

>> CHAIR LOUIE: GOOD MORNING. COULD WE ALL RISE AND SALUTE THE FLAG, COMMISSIONER HELSLEY, COULD YOU LEAD US IN THE FLAG SALUTE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: IF YOU COULD HONOR OUR NATION BY SAYING: (PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE).

>> I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS ONE NATION UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE JOINING US FOR THE FIRST TIME, WE HAVE AGENDAS AT THE REAR OF THE ROOM AS WELL AS SPEAKER CARDS AND SO IF YOU PLAN TO SPEAK ON ANY MATTER BEFORE THIS JOINT COMMISSION MEETING, YOU NEED TO COMPLETE ONE OF THOSE AND PRESENT IT TO STAFF. I'M GOING TO CONVENE THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION FOR APRIL 10TH, I SEEK APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SO MOVED.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED, NO OBJECTIONS, THE AGENDA IS APPROVED.

>> COUNTY COUNSEL, DO YOU HAVE ANY REPORTS FOR US THIS MORNING?

>> NO, I DO NOT.

>> AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR?

>> YES, GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIR COMMISSIONER, ONE ITEM AT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING YESTERDAY --

>> THIS IS AIRPORT LAND USE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THAT'S AN EARLY PREVIEW, WE'LL COME TO THAT, OKAY, NO REPORTS. I'D SEEK APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR MARCH 13TH AND 27TH.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I WOULD MOVE THE ADOPTION OF MARCH 13TH.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED, NO OBJECTIONS, THE MINUTES FOR THE 13TH ARE APPROVED.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: FOR MARCH 27TH, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT MAYBE WE HAVE ON PAGE 2 AT THE BOTTOM, I

GUESS IT'S THE SIXTH LINE UP, A LITTLE BIT TO THE RIGHT OF CENTER WHICH TALKS ABOUT ALUC'S REVIEW AUTHORITY OUR INDIRECT CONFLICT WITH THE POLICIES OF AND I THINK THERE SHOULD BE A SPACE IN THERE.WITH THAT CORRECTION, I WOULD MOVE THAT THEY BE APPROVED.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED.THERE ARE NO OBJECTIONS.THOSE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WELL.AND WITH THAT, WE WILL ADJOURN THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION MEETING.AND SUBSEQUENTLY CONVENE THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF APRIL THE 10TH.I LOOK FOR APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: SECOND.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECOND, NO OBJECTIONS, THE AGENDA IS APPROVED.COUNTY COUNSEL?

>> GOOD MORNING, I HAVE A VERY QUICK REPORT FOR YOU THIS MORNING.AS YOU MAY OR MAY NOT BE AWARE, THERE ARE SOME EFFORTS TO UPDATE OR REVISE OR AMEND THE CEQA LEGISLATION, LOTS OF THEM ARE PENDING, DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN WITH THEM, BUT WE WILL KEEP YOU POSTED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR AS THOSE DEVELOP AND IF ANYTHING DOES GET ADOPTED THAT CHANGES CEQA, SO I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW WE ARE AWARE OF ALL OF THOSE ONGOING EFFORT AND IS WE'LL KEEP YOU POSTED ACCORDINGLY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: VERY GOOD, THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

>> CHAIR LOUIE: YES?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: WITH THAT PROCESS, IS THERE A WAY WE CAN BECOME PROACTIVE RATHER THAN REACTIVE?

>> THERE IS -- THE COUNTY DOES TRACK LEGISLATION AND AS YOU ARE PROBABLY AWARE DOES OFTEN TAKE POSITIONS ON LEGISLATION. THAT IS SOMETHING THAT'S A LARGER EFFORT AMONG ALL OF THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, SO THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS BEING COORDINATED INTERNALLY.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THAT'S REACTIVE. PROACTIVE, CAN WE GET ON THE COMMITTEES THAT ARE DOING THE REVIEW BEFORE THEY COME UP WITH A FINAL REVIEW POSITIONS?

>> THERE ARE TIMES THAT THE COUNTY DOES ACTUALLY GET MORE INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF LEGISLATION, BUT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAPPEN AS PART OF A LARGER COUNTY EFFORT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, COUNTY COUNSEL.DEPUTY DIRECTOR?

>> YES, GOOD MORNING AGAIN.ONE ITEM AT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REGARDING YARD SALES AND ILLEGAL VENDING, THERE HAVE BEEN INCREASING CONCERNS AS WELL AS COMPLAINTS FROM BOTH RESIDENTS AND MERCHANTS REGARDING THE PROLIFERATION OF YARD SALES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND ALSO ILLEGAL VENDING IN COMMERCIAL AREAS ALONGSIDE -- ALONG SIDEWALKS AND VACANT LOTS, THE SUPERVISOR DIRECTED OUR DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS SEVERAL OTHER DEPARTMENTS INCLUDING COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE SHERIFF TO REVIEW EXISTING ORDINANCES AND TO COME BACK WITH SOME RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW TO INCREASE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ENFORCEMENT AGAINST THESE TYPES OF USES, AND OUR LAND USE REGULATION DIVISION HEADED BY MR. GOODWIN WILL BE LEADING THAT EFFORT AND WE'LL BE WORKING WITH THE TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, WITH ALSO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, AS I SAID, THE SHERIFF, COUNTY COUNSEL AND PUBLIC HEALTH, AND WE WILL BE REPORTING TO THE COMMISSION ON THAT ISSUE AS SOON AS WE CAN.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.FROM AN ENFORCEMENT STANDPOINT, IS THERE A DIVISION BETWEEN THE PRIVATE PROPERTY AND THE PUBLIC SIDEWALKS?

>> THAT IS CORRECT, OUR ZONING ORDINANCE ONLY ALLOWS FOR ENFORCEMENT ON PRIVATE PROPERTY. PUBLIC WORKS, THE SHERIFF WOULD BE INVOLVED IN ANY PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY INCLUDING SIDEWALKS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES, SIR?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO TOUR A GOOD PORTION OF THE CITY ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY WITH THEODORE NATIVE PLANT GARDEN TOURS, AND I HAVEN'T BEEN OUT ON THE GENERAL STREETS FOR QUITE A WHILE IN THE GREATER LOS ANGELES AREA, BUT IT WAS AMAZING ON SATURDAY, THE NUMBER OF YARD SALES THAT YOU SEE AND IN TALKING TO SOME OF THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS, A GOOD PORTION OF THEM ARE PERENNIAL OR CONSISTENT YARD SALES THAT ARE THERE EVERY WEEKEND AND SOMETIMES THEY'RE JUST COVERED BY TARPS IN THE FRONT YARDS AND THE ROADWAYS GOING BACK TO THE GARAGES, SO I CAN UNDERSTAND WHERE A COMMUNITY GETS UPSET WITH THIS BECAUSE THAT'S NOT THE PURPOSE OF THE PRIVATE PROPERTY POSITION UNLESS THERE'S A PERMIT OR SOMETHING, I WOULD THINK.

