


Appendix 5.2



Supporting Data and Maps Regarding
Agricultural and Forest Resources
California Department of Conservation and
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
2014

Farmland Classification—Antelope Valley Area, California



Map Scale: 1:24,000 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.



Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 11N WGS84

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Rating Polygons

-  Not prime farmland
-  All areas are prime farmland
-  Prime farmland if drained
-  Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if irrigated
-  Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and drained
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

-  Prime farmland if subsoiled, completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium
-  Farmland of statewide importance
-  Farmland of local importance
-  Farmland of unique importance
-  Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Lines

-  Not prime farmland
-  All areas are prime farmland
-  Prime farmland if drained

-  Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if irrigated
-  Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and drained
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if subsoiled, completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60

-  Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium
-  Farmland of statewide importance
-  Farmland of local importance
-  Farmland of unique importance
-  Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Points

-  Not prime farmland
-  All areas are prime farmland
-  Prime farmland if drained
-  Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if irrigated
-  Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

-  Prime farmland if irrigated and drained
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
-  Prime farmland if subsoiled, completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60
-  Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium
-  Farmland of statewide importance
-  Farmland of local importance
-  Farmland of unique importance
-  Not rated or not available

Water Features

MAP INFORMATION

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Antelope Valley Area, California
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Jan 3, 2008

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 8, 2010—Aug 31, 2010

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Farmland Classification

Farmland Classification— Summary by Map Unit — Antelope Valley Area, California (CA675)				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
CmD	Castaic-Balcom silty clay loams, 9 to 15 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	17.2	0.7%
CmF	Castaic-Balcom silty clay loams, 30 to 50 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	1,098.9	44.3%
CnG3	Castaic and Saugus soils, 30 to 65 percent slopes, severely eroded	Not prime farmland	36.6	1.5%
CyA	Cortina sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance	6.8	0.3%
HcC	Hanford sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	73.5	3.0%
MgB	Metz loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	33.2	1.3%
MoA	Mocho sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	86.9	3.5%
MpA	Mocho loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	183.8	7.4%
MpC	Mocho loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	67.7	2.7%
OgC	Ojai loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	7.3	0.3%
OzE	Ojai-Zamora loams, 15 to 30 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	4.0	0.2%
Rg	Riverwash	Not prime farmland	40.8	1.6%
Sa	Sandy alluvial land	Not prime farmland	126.8	5.1%
ScE	Saugus loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	1.4	0.1%
ScF	Saugus loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	283.4	11.4%
ScF2	Saugus loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes, eroded	Not prime farmland	106.0	4.3%
SsA	Sorrento loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	4.8	0.2%
SsB	Sorrento loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	87.7	3.5%
TsF	Terrace escarpments	Not prime farmland	40.6	1.6%
YoA	Yolo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	60.2	2.4%

Farmland Classification— Summary by Map Unit — Antelope Valley Area, California (CA675)				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
YoC	Yolo loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	34.4	1.4%
ZaC	Zamora loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	72.3	2.9%
ZaD	Zamora loam, 9 to 15 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	7.8	0.3%
Totals for Area of Interest			2,482.1	100.0%


Description

Farmland classification identifies map units as prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, farmland of local importance, or unique farmland. It identifies the location and extent of the soils that are best suited to food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. NRCS policy and procedures on prime and unique farmlands are published in the "Federal Register," Vol. 43, No. 21, January 31, 1978.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: No Aggregation Necessary

Tie-break Rule: Lower




LAND COVER

Multi-Source Data Compiled for Forest and Range 2003 Assessment

 CONIFER	 Shrub	 Agriculture
 Forest	 Herbaceous	 Urban
 Woodland	 Wetland	 Barren/Other
HARDWOOD	DESERT	 Water
 Woodland	 Shrub	 Not Mapped
 Forest	 Woodland	

This map comprises data from multiple sources at varying scales, resolutions and classification systems that have been cross-walked to the California Wildlife Habitat Relationships system (CWHR). Sources include CDF-FRAP, USFS-Remote Sensing Lab, Dept. of Fish and Game, CSU Chico, National Park Service, Dept. of Conservation - Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, Dept. of Water Resources: Land Use - Statewide Planning and UC Santa Barbara - Gap Analysis Program. For a detailed description of these data and methods please visit http://frap.cdf.ca.gov/projects/frap_veg/index.html.



Projection Albers
 Scale 1:1,100,000
 at 34° x 44°
 March 11, 2003

The State of California and the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection make no representations or warranties regarding the accuracy of data or maps. Neither the State nor the Department shall be liable under any circumstances for any direct, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to any claim by any user or third party on account of or arising from the use of data or maps.

Obtain FRAP maps, data, metadata and publications on the Internet at <http://frap.cdf.ca.gov> or from CDF-FRAP, 1920 20th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 227-2651.

