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance does not include any development. Dog and cat breeding is not a sensitive use. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project entail substantial grading and/or alteration of topography including slopes of over 25%?</p> <p><i>Grading will not be required by the proposed ordinance. The proposed ordinance does not include any development. Future development projects could be in an area with slopes over 25 %.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed could be in an area containing expansive soil. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
h.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

Building Code, Title 26 - Sections 110.2, 111 & 113
(Geotechnical Hazards, Engineering Geology and Soils Engineering Report, Earthquake Fault)

MITIGATION MEASURES
CONSIDERATIONS

OTHER

Lot Size
Report by DPW

Project Design

Approval of Geotechnical Report by DPW

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no geotechnical impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no geotechnical impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by, **geotechnical** factors?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

HAZARDS - 2. Flood

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the major drainage course, as identified on USGS quad sheets by a dashed line, located on the project site? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of a major drainage course. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located within or does it contain a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in or subject to high mudflow conditions? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of high mudflow conditions. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project contribute or be subject to high erosion and debris deposition from run-off? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of high erosion and debris deposition from run-off. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors (e.g., dam failure)?</p>

N/A

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Building Code, Title 26 – Section 110.1 (Flood Hazard)
- Health and Safety Code, Title 11 – Chapter 11.60 (Floodways)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

Approval of Drainage Concept by DPW

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential flood related hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no flood hazard impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no flood hazard impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by **flood (hydrological)** factors?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

HAZARDS - 3. Fire

SETTING/IMPACTS

Yes No Maybe

a.

Is the project site located in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (Fire Zone 4)?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

b.

Is the project site in a high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, width, surface materials, turnarounds or grade?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, width, surface materials, turnarounds or grade. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

c.

Does the project site have more than 75 dwelling units on a single access in a high fire hazard area?

The proposed project does not allow any residential development.

d.

Is the project site located in an area having inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

e.

Is the project located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses (such as refineries, flammables, explosives manufacturing)?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

f.

Does the proposed use constitute a potentially dangerous fire hazard?
The ordinance allows the development of dog and cat boarding and breeding facilities in the A-2, CM and Manufacturing Zones. The use itself does not create a potential fire hazard. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

g.

Other factors?

N/A

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Utilities Code, Title 20 – Section 20.16.060 (Fire Flow & Fire Hydrants Requirements)
- Fire Code, Title 32 – Sections 902.2.1 & 902.2.2.1 (Access & Dimensions)
- Fire Code, Title 32 – Sections 1117.2.1 (Fuel Modification Plan, Landscape Plan & Irrigation Plan)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Project Design

Compatible Use

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Impacts from fire hazards associated with this use are not significant as this use does not pose a significant fire hazard.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by **fire hazard** factors?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

HAZARDS - 4. Noise

SETTING/IMPAIRMENTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the project site located near a high noise source (airports, railroads, freeways, industry)? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located near a high noise source. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the proposed use considered sensitive (school, hospital, senior citizen facility) or are there other sensitive uses in close proximity? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located with sensitive uses in close proximity. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Could the project substantially increase ambient noise levels including those associated with special equipment (such as amplified sound systems) or parking areas associated with the project? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could substantially increase ambient noise levels. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels without the project? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels without the project. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other factors? <i>N/A</i>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Environmental Protection Code, Title 12 – Chapter 12.08 (Noise Control)
- Building Code, Title 26 – Sections 1208A (Interior Environment – Noise)

MITIGATION MEASURES

Lot Size

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Project Design

Compatible Use

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential flood related hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no noise impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no noise impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be adversely impacted by noise?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 1. Water Quality

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Is the project site located in an area having known water quality problems and proposing the use of individual water wells?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area having known water quality problems and proposing the use of individual water wells. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

Will the proposed project require the use of a private sewage disposal system?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area requiring a private sewage disposal system. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

If the answer is yes, is the project site located in an area having known septic tank limitations due to high groundwater or other geotechnical limitations or is the project proposing on-site systems located in close proximity to a drainage course?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area with known septic tank limitations. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

Could the project's associated construction activities significantly impact the quality of groundwater and/or storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving water bodies?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could significantly impact the quality of groundwater and/or storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving water bodies. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Development proposals would be subject to compliance with NPDES standards.

Could the project's post-development activities potentially degrade the quality of storm water runoff and/or could post-development non-storm water discharges contribute potential pollutants to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies?
The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contribute potential pollutants to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Development proposals would be subject to compliance with NPDES standards.

e.

Other factors?

N/A

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Health & Safety Code, Title 11 – Chapter 11.38 (Water & Sewers)
- Environmental Protection, Title 12 – Chapter 12.80 (Storm-water & Runoff Pollution Control)
- Plumbing Code, Title 28 – Chapter 7; Appendices G(a), J & K (Sewers & Septic Systems)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Lot Size
- Project Design
- Compatible Use
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit
- Industrial Waste Permit
- Septic Feasibility Study

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential water quality hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no water quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no water quality impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be adversely impacted by, **water quality** problems?

- Potentially significant
- Less than significant with project mitigation
- Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 2. Air Quality
SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the proposed project exceed the State's criteria for regional significance (generally (a) 500 dwelling units for residential users or (b) 40 gross acres, 650,000 square feet of floor area or 1,000 employees for non-residential uses)?</p> <p><i>The proposed project would allow dog and cat breeding in the Manufacturing Zones and a dog and cat boarding facility in the CM Zone with a conditional use permit. A dog and cat boarding facility would be a permitted use in the A-2 zone. Such uses do not involve the scale of residential or commercial uses described above.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposal considered a sensitive use (schools, hospitals, parks) and located near a freeway or heavy industrial use?</p> <p><i>Dog and cat breeding is not considered a sensitive use.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project increase local emissions to a significant extent due to increased traffic congestion or use of a parking structure or exceed AQMD thresholds of potential significance?</p> <p><i>The scale of development and uses associated with the proposed ordinance would not significantly increase local emissions. Newly passed legislation would limit the number of dogs kept for breeding to 50 animals.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project generate or is the site in close proximity to sources that create obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in close proximity to sources that create obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to it could violate an air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emission which would exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?</p>



The proposed zoning ordinance would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.

h.

Other factors?