>> JUST FOR THE COMMISSION'S INFORMATION, THE ZONING CODE ALLOWS FOR TWO YARD SALES PER YEAR, AND I BELIEVE THERE'S ALSO BEEN AN ARTICLE IN THE L.A. TIMES ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ITEM NUMBER 6, PROJECT NUMBER R201000862, CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE THE INCREASE IN THE DAILY WASTE INTAKE. MR. MAR?

>> MR. MAR: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION, MY NAME IS STEVE MAR AND I'M WITH THE ZONING PERMIT'S EAST SECTION, AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 6, PROJECT NUMBER R201000862, CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NUMBER 201000073, PROBATE EAST L.A. TRANSFER STATION LOCATED AT 1512 NORTH BONNIE BEACH PLACE AND EAST L.A., ON FEBRUARY 20TH, 2013, THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION MADE A MOTION TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM TO APRIL 10TH, 2013 FOR STAFF TO FURTHER ANALYZE THE PROJECT'S ISSUES INCLUDING TRAFFIC CIRCULATION, TRUCK QUEUING, MOTORS AND VECTOR CONTROL, IT'S A DRAFT REVISED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL F, STAFF IS KNOTTING TO WORK WITH PUBLIC WORKS, PUBLIC HEALTH AND AQMD TO REFINE THE LANGUAGE OF THE CONDITIONS, ONCE A FINAL DRAFT IS PREPARED, STAFF ALSO PLANS ON MEETING WITH THE DISTRICT 1 OFFICE TO GO OVER THE CONDITIONS AND IS PROPOSING THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO THE HEARING DATE ON JUNE 12, 2012 TO ALLOW STAFF TO WORK ON FINALIZING THE CONDITIONS. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ADD THAT AQMD ALSO HAD ISSUES WITH THE APPLICANT'S AIR STUDY AND ODOR STUDY AND THEY ARE ASKING THE APPLICANT TO TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT THEIR ODOR QUALITY STUDY, THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, MR. MAR, QUESTIONS?ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> WE HAVE NO CARDS ON THIS ITEM.

>> VICE-CHAIR VALADEZ: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION AND IT IS CLEAR THAT WE ARE NOT READY FOR THIS CASE.WE HAVE OBVIOUSLY NEW STUDIES, ETC., SO I MOVE THAT THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION CONTINUE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NUMBER 201000073 TO JUNE 12, 2013.

>> SECOND.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED AND SECONDED, DISCUSSION?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: A QUESTION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: YES, SIR.WOULD THE REPORTS THAT YOU'RE SAYING THAT THEY'RE ASKING FOR, IS JUNE 12TH A REASONABLE TIME OR SHOULD WE BE LOOKING FARTHER DOWN THE ROAD?

>> MR. MAR: AFTER DISCUSSING WITH PUBLIC WORKS, THAT'S THE DATE WE'RE SHOOTING FOR.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: OKAY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, MOVED AND SECONDED, THOSE IN FAVOR?AYE.OPPOSED?MOTION IS CARRIED.THE MATTER IS CONTINUED.I'D LIKE TO STEP BACK AND LOOK FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MARCH 13, 2013 FOR THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION.

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED AND SECONDED, NO OBJECTION.THOSE MINUTES ARE APPROVED.ITEM NUMBER 7, PROJECT NUMBER R201100518, MR. DURBIN AND MS. NG.

>> GOOD MORNING,, MY NAME IS KAREN LAFFERTY, IN THE LAST TWO YEAR, YOU LISTENED TO MS. NG DO PRESENTATIONS ON TECHNICAL UPDATE AND SHE WILL BE GIVING A PRESENTATION WHEN I'M DONE WITH MY INTRO, AS WE'RE STARTING A NEW PHASE, I WANT TO TAKE THIS TIME TO GIVE A RECAP ON WHAT WE'VE DONE THE PROJECT, I KNOW THE COMMISSIONERS MAY NOT REMEMBER HOW WE BEGAN THE PROJECT, THE CONSULTANTS SIX AND A HALF YEAR CONTRACT DUE THIS PROJECT EXPIRED IN DECEMBER OF 2012.IN 2004, ORDINANCE STUDY STAFF STARTED A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR MODIFICATIONS TO TITLE 22, STAFF HELD A DOZEN OUTREACH MEETINGS WITH TOWN COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES, CONSULTANTS, ENVIRONMENTALISTS, REPRESENTATIVES FROM OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND DEPARTMENTAL STAFF.THE GOAL WAS TO GENERATE A LIST OF THINGS THAT WE WANTED

CHANGED OR ADDED TO TITLE 22.FROM THOSE EARLY MEETINGS, STAFF GENERATED THE SCOPE OF WORK THAT WAS INCLUDED IN OUR RFP, THE ZONING ORDINANCE UPDATE PROGRAM, IT WENT TO BED AND WAS AWARDED TO DIE IN [INAUDIBLE] IN JUNE OF 2006 WITH AN EXPIRATION DATE OF DECEMBER, 2012.IN ITS ORIGINATION, ZUPE WAS VERY ORIGINAL, IT TOOK A LOOK AT COUNTY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES, WANTING TO ENSURE THAT REGULATIONS WERE RELEVANT TO TODAY'S CONCERNS BUT TO PRODUCE AN ORDINANCE THAT IS UNDERSTANDING AND EASY TO USE.THIS VISION INCLUDED MODIFYING SOME EXISTING CHAPTERS SUCH AS ADDING PARKING MANAGEMENT TO THE PARKING CHAPTER AND CREATES USED GROUPS, OPPOSE A LONG USE OF USES IN EACH ZONE, AND ADDING ANY USES OF ENERGY AND RECYCLING, IN ADDITION, THE REVISIONS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH AND IMPLEMENT THE GENERAL PLAN.THE ORIGINAL TIMELINE FOR ZUPE [PH.] WAS TO BE ADOPTED AFTER THE ORIGINAL PLAN WAS ADOPTED.IN 2007, [INAUDIBLE] PREPARED SOME OF THE WHITE PARITIES, THESE MAY OR MAY NOT LOOK FAMILIAR TO YOU, THE ISSUES AND OPTIONS WORKING PROPERTY WHICH EVALUATED THE CURRENT DEVELOPMENT AND DETERMINING IF THERE ARE [INAUDIBLE] PROCESS THAT WOULD BETTER IMPLEMENT THE GENERAL PLAN.THEY PRODUCED THE FRAMEWORK FOR ZONING WHICH ADDRESSED THE TYPE OF ZONING, THE ZONING CONCEPTS, APPROACHES TO USE CLASSIFICATIONS, ORGANIZATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR TITLE 22 AND USING A USER-FRIENDLY REGULATIONS.THE ANNOTATED OUTLINE WHICH IS JUST LISTINGS OF DIVISIONS, CHAPTERS AND SECTIONS THAT ARE RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IN THE REVISION.BASED ON THESE PRELIMINARY