2.8 - Area, in acres, by county and forest-type group
(the first value is the estimate and the second value is the percent sampling error)

Inventory -- California 2002 - 2011 Annual P2, Sampled Plots

County	Forest-type groups																				Total	
	Other (-)	Pinyon / juniper group (180)	Douglas-fir group (200)	Ponderosa pine group (220)	Western white pine group (240)	Fir / spruce / mountain hemlock group (260)	Lodgepole pine group (280)	Hemlock / Sitka spruce group (300)	Redwood group (340)	Other western softwoods group (360)	California mixed conifer group (370)	Elm / ash / cottonwood group (700)	Aspen / birch group (900)	Alder / maple group (910)	Western oak group (920)	Tanoak / laurel group (940)	Other hardwoods group (960)	Woodland hardwoods group (970)	Exotic hardwoods group (990)	Nonstocked (999)		
Alameda (1)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98,190 / 24.4%	8,100 / 89.4%	-	-	-	-	106,290 / 23.5%	
Alpine (3)	0	22,727 / 51.9%	-	36,757 / 38.7%	19,081 / 57.0%	52,761 / 32.4%	43,050 / 34.8%	-	-	98,316 / 24.6%	104,646 / 23.6%	-	892 / 99.1%	-	-	-	-	17,237 / 58.2%	-	11,107 / 61.7%	406,574 / 11.7%	
Amador (5)	0	-	-	20,949 / 53.4%	-	3,055 / 71.7%	11,024 / 69.7%	-	-	-	60,852 / 29.1%	-	-	-	109,763 / 23.3%	-	11,997 / 69.0%	-	-	6,774 / 99.2%	224,414 / 15.7%	
Butte (7)	0	-	-	9,587 / 74.9%	-	49,954 / 34.8%	-	-	-	-	152,118 / 19.7%	602 / 102.0%	-	-	289,990 / 14.2%	38,651 / 39.1%	-	-	-	-	540,902 / 10.2%	
Calaveras (9)	0	-	-	25,351 / 46.7%	-	19,283 / 57.9%	5,728 / 99.2%	-	-	-	111,758 / 22.5%	-	-	-	268,400 / 14.3%	-	1,540 / 99.7%	-	-	2,974 / 99.7%	435,034 / 11.0%	
Colusa (11)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,957 / 59.4%	8,387 / 82.0%	-	-	-	187,957 / 17.6%	-	-	-	-	-	214,301 / 16.5%	
Contra Costa (13)	0	5,525 / 107.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,717 / 39.7%	6,870 / 88.7%	-	-	-	-	43,112 / 34.4%	
Del Norte (15)	0	-	244,344 / 14.5%	1,795 / 98.5%	31,913 / 43.7%	-	14,178 / 65.8%	11,336 / 74.9%	33,279 / 42.9%	-	27,335 / 47.2%	-	-	69,808 / 29.1%	24,211 / 46.8%	177,419 / 17.9%	-	-	-	380 / 127.1%	635,998 / 8.7%	
El Dorado (17)	0	-	-	79,071 / 25.7%	12,065 / 66.7%	99,294 / 24.1%	35,303 / 40.9%	-	-	-	358,869 / 12.2%	-	-	13,751 / 68.4%	204,595 / 16.3%	6,686 / 98.6%	10,683 / 73.1%	-	-	-	18,774 / 48.4%	839,092 / 7.8%
Fresno (19)	0	6,838 / 99.3%	-	87,263 / 25.0%	38,542 / 38.8%	120,409 / 22.1%	228,190 / 15.9%	-	-	152,074 / 19.5%	211,526 / 16.1%	1,924 / 91.2%	7,991 / 82.6%	626 / 99.0%	374,297 / 12.0%	5,687 / 105.9%	11,375 / 74.1%	-	-	-	17,296 / 58.8%	1,264,039 / 6.2%
Glenn (21)	0	-	-	5,978 / 102.5%	-	12,672 / 70.8%	-	-	-	-	40,283 / 39.2%	4,560 / 101.6%	-	-	135,427 / 20.8%	-	-	-	-	-	198,920 / 17.1%	
Humboldt (23)	0	-	459,155 / 11.0%	-	-	34,322 / 40.8%	-	35,468 / 41.4%	250,796 / 14.4%	950 / 102.0%	50,125 / 33.7%	-	-	44,348 / 33.7%	148,162 / 20.0%	725,886 / 8.6%	23,194 / 49.9%	-	-	11,974 / 50.2%	1,784,379 / 4.9%	
Imperial (25)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,273 / 102.7%	-	-	-	-	27,690 / 46.5%	26,342 / 47.6%	-	-	58,305 / 32.5%	
Inyo (27)	0	449,950 / 8.8%	-	6,160 / 104.9%	6,423 / 98.5%	-	31,528 / 43.9%	-	-	23,448 / 48.1%	-	-	9,460 / 76.5%	-	6,774 / 95.6%	-	-	49,040 / 32.6%	-	1,599 / 95.6%	584,380 / 8.1%	
Kern (29)	0	231,288 / 15.9%	-	29,577 / 46.1%	-	12,702 / 70.0%	-	-	-	-	64,536 / 29.9%	371 / 100.9%	-	-	414,391 / 11.5%	7,319 / 97.2%	33,733 / 43.0%	-	-	9,991 / 74.6%	803,908 / 8.4%	