N/A

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

State of California Health and Safety Code – Section 40506 (Air Quality Management District Permit)

MITIGATION MEASURES CONSIDERATIONS OTHER

Project Design Air Quality Report

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential air quality hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no air quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no air quality impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be adversely impacted by, air quality?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 3. Biota

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer, or coastal Sensitive Environmental Resource (ESHA, etc.), or is the site relatively undisturbed and natural?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer, or coastal Sensitive Environmental Resource (ESHA, etc.), or the site relatively undisturbed and natural. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will grading, fire clearance, or flood related improvements remove substantial natural habitat areas?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could remove a substantial natural habitat area. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is a drainage course located on the project site that is depicted on USGS quad sheets by a dashed blue line or that may contain a bed, channel, or bank of any perennial, intermittent or ephemeral river, stream, or lake?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project site may contain a drainage course that is depicted on USGS quad sheets by a dashed blue line or that may contain a bed, channel, or bank of any perennial, intermittent or ephemeral river, stream, or lake. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain a major riparian or other sensitive habitat (e.g. coastal sage scrub, oak woodland, sycamore riparian, woodland, wetland, etc.)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain a major riparian or other sensitive habitat.</i></p>
e.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain oak or other unique native trees (specify kinds of trees)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain oak or other unique native trees. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site habitat for any known sensitive species (federal or state listed endangered, etc.)?</p>

The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain habitat for any known sensitive species. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

g.

Other factors (e.g., wildlife corridor, adjacent open space linkage)?

N/A

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
 Oak Tree Permit

Project Design

ERB/SEATAC Review
Constraints Analysis

Biological

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no biota impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no biota impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, biotic resources?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 4. Archaeological/Historical/Paleontological

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in or near an area containing known archaeological resources or containing features (drainage course, spring, knoll, rock outcroppings, or oak trees) that indicate potential archaeological sensitivity?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain known archaeological resources or containing features that indicate potential archaeological sensitivity. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain known historic structures or sites?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain known historic structures or sites. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in 15064.5?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p>N/A</p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

Cultural Resources Records Search (Quick Check) Phase 1 Archaeology Report

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no Archaeological/Historical/Paleontological impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no Archaeological/Historical/Paleontological impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on **archaeological, historical, or paleontological** resources?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 5. Mineral Resources

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no mineral resources impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no mineral resources impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on **mineral** resources?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 6. Agriculture Resources

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use?</p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance could result in the conversion Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use. Los Angeles County does not have any Williamson Act contracts. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project involve other changes in the existing environment that due to their location or nature could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could involve other changes in the existing environment that due to their location or nature could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no agricultural resource impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no agricultural resource impacts,

it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on **agriculture** resources?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 7. Visual Qualities

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it otherwise impact the viewshed?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it otherwise impact the viewshed. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an undeveloped or undisturbed area that contains unique aesthetic features?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an undeveloped or undisturbed area that contains unique aesthetic features. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposed use out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project likely to create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors (e.g., grading or landform alteration)?</p> <p>N/A</p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
Compatible Use

Project Design

Visual Simulation

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no visual qualities resource impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no visual qualities resource impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on scenic qualities?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 1. Traffic/Access

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project contain 25 dwelling units or more and is it located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections). The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project result in any hazardous traffic conditions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in any hazardous traffic conditions. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions. The proposed zoning ordinance would allow the development of dog and cat breeding facilities in the Manufacturing Zones with a CUP, and boarding facilities for dogs and cats with a CUP in the CM Zone. To be approved, a site must be found to have adequate parking for the proposed use. In the A-2 Zone dog and cat boarding facilities would be a permitted use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will inadequate access during an emergency (other than fire hazards) result in problems for emergency vehicles or residents/employees in the area?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in problems for emergency vehicles or residents/employees in the area. The proposed zoning ordinance would allow development of dog and cat breeding facilities in the Manufacturing Zones with a CUP, and boarding facilities for dogs and cats with a CUP in the CM Zone. To be approved, a site must be found to have adequate access. In the A-2 Zone dog and cat boarding facilities would be a permitted use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicles added by project traffic to a CMP highway system intersection or 150 peak hour trips added by project traffic to a mainline freeway be exceeded?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds being exceeded. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>

f.

Would the project conflict with adopted policies, plans, or program supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus, turnouts, bicycle racks)?

The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

g.

Other factors?

N/A

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Project Design
Lighting Division

Traffic Report

Consultation with DPW Traffic &

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no traffic/access impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no traffic/access impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on traffic/access factors?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 2. Sewage Disposal

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>If served by a community sewage system, could the project create capacity problems at the treatment plant?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create capacity problems at the treatment plant.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Utilities Code, Title 20 – Division 2 (Sanitary Sewers and Industrial Waste)
- Plumbing Code, Title 28 – Chapter 7 (Sanitary Drainage)
- California Health Safety Code – Section 5474 (Sewer connection mitigation fee)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no sewage disposal impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no sewage disposal impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to **sewage disposal** facilities?

- Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 3. Education

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create capacity problems at the district level?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve student-generating development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create capacity problems at individual schools that will serve the project site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve student-generating development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create student transportation problems?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve student-generating development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create substantial library impacts due to increased population and demand?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve residential-generating development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- State of California Government Code – Section 53080 (School Facilities Fee)
- Planning & Zoning Code, Title 22 - Chapter 22.72 (Library Facilities Mitigation Fee)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Site Dedication

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no education impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no education impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) relative to **educational** facilities/services?

Potentially
significant

Less than significant with project
mitigation

Less than significant/No
Impact

SERVICES - 4. Fire/Sheriff Services

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriff's substation serving the project site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriff's substation serving the project site.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are there any special fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

Revenue & Finance Code, Title 4 – Chapter 4.92 (Fire Protection Facilities Fee)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no fire/sheriff service impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no fire/sheriff service impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) relative to **fire/sheriff** services?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 5. Utilities/Other Services

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate ground water supply and proposes water wells?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate ground water supply and proposes water wells.</i></p>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs.</i></p>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane.</i></p>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are there any other known service problem areas (e.g., solid waste)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create capacity problems in known service problem areas.</i></p>
e.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services or facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, roads)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Plumbing Code, Title 28 – Chapters 3, 6 & 12
- Utilities Code, Title 20 – Divisions 1, 4 & 4a (Water, Solid Waste, Garbage Disposal Districts)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
Will-serve Letter

Project Design

Water Purveyor

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no utilities/other services impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no utilities/other service impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) relative to **utilities** services?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 1. General

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Will the project result in an inefficient use of energy resources? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in an inefficient use of energy resources.</i>
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Will the project result in a major change in the patterns, scale, or character of the general area or community? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in a major change in the patterns, scale, or character of the general area or community.</i>
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Will the project result in a significant reduction in the amount of agricultural land? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in a significant reduction in the amount of agricultural land.</i>
d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other factors? <i>N/A</i>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

California State Administrative Code, Title 24, Part 5, T-20 (Energy Conservation)

MITIGATION MEASURES OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size Project Design

Compatible Use

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no other general impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no other general impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional

environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to any of the above factors?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 2. Environmental Safety

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are any hazardous materials used, transported, produced, handled, or stored on-site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could use hazardous materials used, transported, produced, handled, or stored on-site.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are any pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could use pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are any residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and potentially adversely affected?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could have residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and could be potentially adversely affected.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Have there been previous uses that indicate residual soil toxicity of the site or is the site located within two miles downstream of a known groundwater contamination source within the same watershed?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located on a site where previous uses that indicate residual soil toxicity of the site or is the site located within two miles downstream of a known groundwater contamination source within the same watershed. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.</i></p>

g.

h.

i.

j.