DOCUMENTS, [INAUDIBLE] PREPARED A DRAFT DOCUMENT, MR. [INAUDIBLE] BROUGHT PARTICIPATION TO THE DISCUSSION BEGINNING APRIL, 2007. WHILE MR. [INAUDIBLE] BROUGHT THE DIVISIONS TO THE COMMISSION, STAFF STARTED INTERNAL REVIEW OF THE DOCUMENTS WITH A FOCUS GROUP. THROUGH CONCERNS FROM THE STAFF INCLUDING THE CHANGES TO THE ORDINANCE CAN BE TOO MUCH CHANGE AT ONE TIME FOR STAFF AND THE PUBLIC AND DELAYS IN UPDATE THING COUNTY'S GENERAL PLAN, STAFF DECIDED TO PROPOSE THE [INAUDIBLE] AND THE COMPLETION OF THE GENERAL PLAN. HOWEVER, IN 2010, THE DEPARTMENT DECIDED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A TECHNICAL UPDATE OF TITLE 22, THIS TECHNICAL UPDATE IS DESIGNED TO ACHIEVE SOME OF THE ORIGINAL OBJECTIVES IN ZUPE, THIS UPDATE MAKES THE CODE MORE CON SIZE AND EASIER TO USE, THIS IS THE INFORMATION THAT MS. NG HAS PRESENTED TO YOU WITHIN THE LAST TWO YEARS. WHAT'S LEFT OF ZUPE WILL NO LONGER BE PRESENTED AS ONE ZUPE PROJECT, EACH INDIVIDUAL MAJOR DIVISION TO AN EXISTING CHAPTER OR A NEW CHAPTER SUCH AS RECYCLING WILL BE ITS OWN ORDINANCE. SO, AGAIN, TO RECAP WHERE WE ARE TODAY, WE'VE TAKEN THIS 5 BY 7 DOCUMENT AND WITH THE REVISIONS AND MAKING IT MORE READABLE AND EASIER TO USE, WE'VE CREATED THREE DOCUMENTS, WHAT'S NOT HERE IS THE SPECIFIC PLAN BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE IT IN ITS FINAL FORM YET BUT IT WOULD BE ABOUT THE SAME SIZE AS EITHER ONE OR TWO. IN THE TRANSITION, SOMEONE MIGHT HAVE THESE THREE DOCUMENTS BUT OUR GOAL IS TO HAVE IT ON THE COMPUTER AND TO HAVE ELECTRONIC DEVICES FOR STAFF TO USE IT SO THAT WE WOULDN'T BE CARRYING THE THREE

DOCUMENTS. COUNTY COUNSEL HAS BEEN REVIEWING IT AS WE GO ALONG BUT WE REALIZE THE COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW IS QUITE EXTENSIVE, AFTER OUR COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW AND NEEDS TO GO ONLINE BEFORE IT CAN BE ADOPTED BY THE BOARD. IN WORKING IN VOLUME I, THINGS WE THOUGHT WERE RELATIVELY SIMPLE SUCH AS THERE'S A PHRASE IN THE CODE THAT SAYS SUBJECT PROPERTY OR PARCEL OF LAND WHICH SEEMED PRETTY REPETITIVE TO US, SO WE SUGGESTED TAKING OUT PARCEL OF LAND, COUNTY COUNSEL HAD IT PROBABLY FOR TWO MONTHS TO REVIEWING HOW'S IT GOING TO AFFECT SUBDIVISIONS AND IT SIMPLE TO TAKE IT OUT. SO, AFTER SEEING THE WORK IN FRONT OF US, KNOWING THAT A LOT OF THINGS WE THOUGHT WAS JUST SIMPLE WAS NOT GOING TO BE THAT SIMPLE, WE SORT OF MODIFIED THE DIRECTION THAT WOULD GO FROM HERE WORKING WITH GETTING THE DOCUMENT APPROVED. AT ONE TIME AS IT'S SHOWN HERE, WE MADE THE CSD'S INTO ITS OWN DOCUMENT ONLY BECAUSE WE WANTED TO MAKE ROOM FOR THE FUTURE CSD'S THAT ARE COMING AND ALSO WE PUT IT IN A FORMAT THAT WAS CONSISTENT TO EACH CSD, IT WAS THE SAME FORMAT. WHAT WE'VE DECIDED TO DO IS MOVE IT BACK INTO GENERAL REVISIONS, DON'T MAKE ANY FURTHER REVISIONS BECAUSE WE ONLY DID MINIMAL OUTREACH TO TOWN COUNCILS AND THE MORE WE THOUGHT ABOUT IT, THINGS THAT WE THOUGHT WERE SIMPLE TO THE TOWN COUNCILS ITSELF MAY BE QUITE IMPORTANT SO WE DECIDED AT THIS TIME BASICALLY LEAVE IT AS IT IS AND MOVE IT BACK IN THE GENERAL PROVISIONS AND OUR INTENT IS THAT WE'D LIKE TO TAKE MORE TIME TO WORK WITH THE TOWN COUNCILS SO MAKE SURE THEY'RE OKAY WITH WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING AS

FAR AS REARRANGING BECAUSE AGAIN, WE THOUGHT WE MADE SIMPLE REVISIONS IN HERE BUT THE SAME THING, THINGS WE THOUGHT MIGHT BE SIMPLE LIKE US REMOVING PARCEL OF LAND MAY NOT BE SIMPLE AT ALL WHEN WE GO TO TOWN COUNCILS FOR THE SPECIFIC PLANS, AND AGAIN, WE'RE GOING TO LEAVE THE TWO THAT ARE ALREADY IN THE CODE WHICH IS MARINA DEL REY AND CATALINA ISLAND AND WE'LL UPDATE THE REST OF THE SPECIFIC PLANS IN ANOTHER PHASE, SO AT THIS TIME, ALL THAT COUNTY COUNSEL NEEDS TO REVIEW IN ITS FIRST PHASE, WOULD JUST BE THE GENERAL PROVISIONS. MRS. NG IS GOING TO OCCUPY ADDITIONAL DETAILS AND HOW WE PLAN TO IMPLEMENT IT. THAT'S MY PRESENTATION FOR THE RECAP AND I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS NOW OR AFTER MS. NG'S PRESENTATION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. QUESTIONS? COMMISSIONER?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: A QUESTION, AS WE LOOK AT THE MODIFICATIONS THAT WE'RE DOING, AND I WAS LOOKING FORWARD TO GETTING RID OF MY LITTLE BOOK THAT WE ARE CONSTANTLY MODIFYING PAGES ON. I HAVE MAINTAINED A BINDER VERY SIMILAR TO TRY TO CORRELATE THE TWO, BUT MY CONCERN IS AS I'VE WATCHED THIS TRANSITION OCCUR, MODIFICATIONS OCCUR, HOW ARE WE TAKING AND PROVIDING A FLEXIBILITY FOR THE NEW RULES AND REGULATIONS TO MODIFY CSD'S TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE TRANSPORTATION NODE INCREASES, WHERE WE HAVE TRANSPORTATION NODES OVERLAY, THE

INCREASED DENSITY THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT OCCURRING AROUND THAT TRANSPORTATION NODE, WE HAVE CSD'S THAT ARE -- AND I WON'T SAY HAVE THEIR FEET STUCK IN THE MUD, BUT THEY HAVE A POSITION THAT SAYS THAT, YOU KNOW, WE DON'T WANT TO DO A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS OR CHANGE THINGS.

