

THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project No. R2009-01476/Case No. RADV T200900011

Proposed amendment to the Los Angeles County Code, Title 22 (Planning and Zoning), to amend Sections 22.08, 22.24.120, 22.28.260, 22.32.040, 22.32.070.A, 22.32.190.A.4, 22.52.310, and 22.52.330 and delete Sections 22.20.050 and 22.24.050 of the Zoning Code which regulate the boarding, breeding, and keeping of dogs and cats.

The proposed amendment adds definitions of a boarding facility for dogs and cats and a breeding facility for dogs and cats to Section 22.08 of the County Code, dog kennel is deleted from the list of permitted uses in Zone A-2 and replaced with boarding facility for dog and cats (Section 22.124.120), dog kennel is deleted from the list of uses requiring a conditional use permit in Zone CM and replaced by boarding facility for dogs and cats (Section 22.28.260), dog breeding and commercial dog kennels are deleted from the list of permitted uses in Zone M-1 and replaced with boarding facility for dogs and cats (Section 22.32.040), breeding facility for dogs and cats is added to the list of uses requiring a conditional use permit in Zone M-1 (Section 22.32.070.A), breeding facility for dogs and cats is added to the list of uses requiring a conditional use permit in Zone M-1 and ½ (Section 22.32.130.A), breeding facility for dogs and cats is added to the list of uses requiring a conditional use permit in Zone M-2 and M-4 (Section 22.32.190.A.4), limitations on the number of dogs (3 maximum) and cats (5 maximum) kept for personal use, including hobby breeders, exempting service dogs and requiring all approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use to stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of this ordinance (Section 22.52.310), and amending other animals permitted as pets with a permit (Section 22.52.330).

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public hearing will be held before the Regional Planning Commission to consider the above amendment on **Wednesday, October 28, 2009** at 9:00 a.m. in Room 150, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Interested persons will be given an opportunity to testify. Room 150 will open at 8:50.

This project is clarification of existing policy. A Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact has been prepared pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) reporting requirements.

Case materials are available for review between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Thursday (**closed on Fridays**) in the offices of the Department of Regional Planning, Hall of Records, Room 1355, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Selected materials are also on the Regional Planning website at <http://planning.lacounty.gov> under "Ordinances", and beginning September 30, 2009, at all county libraries.

If you are unable to attend the public hearing but wish to submit written comments, you may send them to James Bell at jbelle@planning.lacounty.gov or at the above mailing address. For further information, please call Monday through Thursday at (213) 974-6432 between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m.

Éste es Aviso Oficial que la Comisión de Planificación Regional del Condado de Los Ángeles tendrá una audiencia pública el día miércoles 28 de octubre, 2009, empezando a las 9:00 a.m., en la Sala 150 del edificio "Hall of Records", ubicado en 320 W. Temple St., Los Angeles, CA 90012, con respecto a la siguiente propuesta:

Se propone una enmienda al Título 22 del Código (Planificación y Zonificación) del Condado de Los Ángeles para modificar Secciones 22.08, 22.24.120, 22.28.260, 22.32.040, 22.32.070.A, 22.32.190.A.4, 22.52.310 y 22.52.330 y borrar las secciones de 22.24.050 y 22.20.050 el Código de Zonificación que regulan el embarque, la cría y tenencia de perros y gatos. Si no entiende éste aviso o si necesita más información, por favor llame al (213) 974-6432.

"ADA ACCOMMODATIONS: If you require reasonable accommodations or auxiliary aids and services such as material in alternate format or a sign language interpreter, please contact the ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Coordinator at (213) 974-6488 (Voice) or (213) 617-2292 (TDD), with at least three business days notice".

STAFF REPORT REGULATIONS REGARDING “PUPPY MILLS”

BACKGROUND

On March 3, 2009, the Board of Supervisors (Board) adopted a motion that requested the Department of Animal Care and Control, the Department of Public Works, the Department of Regional Planning, and the Department of Public Health to review existing County regulations governing the location, size and operation of dog kennels and dog breeding facilities, and make recommendations for ordinance amendments for improving the quality of care for the animals and for ensuring responsible and safe dog breeding.

During the past year, the Department of Animal Care and Control has experienced a significant increase in the number of cases in the County that involve the over breeding of dogs at permitted and/or licensed kennels. Facilities that engage in such large scale commercial dog breeding for profit are commonly referred to as “puppy mills.” Unfortunately, many of these kennels have been found to endanger the health and welfare of adult dogs and puppies by housing them in overcrowded and unsanitary conditions. Many of these dogs are maintained without adequate veterinary care, food, water, and socialization. The County has had to seize hundreds of puppies and adult dogs due to such conditions.