Would the project be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or environment?

There are hazardous materials sites in the planning area as referenced in the Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStor database. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located on one of the sites in the data base.

Would the project result in a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip?

The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

Would the project impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.

Other factors?

N/A

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Phase 1 Environmental Assessment

Toxic Clean-up Plan

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no environmental safety impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no environmental safety impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact relative to **public safety**?

- Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 3. Land Use

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the plan designation(s) of the subject property?</p> <p><i>The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. A finding of consistency with the general plan is required for a CUP to be approved.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the zoning designation of the subject property?</p> <p><i>The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. Consistency with the zoning is required for a CUP to be approved or a building permit to be issued.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the following applicable land use criteria:</p> <p>Hillside Management Criteria? <i>No changes to the Hillside Management criteria, standards or their applicability are proposed.</i></p> <p>SEA Conformance Criteria? <i>No changes to the SEA criteria, standards or their applicability are proposed.</i></p> <p>Other? <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project physically divide an established community?</p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Future development projects could not exceed the keeping of 50 dogs. Thus the scale of potential breeding and boarding facilities would not be large enough to divide an established community.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors? <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and

establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no land use impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no land use impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to **land use** factors?

- Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 4. Population/Housing/Employment/Recreation

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure).</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project displace existing housing, especially affordable housing?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could displace existing housing, especially affordable housing.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project result in substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT).</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment the project could require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment the project could displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no population/housing/employment/recreation impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no population/housing/employment/recreation impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to **population, housing, employment, or recreational** factors?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Based on this Initial Study, the following findings are made:

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the project have possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Will the environmental effects of the project cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the environment?

<input type="checkbox"/> Potentially significant	<input type="checkbox"/> Less than significant with project mitigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than significant/No Impact
--	--	---

MOTION BY SUPERVISOR MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

MARCH 3, 2009

PUPPY MILLS

Over the past year, the Department of Animal Care and Control has experienced a significant increase in the number of cases in the County involving the overbreeding of dogs at permitted and/or licensed kennels. Facilities that engage in such large scale commercial dog breeding for profit are commonly referred to as "puppy mills." Puppies from these mills are sold directly to the public through the internet or to retailers and boutique pet stores. Unfortunately, many of these kennels have been found to endanger the health and welfare of adult dogs and puppies by housing them in overcrowded and unsanitary conditions; and many of the dogs are maintained without adequate veterinary care, food, water and socialization. Over the past six months alone, the County has had to seize and/or order the relocation of hundreds of puppies and adult dogs due to such conditions, which has increased the burden, costs and impact on County shelters and rescue organizations.

Currently, "puppy mills" are subject to limited County regulation and oversight by various County agencies.

MOTION

Molina	_____
Ridley-Thomas	_____
Yaroslavsky	_____
Antonovich	_____
Knabe	_____

I, THEREFORE, MOVE that the Board of Supervisors direct the Chief Executive Office to work with the Department of Animal Care and Control, the Department of Public Works, the Department of Regional Planning, and the Department of Public Health, in consultation with County Counsel and the District Attorney, to review the existing County regulations governing the location, size and operation of dog kennels and dog breeding facilities and make recommendations, including proposed ordinance amendments, for improving the quality of care for the animals and for ensuring responsible and safe dog breeding.

I, FURTHER MOVE that the Chief Executive Officer report back with any proposed ordinance changes within ninety (90) days.

#

MDA:lgh
s:\motions\Puppy Mills

ANALYSIS

This ordinance amends Title 10 – Animals of the Los Angeles County Code, to amend, add and delete definitions, add a field enforcement fee, change the licensing delinquency charge and clarify policies relating to animals.

Definitions relating to animal facilities, kennels and the breeding and boarding of animals were amended, added and deleted in view of the change of terms used in the fee schedule and also to clarify the meaning of some terms.

Other amendments clarify existing policies relating to the number of dogs and cats permitted per household, licensing and other requirements relating to hobby breeders, and when individual licenses are required for dogs and cats kept as pets at an animal facility.

A field enforcement fee was added to reflect the added cost of using field personnel to enforce the licensing ordinance. The licensing delinquency charge was amended to equal the cost of the license, which will result in the charge remaining the same \$20 charge for the majority of animal owners in the County whose cats and dogs are spayed or neutered, and will reduce the delinquency charge to \$7.50 for seniors. The increase in the delinquency charge for licenses which cost in excess of \$20 will provide incentives to have cats and dogs spayed and neutered, encourage owners to timely apply for licenses, account for lost revenue from owners who fail to license their

pets and facilities, and will more accurately reflect additional licensing enforcement costs when pets and facilities remain unlicensed.

ROBERT E. KALUNIAN
Acting County Counsel

By: *Diane C. Reagan*
DIANE C. REAGAN
Principal Deputy County Counsel
Health Services Division

DCR:ec

Requested: 06-30-09

Revised: 07-21-09

ORDINANCE NO. _____

An ordinance amending Title 10 – Animals of the Los Angeles County Code, to amend, add and delete definitions, to clarify policies relating to animals, to change the charge for licensing delinquencies and to add a field enforcement fee.

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles ordains as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 10.08.031 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.08.031 Animal facility.

"Animal facility" means a lot, building, structure, enclosure or premises for any animal related business or organization, including, but not limited to, a non-profit humane organization animal facility (as defined in Section 10.08.175), a grooming shop, a pet shop, a boarding facility, and a breeding facility, which is required to be licensed under Section 10.28.060. (Ord. 2004-0036 § 2, 2004.)

SECTION 2. Section 10.08.065 is hereby added to read as follows:

10.08.065 Boarding facility.

"Boarding facility" means an animal facility used for the care and temporary boarding (including day care) of dogs and cats and other animals normally kept as pets, in return for consideration, not including an animal hospital which only boards animals receiving medical treatment.