Kings (31)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
Lake (33)	0	-	-	5,593 / 97.5%	-	4,211 / 82.6%	-	-	-	22,555 / 51.3%	74,941 / 27.7%	-	-	-	247,092 / 15.0%	2,301 / 101.6%	22,937 / 49.4%	-	-	11,089 / 74.5%	390,718 / 11.8%	
Lassen (35)	0	49,737 / 35.2%	-	333,455 / 12.9%	-	94,797 / 24.8%	23,764 / 50.3%	-	-	370,802 / 11.8%	326,154 / 13.2%	-	2,423 / 71.6%	-	26,783 / 48.7%	-	13,915 / 62.5%	90,851 / 24.9%	-	48,350 / 34.0%	1,381,031 / 6.0%	
Los Angeles (37)	0	41,358 / 38.7%	4,729 / 98.5%	4,817 / 98.5%	-	5,385 / 98.5%	-	-	-	6,423 / 98.5%	12,204 / 72.0%	70 / 95.6%	-	4,819 / 73.1%	129,849 / 19.1%	7,904 / 90.1%	-	5,186 / 88.0%	-	18,029 / 51.8%	240,773 / 14.4%	
Madera (39)	0	-	-	21,527 / 48.8%	-	72,093 / 28.9%	70,721 / 29.3%	-	-	18,454 / 55.7%	177,313 / 17.8%	426 / 78.4%	-	-	338,099 / 13.1%	-	1,268 / 99.0%	-	-	-	699,901 / 9.0%	
Marin (41)	0	-	15,431 / 61.6%	-	-	-	-	-	12,048 / 69.8%	6,177 / 102.0%	-	-	-	-	22,306 / 49.1%	30,341 / 42.5%	1,017 / 107.8%	1,380 / 90.1%	-	-	88,698 / 25.1%	
Mariposa (43)	0	-	-	21,369 / 51.8%	10,128 / 71.2%	25,570 / 49.6%	48,542 / 35.2%	-	-	21,364 / 53.2%	145,157 / 20.0%	-	-	-	339,824 / 13.0%	-	14,222 / 66.6%	-	-	18,092 / 57.5%	644,267 / 9.3%	
Mendocino (45)	0	-	280,830 / 14.1%	-	-	13,334 / 67.9%	-	-	246,473 / 15.3%	28,265 / 45.0%	44,677 / 37.6%	-	-	14,220 / 60.3%	505,637 / 10.3%	507,555 / 10.2%	63,901 / 29.5%	740 / 98.1%	-	12,166 / 62.2%	1,717,795 / 5.0%	
Merced (47)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,555 / 39.5%	-	6,838 / 99.3%	-	-	-	37,393 / 37.1%	
Modoc (49)	0	19,360 / 57.1%	-	229,099 / 15.5%	-	95,503 / 24.1%	-	-	-	667,377 / 8.6%	207,984 / 16.6%	-	13,058 / 68.7%	-	1,882 / 101.7%	-	17,734 / 57.6%	34,291 / 41.6%	-	84,209 / 25.9%	1,370,498 / 6.0%	
Mono (51)	0	353,523 / 12.1%	-	103,595 / 22.9%	6,379 / 99.1%	11,402 / 71.3%	106,302 / 23.2%	-	-	108,824 / 22.3%	17,171 / 51.4%	5,077 / 105.9%	30,210 / 38.9%	-	-	-	7,804 / 69.5%	45,532 / 34.2%	-	41,420 / 36.4%	837,239 / 7.5%	
Monterey (53)	0	2,315 / 102.2%	-	4,751 / 73.8%	-	6,569 / 98.5%	-	-	22,518 / 48.9%	6,569 / 101.6%	-	1,621 / 90.1%	-	-	419,327 / 11.0%	33,467 / 39.0%	20,081 / 53.6%	-	-	3,525 / 98.3%	514,174 / 9.9%	
Napa (55)	0	-	19,886 / 54.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,097 / 102.0%	-	-	9,614 / 69.3%	116,564 / 21.7%	6,453 / 99.8%	18,310 / 55.3%	-	-	-	-	172,924 / 17.1%	
Nevada (57)	0	-	-	53,956 / 31.0%	1,105 / 102.5%	27,264 / 46.1%	36,924 / 41.2%	-	-	6,064 / 102.2%	135,136 / 20.3%	5,123 / 92.6%	-	-	115,488 / 22.0%	4,837 / 90.1%	4,625 / 98.6%	-	-	16,890 / 58.5%	407,412 / 11.7%	
Orange (59)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,765 / 90.1%	-	-	4,928 / 60.5%	-	-	-	-	-	12,694 / 59.9%	
Placer (61)	0	-	-	50,825 / 33.9%	6,741 / 95.8%	112,012 / 22.7%	21,006 / 53.8%	-	-	1,324 / 98.6%	222,221 / 16.1%	596 / 93.2%	-	-	198,482 / 16.7%	-	9,421 / 77.8%	-	-	8,653 / 77.3%	631,280 / 9.2%	
Plumas (63)	0	-	-	222,836 / 15.6%	2,665 / 102.5%	207,918 / 16.6%	27,487 / 47.5%	-	-	32,629 / 40.3%	743,460 / 8.3%	-	-	-	82,700 / 25.7%	-	1,685 / 95.8%	24,594 / 47.8%	-	86,236 / 25.7%	1,432,210 / 5.6%	
Riverside (65)	0	40,508 / 38.9%	-	10,919 / 71.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,207 / 72.4%	-	-	-	38,724 / 36.1%	-	6,215 / 101.0%	18,222 / 58.9%	-	2,236 / 101.0%	128,032 / 21.3%	
Sacramento (67)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,889 / 73.2%	-	-	-	-	71 / 100.8%	9,959 / 72.7%	