CURRENT COUNTY REGULATIONS

The County Code addresses the care and keeping of animals in two ways. Title 10 (Animals) has requirements that establish minimum standards for the keeping of animals as well as licensing requirements for animals. Title 22 (Planning and Zoning) has requirements that regulate when and where animals may be kept. The Department of Animal Care and Control (ACC) and the Department of Regional Planning (DRP) are proposing amendments to Title 10 and Title 22, respectively, which address issues relating to dog and cat breeding. The Departments of ACC and DRP are collaborating on the proposed amendments to ensure consistency throughout the Code.

Currently, the Zoning Ordinance allows dog breeding in the Manufacturing Zones as a permitted use (Ordinance 54447, effective January 13, 1950). Dog kennels are allowed by right in the A-2 Zone and the Manufacturing Zones (Ordinance 4714, effective August 1, 1946), and in the CM Zone with a conditional use permit. The Zoning Code was amended in 1971 (Ordinance 10366) to remove dog breeding from the A-2 Zone as a permitted use. During the intervening years, the Regional Planning Department approved dog breeding as an accessory use of a dog kennel. This practice has been discontinued.

PROPOSED DRAFT ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

The proposed Zoning Code amendment includes the following:

- Establishes a definition of a boarding facility for dogs and cats and a breeding facility for dogs and cats.

Staff Report
Regulations Regarding "Puppy Mills"

- The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use and as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the CM Zone.
- Dog breeding as a permitted use in the M-1 Zone is deleted.
- Breeding facility for dogs and cats is added as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the M-1, M-1 and ½, M-2 and M-4 Zones.
- The existing limitations on the keeping of dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones are deleted and replaced by limitations for dogs and cats that apply to all zones.
- Existing approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use must stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of the ordinance.

The ACC presented their first ordinance which contains definitions and adjusted fees to the Board of Supervisors on September 22, 2009. They have a second ordinance that establishes additional requirements for the care of dogs and cats. The second ordinance will be processed concurrently to the Board of Supervisors with the Planning Commission's recommendation.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

An Initial Study was prepared for the draft ordinance in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Initial Study showed that there is no substantial evidence that the amendments will have a significant effect on the environment. Based on the Initial Study, the Department of Regional Planning has prepared a Negative Declaration for this project.

Attachments

Draft Puppy Mill Ordinance
Negative Declaration
Board Motion
Notice of Public Hearing

ORDINANCE NO.

An ordinance amending Title 22—Planning and Zoning of the Los Angeles County Code, to revise regulations for the keeping of dogs and cats.

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles ordains as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 22.08 is hereby amended to add to the list of definitions for words starting with the letter B in alphabetical order as follows:

-- "Boarding facility for dogs and cats" has the meaning set forth in Section 10.08.065 of Title 10 (Animals) of the Los Angeles County Code.

-- "Breeding facility for dogs and cats" has the meaning set forth in Section 10.08.070 of Title 10 (Animals) of the Los Angeles County Code. Said definition shall only apply to the breeding of more of than three dogs and five cats.

SECTION 2. Section 22.20.050 is hereby deleted:

~~22.20.050 Dogs.~~

~~Dogs may be kept or maintained in residential zones as follows:~~

~~A. A person shall not keep or maintain more than three dogs over the age of four months per dwelling unit in any residential zone, whether kept or maintained for the personal use of such _____ person _____ or _____ otherwise.~~

~~B. A service dog, as defined in Section 10.20.090 of this Code, shall not be counted toward the number of dogs authorized to be kept or maintained pursuant to subsection A of this section. (Ord. 2004-0048 § 1, 2004; Ord. 1494 Ch. 2 Art. 1 § 206, 1927.)~~

SECTION 3. Section 22.24.050 is hereby deleted:

22.24.050 Dogs.

~~Dogs may be kept or maintained in agricultural zones as follows:~~

~~A. A person shall not keep or maintain more than three dogs over the age of four months per dwelling in any agricultural zone, whether kept or maintained for the personal use of such person or otherwise.~~

~~B. A service dog, as defined in Section 10.20.090 of this Code, shall not be counted toward the number of dogs authorized to be kept or maintained pursuant to subsection A of this Section. (Ord. 2004-0048 § 1, 2004; Ord. 1494 Ch. 2 Art. 2 § 232.5, 1927.)~~

SECTION 4. Section 22.24.120 is hereby amended to delete from the list of permitted uses in the Zone A-2 as follows:

~~-- Dog kennel~~

SECTION 5. Section 22.24.120 is hereby amended to add to the list of permitted uses in Zone A-2 in alphabetical order as follows:

-- Boarding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 6. Section 22.28.260 is hereby amended to delete from the list of uses subject to permits in Zone CM in alphabetical order as follows:

~~--Dog kennel~~

SECTION 7. Section 22.28.260 is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permits in Zone CM as follows:

--Boarding facility for dogs and cats

Section 8. Section 22.32.040 is hereby amended to add to the list of permitted uses in Zone M-1 in alphabetical order as follows:

--Boarding facility for dogs and cats

~~-- Dogs~~ Dog breeding; commercial dog kennels; dDog training schools.