SECTION 3. Section 10.08.070 is hereby added to read as follows:

10.08.070 Breeding facility.

"Breeding facility" means an animal facility engaged in the business of breeding dogs and cats or other animals normally kept as pets for sale or exchange in return for consideration.

SECTION 4. Section 10.08.090 is hereby deleted to its entirety.

~~10.08.090 Cat kennel.~~

~~"Cat kennel" means any lot, building, structure, enclosure or premises whereupon or wherein four or more cats, over four months of age, are kept or maintained for any purpose, including places where cats are boarded, kept for sale, or kept for hire. Up to five cats may be kept at any residence without a kennel license, provided the cats' owner or custodian licenses each individual animal, has each animal spayed or neutered and keeps all cats primarily indoors. (Ord. 95-0016 § 1, 1995; Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967; Ord. 4729 Art. 2 § 213, 1946.)~~

SECTION 5. Section 10.08.130 is hereby deleted to its entirety.

~~10.08.130 Dog kennel.~~

~~"Dog kennel" means any lot, building, structure, enclosure or premises whereupon or wherein four or more dogs, over four months of age, are kept or maintained for any purpose, including places where dogs are boarded, kept for sale, or kept for hire. For purposes of this section, a service dog licensed under Section 10.20.090, is not counted toward the number of dogs kept or maintained, while such dog is serving a person who is disabled within the meaning of Government Code~~

~~Section 12926(i) or Government Code Section 12926(k). (Ord. 2004-0049 § 1, 2004: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 8043 § 1, 1961: Ord. 4729 Art. 2 § 204, 1946.)~~

SECTION 6. Section 10.08.155 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.08.155 Hobby breeder.

"Hobby breeder" is any person, except for a person possessing a valid ~~kennel~~ animal facility license, who owns and breeds a dog or cat and sells the offspring for pay or for other compensation. A hobby breeder is required to obtain a license pursuant to Section 10.20.045. (Ord. 2006-0029 § 1, 2006: Ord. 2004-0036 § 5, 2004.)

SECTION 7. Section 10.08.175 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.08.175 Nonprofit humane organization animal facility.

"Nonprofit humane organization animal facility" means an animal facility operated by a bona fide charity in good standing under the provisions of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code-, where animals are kept for adoption or sanctuary. (Ord. 2000-0075 § 9, 2000.)

SECTION 8. Section 10.12.140 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.12.140 Dead animals— Pickup from businesses or other facilities.

For each pickup or receiving of dead animals from any animal-related business, such as a pet hospital, ~~nonprofit humane society, organization animal facility,~~ kennel, stable or veterinary establishment, or any commercial, industrial, educational, medical or other facility that deals with animals in connection with its operation, the director shall collect the fees determined annually as provided in Section 10.04.065. (Ord. 2009-0017 § 6, 2009: Ord. 2000-0075 § 16, 2000: Ord. 90-0137 § 9, 1990: Ord. 88-0155 § 5, 1988:

Ord. 87-0036 § 5, 1987; Ord. 85-0204 § 6, 1985; Ord. 11176 § 1, 1975; Ord. 4729 Art. 3 § 305.5, 1946.)

SECTION 9. Section 10.20.030 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.20.030 License -- Required -- Costs Fees and other charges.

Every person owning or having custody or control of any dog or cat over the age of four months in the unincorporated territory of the county of Los Angeles shall obtain a an annual license from the director for each of such dogs and cats and shall pay the fees for the such licenses including delinquency charges and field enforcement fees as set forth in Sections 10.20.130 and 10.90.010. The owner or custodian of an animal found unlicensed by a department employee in the field will be charged a field enforcement fee. (Ord. 93-0002 § 2 (part), 1993; Ord. 90-0137 § 11, 1990.)

SECTION 10. Section 10.20.038 is hereby added to read as follows:

10.20.038 Residential dogs and cats – Limitations.

A. Dogs: Up to three dogs may be kept at any residence without an animal facility license, provided the dogs' owner or custodian licenses each individual dog and complies with the Mandatory Spay and Neuter Program for Dogs, 10.20.350 et seq. For purposes of this section, a service dog licensed under Section 10.20.090, is not counted toward the number of dogs kept or maintained, while such dog is serving a person who is disabled within the meaning of Government Code Section 12926(i) or Government Code Section 12926(k).

B. Cats: Up to five cats may be kept at any residence without an animal facility license, provided the cats' owner or custodian licenses each individual cat, has each cat spayed or neutered and keeps all cats primarily indoors.

SECTION 11. Section 10.20.040 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.20.040 ~~Kennel~~Animal facility for dogs and cats -- Individual license required when When individual dog or cat licenses are required.

An individual license shall be obtained for each dog or cat, ~~in addition to a kennel license,~~ when such dog or cat is kept as a pet at an animal facility and is not kept exclusively in a kennel run or cage. ~~No individual license shall be required for any dog or cat in transit, or when attending a dog or cat show in the care of a kennel representative.~~ (Ord. 2000-0075 § 25, 2000: Ord. 93-0002 § 2 (part), 1993: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 4 § 420, 1946.)

SECTION 12. Section 10.20.045 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.20.045 Hobby breeding -- License required -- Fees.

A person who is a hobby breeder as defined in Section 10.08.155, shall obtain an animal a hobby breeding license in the amount set forth in Section 10.90.010. Each license shall authorize the whelping~~birth~~ of no more than one litter per female dog or cat in any 12-month period and no more than one litter per domestic household in any 12-month period. Breeding in excess of that authorized under this Section requires a breeding facility license (See 10.08.065 and 10.40.200 et seq.) and may result in further penalties. (Ord. 2004-0036 § 7, 2004: Ord. 93-0002 § 2 (part), 1993: Ord. 90-0137 § 13, 1990: Ord. 88-0155 § 6, 1988: Ord. 87-0036 § 9, 1987: Ord. 85-0204 § 25, 1985.)

SECTION 13. Section 10.20.120 is hereby amended as follows:

10.20.120 Fees payable annually -- Delinquency charge.

A. The license fees provided for in this chapter shall be paid annually to the director in the amount set forth in Section 10.90.010.

B. A delinquency charge as provided in Section 10.90.010 shall be assessed when the license fees provided for in this chapter are not paid ~~on or before~~ within ten days after the expiration date or the date the license is required to be obtained by the provisions of this title. (Ord. 90-0137 § 15, 1990: Ord. 88-0155 § 7, 1988: Ord. 83-0182 § 5, 1983: Ord. 82-0163 § 3, 1982: Ord. 11945 § 2, 1979: Ord. 9943 § 4, 1970: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part),-1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 4 § 405, 1946.)

SECTION 14. Section 10.20.125 is hereby added as follows:

10.20.125 License fee not refundable.

No part of the license fee is refundable in any case.

