

>> CHAIR LOUIE: GOOD MORNING.I'D LIKE TO CONVENE THE JANUARY 23 AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION MEETING, AND I WOULD LIKE TO ASK COMMISSIONER MODUGNO IF HE COULD LEAD US IN THE FLAG SALUTE.

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: THANK YOU, WOULD YOU PLEASE JOIN ME TO HONOR OUR NATION.(PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE).

>> I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS ONE NATION UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME.FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE JOINING US FOR THE FIRST TIME, OUR STAFF MS. LIN DA GONZALEZ IS AT THE BACK AND HAS AGENDAS AS WELL AS SPEAKER CARDS SO, IF YOU PLAN TO SPEAK, YOU WILL NEED TO COMPLETE A SPEAKER CARD.I'D LIKE TO ASK FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA FOR THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION.

>> [INAUDIBLE].

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THOSE IN FAVOR.

>> AYE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: PASSED.I ALSO NOTE THAT COMMISSIONER [INAUDIBLE] IS NOT PRESENT AND ABSENT THIS MORNING.OKAY.AND WE WILL BEGIN OUR PUBLIC HEARINGS.FIRST IS THE PROJECT NUMBER, ITEM NUMBER 2, PROJECT NUMBER R200603145, MS. SAINZ.

>> SORRY, CAN I JUST REMIND YOU THAT YOU WOULD BE OPENING THIS PART OF THE MEETING TODAY AND YOU WILL CONVENE THE MEETING AND THEN OPEN THE MEETING FOR THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION WHEN YOU GET TO THOSE ITEMS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, AT THE END OF THIS MEETING FOR THE AIRPORT LAND USE, WE WILL HAVE THE REGULARLY SCHEDULED REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING.THANK YOU.MS. SAINZ.

>> MS. SAINZ: GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONER, MY NAME IS CARMEN SAINZ OF THE COMMUNITY STUDIES EAST SECTION WHICH ALSO SERVES AS STAFF TO THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION.THE ITEM BEFORE YOU IS A PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING THE ALUC REVIEW OF THE CITY OF BURBANK'S CITY OF PLAN UPDATE WHICH INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS, NOISE, SAFETY, LAND USE AND THE PLAN REALIZATION ELEMENT.THE REVIEW FOCUSES ON MOW THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE GENERAL PLAN UPDATE RELATE TO POLICIES IN THE L.A. COUNTY LAND USE PLAN.TO PLACE THIS PROJECT IN THE CONTEXT OF ALUC'S RULE, I WILL START WITH AN EXPLANATION OF THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION CONSISTENCY

ANALYSIS TO HAVE BURBANK 2035 GENERAL PLAN AND THE AIR PLAN LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN FOLLOWED BY STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION. ONE OF ALUC'S DUTIES IS TO REVIEW GENERAL PLAN UPDATES FOR CITIES WITH PUBLIC USE AIRPORTS FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN. THE REVIEW BOUNDARY IS THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA WHICH INCLUDES A 65 DECIBEL NOISE CONTOUR AND RUNWAY PROTECTION ZONES IN THE AIRPORT OWNERSHIP. THE COMPATIBILITY PLAN SETS FORTH NOISE AND SAFETY POLICIES FOR PROMOTING COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN AIRPORTS AND THE LAND USE THAT SURROUND THEM. AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY NOISE POLICY. IT ONLY APPLIES TO NEW USES. THE PRIMARY NOISE POLICY OBJECTIVE IS TO MINIMIZE THE AREA AND NUMBER OF PEOPLE EXPOSED TO HIGH NOISE LEVELS FROM AIRCRAFT. THE SAFETY COMPATIBILITY POLICY, THE SAFETY COMPATIBILITY OBJECTIVE IS TO RESTRICT DEVELOPMENT THAT COULD CONGREGATE PEOPLE ON THE GROUND WITHIN THE RUNWAY PROTECTION ZONES AND RESTRICT DEVELOPMENT OF LAND USES THAT MAY CREATE HAZARD FOR AIRCRAFT. THE CITY OF BURBANK CONTAINS THE BOB HOPE AIRPORT. IT IS LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE CITY. PORTIONS OF THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA ARE WITHIN THE CITY OF BURBANK. THE RELEVANT ELEMENT FOR ALUC REVIEW ARE THE LAND USE, NOISE, SAFETY AND THE PLAN REALIZATION ELEMENT WHICH CONTAINS IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS FOR THE GENERAL PLAN. THE LAND USE ELEMENTS, THE GOLDEN STATE COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, THE REGIONAL COMMERCIAL AND THE CORRIDOR COMMERCIAL LAND USE DESIGNATIONS CONTAIN AREAS IN THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA THAT