SECTION 9. Section 22.32.070.A is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permit in Zone M-1 in alphabetical order as follows:

--Breeding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 10. Section 22.32.130.A is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permit in Zone M-1 and ½ in alphabetical order as follows:

--Breeding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 11. Section 22.32.190.A.4 is hereby amended to add to the list of uses subject to permit in Zones M-2 and M-4 in alphabetical order as follows:

--Breeding facility for dogs and cats

SECTION 12. Section 22.52.310 is hereby amended as follows:

22.52.310 Keeping animals permitted when--Limitations.

A. Dogs and cats – Limitations.

1. Dogs:

- a. A maximum of three dogs over the age of four months per dwelling unit may be kept for personal use, including by hobby breeders. License(s) for hobby breeders as required by Title 10 of the County Code shall be obtained from the Department of Animal Care and Control.
- b. A service dog, as defined in Title 10 of the County Code, shall not be counted toward the number of dogs authorized to be kept or maintained pursuant to subsection a of this section.

2. Cats:

- a. Up to five cats over the age of four months per dwelling unit are permitted for personal use (see Section 10.20.038 of the Los Angeles County Code for additional requirements and limitations); or
- b. Hobby breeders as defined in Section 10.08.155 of the Los Angeles County Code may have a maximum of three cats for personal use over the age of four months per dwelling unit. A license(s) for hobby breeder

as required by Title 10 of the County Code shall be obtained from the Department of Animal Care and Control.

B. Other than dogs and cats, per subsection A, a person shall not keep or maintain any animal other than those permitted in Sections 22.20.040, ~~22.20.050~~, 22.24.040, ~~22.24.050~~ or 22.24.160 for personal use in any zone except as hereinafter specifically permitted in this Part 3 and subject to all regulations and conditions enumerated in this Part 3. This section, however, shall not be interpreted to prohibit the keeping of animals for personal use to the extent permitted by commercial provisions in the same zone, subject to the same conditions and restrictions.

C. Existing approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use shall stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of Ordinance XXX.

SECTION 13. Section 22.52.330 is hereby amended as follows:

22.52.330 Other animals permitted as pets—Permit required.

Animals other than those listed in this Part 3 or in Sections 22.20.040, ~~22.20.050~~, 22.24.040, ~~22.24.050~~ or 22.24.160, or 22.52.310, or in numbers greater than those given in Sections 22.20.040, ~~22.20.050~~, 22.24.040, ~~22.24.050~~ 22.52.310 and 22.52.320, or on lots or parcels of land having less than the area required, may be kept or maintained for personal use or as pets provided an animal permit has first been obtained as provided in Part 3 of Chapter 22.56.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
320 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PROJECT NUMBER: R2009-01476

1. DESCRIPTION: The proposed ordinance amendment to the Los Angeles County Code, Title 22 (Planning and Zoning), includes the following:
 - Creates a definition of a boarding facility for dogs and cats and a breeding facility for dogs and cats.
 - The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use and as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the CM Zone.
 - Dog breeding as a permitted use in the M-1 Zone is deleted.
 - Breeding facility for dogs and cats is added as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the M-1, M-1 and ½, M-2 and M-4 Zones.
 - The existing limitations on the keeping of dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones are deleted and replaced by limitations for dogs and cats that apply to all zones.
 - Existing approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use must stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of the ordinance.
2. LOCATION: Unincorporated Los Angeles County
3. PROPONENT: Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning
4. FINDINGS OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS:
BASED ON THE INITIAL STUDY, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
5. THE LOCATION AND CUSTODIAN OF THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS ON WHICH ADOPTION OF THIS NEGATIVE DECLARATION IS BASED IS:
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, 320 WEST TEMPLE STREET,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

PREPARED BY: James Bell
Principal Regional Planning Assistant

DATE: September 24, 2009

STAFF USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: R2009-01476
CASES: Case No. RADV
T200900011



STUDY * * * *

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING**

GENERAL INFORMATION

Map Date: NA Staff Member: James Bell
Thomas Guide: NA USGS Quad: NA
Location: Countywide

Description of Project:

The proposed ordinance amendment includes the following:

- Creates a definition of a boarding facility for dogs and cats and a breeding facility for dogs and cats.
- The term dog kennels is replaced with the term boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use and as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the CM Zone.
- Dog breeding as a permitted use in the M-1 Zone is deleted.
- Breeding facility for dogs and cats is added as a use requiring a conditional use permit in the M-1, M-1 and 1/2, M-2 and M-4 Zones.
- The existing limitations on the keeping of dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones are deleted and replaced by limitations for dogs and cats that apply to all zones.
- Existing approved boarding facilities (kennels) with a breeding facility as an accessory use must stop all breeding activities within five years of the effective date of the ordinance.