>> I THINK AT THIS TIME AT LEAST FOR THIS PROJECT FOR THE CSD'S, EVEN WHEN WE GET TO WORKING WITH THEM INDIVIDUALLY, OUR INTENTION IS NOT TO CHANGE ANY CONCEPT, WE'RE JUST CHANGING THE FORMAT. IF WE WANT TO CHANGE THE CONTENT, WE WOULD PROBABLY NEED A DIRECTION EITHER FROM THE COMMISSION OR FROM THE BOARD TO MODIFY THAT CSD.

>> VICE-CHAIR VALADEZ: I JUST THINK RIGHT HERE, IT IS DIFFICULT ENOUGH TO DO THIS TASK, TO TAKE ON A TASK OF MODIFYING IT FOR PURPOSES THAT ARE VERY POLITICAL AND WOULD OBVIOUSLY HAVE HOMER ASSOCIATION INPUT, WE WOULD BE HERE FOR ANOTHER 10 YEARS ATTEMPTING TO DO THAT, SO I'M SADDENED TO A CERTAIN DEGREE TO HEAR THAT WE'RE NOT MOVING FORWARD AS FAST AS WE POSSIBLY COULD BECAUSE OF REVIEWS AND BECAUSE WE CAN'T NOW HAVE IT IN ANOTHER BOOK BECAUSE MAYBE THIS WILL CHANGE OR THAT WILL CHANGE. OUR CODE AS IT IS IS JUST SO DIFFICULT TO WORK WITH AND PEOPLE HAVE SUCH EXTREME DIFFICULTY WITH IT TO DETERMINE WHAT IT IS AND TO THINK THAT WE'RE DEALING -- AND COUNTY COUNSEL, I UNDERSTAND THAT PARCEL VERSUS, YOU KNOW, REAL ESTATE OR WHATEVER IS CRITICAL, BUT -- AND THE

RESOURCES OF PROBABLY COUNTY COUNSEL CAN'T BE PUT TO THIS IN ANY INCREMENT THAT'S NECESSARY FOR US TO MOVE FASTER THAN WE'RE MOVING SO I'M VERY SAD TO SEE THESE CHANGES.

>> I THINK IT'S WORTH SAYING THOUGH, WE HAVE REACHED OUT AND RECEIVED SOME MTA FUNDING AND OTHER FUNDING TO LOOK AT SPECIFIC AREAS, PARTICULARLY IN DISTRICT 2 WHERE THE LIGHT RAIL LINES INTERSECT WITH MAJOR EMPLOYMENT OR MAJOR HOUSING AREAS, AND WE ARE CERTAINLY LOOKING TO RECEIVE FUNDING FROM THE WILLOWBROOK AREA AND ARE CONSTANTLY LOOKING AT ADDITIONAL FUNDING, I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE COMMISSIONER, YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT HOW COMPLEX THIS TASK IS AND FROM A MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE, WE WANT TO KEEP THIS ON TRACK. WE HAVE OTHER STAFF WORKING ON INDIVIDUAL CSD'S AND UPDATES, AND SO WE'RE WORKING IN PARALLEL IN CERTAIN AREAS WHERE WE'VE RECEIVED FUNDING AND IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE SUPPORT -- THAT LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION ARE COMPLEMENTARY BUT WE ARE WORKING PARTICULARLY IN THE SECOND DISTRICT WHICH CERTAINLY NEEDS AND IS LOOKING FORWARD TO NEW INVESTMENT TO ACCOMMODATE THAT NEW INVESTMENT AND TO RESPOND TO THESE TREMENDOUS INVESTMENTS IN TRANSPORTATION THROUGH OUR TERRITORY.

>> I'D LIKE TO ADD ONE MORE THING DIRECTLY THAT MR. HOUSLY SAID, THE GENERAL PLAN HAS SAID AS SOON AS THEY GET THE GENERAL PLAN UPDATE THROUGH, THEY WILL START WORKING ON STATION PLANS, AND SO I

WOULD ASSUME THAT WHEN THEY'RE WORKING ON THE EAST PASADENA OR WILLOWBROOK STATION PLAN, THE CSD WILL COME THROUGH AND SEE WHAT CHANGES WOULD NEED TO BE MADE.

>> AND THERE ARE ZONES BEING PUT IN PLACE TO ACCOMMODATE THOSE, NEW MIXED USE ZONES SO WE'RE LAYING A LOT OF FOUNDATIONS IN DIFFERENT AREAS, SO I APPRECIATE THE SENTIMENT. THERE ARE SOME NEIGHBORHOODS WE HAVE TALKED TO THAT THERE WILL BE QUITE A DEBATE ABOUT DENSITY AND HOW TO ACCOMMODATE TRANSPORTATION, AND THERE WILL BE A BALANCE AND I THINK AS COMMISSIONER VALADEZ SAID, THOSE ARE GOING TO BE COMPLEX NEIGHBORHOOD DISCUSSIONS AND ON THE ONE HAND, WE WANT TO KEEP THIS MOVING BUT WE DON'T WANT TO LOSE TRACK OF THAT EITHER.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: IF I CAN RESPOND, I GREATLY APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS, IN TRAVELING ON THE ORANGE LINE, I'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH TWO COMMUNITIES NEAR SOME MAJOR STOPS THERE AND THEY DON'T WANT -- THEY LIKE THEIR HOUSING THE WAY IT IS, SINGLE FAMILY, SINGLE UNIT CONSTRUCTION AREA, BUT IT SHOULD BE MULTIPLE DENSITY AT THESE STOPS. IT NOW TERMINATES AT CHATSWORTH IN A RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY RATHER THAN AN INDUSTRIAL OR GREATER POPULATION POTENTIATED COMMUNITY, AND THAT COMMUNITY IS ALSO PRETTY UP TIGHT ABOUT THE POTENTIAL OF HAVING 2, 3, 4, STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN THEIR LINE OF SIGHT.