ALLOW HOUSING. TO PREVENT AIRPORT INCOMPATIBILITY ISSUES, POLICIES HAVE BEEN ADDED TO THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT FOR NEW HOUSING TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE LAND USE AIRPORT COMPATIBILITY PLAN. THE GENERAL PLAN CONTAINS A NOISE POLICY TO INFORM RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA OF NOISE EXPOSURE TO BOB HOPE AIRPORT. THE NOISE TABLE N3 IN THE FINALITY VERSION OF THE GENERAL PLAN WILL INCLUDE COMPATIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS FOR SCHOOLS, CHURCHES AND COMMERCIAL USES IN THE HIGH NOISE AREAS. THERE WERE NO ISSUES WITH THE SAFETY ELEMENTS. THE PLAN REALIZATION ELEMENTS, A NEW SECTION WILL BE ADDED TO THE LAND USE PROGRAM, LU10 TO REQUIRE THAT ALL MAJOR LAND USE ACTIONS BE REFERRED TO THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION FOR CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION. STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION FIND THE BURBANK 2035 GENERAL UPDATE PLAN COMPATIBILITY WITH THE AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN, AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU. COMMISSION, DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, THANK YOU. AS I COMPARED THE MATERIALS, I GUESS I SHOULD START OFF WITH A STATEMENT THAT DIRECTOR BRUCKNER PROBABLY WOULD ENJOY AND I THINK THAT BURBANK IS BEHIND WHERE WE ARE IN OUR GENERAL PLAN IN 1965, THAT THEY ARE PROGRESSING WELL. THE CONCERN I HAVE IS THAT THERE'S SOME

INCONSISTENCIES IN RELATION TO THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA, AIRPORT NOISE AREA AND THE MAPS THAT ARE INVOLVED THERE. IF YOU COMPARE THE MAP ON PAGE 4 OF THE BURBANK ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, THE 413-15 AND THEN WE RECEIVED A MAP THAT IS THE BURBANK GLENDALE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA WHICH BASICALLY OUTLINES THE 65 DECIBEL AREA OR THEREABOUTS. THEY DON'T CORRESPOND.

>> MS. SAINZ: IS THIS THE MAP THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: YES, THAT MAP.

>> MS. SAINZ: THIS MAP COMES FROM THE LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN WHICH IS OUTDATED SO OUR PLAN NEEDS TO BE UPDATED AND BURBANK'S NOISE CONTOUR MAP IS AN UPDATED MAP.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: OKAY. SO, WE'RE GOING TO BE ACCEPTING THE PAGE 15 MAP AS THE CURRENT MAP?

>> WELL, PERHAPS WE'RE SAYING THE 1991 PLAN, THE PLAN THAT WE -- WHAT WAS DEVELOPED FOR YOUR COMMISSION INCLUDES THE NOISE CONTOURS AS THEY EXISTED AT THAT TIME. THE NOISE CONTOURS CHANGE OVER TIME AND THE BURBANK GENERAL PLAN INCLUDES MORE RECENT NOISES OF CONTOURS, BUT AS THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN WAS WRITTEN, THE BOUNDARIES UNDER WHICH YOUR COMMISSION REVIEWS