The existing zoning ordinance allows dog kennels in the A-2 and M-1 Zones as a permitted use and requires a conditional use permit (CUP) in the CM Zone. The proposed ordinance also allows this use (boarding facility for dogs and cats) in the A-2 and M-1 zones as a permitted use; a CUP would be required in the CM Zone. The existing zoning ordinance only allows dog breeding as a permitted use in the Manufacturing Zones. The proposed ordinance amendment requires a conditional use permit for this use in the Manufacturing Zones. The existing zoning ordinance allows the keeping of three (3) dogs in the Agricultural and Residential Zones. The proposed ordinance amendment would allow the keeping of the same number of dogs (3) for personal use and would limit the number of cats one could keep for personal use to five (5) subject to the requirements of Section 10.20.038 of the Los Angeles County Code. Hobby breeding of dogs and cats would be allowed subject to the limitations on the number of animals and the requirements of Title 10 of the Los Angeles County Code. These requirements would be

more restrictive than the present zoning ordinance. The existing zoning ordinance allows nonconforming uses to continue for various periods of time depending on the use's type of construction. A dog kennel's typical construction type would typically allow a five year amortization period or less. The proposed ordinance amendment's five year amortization period would be in most cases longer or the same timeframe as the existing zoning ordinance.

Gross Acres: Countywide

Environmental Setting: Countywide (urban, suburban, non-urban, rural)

Zoning: Countywide

Community Standards District: Countywide

General Plan: Countywide

Major projects in area:

<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION & STATUS</u>
N/A	

NOTE: For EIRs, above projects are not sufficient for cumulative analysis.

REVIEWING AGENCIES

Responsible Agencies

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LA Regional Water Quality Control Board | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Commission |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board
(Check RWQCB if septic system proposed) | <input type="checkbox"/> Army Corps of Engineers |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Trustee Agencies

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Fish and Game | <input type="checkbox"/> State Parks |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Special Reviewing Agencies

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> National Parks | <input type="checkbox"/> Elementary School District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> National Forest | <input type="checkbox"/> High School District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Edwards Air Force Base | <input type="checkbox"/> Local Native American Tribal Council |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy | <input type="checkbox"/> Water District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (AQMD) |

Regional Significance

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> SCAG | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality Management District |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

County Reviewing Agencies

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sheriff Department | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Department of Animal Care and Contr |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sanitation District (Check if sewers proposed) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DPW: Land Development Division, Environmental Programs, Traffic & Lighting, Drainage & Grad. GMED | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fire Dept.: Planning Division | |

- Public Health: Environmental Health:
- Public Health: Environmental Hygiene (noise, air quality and vibration)
- Solid Waste Management (landfills, trash trucks & transfer stations)
- Public Health: Land Use Program (septic systems & wells)
- Cross Connection and Water Pollution Control Program (recycled and reclaimed water)

IMPACT ANALYSIS MATRIX		ANALYSIS SUMMARY (See individual pages for details)				
		Pg	Less than Significant Impact/No Impact			Potential Concern
			Less than Significant Impact with Project Mitigation		Potentially Significant Impact	
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
CATEGORY	FACTOR	Pg	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Potential Concern
HAZARDS	1. Geotechnical	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Flood	6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Fire	7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Noise	8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RESOURCES	1. Water Quality	9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Air Quality	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Biota	11	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Cultural Resources	12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. Mineral Resources	13	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	6. Agriculture Resources	14	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	7. Visual Qualities	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
SERVICES	1. Traffic/Access	16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Sewage Disposal	17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Education	18	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Fire/Sheriff	19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. Utilities	20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
OTHER	1. General	21	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Environmental Safety	22	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Land Use	23	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Pop/Hous./Emp./Rec.	24	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. Mandatory Findings	25	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING

FINAL DETERMINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Regional Planning finds that this project qualifies for the following environmental document:

NEGATIVE DECLARATION, inasmuch as the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was determined that this project will not exceed the established threshold criteria for any environmental/service factor and, as a result, will not have a significant effect on the physical environment.