San Benito (69)	0	20,521 / 55.8%	-	6,042 / 103.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119,174 / 21.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	145,738 / 19.8%
San Bernardino (71)	0	222,477 / 15.4%	-	19,093 / 57.5%	-	-	-	19,005 / 58.0%	-	-	12,845 / 69.5%	56,892 / 32.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	117,556 / 22.6%	-	32,057 / 41.6%	-	47,631 / 34.9%	-	-	-	527,555 / 10.1%		
San Diego (73)	0	21,118 / 52.8%	-	4,871 / 96.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,315 / 102.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88,772 / 23.4%	-	25,971 / 47.0%	-	6,177 / 102.0%	-	-	150,224 / 18.7%			
San Francisco (75)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
San Joaquin (77)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,294 / 61.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,610 / 48.3%		
San Luis Obispo (79)	0	29,076 / 40.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	257,691 / 13.9%	-	3,784 / 102.2%	-	1,128 / 88.3%	-	-	291,679 / 12.9%			
San Mateo (81)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,178 / 62.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,350 / 37.4%	14,902 / 64.4%	15,032 / 64.4%	-	-	-	84,462 / 25.4%				
Santa Barbara (83)	0	42,516 / 37.8%	-	8,081 / 80.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	232,914 / 15.2%	2,243 / 98.3%	8,207 / 79.2%	765 / 95.4%	4,153 / 74.2%	-	298,877 / 13.3%				
Santa Clara (85)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,770 / 114.4%	-	-	-	627 / 107.8%	1,585 / 15.4%	232,213 / 102.2%	44,809 / 33.7%	8,168 / 88.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	290,172 / 13.5%				
Santa Cruz (87)	0	-	12,550 / 68.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,056 / 28.8%	-	-	-	1,016 / 88.7%	8,164 / 76.8%	44,030 / 32.9%	37,867 / 36.7%	16,722 / 61.0%	-	-	60 / 102.2%	-	-	-	182,465 / 15.7%				
Shasta (89)	0	-	1,748 / 95.7%	174,589 / 17.7%	-	114,309 / 22.8%	12,998 / 69.0%	-	-	42,471 / 36.4%	682,066 / 8.8%	-	-	-	12,526 / 61.4%	762,805 / 8.0%	2,406 / 98.8%	16,347 / 57.1%	13,121 / 64.9%	-	-	-	-	26,919 / 43.9%	1,862,305 / 5.0%					
Sierra (91)	0	-	-	74,157 / 28.4%	-	86,978 / 25.6%	10,738 / 74.3%	-	-	9,247 / 66.7%	224,275 / 16.0%	-	-	4,162 / 102.0%	20,771 / 53.8%	-	-	6,741 / 95.8%	13,482 / 67.3%	-	-	-	-	29,256 / 43.0%	479,808 / 10.7%					
Siskiyou (93)	0	342 / 103.5%	13,840 / 64.0%	296,471 / 13.5%	1,221 / 109.4%	384,509 / 12.2%	59,216 / 31.5%	-	-	224,933 / 15.8%	1,297,190 / 6.2%	2,054 / 108.3%	3,651 / 105.9%	22,262 / 47.0%	444,670 / 11.4%	155,842 / 19.2%	66,944 / 28.8%	27,255 / 46.6%	-	-	-	-	-	87,627 / 25.3%	3,088,027 / 3.7%					
Solano (95)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,873 / 102.2%	25,342 / 45.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,215 / 42.4%			
Sonoma (97)	0	-	69,532 / 29.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	63,578 / 30.1%	-	-	-	4,680 / 98.1%	215,924 / 15.6%	103,559 / 23.6%	25,420 / 45.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,497 / 81.6%	-	484,191 / 10.3%				
Stanislaus (99)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	87,600 / 24.1%	-	16,929 / 61.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104,529 / 22.4%				
Sutter (101)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,929 / 46.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,929 / 46.5%				
Tehama (103)	0	-	-	46,164 / 35.9%	366 / 111.0%	36,040 / 40.6%	-	-	-	-	318,525 / 13.4%	1,182 / 100.8%	-	-	527,606 / 9.9%	8,923 / 71.9%	2,079 / 92.2%	-	13,113 / 65.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	953,998 / 7.4%				
Trinity (105)	0	-	2,854 / 63.9%	61,192 / 29.1%	14,823 / 61.7%	98,398 / 24.2%	-	-	-	6,712 / 93.3%	1,067,492 / 6.8%	522 / 102.0%	-	23,521 / 45.8%	467,863 / 10.9%	33,800 / 41.4%	38,679 / 39.1%	6,094 / 102.2%	-	-	-	-	-	36,206 / 38.8%	1,858,156 / 5.0%					
Tulare (107)	0	110,969 / 20.3%	-	90,127 / 25.6%	6,006 / 101.0%	116,198 / 22.8%	103,843 / 24.0%	-	-	102,006 / 23.8%	360,054 / 12.6%	-	-	-	334,538 / 13.1%	7,891 / 82.6%	33,024 / 43.3%	8,286 / 87.8%	-	-	-	-	-	65,717 / 30.4%	1,338,660 / 6.1%					
Tuolumne (109)	0	-	-	83,688 / 25.6%	13,239 / 69.7%	109,516 / 22.3%	104,797 / 22.9%	-	-	37,289 / 37.8%	419,365 / 11.6%	-	4,430 / 104.0%	-	240,740 / 15.2%	-	6,540 / 97.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,701 / 89.4%	1,026,304 / 7.2%				
Ventura (111)	0	156,723 / 12.9%	-	13,306 / 68.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,423 / 98.5%	-	-	-	85,472 / 24.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,883 / 95.4%	268,806 / 11.6%				
Yolo (113)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67,299 / 29.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67,299 / 29.2%				
Yuba (115)	0	-	-	11,381 / 69.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	59,804 / 31.5%	-	-	-	92,314 / 24.7%	17,029 / 57.6%	956 / 98.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	181,484 / 17.7%				
Totals:	0	1,826,871 / 4.6%	1,124,898 / 6.5%	2,254,389 / 4.7%	170,697 / 18.3%	2,019,892 / 5.1%	1,014,343 / 7.3%	46,804 / 36.2%	708,696 / 8.3%	2,030,486 / 4.8%	7,800,147 / 2.1%	55,104 / 29.8%	76,276 / 25.3%	232,797 / 14.9%	9,456,894 / 2.0%	1,989,822 / 4.9%	602,601 / 9.7%	442,526 / 11.2%	4,283 / 72.0%	760,616 / 8.4%	32,618,144 / 0.6%									