POTASHES ARE DEFINED WITH THAT 1991 CONTOUR, SO EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY NOT CORRESPOND TO NOISE IMPACTS NOW, IT STILL DOES DEFINE THE AREA IN WHICH PROJECTS HAVE REFERRED TO, SO WE USE IT AS A BOUNDARY, IN GENERAL, AS YOU PROBABLY KNOW, NOISE CONTOURS BECAUSE OF DEVELOPMENTS IN AIRCRAFT ENGINES, THEY GENERALLY ARE SMALLER NOW SO THIS WOULD BE THE WORST CASE. THE EXISTING CONDITIONS USUALLY SINCE 1991 HAVE DECREASED THE NOISE EFFECT THROUGH THE AREA, SO IF YOU'RE CONCERNED THAT WE'RE NOT PICKING UP ALL OF THE AREAS THAT COULD BE AFFECTED, WE'RE PICKING UP MORE THAT COULD BE AFFECTED BY THE 65 NOISE DECIBEL CONTOUR AREA.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I LIKE THEIR MAP BETTER THAN OURS AND IT'S MORE RECENT WHICH MAKES SOME SENSE BUT THEY ARE NOT COMPATIBLE. IF WE TAKE AND PUT THE RPZ IN ON THE MAP AND ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH THE MAP THAT'S IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOOK IS THAT IT IS RESTRICTED TO THE BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY THEY'RE LOOKING AT, IT DOESN'T GO BEYOND THOSE BOUNDARIES AND WE HAVE THIS AS A MULTICITY JURISDICTIONAL AREA AND AT THAT POINT, I HAVE CONCERNS IN RELATION TO THE RPZ'S THAT ARE SUPERIMPOSED UPON THAT MAP.

>> YEAH, THEY HAVE AT TIMES REVIEWED AIRPORT MASTER PLANS AND IT MAKES SENSE TO SEE WHAT AIRPORT INFLUENCE FAUNS UPON TO SEE THE COMPLETE VIEW OF THE AIRPORT AFFECTED AREA. IN THIS CASE, WE ARE

REVIEWING THE CITY'S PLAN, SO IT MAKES SENSE TO SEE THAT IT'S THE CITY OF BURBANK THAT'S AFFECTED BY THE AIRPORT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: SO, THAT PORTION THAT IS NOT WITHIN THE CITY OF BURBANK REMAINS THE SAME AS WHAT OUR 95 --

>> 91.THE RUNWAY PROTECTION ZONES ARE AREAS THAT ARE DEFINED BY THE FAA, SO THAT -- AND THAT'S REGARDLESS OF WHO'S EVER PLAN THEY SHOW UP IN.IF THE RUNWAY PROTECTION ZONE CHANGES FOR SOME REASON IN SIZE, THAT WILL BE SOMETHING THAT GETS APPROVED BY THE FAA.OUR PLAN AS IT WAS DEVELOPED IN 91 PROBABLY DOESN'T HAVE AN ACCURATE DELINEATION OF THE RPZ'S, IT'S AN APPROXIMATE AND THAT WAS DUE TO THE MAPPING AT THE TIME.IF THERE'S MORE ACCURATE TIMES DUE TO THERE IS WITH AN ACCURATE LAYOUT PLAN, THAT IS WHERE YOU WOULD SEE IF THERE IS A BUILDING IN THE RPZ AND YOU LOOK AT COMPATIBILITY BASED ON THAT, NOT THIS MORE GENERALIZED VIEW WHICH IS IN OUR PLAN.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: AND WITH THIS, WE DID NOT SEE THE MORE DETAILED PLAN.

>> THE CITY OF BURBANK'S PLANS, ANY MAPPING THEY'VE DONE, WOULD, I ASSUME, I CAN'T SAY FOR SURE, THAT WOULD HAVE INCLUDED THE ACTUAL RUNWAY PROTECTION ZONES.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: OKAY.

>> AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUSLY IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I DIDN'T SEE IT IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, BUT THAT'S -- THE LAND USE COMPATIBILITY TABLE THAT WAS GIVEN TO US AS ATTACHMENT 2, CAN YOU KIND OF REVIEW FOR ME WHY WE SEE IN THE RESIDENTIAL AREA A BUILDING POTENTIAL WITHIN THE GRAY ZONE BETWEEN 65 AND 70, WHY ISN'T THAT DARK LINE OVER AT 65?