>> AND IT DEPENDS ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IF YOU LOOK AT -- EWE USE THE CITY OF L.A., IF YOU LOOK AT THE HOLLYWOOD PLAN, THEY EMBRACED THE DENSITY AROUND THE RAIL, AND IN MANY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AROUND THE NEW LIGHT RAIL LINES, IMMEDIATELY ABOUT SINGLE FAMILY, SO IT'S GOING TO TAKE AN EDUCATION PROCESS, A DIALOG WITH THE COMMUNITY AND EACH ONE'S INDIVIDUAL, SO WE HAVE TO SPEND SOME TIME ON THAT, BUT WE ARE STARTING AND WILLOWBROOK, I'M PLEASED TO SAY, WE'VE GOTTEN GRANT MONEY FROM MTA AND WE'RE ALSO AS BRUCE SAID LAY THING FOUNDATION IN PLANNING AND ZONING. THANK YOU.

>> I WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT. I DON'T SEE THIS AS GOING IN A TOTALLY DIFFERENT DIRECTION, THERE'S ALWAYS BEEN VOLUME I AND II AND III, MY UNDERSTANDING FROM BOARD OFFICES AND COMMISSIONS WERE THERE WERE GOING TO BE LESS CHANGES IN THINGS LIKE SPECIFIC PLAN, THERE'S NEED IN COASTAL APPROVAL SO IN ORDER TO KEEP THE PROJECT MOVING FORWARD, WE SAID LET'S GET THROUGH VOLUME I AND IT'S IN THE QUALITY CONTROL, THERE ARE BIGGER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES THAT HAVE COME IN QUALITY CONTROL THAT I THINK MS. NG MAY GET TO, IN DIRECTOR REVIEW, DISCRETIONARY, THOSE ARE TAKING A LOT OF TIME WORKING WITH CURRENT PLANNING STAFF AND ADVANCED PLANNING STAFF AND IT'S MAKING SURE WHEN WE DO DO THESE UPDATES, IT'S NOT JUST A PRETTIER, YOU KNOW, CODE TO WORK WITH, BUT IT'S ALSO MORE -- IT FUNCTIONS SO WE'RE WORKING ON THE FUNCTIONALITY PROCESS AND THAT

IS IN FOCUSING ON WHETHER OR NOT IT WORKS, VOLUME I ENABLES US TO CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, THANK YOU, MS. NG?

>> MS. NG: THANK YOU FOR THE INTRODUCTION, MS. LAFFERTY, GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION, MY NAME IS ADRIAN NG, I'M A PLANNER WITH THE ORDINANCE STUDY SECTION, MY PRESENTATION TODAY COVERS OUR PROJECT STATUS FOR THE TECHNICAL UPDATE TO TITLE 22.LAST SEPTEMBER, WE CONCLUDED THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TECHNICAL UPDATE, A TWO YEAR COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW BY THE DEPARTMENT STAFF AND BY YOUR COMMISSION.AT THAT DISCUSSION, WE STATED THAT WE WOULD CONDUCT ADDITIONAL OUTREACH WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT, INCORPORATE QUALITY CONTROL MEASURES AND PROVIDE THE COMMISSION WITH PERIODIC UPDATES AS TO THE STATUS OF THE PROJECT, OUR PRESENTATION OUTLINES OUR PROGRESS SINCE WE WERE LAST BEFORE YOUR COMMISSION.SINCE LAST SEPTEMBER, THE ORDINANCE STUDIES SECTION HAS ASSESSED BOTH THE PROGRESS MADE AND THE CHALLENGES THAT HAVE RISEN TO COMPLETING THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TECHNICAL UPDATE.WHILE WE'RE PROUD OF THE PROGRESS WE HAVE MADE, THERE'S STILL MUCH WORK TO BE DONE BEFORE THIS PROJECT IS READY FOR PUBLIC HEARING, THERE ARE TWO IMMEDIATE CHALLENGES ARE REVISING PERMITS AND PERMIT PROCEDURES AND MINIMIZING THE POTENTIAL RISK AND PLANNING FOR THE RESOURCES NEEDED TO PREPARE THE TECHNICAL UPDATE

FOR PUBLIC HEARING AND ADOPTION. MY PRESENTATION WILL COVER BOTH OF THESE CHALLENGE AND IS HOW WE PLAN TO ADDRESS EACH. CHALLENGE NUMBER 1, THE FIRST CHALLENGE REVISING PERMITS AND PERMIT PROCEDURES AROSE AFTER DUES WITH COUNTY COUNSEL AND DEPARTMENT STAFF, THE DISCUSSIONS HAD A HIGH LEVEL OF CONCERN WITH STANDARDIZED PERMITS, THE TECHNICAL PERMIT WOULD HAVE STANDARDIZED THE STANDARDIZED PERMITS IN THE EXISTING CODE, EACH OF THE TIGHT PERMITS CORRESPONDED TO A STANDARDIZED REVIEW PROCESS. THESE FIVE PROCESSES DEPENDED ON IF A CERTAIN REQUIREMENT WAS NEEDED SUCH AS A BURDEN OF PROOF, NOTIFICATION, FINDINGS OR A PUBLIC HEARING. COUNTY COUNSEL AND DEPARTMENT STAFF EXPRESSED CONCERNS THAT THIS PROPOSAL WASN'T USER-FRIENDLY, WOULD CREATE HAVOC WITH OUR FEE SYSTEM, WOULD INCORRECTLY OVERLAP REQUIREMENTS FOR OUR EXISTING PERMITS. IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS, WE RETAIN OUR PROPOSED [INAUDIBLE] INSTEAD OF STANDARDIZING THE PERMITS, WE PROPOSE TO STANDARDIZE THE PROCESSES, THROUGH A TIGHT REVIEW PROCESS. WE PREVIOUSLY PROPOSE TO HAVE PERMITS AND REGISTRATIONS IN ONE DIVISION, IN LIGHT OF THESE NEW REVISION, WE PROPOSE TO SEPARATE PERMITS AND PERMIT PROCEDURES INTO TWO DECISIONS, EVERY PERMIT THAT CAN BE FILED WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT WILL BE IN THE PERT DIVISION, THEY WILL USE THE PROCESS PERMITS, THEY'LL BE IN THE ADMINISTRATION PROCEDURE, ALL REFERENCES TO THE FIVE TYPE PERMITS MUST BE UPDATED. THIS WILL ENTAIL REPLACING THE FIVE PERSONALITIES [INAUDIBLE] THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE TECHNICAL UPDATE. CHALLENGE

