COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION

July12, 2016

TO: Doug Smith, Vice Chair
Laura Shell, Commissioner
David W. Louie, Commissioner
Curt Pedersen, Commissioner
Pat Modugno, Commissioner

FROM: Bruce Durbin, Supervising Regional Planner
Ordinance Studies Section

PROJECT NO. 2016-000744-(5)/ AVIATION CASE NO. RPPL2016002601; AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION REVIEW OF BOB HOPE AIRPORT REPLACEMENT TERMINAL PROJECT; JULY 13, 2016 - ITEM NO. 4

Since submitting the package for the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) review of the proposed Airport Replacement Terminal project at Bob Hope Airport on July 7, 2016, staff received additional project materials from the City of Burbank. Staff is sending these additional materials for your review.

Enclosed please find Attachment N – Draft Minutes for the City of Burbank Planning Board's Special Meeting on Thursday, July 7, 2016. The minutes indicated that the Planning Board voted unanimously (5-0) to recommend approval of the Project by the City Council, subject to an affirmative vote by the citizens of Burbank.

The project materials are also available online at the following website: http://planning.lacounty.gov/case/view/2016-000744/.

If you have any questions regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact **Alyson Stewart** at astewart@planning.lacounty.gov or (213) 974-6432, Monday through Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

BD:as

Attachment

ATTACHMENT N

CITY OF BURBANK PLANNING BOARD

DRAFT MINUTES FOR JULY 7, 2016

city of burbank
community development department

Planning Board Minutes

July 7, 2016

CALL TO ORDER	6:02 p.m.
INVOCATION	Undine Petrulis gave the invocation.
FLAG SALUTE	Ms. Eaton led the flag salute.

ROLL CALL

Present- - - -	Planning Board Members: Christopher Rizzotti, Chair; Kimberly Jo, Vice-Chair; Undine Petrulis, Diane Eaton and Apraham Atteukenian
Absent- - - -	None.
Also Present-	Brian Foote, Senior Planner; Joe McDougall, Senior Asst. City Attorney; David Kriske, Assistant Community Development Director and Carol Barrett, City Planner

ANNOUNCEMENTS	Ms. Barrett announced that the upcoming American Planning Association Annual Conference of the California Chapter will be in Pasadena this year and if any of the Planning Board members would like to attend, to let her know so staff can sign them up for the training opportunity.

HEARINGS

1. 2555 and 2627 North Hollywood Way ' Project No. 16-0002071 ' Development Agreement & Planned Development Amendments	PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The proposed project would construct a new 14-gate airport terminal, new parking structures, and related facilities and improvements at Bob Hope Airport to replace the existing terminal building. The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority is considering three development options for the proposed project, which are: • Adjacent Property Full-Size Terminal Option: a 355,000-square-foot replacement passenger terminal to be constructed on the B-6 Adjacent Property. This is the Airport Authority's preferred development option.

- Southwest Quadrant Full-Size Terminal Option: a 355,000-square-foot replacement passenger terminal to be constructed in the southwest quadrant.
- Southwest Quadrant Same-Size Terminal Option: a 232,000-square-foot replacement passenger terminal to be constructed in the southwest quadrant.

The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority ("Airport Authority") is the Lead Agency in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and prepared and circulated a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) which discusses the environmental impacts of the proposed project. The Airport Authority held the 45-day public review/comment period for the Draft EIR that began on April 29, 2016, and ended on June 13, 2016. The Airport Authority has also prepared and circulated the Final EIR. The City of Burbank is a Responsible Agency under CEQA, and may independently take action to certify the Final EIR.

Meeting Disclosure
None.

Notices Given
Ms. Barret confirmed that the required notices have been given and that additional correspondence was received and provided to the Planning Board.

Staff Presentation
Mr. Foote presented this item to the Board.

Applicant Presentation
Dan Feger, Executive Director of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, presented the application and request to the Board.

Public Testimony
Eric Foster, Chairman of the Burbank Chamber of Commerce, expressed his support for the proposed project for the following reasons: the airport and its services provide a large economic impact on the city in terms of revenue and jobs; the super majority voting system places the power and control in the hands of the citizens of Burbank, and the safety concerns of the original terminal will be met with it's replacement.

Michael Cusumano, Representative of the Burbank Chamber of Commerce, stated his support for the Airport Replacement

terminal. He expressed his support based on all the environmental issues that can be addressed and/or mitigated as stated in the EIR.

Vic Georgino, Representative of the Burbank Chamber of Commerce, stated his support for the Airport Replacement terminal for the following reasons: new terminal addresses and fixes all the safety concerns the current terminal faces, TSA safety concerns will be rectified, and the passing of Measure B places the control in the hands of the Authority Board Members and the Burbank citizens.

Tom Flavin, Representative of the Burbank Chamber of Commerce, expressed his support for the project because the new modern terminal would provide much needed upgraded amenities to the more than 4 million travelers per year at the airport. He further added that the economic benefits of the project would build fiscal stability and provide more jobs to the community.

Keith Sanneman, Representative of the Burbank Chamber of Commerce, stated his support for the replacement airport terminal due to the fact that the new terminal would be placed in a more ideal location, would meet all the safety concerns, and would benefit all three cities that are a part of the Airport Authority (Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena).

Daren Chase, Representative of the Burbank Chamber of Commerce, expressed his support for the replacement terminal for the following reasons: City will be receiving all the benefits of a newer, more modern Airport terminal without having to pay for it, Measure B places the power in the hands of the citizens, and the new terminal will help preserve the character of the city.

Chris Hannan, Los Angeles/Orange County Building and Construction Trades Council representative, stated his support for the proposed project because the new replacement terminal would also include new apprenticeship programs for individuals who are seeking a career in aviation or aviation related jobs. He added that the new apprenticeship programs will benefit the families and the community as a whole.