>> THE TABLE YOU'RE REFERRING TO IS THE TABLE FROM YOUR PLAN, THE 1991, YEAH, AND AT THAT TIME, THE -- AND THIS IS GENERALIZED FOR ALL THE 15 AIRPORTS WITHIN THE COUNTY'S REVIEW JURISDICTION, THE AFFECTED AREA FOR RESIDENTIAL EXTERIOR OBVIOUSLY INTERIOR IS A DIFFERENT MATTER, BUT FOR EXTERIOR ANY NOISE LEVELS THAT EXCEED 65 DECIBELS ARE CONSIDERED AREAS WHERE THEY WILL BE -- OVER 60, SOME CONSIDERING THAT SHOULD BE GIVEN AND MAYBE SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, BUT IN SOME WAY, THAT OVER 60, THAT'S NOT AUTOMATICALLY A COMPATIBLE USE AND WHEN IT GOES OVER 70, SO AND OF COURSE AS YOU'VE SEEN BEFORE, THE NOISE CONTOURS ARE USUALLY PROVIDED FOR THE AIRPORT IN INCREMENTS OF 5 DECIBELS, SO THERE'S REALLY NOT SUCH A THING AS SAY A 69 NOISE DECIBEL CONTOUR, THERE'S

A 65 AND A 70, ONCE YOU GO FROM THE AREA BETWEEN THE 70 AND THE 75, YOU CAN ASSUME THAT THAT AREA EXCEEDS 70 AND THEN WHAT OUR PLAN SAYS IS THAT RESIDENTIAL USES IN THOSE AREAS SHOULD BE DISCOURAGED. SO, ANYTHING UP TO 70 HAS -- BETWEEN 60 AND 70 COULD POTENTIALLY BE COMPATIBLE. THERE WILL BE SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, MAYBE OVER 70, MOST CASES, IT WILL BE INCOMPATIBLE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: AND YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE THAT THAT INCOMPATIBILITY LINE IS REASONABLE AT 70 RATHER THAN AT 65?

>> WELL, IT IS WHAT IT IS IN THE PLAN AND AT THIS STAGE, WE'RE OBLIGATED TO REVIEW THE CITY OF BURBANK'S PLAN AGAINST WHAT OUR ADOPTED COMPATIBILITY PLAN SHOWS. IN THE FUTURE, IT MAY BE WHEN THIS PLAN IS UPDATED, THERE MAY BE EVIDENCE TO SHOW THAT 60 WOULD BE BETTER, BUT THAT'S REALLY NOT WHAT WE'RE ABLE TO DO AT THIS STAGE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: AS WE GO THROUGH THE STAFF ANALYSIS, THE -- ON PAGE 3 OF 7, ITEM NUMBER 2 UNDER STAFF EVALUATION, THE STATEMENT IN THERE IS ON THE SECOND LINE, PROJECTS OCCURRING WITHIN THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA SHOULD BE COMPATIBLE. AND THIS IS PROBABLY FOR COUNSEL, SHOULD THE SHOULD BE A WILL?

>> I'M SORRY, COULD YOU REPEAT THE PAGE NUMBER, PLEASE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: PAGE THREE OF 7, IT'S -- AT THE BOTTOM, IT'S PAGE 3, THE STAFF ANALYSIS, PAGE 3 OF 7, I'M NUMBER 2, LAND USE ELEMENT GOAL 12.

>> I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION AND I SEE WHERE IT'S COMING FROM. COUNSEL IS HELP IF YOU WANT, BUT ALSO I THINK I KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER WILL BE TO THAT. GENERALLY THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION ARE AS MOUSSES FOR COMPATIBILITY, THERE'S NO HARD LANGUAGE THAT SAYS YOU SHALL NOT DO THAT AND YOU SHOULD DO THIS, THEY'RE PLANNING COMPATIBLE WITH THE AIRPORT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THAT PROBABLY ELIMINATES THE REST OF MY CONCERNS AT THAT POINT. I THINK THAT WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PLACES IN THERE WHICH ARE LIKE YOU SAY SOFT RECOMMENDATIONS, AND AT THAT POINT, ON PAGE 5, THE NOISE ELEMENT, POLICY 5.1, PROHIBITED INCOMPATIBLE LAND USES AT THE AIRPORT, WE STILL ALLOW SCHOOLS IN THERE UNDER --