NUMBER 2, THE SECOND CHALLENGE, MINIMIZING POTENTIAL RISK AND PLANNING FOR RESOURCES TO PREPARE THE TECHNICAL UPDATE FOR [INAUDIBLE] AND ADOPTION. ORDINANCE STUDY SECTION DETERMINED THE TWO BIGGEST POTENTIAL ISSUES THAT COULD CAUSE UNREASONABLE DELAY TO THE PROJECT WERE THE EXTENSIVE AMOUNT OF TIME AND RESOURCES FOR COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW AND A CHALLENGE TO ANY ONE OF THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICTS OR SPECIFIC PLANS, LET'S LOOK AT THESE TWO ISSUES. FIRST, COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW, IT TAKES AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF TIME AND RESOURCES FOR COUNTY COUNSEL TO REVIEW ANY AMENDMENT FOR TITLE 22, FOR THE SECONDHAND ORDINANCE, WEAN THE DAY THE ORDINANCE FINISHED AT YOUR COMMISSION AND THE DAY IT WAS READ FOR FINAL ADOPTION BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THIS ORDINANCE HAD MINIMAL CHANGES BETWEEN YOUR COMMISSION AND THE BOARD. ANOTHER EXAMPLE IS THE RURAL OUTDOOR OR DARK SKIES ORDINANCE, THERE WAS A ONE YEAR PERIOD WHEN DARK SKIES CONCLUDED HERE AND WHEN IT WAS READ FROM THE BOARD FOR FINAL ADOPTION, PUBLIC WORKS AND COUNTY COUNSEL AGREED TO CHANGES TO UPDATES, [INAUDIBLE] AND A MORE COMPLEX ORDINANCE LIKE DARK SKIES NEEDED ONE YEAR, WE HAVE GENUINE CONCERNS WITH RESPECT TO THE AMOUNT OF TIME REQUIRED FOR THE TECHNICAL UPDATE TO REACH FINAL ADOPTION. SECOND, A CHALLENGE TO CSD'S OR ITS SPECIFIC PLAN COULD BRING THE ENTIRE PROJECT TO A STOP. WE UNDERSTAND THAT COMMUNITIES ARE INVESTED IN THEIR CSD'S, ALTHOUGH THE TECHNICAL UPDATE DOES NOT PROPOSE TO MAKE SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE, WE PLAN TO ORGANIZE EACH CSD AND STANDARDIZE PROCESSING

LANGUAGE, IF A CSD WAS CHALLENGED HERE OR AT THE BOARD, THE ENTIRE TECHNICAL UPDATE WOULD COME TO A STOP, LIKewise FOR SPECIFIC LAN, ANY CHALLENGE COULD BRING THE TECHNICAL UPDATE TO A STOP. IN ORDER TO ADJUST THESE CHANGES, WE CREATED A PHASE STRATEGY THAT WOULD COMPLETE THE PROJECT, HOWEVER WE PROPOSE SEPARATE THE TECHNICAL UPDATE INTO THREE SEPARATE PHASES WITH TWO PUBLIC HEARINGS, ONE IS THE COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THE TECHNICAL UPDATE IN TITLE 22, WE FINISHED PHASE 1 BACK IN SEPTEMBER, WE'RE IN PHASE 2 NOW, PHASE 3 WILL ADDRESS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT AND SPECIFIC PLANS, PHASE 3 WILL BEGIN AFTER PHASE 2 IS ADOPTED. LET'S LOOK AT OUR PLANS FOR PHASE 2 AND 3, FIRST WE'LL LOOK AT PHASE 2. IN PHASE 2, OUR MAIN FOCUS IS COUNTYWIDE PROVISION, WE ARE REVISING PERMITS AND ADMINISTRATION, WE ARE ABOUT TO BEGIN OUR QUALITY REVIEW PROGRAM, IT WILL ENSURE THE TECHNICAL UPDATE IS CORRECT, CONCISE AND CLEAR, WE HAVE RECRUITED PLANNERS FROM THROUGHOUT THE DEPARTMENT TO INITIATE THIS PROGRESS. WE PLAN TO REVIEW THIS AND GIVE YOU INFORMATION ABOUT IT AT THE NEXT UPDATE. ALTHOUGH IT PLANNED TO MAKE SEVERAL IMPROVEMENTS, WE PLAN TO MAKE MINIMAL CHANGES TO EACH PART AS PART OF PHASE II, THESE CHANGES WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE TECHNICAL UPDATE BUT WILL NOT CHANGE ANY CONTENT, FOR CSD'S, WE PLAN TO RENUMBER AND ALPHABETIZE EACH CSD, THE SPECIFIC PLANS IN THE EXISTING CODE WILL REMAIN IN THE TECHNICAL UPDATE WITH THE EXISTING CODE NUMBERS, THESE ARE PLANS THAT WERE NOT ADOPTED WITHIN THE TEXT WILL NOT BE INCLUDED IN THIS

PHASE. PHASE 3 WILL FULLY INCORPORATE TECHNICAL UPDATE IMPROVEMENTS TO SPECIFIC [INAUDIBLE] AND PLANS. LET'S LOOK AT PHASE 3. BACK IN JULY AND SEPTEMBER OF LAST YEAR, I PRESENTED OUR SCOPE OF WORK FOR CSD'S AND SPECIFIC PLANS, PHASE 3 WILL INCORPORATE THIS WORK AND SEPARATE CSD'S AND SPECIFIC PLANS FROM COUNTYWIDE DIVISIONS. CSD'S WILL BE PLACED IN VOLUME II AND SPECIFIC PLANS WILL BE PLACED IN VOLUME 3, EACH CSD IN VOLUME 2 WILL BE REORGANIZED INTO STANDARD SECTIONS AND WILL FULLY INCORPORATE TECHNICAL UPDATES TERMS AND PROCEDURES, THE TWO SPECIFIC PLANS IN THE EXISTING CODE WILL BE MOVED INTO VOLUME THREE AND ALSO SPECIFIC PLANS THAT WERE ADOPTED AS SEPARATE DOCUMENTS WILL BE PLACED IN VOLUME 3, ALTHOUGH WE COMPLETED MUCH OF THE WORK, POSTPONING THE SPECIFIC PLANS REVISIONS TO PHASE 3 SHOULD FACILITATE A MORE RAPID ADOPTION OF PHASE 2 AND PHASE 3, SEPARATING CSD'S IN SPECIFIC PLANS REMOVES THE RISK OF POSTPONEMENT FROM A CHALLENGE TO EITHER PHASE AND ALLOWS US AND COUNTY COUNSEL TO ALLOW US TO GET PHASE 3 ADOPTED FIRST, PHASE 3 WILL REQUIRE US TO ADOPT A PROPER LEVEL OF OUTREACH IN SPECIFIC PLANNED COMMUNITIES, THESE COMMUNITIES ARE INVESTED IN THEIR CSD'S AND SPECIFIC PLANS AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THESE COMMUNITIES ARE RECEPTIVE TO THE PROJECT BEFORE WE TAKE PHASE 3 TO A PUBLIC HEARING. WE BELIEVE THAT OUR STRATEGY WILL AVOID UNREASONABLE DELAYS TO THE PROJECT, REMOVE THE BIGGEST SOURCE OF POTENTIAL CHALLENGES TO THE TECHNICAL UPDATE, REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT THE DEPARTMENT AND COUNTY COUNSEL WILL HAVE TO BEAR

