



Los Angeles County
Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead



Richard J. Bruckner
Director

December 6, 2010

TO: Alex Garcia, Hearing Officer

FROM: Mark Child *MC*
Supervising Regional Planner
Zoning Permits I Section

**SUBJECT: ADDITIONAL MATERIALS FOR HEARING
PROJECT NUMBER R2008-00738 – (5)
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 200800079**

**Hearing Officer Meeting: December 7, 2010
Agenda Item No. 10**

The following attachment was received by staff on December 6, 2010 regarding the above-referenced item:

- An unknown resident or group of residents is protesting the proposed wireless telecommunication facility at a church on 349 W. Altadena Drive, Altadena (attached).

MC:rg

Glaser, Rob

From: moveahead [moveahead2004-internet@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 2:52 AM
To: Glaser, Rob
Subject: Altadena Residents requests DENIAL of CUP#200800079
Attachments: ALTADENA Neighbors and Residents DENIAL of CUP#200800079.docx

The neighbors and residents of 349 W Altadena request a DENIAL of CUP #200800079-Operation of an unmanned wireless telecommunication facility (WTF). There are many residences who feel they have not been heard or represented in this matter. Please delay or deny the CUP. **There are several key issues supporting our stand for denial that have NOT been heard and respectively request consideration of the following:**

1. The proposed site (349 W Altadena Drive) of the WTF is located in Historic Janes Village.
2. The goal of the residents of Janes Village is to celebrate the **unique character and architectural significance of homes** built by Elisha P. Janes and to foster a sense of community within this historic area.
3. Janes Village is a neighborhood in Northwest Altadena, California, designated on September 29th 2002 as an "Altadena Heritage Area".
4. A neighborhood-wide block-party took place. There were several dignitaries in attendance including California Congressman **Adam Schiff**.
5. "Janes Village is a unique capsule of the 1920's. I congratulate the residents for working together to create this identity for the neighborhood."**Michael D. Antonovich**, LA County Supervisor, Fifth District
6. The principal objective of the ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN is the preservation of the residential character of the community.
7. The installation of the WTF is an inappropriate development in Janes Village.
8. WTF WILL bring a **LOSS IN APPRAISAL VALUES** on Property of neighborhood residences.
9. The WTF location in the parking lot **directly abuts neighbor's property**.
10. WTF WILL cause **visual intrusion** to adjacent residences and neighbors.
11. WTF WILL **adversely affect the health, peace, comfort, welfare of residential neighbors**.
12. WTF will cause **loss of enjoyment** of adjoining neighbor's use of their back yard because of the negative visual of the WTF they have to endure sitting in their own back yard.
13. **Report on the height of trees** and other information on the existing natural trees on the property and in the area have NOT been shared with the residences.
14. The ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN 6.1 ISSUES states the problem of existing overhead electrical/telephone lines being in conflict with the unique quality and backdrop of the San Gabriel Mountains.
15. The WTF adds to and exasperates the 6.1 ISSUE of the ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN.
16. The Monopine design of the proposed WTF **will NOT** compliment the landscaping and Trees.
17. The Monopine design which is an **Artificial Tree design will be an eyesore** and intrusion to the aesthetics of the neighborhood.
18. ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN ISSUE 2 encourages placement of utility and communications lines to be underground.
19. WTF Tower is in conflict with ISSUE 2 and furthers the problem.
20. The ATF would be more suitable in Altadena's revitalization Commercial and Industrial areas.

21. The ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN 4.2 Goal, the 4.3 Policies on Land Use Mix, the 4.4 Land Use, 9.1 ISSUES, 9.2 GOALS Designations also support DENIAL of the WTF in our single family neighborhood.
22. The ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN "Opinion Survey"- **None of the residents of Altadena voiced a need or want of allowing a WTF in a Residential neighborhood.**
23. T-Mobile request and description for a WTF is not the same as the previous owner-Cingular
24. One of the previous WTF descriptions by Cingular (the previous owner) was for the WTF to be located and disguised **in** the Church Steeple.
25. The Altadena Town Council recommendation of approval letter, dated August 19, 2010 addressed to Mr. Richard Bruckner **was not indicative or supported by the residents** and neighbors of 349 W Altadena Drive, Altadena CA
26. The above mentioned letter was written and mailed to Richard Bruckner PRIOR to residents and neighbors received the Notice of Public Hearing which was dated NOV 1, 2010.
27. We feel the ALTADENA TOWN COUNCIL prematurely and without our input made their recommendation.
28. There was **not sufficient input from residents** to make a recommendation by Town Council.
29. The information concerning CUP #200800079 is not available on The Altadena Town Council (ATC)website. The website is not up-to-date. The latest Town Council minutes are April 20, 2010. The latest agenda listed on the web site is October 19, 2010.
30. The CUP #200800079 item is NOT listed on the Oct 19, 2010 agenda on the ATC website.
31. Neighbors were **NOT** notified by flyer distribution.