Alex Davis, representative of Valley Industry Commerce Association (VICA), expressed his support for the project because the benefits of the new terminal will be

Staff Response to Public Comment
Mr. Foote clarified that there is one condition listed in the EIR that is significant and unavoidable and that is the Air Quality impacts that the proposed new terminal would have.

Applicant's Response to Public Comment
None.

Board/Staff Q&A
Mr. Atteukenian was seeking clarification of the 2015 air quality baseline and how it was determined. Alan Sacco, ESA PCR, clarified for Mr. Atteukenian that the 2015 baseline is a baseline that CEQA establishes for the given year. He added that South Coast Air Quality Management established measurable data for how to determine what is a pollutant or not.

Ms. Jo asked if the forecasted growth of customers that the proposed new terminal would bring would further impact the air quality. Mr. Feger stated that the terminal itself would not drive up the growth or impact the air quality, but the business demands of the customers that would come throughout the year would impact the air quality over time.

Ms. Petrulis asked for clarification on condition of approval #56-1: Traffic Master Plan. Mr. Kriske stated that the Traffic Master Plan would consist of a traffic study on the automobile circulation in and out of the airport. The study would also include passenger shuttles that would connect the main lines of the Metro buses and BurbankBuses to the potential new terminal. Jonathan Chambers, Gibson Transportation, confirmed for Ms. Petrulis that the shuttle activity to and from the terminal will be included in the Traffic Master Plan.

Ms. Jo inquired about the number of shuttles it would take to meet the 10-minute wait-time per shuttle ride. Mr. Feger stated that the number of shuttles will be a sufficient amount to ensure that 12 vehicle trips will occur every hour. He further added that even with the projected growth of customers, this will still suffice in meeting the 10-minute wait-time.

Ms. Jo asked about condition #52 which states that a transportation bulletin board will be posted listing all the alternatives transportation methods in the area. She wanted to know if there will be any incentives provided to employees who choose to take these alternative routes. Mr. Kriske stated that conditions of approval do not require the Airport Authority to

provide incentives for employees directly, but the local TMO will offer incentives to the companies who will then pass that along to those employees.

Mr. Rizzotti inquired about a parking study, specifically if the requested 6,637 spaces that the applicant asked for would be enough, even for projected growth. Mr. Foote stated that the number of parking spaces was the same one listed in the prior Development Agreement, so this name remained for consistency. Mr. Feger added that the 6,637 spaces would be more than enough to suffice. He stated that the limited parking spaces is used to create incentives for passengers to seek alternative measures to get to the airport and that transportation network services such as Uber have assisted in their not needing more parking spaces.

Mr. Atteukenian inquired about the 600 parking spaces for the employees. Mr. Feger stated that the parking spaces are available for all levels of management at the airport and that roughly 1/3 of those spaces are left empty; so the 600 spaces will be enough for the foreseeable future.

Ms. Jo inquired about clarification on the loop road design for the new terminal. Mr. Feger clarified for Ms. Jo the design of the loop road and showed how the road would solely be on airport property.

Mr. Atteukenian inquired about the new security measures that would be implemented at the new terminal. Mr. Feger stated that the TSA screening area is an example of newer security measures because it will be more centralized and in a more secure location so that passengers will experience a much safer screening process.

Ms. Jo inquired about the deadline for ensuring that this project reaches the November Election ballot. Mr. Foote confirmed that the necessary information would need to be approved by August 9, and that City Council would be finalizing its vote on the second reading, on August 1st. The City Attorney's office would be drafting the language for Measure B. Mr. McDougall confirmed that the City Attorney, City Clerk, and City Council will be working together on drafting the correct language and ordinance so that the City Clerk's office can file the necessary paper work to the County of Los Angeles on August 1.

Deliberation
The Board collectively could make the findings for the project based on the following:

Ms. Petrulis stated that the replacement terminal would fulfill the much needed safety concerns and necessities the current terminal does not offer. She further added that public transportation and an interconnected public transit network would enhance the opportunities the new terminal would present.

Ms. Eaton expressed that her initial concerns over the flow of traffic in and around the airport were addressed with the discussion that took place and concurred with Ms. Petrulis sentiments in the necessity of a new terminal.

Ms. Jo added that the Development Agreement implements necessary regulations and that Measure B will allow the citizens of Burbank to dictate how much development takes place at the airport.

Mr. Atteukenian expressed his support for the necessity of the terminal and stated that the financial impact on the local government is something that cannot be ignored. He further added that the new terminal will provide more safety security to its customers. Mr. Atteukenian suggested that air monitoring stations be added near the terminal to collect data on the air quality.

Mr. Rizzotti concurred with his fellow Board members and added that the conditions of approval were created to protect the community and the residents as it keeps their best interest in mind.

Undine Petrulis moved that the Planning Board make a recommendation to City Council to: a) certify the EIR; and, b) approve the proposed project, subject to an affirmative vote of the citizens of Burbank, seconded by Diane Eaton, carried by a vote 5-0.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS	None.
REPORTS	None.
APPROVAL OF MINUTES	Kimberly Jo, Vice-Chair moved to approve the June 27, 2016 minutes as amended, seconded by Apraham Atteukenian, carried by a vote 4-0.

ITEMS FROM THE PLANNING BOARD	None.
CITY PLANNER COMMENTS	Ms. Barrett added to her earlier announcement in regards to the APA Annual Conference that the Board members have also been provided with a list of session topics and workshops that would be taking place during the conference, if they are interested in any specific land use issues that would be discussed.
ADJOURNMENT TO THE PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF AUGUST 8, 2016	8:12 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Secretary of the Planning Board