IN EACH PHASE.OUR PHASE STRATEGY SHOULD LEAD TO A MORE RAPID ADOPTION OF THE TECHNICAL UPDATE.MY PRESENTATION THIS MORNING OUTLINED OUR NEW STRATEGY AND OUR IMMEDIATE STEPS FOR THIS PROJECT, MS. LAFFERTY PRESENTED THE HISTORY OF THE PROJECT AND HOW RESULTED FROM ZUPE TO THE TECHNICAL PROJECT, WE BROUGHT THIS TO YOU FROM THE BEGINNING TO OUR PREVIOUS STATUS, WE ANTICIPATE TO REPORT ON THE COMPLETION OF PERMITS AND ADMINISTRATION AND REPORT OUR STATUS ON THE QUALITY REVIEW MEASURES, THANK YOU, THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, MS. LAFFERTY, MR. DURBIN AND I ARE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.QUESTIONS, PLEASE?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THE TASK BEFORE YOU IS TREMENDOUS.AS WE START LOOKING AT THE ADMINISTRATION AND THE TWO DIVISIONS, HAS THERE BEEN A POSITION TAKEN BY BOARD DEPUTIES, BY THE BOARD IN RELATION TO THIS SPLIT FOR DIVISION 8 AND DIVISION 9?

>> MS. NG: WE HAVEN'T PROPOSED THIS TO THE BOARD DEPUTIES YET.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: OKAY.THE NEXT AREA IS, WOULD THERE BE AN ADVANTAGE AS WHEN WE START LOOKING AT I GUESS VOLUME III, THE CSD'S OF TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER BEFORE WE GO TOO FAR WITH THAT, A COALITION GROUP, YOU MIGHT SAY, OF REPRESENTATIVES FROM THOSE

CSD'S TO SIT DOWN AND TALK, TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE GOALS ARE, SO THAT IF THERE ARE CONCERNS THAT THEY HAVE, THOSE CAN BE BROUGHT FORWARD EARLY ON IN THE PROJECT DEVELOPMENT?

>> MS. NG: AGAIN, THE TECHNICAL UPDATE DOESN'T PROPOSE TO MAKE SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICTS, BUT WE CAN TAKE YOUR IDEA OF MEETING WITH THE CSD'S BEFORE WE START WORK ON PHASE III BEFORE --

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: ON THE PHASE III PORTION.

>> COMMISSIONER, I WANTED TO ADD THAT WE ACTUALLY WILL BE MAKING CONTACT WITH PLENTY OF THE CSD'S AS PART OF OUR RENEWABLE ENERGY ORDINANCE, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE STARTING OUTREACH WITH THE RENEWABLE ENERGY WITH OUR WORLD TOWN COUNCILS AND I'LL BE PARTICIPATING IN THAT, PART OF MY GOAL IS TO START INTRODUCING THE TECHNICAL IDEA OF THE UPDATE AND SAYING I WOULD LIKE TO COME BACK AND SPEAK TO YOU ON THIS ISSUE, WITH OUR LIGHTENING DISTRICT AND SUCH, AT THIS TIME, WE'RE NOT PROPOSING EXTENSIVE CHANGES OR ANY CHANGES TO DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS IN THE CSD'S, SO I DON'T SEE IT AS A LENGTHY CONVERSATION ABOUT WHAT CAN WE DO TO FIX THIS, IT WOULD BE MORE ABOUT WHAT ARE WE DOING TO THE CSD'S TO MAKE THEM COMPLIANT WITH THE NEW NOMENCLATURE AND THE NEW LANGUAGE OF THE

TECHNICAL UPDATE WHICH WAS JUST APPROVED BY THE BOARD, SO THAT'S HOW I'M APPROACHING OUTREACH WITH THE CSD'S WITH RESPECT TO THIS.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: BUT I THINK YOU'VE PUT THE FIRST STEP RIGHT WHERE IT NEEDS TO BE IN THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE A CONTACT WITH SOME OF THE LEADERSHIP OF THE CSD IN A NON-CONFRONTATIONAL POSITION, AND I THINK THAT YOU'VE LINED IT UP RIGHT.

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: I WOULD ECHO TO I THINK THE EXTENT THAT THESE ARE SIMULTANEOUS DISCUSSIONS THAT YOU DON'T PUT THE PHASE III OFF AND SAY WE'LL DO PHASE III LATER, THAT THERE'S CONCURRENT ACTIVITY GOING ON, RESOURCES HAVE GOT TO DICTATE THAT, BUT WHAT'S MISSING HERE IS, IS THERE A TIMELINE OR SOME EXPECTATION FOR PHASE III? IT JUST SAYS WHEN PHASE II IS OVER, BUT IT'S SORT OF OPEN-ENDED AND I THINK TO THE EXTENT TO WHICH THERE'S PRESSURE ON ALL OF YOU AS YOU'RE MOVING THROUGH THIS, LIKE MR. DURBIN SORT OF COMMENTS, WE'LL THROW THIS IDEA OUT SO AT LEAST IN THE MINDSET, EACH HAS A COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT, THAT THEY'RE COGNIZANT THAT THIS IS GOING TO COME UP AT SOME POINT AND START TO PUT IT ON THEIR RADAR SCREEN AND GET THAT IN, SO WHETHER THAT'S A YEAR AFTER COMPLETION, SIX MONTHS AFTER COMPLETION, IF YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE 2014 PERIOD FOR PHASE II, IS THAT DURING THE YEAR, INTO THE YEAR, MID YEAR, AND PERHAPS IS ANOTHER 6 MONTHS ON TOP OF THAT TO GET THE PHASE III PLEASE DONE?

>> I'LL GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT FOR MS. NG BECAUSE WE'VE TALK ABOUT THAT IN A DETAILED WAY, ONE OF OUR CHALLENGES NOW IS WITH ONE OF THE REVISION, WE'RE STILL GAUGING THE SCOPE OF EVERY REVISION WE KNOW, REMEMBER ALL THOSE PRETTY CHARTS WE HAD IN DIVISION 2, THE BASE ZONES AND IT SOWED NUMBER 1-5 AND WHAT PERMIT YOU NEEDED, WELL, ALL OF THOSE HAVE TO BE CHANGED BACK TO CUP, OAK TREE PERMIT, ALL OF THOSE ACRONYMS THAT WE ONCE HAD, SO JUST THIS ONE CHANGE REQUIRED MULTIPLE REVISIONS, SO MY POINT IS, AS WE'RE MAKING VERY DETAILED LISTS RIGHT NOW, OUR TASK LIST THAT MUST BE DONE BEFORE WE'RE READY, BEFORE THE TECHNICAL UPDATE IS READY AND WE'RE STILL ADDING TO THESE TASK LISTS BECAUSE WE'RE FINDING THINGS PERIODICALLY, HOWEVER, MS. NG AND I, THAT'S OUR GOAL, IF WE CAN GET THROUGH THIS WEEK IS TO CREATE A DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE PLAN, YOU'LL NOTE THAT PHASE 1 HAD A VERY DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE AND WE STUCK TO THAT LIKE CLOCK WORK EVEN WHEN WE WERE NEGLECTING OTHER PROJECTS TO DO SO, SO WE DID VERY WELL WITH PHASE I AND STAYING ON PROJECT SCHEDULE, I WOULD HAVE LIKED TO PRESENT YOU WITH A SCHEDULE FOR PHASE III, HOWEVER WE'RE STILL WORKING ON THAT, I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE SOMETHING BEFORE MAY IN TERM OF A DETAILED TASK LIST, AND IF WE CAN'T GET HERE BEFORE THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR, WE WILL CERTAINLY BE BACK HERE IN THE WINTER OR EARLY SPRING OF 2014 AND TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION ABOUT, WELL, WHEN DOES PHASE III COME ALONG, I'M NOT WAITING OR MY IDEA IS NOT TO