The neighbors and residents of 349 W Altadena request a DENIAL of CUP #200800079-Operation of an unmanned wireless telecommunication facility (WTF). **There are several key issues supporting our stand for denial that have NOT been heard and respectively request consideration of the following:**

1. The proposed site (349 W Altadena Drive) of the WTF is located in Historic Janes Village.
2. The goal of the residents of Janes Village is to celebrate the **unique character and architectural significance of homes** built by Elisha P. Janes and to foster a sense of community within this historic area.
3. Janes Village is a neighborhood in Northwest Altadena, California, designated on September 29th 2002 as an "Altadena Heritage Area".
4. A neighborhood-wide block-party took place. There were several dignitaries in attendance including California Congressman **Adam Schiff.**
5. "Janes Village is a unique capsule of the 1920's. I congratulate the residents for working together to create this identity for the neighborhood."**Michael D. Antonovich**, LA County Supervisor, Fifth District
6. The principal objective of the ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN is the preservation of the residential character of the community.
7. The installation of the WTF is an inappropriate development in Janes Village.
8. WTF WILL bring a **LOSS IN APPRAISAL VALUES** on Property of neighborhood residences.
9. The WTF location in the parking lot **directly abuts neighbor's property.**
10. WTF WILL cause **visual intrusion** to adjacent residences and neighbors.
11. WTF WILL **adversely affect the health, peace, comfort, welfare of residential neighbors.**
12. WTF will cause **loss of enjoyment** of adjoining neighbor's use of their back yard because of the negative visual of the WTF they have to endure sitting in their own back yard.
13. **Report on the height of trees** and other information on the existing natural trees on the property and in the area have NOT been shared with the residences.
14. The ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN 6.1 ISSUES states the problem of existing overhead electrical/telephone lines being in conflict with the unique quality and backdrop of the San Gabriel Mountains.
15. The WTF adds to and exasperates the 6.1 ISSUE of the ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN.
16. The Monopine design of the proposed WTF **will NOT** compliment the landscaping and Trees.
17. The Monopine design which is an **Artificial Tree design will be an eyesore** and intrusion to the aesthetics of the neighborhood.
18. ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN ISSUE 2 encourages placement of utility and communications lines to be underground.
19. WTF Tower is in conflict with ISSUE 2 and furthers the problem.
20. The ATF would be more suitable in Altadena's revitalization Commercial and Industrial areas.
21. The ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN 4.2 Goal, the 4.3 Policies on Land Use Mix, the 4.4 Land Use, 9.1 ISSUES, 9.2 GOALS Designations also support DENIAL of the WTF in our single family neighborhood.
22. The ALTADENA COMMUNITY PLAN "Opinion Survey"- **None of the residents of Altadena voiced a need or want of allowing a WTF in a Residential neighborhood.**
23. T-Mobile request and description for a WTF is not the same as the previous owner-Cingular
24. One of the previous WTF descriptions by Cingular (the previous owner) was for the WTF to be located and disguised in the Church Steeple.
25. The Altadena Town Council recommendation of approval letter, dated August 19, 2010 addressed to Mr. Richard Bruckner **was not indicative or supported by the residents** and neighbors of 349 W Altadena Drive, Altadena CA
26. The above mentioned letter was written and mailed to Richard Bruckner PRIOR to residents and neighbors received the Notice of Public Hearing which was dated NOV 1, 2010.
27. We feel the ALTADENA TOWN COUNCIL prematurely and without our input made their recommendation.
28. There was **not sufficient input from residents** to make a recommendation by Town Council.
29. The information concerning CUP #200800079 is not available on The Altadena Town Council (ATC)website. The website is not up-to-date. The latest Town Council minutes are April 20, 2010. The latest agenda listed on the web site is October 19, 2010.
30. The CUP #200800079 item is NOT listed on the Oct 19, 2010 agenda on the ATC website.
31. Neighbors were **NOT** notified by flyer distribution.