



Los Angeles County  
Department of Regional Planning

*Planning for the Challenges Ahead*



Richard J. Bruckner  
Director

**CERTIFIED-RECEIPT  
REQUESTED**

November 16, 2010

DR. CARL K. MOY  
500 NORTH GARFIELD AVENUE #104  
MONTEREY PARK, CA 91754

Dear Dr. Carl K. Moy:

**SUBJECT: PROJECT NUMBER 02098-(5)  
TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 26436  
COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT MODIFICATION NO. 02098  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 02098  
MAP DATE: February 24, 2004**

Tentative Parcel Map No. 26436 ("PM 26436") and Community Standards District Modification ("CSD Mod") No. 02098 were considered by Ms. Pat Hachiya, a Hearing Officer of Los Angeles County, on November 16, 2010.

After considering the evidence presented, the Hearing Officer in her action on November 16, 2010, **denied** PM 26436 and CSD Mod No. 02098 in accordance with Section 21.16.060 (Public Hearings), Section 21.40.110 (Matters Required to Complete Submittal and Filing) and Section 22.56.060 (Denial for Lack of Information) of the Los Angeles County Code ("County Code"). A copy of the denial findings is attached.

The decision of the Hearing Officer regarding the tentative parcel map shall become final and effective on the date of the decision, and the CSD Mod shall become final and effective on the day following the end of the appeal period, provided no appeal of the action taken has been filed with the Los Angeles County Regional Planning Commission within the following time period:

- In accordance with the requirements of the State Map Act and County Code, the tentative tract map may be appealed within 10 days following the decision of the Hearing Officer. **The appeal period for this project will end at 5:00 p.m. on November 29, 2010.**
- In accordance with the requirements of the County Code, the CSD Mod may be appealed within 10 days following the decision of the Hearing Officer. **The appeal period for this project will end at 5:00 p.m. on November 29, 2010.**

**PROJECT NO. 02098-(5)**  
**TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 26436**  
**COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT MODIFICATION NO. 02098**  
**Denial Letter**

**PAGE 2**

The applicant or any other interested person may appeal the decision of the Hearing Officer regarding the project to the Regional Planning Commission. **If you wish to appeal the decision of the Hearing Officer to the Regional Planning Commission, you must do so in writing and pay the appropriate fee.** The appeal form is available on the Department of Regional Planning website (<http://planning.lacounty.gov>). The fee for appeal process is \$5,552.00 for the applicant and \$689.00 for non-applicant(s). Only one fee is required to appeal any portion of the project. If only one of these is appealed, the entire project is considered appealed and will be heard concurrently at the appeal public hearing. To initiate the appeal, submit your appeal letter and a check made payable to the "County of Los Angeles" to Commission Services, Room 1350, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California, 90012. Please be advised that your appeal will be rejected if the check is not submitted with the letter.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Ramon Cordova of the Land Divisions Section of the Department of Regional Planning at (213) 974-6433 between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Thursday. Our offices are closed Fridays.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING  
Richard J. Bruckner  
Director

*Algenzina C. Baldrin*  
For

Susan Tae, AICP, Supervising Regional Planner  
Land Divisions Section

ACB:rec

Enclosures: Findings

c: Subdivision Committee  
Tritech Engineering

**FINDINGS OF THE HEARING OFFICER  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
PROJECT NO. 02098-(5)  
TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 26436**

1. The Hearing Officer of Los Angeles County, Ms. Pat Hachiya, considered Tentative Parcel Map No. 26436 ("PM 26436") on November 16, 2010.
2. PM 26436 is a proposal to create two single-family parcels on 1.4 acres. The subject site is located at 3029 Gainsborough Drive, Pasadena, within the East Pasadena Zoned District.
3. CSD Mod No. 02098 is a related request to allow modification for flag lot design.
4. The project was filed on April 23, 2002. The Los Angeles County Subdivision Committee ("Subdivision Committee") last met on May 20, 2004 to discuss the project. Several holds were placed on the project, including a request for a revised tentative map to depict CSD requirements. The requested materials were never submitted and no activity has occurred on the project since that time. The last time extension for this project was granted by the Director of the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning") on February 15, 2007.
5. Since the applicant failed to provide the requested information and/or materials, there is insufficient information to determine the feasibility of the project design, general plan consistency or whether approval of the map would be in the public interest, pursuant to Section 21.40.160 (Advisory Agency Determination Authority) of the Los Angeles County Code ("County Code"). Because of this, the Subdivision Committee is unable to recommend a final action to the Advisory Agency.
6. Staff sent a letter to the applicant dated August 16, 2010 informing the applicant that pursuant to Section 21.16.060 (Public Hearings) and Section 21.40.110 (Matters Required to Complete Submittal and Filing) of the County Code, the case would be scheduled before a Hearing Officer for denial due to inactivity on November 16, 2010.
7. The August 16, 2010 letter also directed the applicant to contact Regional Planning staff within 30 days for the project to remain active, the applicant was also required to provide a revision to the tentative map and/or other requested information within 90 days of the date of the August 16, 2010 letter.
8. The applicant or engineer did not contact staff within the given time frame which was by September 16, 2010 (or submitted the requested materials by November 16, 2010).