SECTION 15. Section 10.90.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.90.010 Licensing Fees schedule.

The license fees required to be paid ~~for all services and activities set forth in Title 40~~ are as follows, except that the director may waive any fees in cases of undue hardship:

I. Individual Animal Licenses.	Fees
<p>Every person owning a dog or cat over the age of four months shall obtain an annual license and tag for each such dog or cat; except, there shall be a one-time-only fee for registration of discharged military dogs, for guide dogs or Seeing Eye dogs, for signal dogs trained to assist the hearing impaired, and for service dogs trained to perform tasks to assist the physically handicapped, upon payment of the following fees:</p>	
A. Dog license and tag fees:	
Dogs over four months:	
<p>1. Unaltered (Unspayed/unneutered) (\$5 of each fee received is designated for low cost spay/neuter program)</p>	\$60.00
<p>2. Altered (Spayed/neutered) (\$5 of each fee received is designated for low cost spay/neuter program)</p>	20.00
3. Senior citizen— Spayed/neutered dog	7.50
<p>4. Delinquency charge for annual license renewal not <u>obtained on or before date of expiration equivalent to the cost of the license, will be added to the license fee if</u></p>	20.00

<u>the renewal application is submitted more than 10 calendar days past the expiration date of a license, or if a license application was not otherwise timely submitted.</u>	
5. Replacement of tag or official license receipt	5.00
6. Transfer of ownership	5.00
7. Discharged military dogs (one-time registration fee)	5.00
8. Guide dogs or Seeing Eye dogs, signal dogs, and service dogs (one-time registration fee)	5.00
<u>9. Field enforcement fee: The owner or custodian of a dog found unlicensed by a department employee in the field will be charged a field enforcement fee.</u>	<u>40.00</u>
B. Cat license fees:	
1. Unspayed/unneutered	10.00
2. Spayed/neutered	5.00
3. Replacement cat tag	5.00
4. Transfer of ownership	5.00
<u>5. Delinquency charge for annual license renewal equivalent to the cost of the license, will be added to the license fee if the renewal is submitted more than 10 calendar days past the expiration date of a license, or if</u>	

<u>a license application was not otherwise timely submitted.</u>	
<u>6. Field enforcement fee: The owner or custodian of a cat found unlicensed by a department employee in the field will be charged a field enforcement fee.</u>	<u>40.00</u>
<u>C. Cat licensing, kennel exception:</u>	
Up to five cats may be kept at any residence without a kennel license, provided the cats' owner or custodian licenses each individual animal, has each animal spayed or neutered and keeps all cats primarily indoors.	
<u>DC. Other animals— Licenses required:</u>	
1. Pygmy pigs	50.00
2. Wild animals	100.00
<u>3. Delinquency charge for annual license renewal equivalent to the cost of the license, will be added to the license fee if the renewal is submitted more than 10 calendar days past the expiration date of a license, or if a license application was not otherwise timely submitted.</u>	
<u>4. Field enforcement fee: The owner or custodian of an animal found unlicensed by a department employee in</u>	40.00

<u>the field will be charged a field enforcement fee.</u>	
<u>ED.</u> Voluntary identification and registration:	
Any dog or cat may be registered in the department's Voluntary Identification Program. Such animal shall be assigned an identification number by tattoo, microchip or other available means of identification, at the owner's request, upon the payment of the following fees:	
1. Initial identification and registration	20.00
2. Annual renewal	10.00
3. Transfer of ownership.	5.00
II. Animal Facility Licenses.	Fees
Licenses for the animal facilities listed below are required to be obtained annually.	
A. Initial animal facility license fees (including inspection):	
1. All animal facilities except for dog and cat kennels- <u>License fees:</u>	
a. Pet shop	\$250.00
b. Grooming parlor/mobile	250.00
c. Animal menagerie	250.00
d. Wholesale wild animal dealer	250.00

e. Stables	250.00
fe. Hobby breeder (Defined in <u>See Section 10.20.045</u>)	250.00
gf. Rodeo (first day or one day event)	250.00
(i) Each additional day <u>First day or one day event</u>	25.00 <u>250.00</u>
(ii) Each additional day	<u>25.00</u>
hg. Animal exhibition (first day or one day event)	250.00
(i) Each additional day <u>First day or one day event</u>	25.00 <u>250.00</u>
(ii) Each additional day	<u>25.00</u>
ih. Pygmy pig breeder	250.00
ji. Non-profit humane organization <u>facility</u>	250.00
k. Fee reduction for each additional animal care- facility application made at same location at the same time	125.00
2j. Dog and cat kennels <u>breeding and/or boarding facility:</u>	
For purposes of license fee computation, fee is based on 75 percent of the total capacity of the kennel <u>facility</u> or the actual animal population housed at the time of the inspection, whichever is greater.	
(i) 4-20 dogs or cats	300.00
(ii) 21-50 dogs or cats	350.00

(iii) 51-75 dogs or cats	400.00
(iv) 76-100 dogs or cats	450.00
(v) Over 100 dogs or cats	525.00
2. Penalty for operation of <u>an animal facility</u> kennel without license. <u>A penalty equivalent to the cost of the license will be added to the license fee if a facility operates without a license.</u>	400.00
3. <u>Fee reduction for each additional animal facility application made at the same location at the same time.</u>	<u>125.00</u>
B. Renewal animal facility license fees (including inspection):	
1. All animal facilities except for dog and cat kennels :	
a. Pet shop	175.00
b. Grooming parlor/mobile	175.00
c. Animal menagerie	175.00
d. Wholesale wild animal dealer	175.00
e. Stables	175.00
f.e Hobby breeder (defined in Section 10.20.045)	175.00
gf. Pygmy pig breeder	175.00
hg. Non-profit humane organization	175.00

<p>i. Fee reduction for each additional animal care facility application made at same location at the same time</p>	<p>50.00</p>
<p>2h. Dog and cat <u>breeding and/or boarding facility</u> kennels:</p>	
<p>For purposes of license fee computation, fee is based on 75 percent of the total capacity of the kennel <u>animal facility</u> or the actual animal population housed at the time of the inspection, whichever is greater.</p>	
<p><u>(i)</u> 4-20 dogs or cats</p>	<p>225.00</p>
<p><u>(ii)</u> 21-50 dogs or cats</p>	<p>275.00</p>
<p><u>(iii)</u> 51-75 dogs or cats</p>	<p>325.00</p>
<p><u>(iv)</u> 76-100 dogs or cats</p>	<p>375.00</p>
<p><u>(v)</u> over 100 dogs or cats</p>	<p>450.00</p>
<p><u>2. Delinquency charge for annual license renewal equivalent to the cost of the license, will be added to the license fee if the renewal is submitted more than 10 calendar days past the expiration date of a license, or if a license application was not otherwise timely submitted.</u></p>	