2.8 - Area, in acres, by county and forest-type group
(the first value is the estimate and the second value is the percent sampling error)

Inventory -- California 2002 - 2011 Annual P2, Sampled Plots

County	Forest-type groups																				Total
	Other (-)	Pinyon / juniper group (180)	Douglas-fir group (200)	Ponderosa pine group (220)	Western white pine group (240)	Fir / spruce / mountain hemlock group (260)	Lodgepole pine group (280)	Hemlock / Sitka spruce group (300)	Redwood group (340)	Other western softwoods group (360)	California mixed conifer group (370)	Elm / ash / cottonwood group (700)	Aspen / birch group (900)	Alder / maple group (910)	Western oak group (920)	Tanoak / laurel group (940)	Other hardwoods group (960)	Woodland hardwoods group (970)	Exotic hardwoods group (990)	Nonstocked (999)	
Alameda (1)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,398 / 91.5%	0	-	-	-	-	6,398 / 91.5%
Alpine (3)	0	0	-	24,201 / 45.9%	6,323 / 99.0%	15,864 / 54.9%	10,596 / 71.0%	-	-	6,318 / 98.8%	43,196 / 36.9%	-	0	-	-	-	-	2,560 / 98.8%	-	9,905 / 68.2%	118,964 / 21.9%
Amador (5)	0	-	-	14,844 / 62.7%	-	3,055 / 71.7%	11,024 / 69.7%	-	-	-	50,298 / 32.0%	-	-	-	32,281 / 44.5%	-	6,270 / 99.7%	-	-	6,774 / 99.2%	124,545 / 21.3%
Butte (7)	0	-	-	9,587 / 74.9%	-	49,954 / 34.8%	-	-	-	-	152,118 / 19.7%	0	-	-	132,643 / 21.5%	37,013 / 40.6%	-	-	-	-	381,315 / 12.2%
Calaveras (9)	0	-	-	25,351 / 46.7%	-	19,283 / 57.9%	5,728 / 99.2%	-	-	-	105,582 / 23.1%	-	-	-	39,764 / 38.2%	-	0	-	-	2,974 / 99.7%	198,682 / 16.5%
Colusa (11)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	8,387 / 82.0%	-	-	-	32,052 / 43.7%	-	-	-	-	-	40,439 / 39.5%
Contra Costa (13)	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	0
Del Norte (15)	0	-	171,886 / 18.0%	0	25,490 / 48.9%	-	7,755 / 88.3%	11,336 / 74.9%	24,597 / 49.1%	-	16,868 / 61.0%	-	-	63,631 / 30.4%	20,474 / 50.3%	152,159 / 19.3%	-	-	-	380 / 127.1%	494,577 / 10.3%
El Dorado (17)	0	-	-	79,071 / 25.7%	0	63,823 / 30.0%	10,678 / 77.0%	-	-	-	343,902 / 12.5%	-	-	13,751 / 68.4%	100,081 / 24.4%	6,686 / 98.6%	1,383 / 99.5%	-	-	18,774 / 48.4%	638,149 / 9.1%
Fresno (19)	0	0	-	35,305 / 39.3%	0	60,677 / 31.4%	30,289 / 45.5%	-	-	12,566 / 69.6%	120,234 / 21.5%	0	0	0	15,814 / 60.4%	-	0	-	-	5,063 / 99.7%	279,949 / 14.0%
Glenn (21)	0	-	-	5,978 / 102.5%	-	12,672 / 70.8%	-	-	-	-	40,283 / 39.2%	-	-	-	46,342 / 37.0%	-	-	-	-	-	105,275 / 24.2%
Humboldt (23)	0	-	422,095 / 11.5%	-	-	20,988 / 51.8%	-	33,564 / 43.4%	183,075 / 16.9%	0	50,125 / 33.7%	-	-	21,546 / 45.8%	79,596 / 27.1%	659,153 / 9.0%	17,018 / 57.1%	-	-	11,974 / 50.2%	1,499,133 / 5.5%
Imperial (25)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0
Inyo (27)	0	0	-	6,160 / 104.9%	0	-	7,904 / 90.1%	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	3,123 / 98.5%	-	0	17,186 / 58.7%
Kern (29)	0	13,060 / 66.2%	-	29,577 / 46.1%	-	12,702 / 70.0%	-	-	-	-	64,536 / 29.9%	0	-	-	45,240 / 37.0%	0	0	-	-	0	165,116 / 19.0%
Kings (31)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Lake (33)	0	-	-	5,593 / 97.5%	-	0	-	-	-	181 / 105.5%	74,941 / 27.7%	-	-	-	87,327 / 25.7%	0	13,277 / 67.6%	-	-	11,089 / 74.5%	192,408 / 17.0%
Lassen (35)	0	0	-	331,157 / 12.9%	-	91,279 / 25.4%	17,823 / 58.3%	-	-	35,092 / 39.8%	298,963 / 13.8%	-	1,373 / 99.4%	-	5,697 / 104.4%	-	5,787 / 98.5%	24,317 / 47.8%	-	39,582 / 37.8%	851,069 / 7.9%
Los Angeles (37)	0	0	4,729 / 98.5%	4,817 / 98.5%	-	5,385 / 98.5%	-	-	-	6,423 / 98.5%	12,204 / 72.0%	0	-	0	14,382 / 62.2%	0	-	4,749 / 95.6%	-	8,501 / 79.7%	61,190 / 29.8%
Madera (39)	0	-	-	21,527 / 48.8%	-	34,387 / 41.5%	5,359 / 107.6%	-	-	0	125,605 / 21.0%	0	-	-	32,944 / 42.8%	-	0	-	-	-	219,821 / 16.0%
Marin (41)	0	-	3,212 / 107.8%	-	-	-	-	-	12,048 / 69.8%	0	-	-	-	-	7,755 / 90.1%	2,719 / 102.2%	1,017 / 107.8%	0	-	-	26,751 / 45.7%
Mariposa (43)	0	-	-	21,369 / 51.8%	0	0	0	-	-	8,579 / 80.5%	37,599 / 39.4%	-	-	-	68,331 / 29.5%	-	0	-	-	11,700 / 69.9%	147,576 / 19.9%
Mendocino (45)	0	-	267,985 / 14.4%	-	-	13,334 / 67.9%	-	246,473 / 15.3%	15,912 / 57.1%	44,677 / 37.6%	-	-	-	14,220 / 60.3%	172,100 / 18.9%	428,395 / 11.0%	56,380 / 32.4%	0	-	12,166 / 62.2%	1,271,640 / 5.9%
Merced (47)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	0
Modoc (49)	0	0	-	224,433 / 15.7%	-	74,677 / 27.3%	-	-	-	61,707 / 30.2%	201,697 / 16.9%	-	-	7,066 / 95.4%	1,882 / 101.7%	-	11,606 / 70.2%	9,729 / 73.9%	-	84,209 / 25.9%	677,007 / 8.9%
Mono (51)	0	6,318 / 98.8%	-	85,210 / 25.1%	0	6,379 / 99.1%	41,018 / 37.6%	-	-	12,859 / 69.5%	10,895 / 57.4%	0	20,753 / 50.2%	-	-	-	0	0	-	16,485 / 56.5%	199,917 / 16.7%
Monterey (53)	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	7,904 / 90.1%	6,569 / 101.6%	-	-	-	-	8,445 / 76.9%	1,384 / 98.5%	0	-	-	0	24,302 / 48.6%
Napa (55)	0	-	19,886 / 54.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	4,482 / 99.5%	9,147 / 77.4%	6,453 / 99.8%	18,198 / 55.7%	-	-	-	58,166 / 30.6%
Nevada (57)	0	-	-	53,956 / 31.0%	1,105 / 102.5%	26,366 / 47.5%	36,924 / 41.2%	-	-	6,064 / 102.2%	131,390 / 20.5%	0	-	-	52,133 / 33.8%	4,837 / 90.1%	4,625 / 98.6%	-	-	16,890 / 58.5%	334,289 / 13.0%
Orange (59)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0
Placer (61)	0	-	-	49,280 / 34.8%	0	91,399 / 25.3%	21,006 / 53.8%	-	-	0	196,254 / 17.2%	596 / 93.2%	-	-	88,083 / 25.4%	-	4,586 / 118.3%	-	-	6,741 / 95.8%	457,947 / 10.8%
Plumas (63)	0	-	-	217,804 / 15.8%	2,665 / 102.5%	195,042 / 17.1%	27,487 / 47.5%	-	-	17,134 / 52.8%	720,264 / 8.4%	-	-	-	79,167 / 26.7%	-	1,685 / 95.8%	13,408 / 64.7%	-	78,563 / 27.1%	1,353,219 / 5.8%
Riverside (65)	0	0	-	10,919 / 71.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	4,534 / 90.1%	-	0	0	-	0	15,453 / 57.2%
Sacramento (67)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	71 / 100.8%	- 71 / 100.8%
San Benito (69)	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,464 / 102.2%	-	-	-	-	-	4,464 / 102.2%