9. Staff contacted the engineer on November 10, 2010 to discuss the proposed denial due to inactivity. The engineer agreed with the proposed denial and stated that the applicant no longer had the desire to proceed with the proposed subdivision.
10. During the November 16, 2010 Hearing Officer public meeting, staff made a brief presentation summarizing the process for denial of a project due to inactivity.
11. During the November 16, 2010 Hearing Officer public meeting, the project applicant was not present. There were no testifiers in support of or in opposition to the project.
12. During the November 16, 2010 Hearing Officer public meeting, the Hearing Officer denied Tentative Parcel Map No. 26436 due to inactivity.

**THEREFORE, in view of the findings of fact presented above, Tentative Parcel Map No. 26436 is DENIED.**

**FINDINGS OF THE HEARING OFFICER  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
PROJECT NO. 02098-(5)  
COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT MODIFICATION NO. 02098**

1. The Hearing Officer of Los Angeles County, Ms. Pat Hachiya, considered Community Standards District Modification ("CSD Mod") No. 02098 on November 16, 2010. CSD Mod No. 02098 was considered concurrently with Tentative Parcel Map No. 26436 ("PM 26436").
2. CSD Mod No. 02098 is a requested modification to allow flag lot design. The subject site is located at 3029 Gainsborough Drive, Pasadena within the East Pasadena Zoned District.
3. PM 26436 is a related request to create two single-family parcels on 1.4 acres.
4. The project was filed on April 23, 2000. The Los Angeles County Subdivision Committee ("Subdivision Committee") last met on May 20, 2004 to discuss the project. Several holds were placed on the project, including a request for a revised tentative map to depict CSD requirements. The requested materials were never submitted and no activity has occurred on the project since that time. The last time extension for this project was granted by the Director of the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning ("Regional Planning") on February 15, 2007.
5. Since the applicant failed to provide the requested information and/or materials, there is insufficient information to determine the feasibility of the project design, general plan consistency, or whether approval of the map would be in the public interest, pursuant to Section 22.56.060 (Denial For Lack Of Information) of the Los Angeles County Code ("County Code"). Because of this, the Subdivision Committee is unable to recommend a final action to the Advisory Agency.
6. Staff sent a letter to the applicant dated August 16, 2010, informing the applicant that pursuant to Section 22.56.060 (Denial For Lack Of Information) of the County Code, the case would be scheduled before a Hearing Officer for denial due to inactivity on November 16, 2010.
7. The August 16, 2010 letter also directed the applicant to contact Regional Planning staff within 30 days for the project to remain active. If the project is to remain active, the applicant was also required to provide a revision to the tentative map and/or other requested information within 90 days of the date of the August 16, 2010 letter.

**PROJECT NO. 02098-(5)**  
**COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT MODIFICATION**  
**NO. 02098**  
**DENIAL FINDINGS**

8. The applicant or engineer did not contact staff within the given time frame which was by September 16, 2010 (or submitted the requested materials by November 16, 2010).
9. Staff contacted the engineer on November 10, 2010 to discuss the proposed denial due to inactivity. The engineer agreed with the proposed denial and stated that the applicant no longer had the desire to proceed with the proposed subdivision.
10. During the November 16, 2010 Hearing Officer public meeting, staff made a brief presentation summarizing the process for denial of a project due to inactivity.
11. During the November 16, 2010 Hearing Officer public meeting, the project applicant was not present. There were no testifiers in support of or in opposition to the project.
12. During the November 16, 2010 Hearing Officer public meeting, the Hearing Officer denied Community Standards District Modification No. 02098 due to inactivity.

**THEREFORE, in view of the findings of fact presented above, Community Standards District Modification No. 02098 is DENIED.**