<u>3. Fee reduction for each additional animal facility renewal application made at the same location at the same time.</u>	<u>50.00</u>
C. Miscellaneous fee provisions:	
1. Reinspection	40.00
2. Inspection fee for animal permits under Los Angeles County Code Sections 22.52.330 and 22.56.420— 22.56.530	50.00
3. Businesses using dogs for protection (Sections 10.20.280 and 10.20.290)	50.00
4. Penalty for ownership of wild animal or operation of animal facility without license	100.00

(Ord. 2009-0017 § 18, 2009; Ord. 2006-0029 § 6, 2006; Ord. 2004-0036 § 22, 2004; Ord. 2000-0075 § 58, 2000; Ord. 95-0016 § 3, 1995; Ord. 93-0002 § 7, 1993; Ord. 92-0110 § 6, 1992; Ord. 92-0086 § 1, 1992; Ord. 92-0056 § 1, 1992; Ord. 90-0137 § 22, 1990.)

[1008031DRCC]

Draft

ANALYSIS

This ordinance amends Title 10 – Animals of the Los Angeles County Code, relating to the licensing, care and breeding of animals.

The primary purpose of the ordinance is to add requirements relating to the breeding of dogs and cats to ensure the health and safety of breeding dogs and cats. Related changes were made to bring the definition of "person" in compliance with the Responsible Breeders Act of 2009, to add violations of the breeding and hobby breeding provisions to the list of misdemeanors, and to add additional prerequisites for the licensing and record keeping of animal facilities. The ordinance also deletes references to "kennels" and "establishments" and clarifies the meaning of relevant provisions.

ROBERT E. KALUNIAN
Acting County Counsel

By: _____
DIANE C. REAGAN
Principal Deputy County Counsel
Health Services Division

DCR:ec

Requested: 08-09-09

Revised: 08-26-09

ORDINANCE NO. _____

An ordinance amending Title 10 - Animals of the Los Angeles County Code, relating to the licensing, care and breeding of animals.

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles ordains as follows:

Section 1. Section 10.04.060 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.04.060 Violation -- Penalty.

A. Any person violating any of the provisions of this title is guilty of an infraction, unless another penalty is provided for in this title.

B. Violation of Sections

10.12.190

10.12.200

10.20.045

10.20.280

10.20.310

10.28.060

10.28.280(C)

10.32.020

10.32.070

10.32.080

10.37.030

10.37.050(C)

10.37.060(F)

10.40.010

10.40.040

10.40.200

10.86.010

of this title is a misdemeanor, punishable as set forth in Penal Code section 19. (Ord. 2004-0036 § 1, 2004: Ord. 90-0089 § 2, 1990: Ord. 89-0166 § 1, 1989: Ord. 87-0191 § 16, 1987: Ord. 83-0043 § 1, 1983: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 1 § 108, 1946.)

Section 2. Section 10.08.190 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.08.190 Person.

"Person" means and includes an individual, a company, firm, partnership, corporation, trust, limited liability company, and any association of persons or legal entity. (Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 2 § 205, 1946.)

Section 3. Chapter 10.28 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.28 OTHER LICENSES FOR OTHER ANIMALS

Section 4. Section 10.28.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.28.010 Application of Chapter 10.28 provisions.

This chapter applies to all licenses required by this Division 1 except licenses for individual dogs and cats. (Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 6 § 601, 1946.)

Section 5. Section 10.28.050 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.28.050 License requirements -- Inspection Pprerequisites to issuance and renewal.

Upon the filing of any license or renewal application covered by this chapter, the director shall inspect and make such investigation as he deems proper. The director

may issue a new license or renew the license if the following requirements are met:

A. The maintenance of the animal or animals at the location set forth in the application will not violate any law or ordinance of the county of Los Angeles, federal law or any law of the state of California, or constitute a menace to the health, peace or safety of the community;

B. The applicant has received approval from the director of the regional planning department that the maintenance of the animal or animals as defined in this chapter, at the location specified in the application for the license therefore, will not violate any provision of any zoning ordinance or other specific plan of land use; and

C. The applicant has not had a license, covered by this chapter, denied or revoked within the county of Los Angeles within twelve months prior to the application. However, the director may issue a license within the twelve month waiting period if the applicant is able to make the showing required by Section 10.28.150.

D. In addition, if the applicant is applying for a breeding license, he or she must comply with all of the requirements of 10.40.200 and receive approval from the Department of Public Health, Veterinary Services, following an on-site inspection. The Environmental Health division or any other division of the Department of Public Health may also be required to inspect prior to approval if there are environmental or other public health concerns.

(Ord. 2004-0036 § 10, 2004: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 6 § 623, 1946.)

Section 6. Section 10.28.060 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.28.060 License — Required for certain activities and animals — Certain animals exempt.

A. Any person, including a new owner of an existing organization or business, shall not conduct or operate any animal facility listed in Section 10.90.010.H, or keep any wild animal, within the unincorporated area of Los Angeles, without first obtaining a license from the department, except as otherwise provided in this Section 10.28.060. Any person who has not applied for a license within 30 days after the expiration date of a license must obtain a new license, in place of a renewal license. A license is not required for the keeping of the following animals for personal use:

1. Canaries;
2. Chinchillas;
3. Chipmunks;
4. Finches;
5. Gopher snakes;
6. Guinea pigs;
7. Hamsters;
8. Hawks;
9. King snakes;
10. Marmoset monkeys;
11. Mynah birds;
12. Parrots, parakeets, amazons, cockatiels, cockatoos, lories, lorikeets, love birds, macaws, and similar birds of the psittacine family;

13. Pigeons;
14. Ravens;
15. Squirrel monkeys;
16. Steppe eagles;
17. Toucans;
18. Turtles;
19. White doves;
20. Tropical fish excluding caribe;
21. Domesticated mice and rats.

~~B. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Division 1, the director shall waive the license requirement for a cat kennel (as defined in Section 10.08.090) or dog kennel (as defined in Section 10.08.130) when an animal permit has been obtained pursuant to Ordinance 1494, the Zoning Ordinance, (Los Angeles County Code Sections 22.52.330 and 22.56.420 through 22.56.530). (Ord. 2009-0017 § 14, 2009: Ord. 2004-0036 § 12, 2004.)~~

Section 7. Section 10.28.090 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.28.090 License -- Expiration date.