>> I BELIEVE SO. ONE OF THE THINGS AS WE LOOKED AT THESE SORTS OF PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE FOUND IS THAT BRINGING UP THE ISSUE OR AT LEAST HAVING THERE BE A WAY THAT WHEN A PROJECT IS PROPOSED THAT HAS SOME POTENTIAL TO CONFLICT WITH THE OPERATION, JUST

IDENTIFYING THAT ALERTS THE JURISDICTION, IF THEY HAVE SOMETHING IN THE PLAN ALERTS THE JURISDICTION TO LOOK AT THE TWO AND THERE MAY BE DESIGN OPTIONS OR THERE MAY BE ANY NUMBER OF REASONS WHY THOSE TWO, THE AIRPORT AND THE SCHOOL OR WHATEVER IT TURNS OUT TO BE HAVE TO BE SITED WHERE THEY ARE, BUT THE IDEA I THINK IN BEHIND THE AERONAUTICS ACT IS THERE SHOULD BE SOME CONSIDERATION BEHIND THE AIRPORT WHEN THE JURISDICTION MAKES THOSE DECISIONS, AND IN THE STAFF REVIEW OF THIS PROJECT, WE WERE LOOKING TO SEE THAT THERE WAS SOME REFERENCE WHERE THERE COULD BE AN INCOMPATIBILITY, SOME REFERENCE OF AIRPORT OPERATIONS OR THE AIRPORT LAYING OUT ITS COMPATIBILITY PLAN SO AT THAT POINT WHEN A DECISION IS MADE, THEY ARE AWARE TO LOOK AT IT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE AIRPORT AS WELL.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THE INDUSTRIAL USES, I DON'T HAVE MUCH OF A PROBLEM BUT I CERTAINLY HAVE SCHOOL USES AS A PROBLEM, BEING AN EX-SCHOOL TEACHER, I WOULDN'T WANT TO TOUCH WITH AN AIRPLANE COMING IN EVERY 15 MINUTES OBLITERATING MY PRESENTATION OR THE STUDENT CONCENTRATION.

>> YEAH, AND I BELIEVE THERE ARE FROM THE STATE ARCHITECT, THERE ARE SOME CONSIDERATIONS THAT THEY HAVE, THEY SEND REFERRALS, SO IF THERE'S ANY SCHOOL THAT'S BEING PROPOSED WITHIN TWO MILES OF AN AIRPORT, SO THEY ARE AWARE OF HOW THAT CAN AFFECT THE ABILITY OF A CHILD TO LEARN.

>> AND THE STATE ULTIMATELY HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE SITING OF SCHOOLS, SO IT WAS A DIFFICULT LINE TO WALK BECAUSE YOU CAN'T TELL THE CITY YOU ABSOLUTELY CAN NEVER ALLOW THIS OR THEY DON'T HAVE CONTROL OVER IT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THE ASPECT OF SOUND DEADENING MAY WORK GOOD ON AN INSTRUMENT BUT IT CERTAINLY DOESN'T WORK WELL, I TAUGHT AT A SCHOOL THAT -- IT WAS ON A FLY AWAY IN COVERT FIELD IN SANTA MONICA AND, YES, WE WERE SOUNDPROOFED, BUT --

>> AND THIS OF COURSE IS LOOKING AT THE EXTERIOR SO THE PLAYGROUND AREAS AND THAT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: WE HAD A PLANE CRASH INTO WEBSTER A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, SO I THINK MY OTHER CONCERNS ARE THOSE SHOULD ROUTINES THAT I UNDERSTAND.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER. ANY OTHER DISCUSSIONS, QUESTIONS?