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, in as much as the changes required for the project will reduce impacts to insignificant levels (see attached discussion and/or conditions).

An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was originally determined that the proposed project may exceed established threshold criteria. The applicant has agreed to modification of the project so that it can now be determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the physical environment. The modification to mitigate this impact(s) is identified on the Project Changes/Conditions Form included as part of this Initial Study.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT*, inasmuch as there is substantial evidence that the project may have a significant impact due to factors listed above as "significant".

At least one factor has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to legal standards, and has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on the attached sheets (see attached Form DRP/IA 101). The Addendum EIR is required to analyze only the factors changed or not previously addressed.

Reviewed by: James Bell, Principal Regional Planning Assistant Date: September 24, 2009

Approved by: Karen Simmons, Supervising Regional Planner Date: September 24, 2009

Determination appealed – see attached sheet.

*NOTE: Findings for Environmental Impact Reports will be prepared as a separate

document following the public hearing on the project.

**HAZARDS - 1. Geotechnical
SETTING/IMPACTS**

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project located in an active or potentially active fault zone, Seismic Hazards Zone, or Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone? <i>The entire zoning ordinance planning area lies within a general region of known fault zones and seismic activity. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an area containing a major landslide(s)? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance is countywide in application, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area containing a major landslide. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an area having high slope instability? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area containing high slope instability. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site subject to high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area containing high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposed project considered a sensitive use (school, hospital, public assembly site) located in close proximity to a significant geotechnical hazard? <i>The proposed ordinance does not include any development. Dog and cat breeding is not a sensitive use. The proposed ordinance does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project entail substantial grading and/or alteration of topography including slopes of over 25%? <i>Grading will not be required by the proposed ordinance. The proposed ordinance does not include any development. Future development projects could be in an area with slopes over 25 %.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed could be in an area containing expansive soil. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
h.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

Building Code, Title 26 - Sections 110.2, 111 & 113
(Geotechnical Hazards, Engineering Geology and Soils Engineering Report, Earthquake Fault)

MITIGATION MEASURES OTHER
CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size Project Design Approval of Geotechnical Report by DPW

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no geotechnical impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no geotechnical impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by, **geotechnical** factors?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

HAZARDS - 2. Flood

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the major drainage course, as identified on USGS quad sheets by a dashed line, located on the project site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of a major drainage course. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located within or does it contain a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in or subject to high mudflow conditions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of high mudflow conditions. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project contribute or be subject to high erosion and debris deposition from run-off?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of high erosion and debris deposition from run-off. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors (e.g., dam failure)?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Building Code, Title 26 – Section 110.1 (Flood Hazard)
- Health and Safety Code, Title 11 – Chapter 11.60 (Floodways)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Lot Size
- Project Design
- Approval of Drainage Concept by DPW

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential flood related hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no flood hazard impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no flood hazard impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by **flood (hydrological)** factors?

- Potentially significant
- Less than significant with project mitigation
- Less than significant/No Impact

HAZARDS - 3. Fire

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (Fire Zone 4)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in a high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, width, surface materials, turnarounds or grade?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, width, surface materials, turnarounds or grade. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site have more than 75 dwelling units on a single access in a high fire hazard area?</p> <p><i>The proposed project does not allow any residential development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an area having inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area of inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses (such as refineries, flammables, explosives manufacturing)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the proposed use constitute a potentially dangerous fire hazard?</p> <p><i>The ordinance allows the development of dog and cat boarding and breeding facilities in the A-2, CM and Manufacturing Zones. The use itself does not create a potential fire hazard. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Utilities Code, Title 20 – Section 20.16.060 (Fire Flow & Fire Hydrants Requirements)
- Fire Code, Title 32 – Sections 902.2.1 & 902.2.2.1 (Access & Dimensions)
- Fire Code, Title 32 – Sections 1117.2.1 (Fuel Modification Plan, Landscape Plan & Irrigation Plan)

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Project Design

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Compatible Use

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Impacts from fire hazards associated with this use are not significant as this use does not pose a significant fire hazard.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be impacted by **fire hazard** factors?

- Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

HAZARDS - 4. Noise

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located near a high noise source (airports, railroads, freeways, industry)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located near a high noise source. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposed use considered sensitive (school, hospital, senior citizen facility) or are there other sensitive uses in close proximity?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located with sensitive uses in close proximity. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project substantially increase ambient noise levels including those associated with special equipment (such as amplified sound systems) or parking areas associated with the project?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could substantially increase ambient noise levels. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels without the project?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels without the project. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Environmental Protection Code, Title 12 – Chapter 12.08 (Noise Control)
- Building Code, Title 26 – Sections 1208A (Interior Environment – Noise)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

Compatible Use

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential flood related hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no noise impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no noise impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be adversely impacted by **noise**?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 1. Water Quality

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an area having known water quality problems and proposing the use of individual water wells?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area having known water quality problems and proposing the use of individual water wells. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the proposed project require the use of a private sewage disposal system?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area requiring a private sewage disposal system. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>If the answer is yes, is the project site located in an area having known septic tank limitations due to high groundwater or other geotechnical limitations or is the project proposing on-site systems located in close proximity to a drainage course?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area with known septic tank limitations. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project's associated construction activities significantly impact the quality of groundwater and/or storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving water bodies?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could significantly impact the quality of groundwater and/or storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving water bodies. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Development proposals would be subject to compliance with NPDES standards.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project's post-development activities potentially degrade the quality of storm water runoff and/or could post-development non-storm water discharges contribute potential pollutants to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contribute potential pollutants to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Development proposals would be subject to compliance with NPDES standards.</i></p>

e.

Other factors?

N/A

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Health & Safety Code, Title 11 – Chapter 11.38 (Water & Sewers)
- Environmental Protection, Title 12 – Chapter 12.80 (Storm-water & Runoff Pollution Control)
- Plumbing Code, Title 28 – Chapter 7; Appendices G(a), J & K (Sewers & Septic Systems)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Lot Size
- Project Design
- Compatible Use
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit
- Industrial Waste Permit

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential water quality hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no water quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no water quality impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be adversely impacted by, **water quality** problems?

- Potentially significant
- Less than significant with project mitigation
- Less than significant/No Impact

**RESOURCES - 2. Air Quality
SETTING/IMPACTS**

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the proposed project exceed the State's criteria for regional significance (generally (a) 500 dwelling units for residential users or (b) 40 gross acres, 650,000 square feet of floor area or 1,000 employees for non-residential uses)?</p> <p><i>The proposed project would allow dog and cat breeding in the Manufacturing Zones and a dog and cat boarding facility in the CM Zone with a conditional use permit. A dog and cat boarding facility would be a permitted use in the A-2 zone. Such uses do not involve the scale of residential or commercial uses described above.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposal considered a sensitive use (schools, hospitals, parks) and located near a freeway or heavy industrial use?</p> <p><i>Dog and cat breeding is not considered a sensitive use.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project increase local emissions to a significant extent due to increased traffic congestion or use of a parking structure or exceed AQMD thresholds of potential significance?</p> <p><i>The scale of development and uses associated with the proposed ordinance would not significantly increase local emissions. Newly passed legislation would limit the number of dogs kept for breeding to 50 animals.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project generate or is the site in close proximity to sources that create obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in close proximity to sources that create obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to it could violate an air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emission which would exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?</p>

The proposed zoning ordinance would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.

h.

Other factors?

N/A

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

State of California Health and Safety Code – Section 40506 (Air Quality Management District Permit)

MITIGATION MEASURES **OTHER CONSIDERATIONS**

Project Design

Air Quality Report

Development projects facilitated by the ordinance may expose more residents to potential air quality hazards in certain areas. The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establish a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no air quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no air quality impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, or be adversely impacted by, **air quality**?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 3. Biota

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer, or coastal Sensitive Environmental Resource (ESHA, etc.), or is the site relatively undisturbed and natural?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer, or coastal Sensitive Environmental Resource (ESHA, etc.), or the site relatively undisturbed and natural. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will grading, fire clearance, or flood related improvements remove substantial natural habitat areas?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could remove a substantial natural habitat area. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is a drainage course located on the project site that is depicted on USGS quad sheets by a dashed blue line or that may contain a bed, channel, or bank of any perennial, intermittent or ephemeral river, stream, or lake?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project site may contain a drainage course that is depicted on USGS quad sheets by a dashed blue line or that may contain a bed, channel, or bank of any perennial, intermittent or ephemeral river, stream, or lake. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain a major riparian or other sensitive habitat (e.g. coastal sage scrub, oak woodland, sycamore riparian, woodland, wetland, etc.)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain a major riparian or other sensitive habitat.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain oak or other unique native trees (specify kinds of trees)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain oak or other unique native trees. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site habitat for any known sensitive species (federal or state listed endangered, etc.)?</p>

The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain habitat for any known sensitive species. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.

g.

Other factors (e.g., wildlife corridor, adjacent open space linkage)?