San Bernardino (71)	0	0	-	12,319 / 72.0%	-	-	0	-	-	6,423 / 98.5%	31,201 / 44.2%	-	-	-	39,057 / 39.8%	-	-	0	-	6,774 / 95.6%	95,774 / 25.0%	
San Diego (73)	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	14,678 / 65.6%	-	-	1,394 / 90.1%	-	0	16,072 / 60.4%	
San Francisco (75)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
San Joaquin (77)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,294 / 61.7%	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	17,294 / 61.7%	
San Luis Obispo (79)	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,952 / 88.7%	-	3,784 / 102.2%	-	-	0	11,736 / 68.5%	
San Mateo (81)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,812 / 71.5%	-	-	-	-	15,122 / 62.4%	9,892 / 79.9%	10,021 / 79.9%	-	-	-	45,846 / 34.9%	
Santa Barbara (83)	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,485 / 53.5%	0	0	0	4,153 / 74.2%	-	23,638 / 46.0%	
Santa Clara (85)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,770 / 114.4%	-	-	0	0	7,578 / 88.3%	13,839 / 60.6%	0	-	-	-	24,187 / 46.0%	
Santa Cruz (87)	0	-	12,550 / 68.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,692 / 31.7%	-	-	0	-	1,242 / 114.4%	22,116 / 47.0%	25,919 / 42.1%	4,369 / 114.4%	-60 / 102.2%	-	114,947 / 18.3%	
Shasta (89)	0	-	1,748 / 95.7%	160,609 / 18.5%	-	99,217 / 24.5%	7,679 / 93.0%	-	-	24,511 / 46.7%	609,636 / 9.3%	-	-	-	12,526 / 61.4%	267,707 / 14.5%	0	15,435 / 60.2%	5,225 / 109.4%	-	26,919 / 43.9%	1,231,213 / 6.4%
Sierra (91)	0	-	-	69,536 / 29.0%	-	86,978 / 25.6%	10,738 / 74.3%	-	-	9,247 / 66.7%	218,298 / 16.2%	-	4,162 / 102.0%	-	20,771 / 53.8%	-	6,741 / 95.8%	13,482 / 67.3%	-	22,515 / 48.4%	462,468 / 10.9%	
Siskiyou (93)	0	0	7,712 / 82.5%	277,433 / 13.9%	0	293,646 / 14.1%	53,519 / 33.0%	-	-	28,916 / 45.7%	1,102,410 / 6.9%	0	0	12,171 / 61.8%	235,520 / 15.7%	101,808 / 24.0%	50,598 / 33.2%	0	-	67,461 / 28.8%	2,231,194 / 4.5%	
Solano (95)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
Sonoma (97)	0	-	63,355 / 30.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	54,896 / 32.0%	-	-	-	4,680 / 98.1%	88,237 / 26.1%	79,077 / 27.3%	17,829 / 56.6%	-	-	0	308,074 / 13.5%	
Stanislaus (99)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	
Sutter (101)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
Tehama (103)	0	-	40,187 / 38.3%	366 / 111.0%	32,222 / 43.6%	-	-	-	-	-	298,240 / 13.9%	0	-	-	84,631 / 26.3%	-	0	0	-	1,672 / 98.6%	457,317 / 11.1%	
Trinity (105)	0	-	2,854 / 63.9%	45,199 / 33.1%	6,287 / 99.4%	17,289 / 60.4%	-	-	-	5,693 / 108.3%	786,487 / 8.2%	0	-	15,570 / 60.9%	266,303 / 14.7%	21,814 / 50.5%	28,285 / 46.0%	0	-	9,729 / 72.0%	1,205,509 / 6.5%	
Tulare (107)	0	0	-	23,629 / 50.6%	0	21,617 / 52.8%	6,262 / 99.0%	-	-	0	104,247 / 24.0%	-	-	-	25,053 / 50.0%	0	0	0	-	25,521 / 47.7%	206,329 / 16.9%	
Tuolumne (109)	0	-	-	78,827 / 26.5%	6,699 / 99.2%	45,889 / 36.4%	5,688 / 106.7%	-	-	0	259,873 / 14.8%	0	-	-	66,905 / 28.9%	-	0	-	-	5,664 / 104.0%	469,545 / 10.8%	
Ventura (111)	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	5,843 / 98.5%	-	-	-	-	0	5,843 / 98.5%	
Yolo (113)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
Yuba (115)	0	-	-	11,381 / 69.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	59,804 / 31.5%	-	-	-	10,384 / 70.3%	17,029 / 57.6%	0	-	-	-	98,597 / 24.0%	
Totals:	0	19,379 / 54.9%	978,012 / 7.0%	1,975,256 / 5.0%	48,935 / 34.6%	1,394,123 / 6.2%	317,477 / 13.6%	44,900 / 37.5%	591,265 / 9.1%	264,192 / 14.6%	6,320,212 / 2.4%	17,890 / 59.7%	33,354 / 39.5%	163,819 / 18.1%	2,384,417 / 4.8%	1,568,176 / 5.5%	278,896 / 14.2%	77,988 / 26.0%	4,283 / 72.0%	508,024 / 10.3%	16,990,599 / 1.1%	