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: I JUST WANT TO COMMENT, I WAS CHUCKLING A BIT, MY WIFE TAUGHT AT SUN VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL FOR 22 YEARS WHICH WAS RIGHT IN THE PATHWAY FOR THE AIRPORT AND FOR AIR

CONDITIONING AND WITH THE 727'S BEFORE THE NOISE ABATEMENT, I CAN ONLY ASSURE YOU WHAT SHE USED TO COME HOME AND TELL ME AND IN THOSE DAYS BEFORE SHE LEFT THAT SCHOOL AND WHAT A MASSIVE IMPROVEMENT JUST IN TERMS OF THE SOUND OF THE AIRPLANES AND OF COURSE RUNNING THE AIR CONDITIONING IN THE CLASSROOM, BUT YOUR COMMENTS WERE WELL TAKEN BUT I WOULD SORT OF LAY THOSE BACK ALMOST 40 YEARS AGO.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> I HAVEN'T RECEIVED ANY COMMENT CARDS BUT I DO BELIEVE THE PEOPLE FROM THE CITY OF BURBANK ARE HERE AND ARE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS IF YOU HAVE ANY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: WOULD THE CITY OF BURBANK LIKE TO MAKE A STATEMENT? GOOD MORNING. PRIOR TO HAVING YOUR SAY, I NEED TO SWEAR YOU IN AND IN FACT IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER FOLKS THAT PLAN TO TESTIFY ON THIS MATTER, I'D LIKE YOU TO STAND AS WELL. OKAY. DO YOU SWEAR OR AFFIRM UNDER PENALTY OR PERJURY THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE MATTER NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COMMISSION SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH? THANK YOU. IF YOU COULD BEGIN YOUR STATEMENT WITH A STATEMENT OF YOUR NAME AND I BELIEVE THAT IT'S SIMPLY PUBLIC COMMENT SO THREE MINUTES.

>> WELL, I THINK WE WOULD TREAT THEM AS AN APPLICANT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: AND THEN AS AN APPLICANT, YOU WOULD HAVE 15 MINUTES TO MAKE YOUR STATEMENT.WE HAVE A TIMER OUT IN FRONT OF YOU, GREEN, YELLOW, RED, GREEN FOR THE 14 AND A HALF MINUTES, YELLOW FOR 30 SECONDS AND RED WHEN YOU SHOULD END YOUR STATEMENT.PLEASE.

>> I DOUBT I'LL COME CLOSE CLOSE TO 15 MINUTES, MY NAME IS TRACY STEINER, I'M A CITY PLANNER WITH THE TRANSPORTATION DIVISION, WE'RE PART OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO BRING BANK BURBANK 2035 WHICH IS OUR EFFORT TO COMPREHENSIVELY UPDATE THE CITY'S GENERAL PLAN.AS YOU KNOW, IT'S QUITE AN AGED DOCUMENT, WE HAVEN'T COMPREHENSIVELY UPDATED THE GENERAL PLAN SINCE THE MID 1960, AND MUCH HAS CHANGED ON THE GROUND AND AT THE REGULATORY LEVEL SINCE THAT TIME.IT'S BEEN A VERY ADVANTAGEOUS PROCESS WORKING WITH YOUR STAFF AND WE BELIEVE THAT THE ADJUSTMENTS THAT WE'RE PROPOSING TO MAKE TO THE DOCUMENT CAN CARRY FORWARD TO OUR CITY COUNCIL, WHICH A VERY GOOD OUTCOME AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH FUTURE PLANNING EFFORTS THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY.THAT BEING SAID, WE'RE ALL AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS, BOTH ON THE LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION FRONT, WE ALSO HAVE SOME

STAFF HERE FROM THE BURBANK BOB HOPE AIRPORT AND WITH THAT, I
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME THIS MORNING, AND THAT'S IT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY WANT TO REMAIN
SEATED FOR A MOMENT. SIR?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. BEFORE YOU
LEAVE THE SEAT, A QUESTION IN RELATION TO PLANNING, FUTURE
DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE CLOSE VICINITY OF THE AIRPORT, BOX STORES
AND THIS TYPE OF THING THAT NEED AN AMOUNT OF LEVEL OF OPEN SPACE,
THEY LOOK AT THIS OPEN SPACE TO BE USED IN THAT MANNER. ARE THERE
PLANS -- DOES YOUR PLAN GIVE THAT POTENTIAL USE TO LARGE BOX
STORES COMING IN ALONGSIDE THE RUNWAY AND USING THE RUNWAY AS OPEN
SPACE AS PARKING.