WAIT FOR BOARD ADOPTION, WE WILL START WORKING ON ALL OF OUR REVISIONS AND OUR HOMEWORK AND OUR OUTREACH AS TECHNICAL UPDATE IS GOING THROUGH LEGAL REVIEW OR WHATEVER IT IS, AND BEGINNING OUR OUTREACH AND OUR CHANGES. IF WE GET THROUGH PHASE II AND IF WE GET THROUGH YOUR BODY EARLY IN 2014, I CAN HONESTLY SEE US GETTING BACK TO YOU WITH PHASE II BY THE END OF 2014, BUT IT DEPENDS ON THE NEXT FEW WEEKS AND HOW MUCH WORK WE FIGURE OUT WE HAVE TO DO BUT YOUR POINT IS VERY WELL TAKEN, MR. MODUGNO, IT'S ON MY MIND ALMOST EVERY DAY.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WAS LOOKING FORWARD TO ON MY WATCH IS THE ASPECT OF A NEW GENERAL PLAN, AND I THOUGHT WE WERE MOVING ALONG FAIRLY WELL IN THAT DIRECTION. I'M STARTING TO REALIZE THAT MY WATCH IS GOING TO BE OVER AND WE'RE STILL NOT GOING TO BE THERE, AND IT KIND OF PUTS THE EMPHASIS AS, IT HASN'T BEEN DONE SINCE 85, AND HERE WE ARE STILL PUSHING ALONG. WE'RE MAKING GOOD PROGRESS, DON'T GET ME WRONG, BUT I THINK MY WATCH HAS GOT MAYBE A YEAR AND A HALF LEFT ON IT AND THEN IT'S GOING TO BE SOMEBODY ELSE'S TASK AND THE COMING UP TO SPEED FOR THAT NEW INDIVIDUAL WILL BE AN ARDUOUS TASK AS I SEE IT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, THANK YOU, NO FURTHER COMMENTS. MS. NG, DID YOU DESIGN THE POWERPOINT PRESENTATION? DID YOU DO THE SLIDES?

>> MS. NG: YES.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: YOU KNOW, MY SENSE IS THE RIGHT PERSON IS ASSIGNED TO THIS TASK.YOUR STRUCTURE, YOUR MENTAL APPROACH TO IT, I UNDERSTAND THAT THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE IS GOING TO ENGAGE YOU TO UPDATE THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE AFTER YOU COMPLETED THIS.IT'S VERY NICE WORK, SO MS. LAFFERTY AND MS. NG, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MS. NG: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.NO ACTION IS REQUIRED ON THIS UPDATE.SO, WE WILL --

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: IT PROBABLY OUGHT TO BE RECOGNIZED THAT WE RECEIVED A FILE, THE REPORT THAT IS PRESENTED, JUST SO THAT THIS REPORT IS ATTACHED TO THE MINUTES.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: SO NOTED, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS MATTER?

>> NO PUBLIC CARDS AT THIS TIME.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: AND IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY MATTER BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?

>> NO.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: NO, THANK YOU.COMMISSIONERS, IS THERE A CALL FOR REVIEW OF ANY DECISIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICERS?NO?THANK YOU.COUNTY COUNSEL, SECOND TIME, ZANIES?

>> NO REPORTS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: DEPUTY DIRECTOR, ANY REPORTS, DIRECTOR, ANY REPORTS?

>> WE HOPE TO BE BEFORE THE BOARD APRIL 23 ON UNIVERSAL, THAT'S MOVING RIGHT ALONG, AND SEVERAL WEEKS AGO, THIS COMMISSION REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED THE MILLS ACT AND WE HOPE TO BE BEFORE THE BOARD ON THAT SO TWO POSITIVE THINGS MOVING FORWARD.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THE MATERIAL BEING PRESENTED ON UNIVERSAL, HAVE THERE BEEN ANY DRAMATIC CHANGES OR STUMBLING BLOCKS?

>> NO, THE OUTREACH CONTINUES WITH THE NEIGHBORS WORKING WITH COUNTY COUNSEL, THERE'S BEEN WORD SMITING BUT NO MATERIAL CHANGES AT ALL, WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO A HEARING ON THE 23RD, HOPEFULLY UNEVENTFUL.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, DIRECTOR.COMMISSIONER HELSLEY?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I WAS GOING TO GO INTO YOUR NEXT ONE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: PLEASE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: AS WE LOOK AT CALENDAR FOR THIS NEXT REGULAR MEETING, WE HAVE A BI POTENTIAL, AND I WOULD MOVE THAT THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION CANCEL THE APRIL 17TH MEETING DUE TO LACK OF MATERIAL.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.MOVED.

>> VICE-CHAIR VALADEZ: SECOND.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED AND SECONDED.DISCUSSION?THOSE IN FAVOR?

>> VICE-CHAIR VALADEZ: YES.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OKAY, THAT MOTION IS PASSED.AND NOW?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: NEXT ITEM IF I COULD TAKE -- AND WE HAD A PRESENTATION OF TENTATIVE COMMISSION MEETINGS SCHEDULED, JULY THROUGH DECEMBER OF 2013 PRESENTED TO US AND I WOULD LIKE TO RECEIVE AND FILE THAT.

>> VICE-CHAIR VALADEZ: I BELIEVE WE APPROVE THAT OR IS IT RECEIVED AND FILED REGARDLESS.WE HAVE A MEETING SCHEDULED FOR THE MONDAY BEFORE THE THANKSGIVING HOLIDAY AND I WOULD LIKE TO CHANGE THAT AS TO A MEETING ONLY IF NECESSARY OR REQUIRED.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT REQUIRES ANY ACTION, BUT IT CAN BE NOTED.SO DIRECTED.

>> VICE-CHAIR VALADEZ: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: ANY FURTHER COMMISSIONER REPORTS, COMMENTS?I THEN SEEK A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

>> SO MOVED.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED, UNTIL APRIL 24TH, IF THERE'S NO OBJECTIONS, WE ARE ADJOURNED, THANK YOU.(MEETING IS ADJOURNED).