N/A

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
Oak Tree Permit

Project Design

ERB/SEATAC Review
Constraints Analysis

Biological

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no biota impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no biota impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, **biotic** resources?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 4. Archaeological/Historical/Paleontological

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in or near an area containing known archaeological resources or containing features (drainage course, spring, knoll, rock outcroppings, or oak trees) that indicate potential archaeological sensitivity?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain known archaeological resources or containing features that indicate potential archaeological sensitivity. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project site contain known historic structures or sites?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could contain known historic structures or sites. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in 15064.5?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

Cultural Resources Records Search (Quick Check) Phase 1 Archaeology Report

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no Archaeological/Historical/Paleontological impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no Archaeological/Historical/Paleontological impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on **archaeological, historical, or paleontological** resources?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 5. Mineral Resources

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no mineral resources impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no mineral resources impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on **mineral** resources?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 6. Agriculture Resources

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use?</p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance could result in the conversion Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use. Los Angeles County does not have any Williamson Act contracts. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project involve other changes in the existing environment that due to their location or nature could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could involve other changes in the existing environment that due to their location or nature could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size

Project Design

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no agricultural resource impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no agricultural resource impacts,

it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on **agriculture** resources?

- Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

RESOURCES - 7. Visual Qualities

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it otherwise impact the viewshed?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it otherwise impact the viewshed. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site located in an undeveloped or undisturbed area that contains unique aesthetic features?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an undeveloped or undisturbed area that contains unique aesthetic features. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the proposed use out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project likely to create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors (e.g., grading or landform alteration)?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
Compatible Use

Project Design

Visual Simulation

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no visual qualities resource impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no visual qualities resource impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on scenic qualities?

Potentially
significant

Less than significant with project
mitigation

Less than significant/No
Impact

SERVICES - 1. Traffic/Access

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Does the project contain 25 dwelling units or more and is it located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project development pursuant to the amendment could be located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections). The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project result in any hazardous traffic conditions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project development pursuant to the amendment could result in any hazardous traffic conditions. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the project result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project development pursuant to the amendment could result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions. The proposed zoning ordinance would allow the development of dog and cat breeding facilities in the Manufacturing Zones with a CUP, and boarding facilities for dogs and cats with a CUP in the CM Zone. To be approved, a site must be found to have adequate parking for the proposed use. In the A-2 Zone dog and cat boarding facilities would be a permitted use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will inadequate access during an emergency (other than fire hazards) result in problems for emergency vehicles or residents/employees in the area?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project development pursuant to the amendment could result in problems for emergency vehicles or residents/employees in the area. The proposed zoning ordinance would allow development of dog and cat breeding facilities in the Manufacturing Zones with a CUP, and boarding facilities for dogs and cats with a CUP in the CM Zone. To be approved, a site must be found to have adequate access. In the A-2 Zone dog and cat boarding facilities would be a permitted use. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Will the congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicles added by project traffic to a CMP highway system intersection or 150 peak hour trips added by project traffic to a mainline freeway be exceeded?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project development pursuant to the amendment could result in congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds being exceeded. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>

SERVICES - 2. Sewage Disposal

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If served by a community sewage system, could the project create capacity problems at the treatment plant? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create capacity problems at the treatment plant.</i>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Could the project create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site.</i>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other factors? <i>N/A</i>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Utilities Code, Title 20 – Division 2 (Sanitary Sewers and Industrial Waste)
- Plumbing Code, Title 28 – Chapter 7 (Sanitary Drainage)
- California Health Safety Code – Section 5474 (Sewer connection mitigation fee)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no sewage disposal impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no sewage disposal impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to **sewage disposal** facilities?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 3. Education

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create capacity problems at the district level?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve student-generating development.</i></p> <hr/>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create capacity problems at individual schools that will serve the project site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve student-generating development.</i></p> <hr/>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create student transportation problems?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve student-generating development.</i></p> <hr/>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create substantial library impacts due to increased population and demand?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance does not directly involve residential-generating development.</i></p> <hr/>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <hr/> <p>N/A</p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- State of California Government Code – Section 53080 (School Facilities Fee)
- Planning & Zoning Code, Title 22 - Chapter 22.72 (Library Facilities Mitigation Fee)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Site Dedication

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no education impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no education impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) relative to **educational** facilities/services?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 4. Fire/Sheriff Services

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriff's substation serving the project site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriff's substation serving the project site.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are there any special fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

Revenue & Finance Code, Title 4 – Chapter 4.92 (Fire Protection Facilities Fee)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no fire/sheriff service impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no fire/sheriff service impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) relative to **fire/sheriff** services?

- Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

SERVICES - 5. Utilities/Other Services

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate ground water supply and proposes water wells?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate ground water supply and proposes water wells.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are there any other known service problem areas (e.g., solid waste)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create capacity problems in known service problem areas.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services or facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, roads)?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

- Plumbing Code, Title 28 – Chapters 3, 6 & 12
- Utilities Code, Title 20 – Divisions 1, 4 & 4a (Water, Solid Waste, Garbage Disposal Districts)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
Will-serve Letter

Project Design

Water Purveyor

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no utilities/other services impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no utilities/other service impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) relative to **utilities** services?

Potentially significant

Less than significant with project mitigation

Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 1. General

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Will the project result in an inefficient use of energy resources? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in an inefficient use of energy resources.</i>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Will the project result in a major change in the patterns, scale, or character of the general area or community? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in a major change in the patterns, scale, or character of the general area or community.</i>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Will the project result in a significant reduction in the amount of agricultural land? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in a significant reduction in the amount of agricultural land.</i>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other factors? <i>N/A</i>

STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS

California State Administrative Code, Title 24, Part 5, T-20 (Energy Conservation)

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Lot Size
Compatible Use

Project Design

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no other general impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no other general impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional

environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to any of the above factors?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 2. Environmental Safety

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are any hazardous materials used, transported, produced, handled, or stored on-site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could use hazardous materials used, transported, produced, handled, or stored on-site.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are any pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could use pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Are any residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and potentially adversely affected?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could have residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and could be potentially adversely affected.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Have there been previous uses that indicate residual soil toxicity of the site or is the site located within two miles downstream of a known groundwater contamination source within the same watershed?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located on a site where previous uses that indicate residual soil toxicity of the site or is the site located within two miles downstream of a known groundwater contamination source within the same watershed. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.</i></p>

- | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| g. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <p>Would the project be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or environment?</p> <p><i>There are hazardous materials sites in the planning area as referenced in the Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStor database. The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could be located on one of the sites in the data base.</i></p> |
| h. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <p>Would the project result in a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could create a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip.</i></p> |
| i. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <p>Would the project impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</p> <p><i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.</i></p> |
| j. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <p>Other factors?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Phase 1 Environmental Assessment

Toxic Clean-up Plan

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no environmental safety impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no environmental safety impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact relative to **public safety**?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 3. Land Use

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the plan designation(s) of the subject property?</p> <p><i>The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. A finding of consistency with the general plan is required for a CUP to be approved.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the zoning designation of the subject property?</p> <p><i>The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. Consistency with the zoning is required for a CUP to be approved or a building permit to be issued.</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the following applicable land use criteria:</p> <p>Hillside Management Criteria? <i>No changes to the Hillside Management criteria, standards or their applicability are proposed.</i></p> <p>SEA Conformance Criteria? <i>No changes to the SEA criteria, standards or their applicability are proposed.</i></p> <p>Other? <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>N/A</p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project physically divide an established community?</p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance itself does not include any development. Future development projects could not exceed the keeping of 50 dogs. Thus the scale of potential breeding and boarding facilities would not be large enough to divide an established community.</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors?</p> <p>N/A</p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and

establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no land use impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no land use impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to **land use** factors?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

OTHER FACTORS - 4. Population/Housing/Employment/Recreation

SETTING/IMPACTS

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections.</i></p>
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure).</i></p>
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project displace existing housing, especially affordable housing? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could displace existing housing, especially affordable housing.</i></p>
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project result in substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment could result in substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT).</i></p>
e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Could the project require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment the project could require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents.</i></p>
f.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Would the project displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? <i>The proposed zoning ordinance amendment is countywide, and a project developed pursuant to the amendment the project could displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.</i></p>
g.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Other factors? <i>N/A</i></p>

MITIGATION MEASURES

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The project is a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would regulate the development of breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. The proposed amendment would require a CUP for breeding facilities for dogs and cats in the Manufacturing Zones. It also allows a boarding facility for dogs and cats in the A-2 and M-1 Zones and with a CUP in the CM Zone. It also establishes limitations on the number of dogs and cats one can keep for personal use and establishes a termination date for dog breeding as an accessory use of an approved boarding facility. In addition, the proposed amendment does not include any specific development. Therefore, no population/housing/employment/recreation impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendment. Although the proposed amendment would have no population/housing/employment/recreation impacts, it would enable subsequent projects proposed as a result of this ordinance to be subject to additional environmental reviews, as appropriate, to address any potential project-specific impacts to the environment.

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the physical environment due to **population, housing, employment, or recreational** factors?

Potentially significant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No Impact

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Based on this Initial Study, the following findings are made:

	Yes	No	Maybe	
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the project have possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Will the environmental effects of the project cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

CONCLUSION

Considering the above information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on the environment?

Potentially significant
 Less than significant with project mitigation
 Less than significant/No Impact