>> IF YOU LOOK AT SOME OF THE PROPERTIES IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO
THE AIRPORT, WE HAVE SOME THAT HAVE LAND USE DESIGNATIONS THAT ARE
ALLOWING FOR EITHER COMMERCIAL AND/OR INDUSTRIAL USE SO THERE'S
SOME FLEXIBILITY TO BE ALLOWED THERE, THERE'S PROPERTIES WITHIN
THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA THAT HAVE LAND USE DESIGNATIONS OF
REGIONAL COMMERCIAL THAT ARE INTENDED FOR THE TYPES OF USES THAT
YOU'RE DESCRIBING. WE ALSO HAVE OPEN SPACE, OPEN SPACE PROPERTIES
AS WELL, SO THERE REALLY IS A VARIETY OF LAND USE DESIGNATIONS
THAT FALL WITHIN THE AIRPORT INFLUENCE AREA. RIGHT NOW, WE'RE

ENTERING INTO A PLANNING PROCESS TO LOOK AT ALL OF THE PROPERTIES ADJACENT TO THE AIRPORT TO SEE WHAT FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES MAY EXIST, BUT IN TERMS OF, YOU KNOW, THE SHORT-TERM FUTURE, THAT REMAINS TO BE SEEN.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: OKAY.THE ONE ITEM I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE YOU LOOK CAREFULLY AT AND IT'S YOUR JURISDICTION, NOT OUR PURVIEW TO COME INTO THAT AND THAT IS -- I THINK YOU HAVE A STATEMENT IN HERE THAT SAYS THAT SCHOOLS CAN BE WITHIN THE 65 [INAUDIBLE] AREA AND INDUSTRIAL, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY BENEFICIAL THAT SCHOOLS WERE DROPPED OUT AND THE INDUSTRY, FINE IN THAT VERY TIGHT AREA OR IN THAT 65 DB AREA, I THINK IT'S ADVANTAGEOUS TO HAVE A SCHOOL, EVEN THOUGH IT CURRENTLY IS ALLOWABLE.OKAY, THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: STAFF, ANY CLOSING COMMENTS?

>> MS. SAINZ: JUST THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION ON THIS PROJECT.

>> I DO HAVE A COUPLE OF CORRECTIONS TO READ INTO THE RECORD FROM THE FINDINGS BASED ON THE DOCUMENT, IN THE SYNOPSIS SECTION WHICH IS THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THAT DOCUMENT, WE SUBJECTING THAT THE LAST SENTENCE BE STRICKEN, THE SENTENCE THAT READS THE PROJECT MAY THEREFORE BE REVIEWED FOR CONSISTENCY, ETC., THAT SENTENCE DUPLICATES WHAT'S SAID EARLIER.AND THEN ONE OTHER TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR, YOU CAN FIND THAT IN FINDING NUMBER 11, IT SHOULD BE THE WORD WHICH INSERTED SO I READ THE FINDING THAT THE PLAN IS CONSISTENT WITH THE ALUC POLICY G1 WHICH REQUIRES NEW USES TO ADHERE TO THE LAND USE COMPATIBILITY TABLE, NEXT SENTENCE, THE PLAN INCLUDES THE LAND USE COMPATIBILITY TABLE AND IT'S TABLE N3 AND THEN THERE NEEDS TO BE WHICH INSERTED THERE WHICH PLACES THE SAME TYPE OF RESTRICTIONS AS THE ALUCP, THOSE EXCHANGES.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.OKAY.CAN I GET A MOTION FOR -- PLEASE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD MOVE THAT THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION CLOSE THE PUBLIC AND FIND BURBANK 2035 GENERAL PLAN UPDATE CONSISTENT WITH THE AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN WITH THE REVISIONS AND AMENDMENTS AS NOTED.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED.DISCUSSION?VOTE?ALL THOSE IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>> AYE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OPPOSED?IT'S PASSED.THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MS. SAINZ: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.WITH THAT MATTER RESOLVED, I'M GOING TO CLOSE THE AIRPORT LAND COMMISSION MEETING, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.AND WE'LL NOW OPEN THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF JANUARY 23, 2013.IF I CAN ASK FOR APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA AND THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA?