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
1984-2012 Land Use Summary
 Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

LAND USE CATEGORY	ACREAGE BY CATEGORY (1)															1984-2012 NET ACREAGE CHANGED	AVERAGE ANNUAL ACREAGE CHANGE	
	1984	1986	1988	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000	2002 (2)	2004	2006	2008 (3)	2010	2012			
Prime Farmland	40,059	29,146	29,472	22,501	23,974	24,244	24,355	24,632	28,796	32,187	33,218	32,611	32,406	30,876	27,733	-12,326	-440	
Farmland of Statewide Importance	1,017	884	884	925	935	982	977	991	994	939	1,029	1,024	1,228	952	841	-176	-6	
Unique Farmland	426	682	728	708	743	766	871	933	978	1,155	1,119	1,024	1,177	1,131	1,088	662	24	
Farmland of Local Importance	19,375	29,012	28,897	30,706	30,908	30,612	30,680	30,736	29,849	8,171	8,684	8,975	7,193	6,855	5,671	-13,704	-489	
Important Farmland Subtotal	60,877	59,724	59,981	54,840	56,560	56,604	56,883	57,292	60,617	42,452	44,050	43,634	42,004	39,814	35,333	-25,544	-912	
Grazing Land	229,763	227,853	226,109	220,429	219,084	218,632	217,878	218,118	216,795	233,399	228,826	228,729	229,474	231,475	235,829	6,066	217	
Agricultural Land Subtotal	290,640	287,577	286,090	275,269	275,644	275,236	274,761	275,410	277,412	275,851	272,876	272,363	271,478	271,289	271,162	-19,478	-696	
Urban and Built-Up Land	122,481	129,145	135,899	148,601	152,544	154,568	155,759	159,533	162,493	160,678	163,434	167,985	170,864	174,888	175,594	53,113	1,897	
Other Land	661,750	657,971	652,673	650,221	645,905	644,212	643,496	639,104	634,131	637,509	637,726	633,689	678,251	674,570	673,991	12,241	437	
Water Area	2,635	2,810	2,842	3,412	3,412	3,487	3,487	3,457	3,468	3,468	3,468	3,468	3,468	3,318	3,318	683	24	
Total Area Inventoried	1,077,506	1,077,503	1,077,504	1,077,503	1,077,505	1,077,503	1,077,503	1,077,503	1,077,504	1,077,504	1,077,506	1,077,504	1,077,505	1,124,061	1,124,065	1,124,065	46,559	1,663