All licenses covered by this chapter shall automatically expire twelve months following the date of issue, unless sooner revoked or terminated by any of the following actions: unless the licensee changes the location of his establishment the animal facility or the animal for which the license was issued, or the licensee sells, assigns, transfers or otherwise disposes of such establishment the animal facility or animal or his or her

interest therein. (Ord. 2004-0036 § 14, 2004: Ord. 10638 § 1 (part), 1973: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 6 § 607, 1946.)

Section 8. Section 10.32.070 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.32.070 Animal facility keeping cats: Cat kennel requirements.

Every person owning or operating any animal facility primarily used to keep cats, cat kennel shall keep all cats therein under proper confinement on the premises and shall not cause, permit or allow such cats to be or to run at large. (Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 8964 § 2, 1965: Ord. 8613 § 1 (part), 1964: Ord. 8043 § 12 (part), 1961: Ord. 7351 § 3 (part), 1958: Ord. 6937 § 8, 1956: Ord. 4729 Art. 7 § 705, 1946.)

Section 9. Section 10.36.080 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.36.080 Impounded animals -- Recordkeeping requirements.

The director shall keep a record of each animal impounded ~~by him,~~ the date of impounding thereof, the date and name and address of the person by whom redeemed, reclaimed or purchased, and the amount of all fees received or collected for or because of the impounding, reclaiming or purchasing of the animal. ~~thereof, together with the number of any license tag exhibited or purchased upon the redemption or sale of any such dog, as well as the number and the date of any kennel license exhibited upon the redemption of any dog.~~ The following records must also be kept when a dog or cat is redeemed by an owner or is adopted: the dog or cat license number or the animal facility license number under which the dog or cat was released. (Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 8 § 812, 1946.)

Section 10. Section 10.37.100 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.37.100 Authority to seize and impound animal posing an immediate threat to public safety.

A. If upon investigation it is determined by the animal control officer or law enforcement officer that probable cause exists to believe the dog in question poses an immediate threat to public safety, then the animal control officer or law enforcement officer may seize and impound the dog pending the hearing to be held pursuant to this chapter. The owner or custodian of the dog shall be liable for the costs and expenses of keeping the dog impounded if the dog is later adjudicated potentially dangerous or vicious. Such costs and expenses shall be paid prior to the release of the dog.

B. When a dog has been impounded pursuant to subsection A and it is not contrary to public safety, the director of the department of animal care and control shall permit the animal to be confined at the owner's expense in a department-approved ~~kennel~~ animal or veterinary facility. (Ord. 2001-0042 § 2 (part), 2001.)

Section 11. Section 10.40.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.40.010 Animal care -- Requirements for animal owners and animal establishments facilities.

Every person, within the county of Los Angeles, who owns any animal or who owns, conducts, manages or operates any animal facility establishment for which a license is required by this Division 1, shall comply with each of the following conditions:

A. Housing facilities for animals shall be structurally sound and shall be maintained in good repair, to protect the animals from injury, to contain the animals, and to restrict the entrance of other animals. Housing facilities must be equipped with

working smoke alarms and have means of fire suppression, such as functioning fire extinguishers or a sprinkler system in each room where animals are kept.

B. All animals shall be supplied with sufficient good and wholesome food at least daily and potable water that is free from debris and is readily accessible and available. ~~as often as the feeding habits of the respective animals require.~~

C. ~~All animals~~ Animals shall be groomed and kept in a manner which is not injurious to their health. ~~and a~~All animal buildings or enclosures shall be maintained in a clean and sanitary condition to control odors and to prevent the spread of disease.

D. All animals shall be so maintained as to eliminate excessive and nighttime noise.

E. ~~No animals shall be without attention more than 12 consecutive hours.~~

Wherever 15 or more dogs or cats are kept, a person must be present 18 hours a day to attend to the animals. Wherever 14 or fewer dogs or cats are kept, a person must be present for at least 12 hours a day to attend to the animals. Whenever an animal is left unattended ~~at a commercial~~ in an animal facility, the telephone number of the department of animal care and control, or the name, address and telephone number of the responsible person, shall be posted in a conspicuous place at the front of the property.

F. Every reasonable precaution shall be used to insure that animals are not neglected teased, abused, mistreated, annoyed, tormented or in any manner made to suffer by any person or means.

G. No condition shall be maintained or permitted that is or could be injurious to the animals. Tethering of animals is prohibited except as permitted under California Health and Safety Code section 122335.

H. Animal buildings and enclosures shall be so constructed and maintained as to prevent escape of animals. All reasonable precautions shall be taken to protect the public from the animals and the animals from the public.

I. Every animal establishment facility shall isolate sick animals sufficiently so as not to endanger the health of other animals. ~~Sick animals shall at all times be isolated from the other animals.~~

J. Every building or enclosure wherein animals are maintained shall be constructed of material easily cleaned and shall be kept in a sanitary condition and in good repair. The building shall be properly ventilated to prevent drafts and to remove odors. Heating and cooling shall be provided as required, according to the physical need of the animals, with sufficient light to allow observation of animals and sanitation.

K. An animal shall be taken ~~Such person shall take any animal~~ to a veterinarian for examination or treatment, if the director finds it this is necessary in order to maintain the health of the animal, and orders the owner or custodian to do so.

L. All animal enclosures (rooms, cages, kennels and runs) shall be of sufficient size to provide adequate and proper accommodations for the animals kept therein. The enclosures shall be large enough for the animal to easily sit, stand, turn around, fully stretch and lie down in a normal manner and have solid floor surfaces (not metal strand or wire flooring). The animal's head should not touch the ceiling of the

enclosure when standing normally. An enclosure shall not be placed on top of another animal enclosure.

M. Every violation of an applicable regulation shall be corrected within a reasonable time to be specified by the director.

N. ~~Such person shall provide proper~~ Proper shelter and protection from the weather shall be provided at all times.

O. An animal shall not be given ~~Such person shall not give an animal~~ any alcoholic beverage, unless prescribed by a veterinarian.

P. ~~Such person shall not allow a~~ Animals which are natural enemies, temperamentally unsuited, or otherwise incompatible, shall not to be quartered together, or so near each other as to cause injury, fear or torment. If two or more animals are so trained that they can be placed together and do not attack each other or perform or attempt any hostile act to the others, such animals shall be deemed not to be natural enemies.

Q. ~~Such person shall not allow the use of a~~ Any tack, equipment, device, substance or material that is, or could be, injurious or cause unnecessary cruelty to any animal shall not be used.

R. Rest periods and exercise:

1. ~~Such person shall give working animals proper~~ Working animals shall be given adequate rest periods.