(1) Figures are generated from the most current version of the GIS data. Files dating from 1984 through 1992 were reprocessed with a standardized county line in the Albers Equal Area projection, and other boundary improvements.
 (2) The first use of orthorectified imagery in 2002 resulted in significant boundary adjustments, particularly in areas with terrain. These improvements resulted in a net decrease in the number of Urban acres during the 2002 update. Due to the incorporation of digital soil survey data (SSURGO) in 2002, acreages for farmland, grazing and other land categories differ from those published in the 2000-2002 Farmland Conversion Report. A large reclassification of Farmland of Local Importance to Grazing Land seen in the revised 2002 data is due to these factors and an analysis of the long-term idling of dryland farming areas.
 (3) Total Area Inventoried changed in 2008 due to addition of the Edwards Air Force Base soil survey and adoption of updated county boundary file. All added acreage was classified as Other Land.

PERCENTAGE OF COUNTY INVENTORIED: 43%

Brad Napientek

From: Anderson, Heather@DOC [Heather.Anderson@conservation.ca.gov] on behalf of DLRP@DOC [DLRP@conservation.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 05, 2013 8:01 AM
To: Jessica Viramontes
Subject: RE: Los Angeles County and Williamson Act Contracts

Ms. Viramontes,

Based on the information the Department of Conservation receives from the County on an annual basis this information is correct. I would suggest contacting the County to verify there have been no changes since the County last reported to the State as the contracts are between the landowner and the County.

Heather

Heather Anderson
Environmental Planner
Department of Conservation
Division of Land Resource Protection

From: Jessica Viramontes [<mailto:J.Viramontes@matrixeir.com>]
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 6:02 PM
To: DLRP@DOC
Subject: Los Angeles County and Williamson Act Contracts

Hello,

I am trying to confirm some information about Los Angeles County and Williamson Act Contracts. Please confirm whether the following statements are true.

- Los Angeles County does not participate in the Williamson Act program in the Project area, which is near the City of Santa Clarita. This statement is based on: ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dlrp/wa/LA_12_13_WA.pdf
- No lands within Los Angeles County, with the exception of lands within Santa Catalina Island, have ever been under Williamson Act contract. This statement is based on: ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dlrp/wa/LA_12_13_WA.pdf.
- Since March 2002, Los Angeles County has not offered Williamson Act contracts. I could not find a source for this statement.

If the statements above are not true, please provide the needed revisions (if possible). Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jessica Viramontes
Environmental Planner



6701 Center Drive West, Suite 900

Los Angeles, California 90045

Tel: (424) 207-5348 ***Please note my new phone number***

Brad Napientek

From: Penberth, Molly@DOC [Molly.Penberth@conservation.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2013 9:33 AM
To: Jessica Viramontes
Subject: RE: Agricultural Conservation Easements - County of Los Angeles

Hello Jessica—The CFCP does not currently have any conservation easements funded in Los Angeles County. I don't believe we've ever gotten an application from anyone in the county...though we have funded projects in San Diego and Santa Barbara.

Applications would have to come to us through an eligible entity, such as a nonprofit land trust, open space district, or similar organization. This is how it's defined under the Public Resources Code: 10212. "Applicant" means a city, county, nonprofit organization, resource conservation district, or a regional park or open-space district or regional park or open-space authority that has the conservation of farmland among its stated purposes, as prescribed by statute, or as expressed in the entity's locally adopted policies, that applies for a grant authorized pursuant to this division.

Thanks for your interest, please let me know if you have additional questions.

Molly

<http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/cfcp/Pages/Index.aspx>

From: Jessica Viramontes [<mailto:J.Viramontes@matrixeir.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2013 7:06 PM
To: Penberth, Molly@DOC
Subject: Agricultural Conservation Easements - County of Los Angeles

Hi Ms. Penberth,

I am researching information about the County of Los Angeles and agricultural conservation easements. Does the County of Los Angeles currently participate in the California Farmland Conservancy Program? Any information you can provide would be much appreciated.

Thanks,

Jessica Viramontes
Environmental Planner



6701 Center Drive West, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90045
Tel: (424) 207-5348
Fax: (424) 207-5349
Email: j.viramontes@matrixeir.com

Statement of Confidentiality. The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for addressee. The information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately *notify* the sender by reply e-mail or phone and *delete* this message and its attachments, if any.