2. ~~Confined or restrained animals~~ An animal that is confined or restrained to an area no larger on all sides than three times the size of the animal from the tip of its nose to the end of its tail shall be given adequate exercise in accordance

with one of the following requirements: proper for the individual animal under the particular conditions.

a. Each animal over the age of 8 weeks shall be provided with a minimum of one exercise period during each 24 hour period for a total of not less than one hour of exercise each day. The exercise regimen must include: removing the animal from its primary enclosure; leash walking or giving the animal access to an enclosure at least four times the size of the primary enclosure; and allowing the animal free mobility for the entire exercise period; or

b. Each animal shall be housed in an enclosure that provides unfettered access to its own separate outdoor exercise area at least four times the size of the primary enclosure.

S. ~~Such person shall not work, use or rent any animal~~ An animal which is overheated, weakened, exhausted, sick, injured, diseased, lame or otherwise unfit shall not be worked, used or rented.

T. ~~Such person shall not allow a~~Any animal which the department has suspended from use may not to be worked or used until released by the department.

U. ~~Such person shall not display a~~Animals bearing evidence of malnutrition, ill health, unhealed injury or having been kept in an unsanitary condition shall not be displayed.

V. ~~Such person shall not display a~~Any animal whose appearance is or may be offensive or contrary to public decency shall not be displayed.

W. ~~Such person shall not allow any~~ No animal may be allowed to constitute or cause a hazard, or be a menace to the health, peace or safety of the community.

X. Such A person shall not violate any condition imposed by the director on any license issued by the department. (Ord. 2000-0075 § 52, 2000: Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967: Ord. 4729 Art. 9 § 901, 1946.)

Section 12. Section 10.40.040 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.40.040 ~~Dog kennels~~ Animal Facilities -- Records required for each animal.

A. The holder of a an animal facility ~~dog kennel~~ license shall maintain the following current records, which shall be kept ~~keep~~ available for inspection, on the premises, ~~a record that shall show:~~

1. The name, current address and telephone number of the owner of each animal kept at the animal facility ~~kennel~~;
2. The date such animal entered and left the animal facility ~~kennel~~;
3. The reason for such animal being at the ~~kennel~~ animal facility, such as for boarding, sale, breeding or grooming;
4. The description of the animal, including its age, breed, sex, ~~and color~~ and other available identifying information such as an animal license number, tattoo or microchip registration number.

B. ~~As a part of such record, a~~ A current, valid rabies certificate shall be maintained for every dog over four months of age ~~so long as such~~ while the dog is kept at the ~~kennel~~ animal facility.

C. In addition, an animal facility selling animals to the public must post a conspicuous notice containing the following information on each animal's cage: the

breeder's name, address and license number. If the breeder's name is not known, then the name and address of the person from whom the animal was obtained must be displayed.

D. Any advertisement for the sale of an animal must include the animal facility license number or breeding license number of the seller.

(Ord. 9454 § 1 (part), 1967; Ord. 4729 Art. 9 § 905, 1946.)

Section 13. Section 10.40.100 is hereby amended to read as follows:

10.40.100 Animal facility ~~Kennel or pet shop~~ license holders to provide lists of animals sold -- Vaccination requirements.

Each holder of a ~~kennel or pet shop~~ an animal facility license within the authority of Los Angeles County is required to provide the department of animal care and control with a regular listing of all animals sold, including the name and address of the owner, according to the procedure and form as provided by the director. Every ~~kennel and/or pet shop~~ animal facility shall obtain a rabies vaccination for each dog within its custody or control in accordance with the provisions of Section 10.20.220. Upon the sale of any dog for which a ~~kennel or pet shop~~ an animal facility has obtained a vaccination pursuant to this , the owner of such ~~kennel or pet shop~~ the animal facility shall provide the purchaser of such dog with the certificate of vaccination. (Ord. 90-0089 § 9, 1990; Ord. 83-0182 § 22, 1983.)

SECTION 14. Section 10.40.200 is hereby added to read as follows:

10.40.200 Breeding license, restrictions and requirements

A. Breeding license: A person (as defined in section 10.08.190) applying for a breeding license must comply with all licensing requirements set forth in 10.28.050. In

addition, the size category of the dog or cat bred (1-20 lbs.; 21-50 lbs.; 51-100 lbs.; and 101 + lbs.) must be noted on the license. A change in the size category of dogs or cats bred requires reinspection and a new license application.

B. Limitation on number of breeding dogs and cats: No person shall own, possess, control or otherwise have charge or custody of more than a combined total of 50 unsterilized dogs or cats. A person who is required to reduce the number of unsterilized dogs or cats in order to comply with this section, shall spay or neuter, sell, transfer or relinquish the excess dogs or cats within 30 days following notification by Department.

C. Health of Breeding Dogs and Cats:

1. A female unaltered dog or cat must be at least 18 months old before having her first litter and may have no more than one litter per year and no more than five litters in her lifetime. Records of litters birthed are required to be maintained pursuant to Subsection E. below.

2. After giving birth to five litters or upon reaching the age of eight years, whichever is first, a female dog or cat must be retired. A retired dog or cat must be spayed unless a licensed veterinarian confirms in writing that she is unable to be spayed without a high likelihood of suffering serious bodily harm or death due to age or infirmity. Any dog or cat which has been retired from breeding must be licensed in accordance with 10.20.010 et seq.

3. All breeding dogs and cats must be examined by a licensed California veterinarian at least once a year to ensure fitness for breeding.

4. A breeder shall provide dogs daily adequate socialization through physical contact with other dogs and with human beings, in addition to contact by the acts of being fed and cleaned.

D. Housing Requirements:

1. The animals must be housed in accordance with Section 10.40.010.

2. Females must be housed separately from unneutered males except for breeding purposes;

3. Each pregnant dog or cat must be housed separately at least three days before giving birth and monitored at reasonable intervals;

4. A dog or cat which has just given birth must be provided with a whelping or queening box and housed with her litter in their own run or enclosure until the newborns are weaned.

E. Dog and Cat Identification and Recordkeeping:

1. The following current records are required to be maintained and produced upon request to determine licensing compliance or for any other purpose relating to the public health, safety or welfare. These records are also required to be provided to a purchaser of any dog or cat sold or transferred to another person by the facility:

a. All dogs and cats must be microchipped upon reaching the age of eight weeks or prior to sale or transfer, whichever is earlier. Microchip registration records must be kept on all dogs and cats.

b. In addition to the records required by California Health and Safety Code sections 122050 and 122055 relating to dogs, breeding facilities are

required to keep the following records for all animals: date and from whom acquired, date of each litter birthed by each female animal; veterinary records and cause of death and method of disposal.

2. Providing false information or records relating to any animal is a misdemeanor.

F. Hiring Practices: A breeder shall not hire any person who has been convicted of any crime against animals in any jurisdiction, including, but not limited to cruelty to or neglect of animals.

[1004060DRCC]