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: MR. CHAIRMAN, MY UNDERSTANDING FROM EARLIER DISCUSSION IS THAT THERE IS AT LEAST ONE PERSON THAT IS PLANNING ON TESTIFYING ON ITEM NUMBER 6 AND 7 WHO WAS CAUGHT UP IN TRAFFIC, SO WITH THAT SUGGESTION, I WOULD MOVE THAT WE APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TAKE THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA AFTER APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES AND PRIOR TO COMMUNITY STUDIES NORTH DISCUSSION ITEMS, NUMBER 6.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED, THOSE IN FAVOR.AYE.

>> AYE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OPPOSED? THAT'S APPROVED, SO THE AGENDA IS MODIFIED AS APPROVED, WE WILL TAKE THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA FIRST. I WOULD ALSO SEQUENTIALLY LOOK TO REPORTS FROM COUNTY COUNSEL?

>> I HAVE NO REPORTS TODAY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, DEPUTY DIRECTOR?

>> [INAUDIBLE].

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, AND THEN LOOKING FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF DECEMBER 19TH. THOSE IN FAVOR, THOSE OPPOSED. THOSE ARE THREE MEMBERS VOTING IN FAVOR. I WAS ABSENT FROM THAT MEETING, SO I WILL NOW MOVE ON TO ZONING PERMITS, ITEM NUMBER 7, PROJECT NUMBER R201201036, MR. GLASER.

>> MR. GLASER: MY ROB IS ROB GLASER WITH THE PERMIT'S NORTH SECTION, I'LL BE DISCUSSING ITEM NUMBER 7X AS A DISCUSSION ITEM IN REGARDS TO PROJECT NUMBER R201201036-5 WITH ASSOCIATED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NUMBER DOCUMENT 201200120. NOW, FROM THE 2004 SEATAC MANUAL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTS ARE CONSIDERED FOR ECOLOGICAL REPORTS, STAFF IS REQUIRED TO RELY ON

SEATAC PROJECTS THAT MAY RESULT IN AN EIR OR A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WITH RESPECT TO BIOTIC IMPACTS, WHEN IT'S RECOMMENDED BY STAFF, IT'S REQUIRED TO BE FORWARDED TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION FOR YOUR REVIEW AND DETERMINATION PRIOR TO COMPLETION, NOW, THE APPLICANT FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY TODAY IS REDDING PROPERTIES LLC, THE ADDRESS FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS 24233 THE OLD ROAD IN NEWHALL AND ALSO IN THE NEWHALL ZONED DISTRICT. THIS PROPERTY AS SHOWN UP HERE IN THE CENTER SCREEN IS RIGHT ADJACENT TO THE POST OFFICE WHICH IS KIND TO HAVE BIG CEMENT RIGHT THERE AT THE VERY BOTTOM OF THE SCREEN, BASICALLY IT'S UP ON THE FIVE FREEWAY, IT'S THE GATEWAY INTO THE WESTERN SIDE OF THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY. NOW, THE PROJECT REQUEST IS TO AUTHORIZE THE CONSTRUCTION OF A PRIVATE HISTORICAL VEHICLE COLLECTION FACILITY LOCATED IN THE A-2 HEAVY AGRICULTURAL ZONE WHICH IS IN THE SANTA SUSANA MOUNTAINS MOUNTAIN AREA, IT IS REQUIRED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A HISTORICAL VEHICLE COLLECTION FACILITY SINCE IT IS LOCATED WITHIN THE SEA AND A RECOMMENDATION FROM A SEATAC IS REQUIRED TO REDUCE POTENTIAL PROJECT IMPACT, A CARETAKER'S FACILITY IS REQUESTED AS PART OF THE CUP, IN ADDITION, A DIRECTOR'S REVIEW APPROVAL FOR THE HISTORICAL COLLECTION USE IN THE A-2 ZONE WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED. CURRENTLY THE PROJECT IS DEVELOPED WITH A MANUFACTURED HOME AND AN OFFICE BUILDING, THE PROPERTY USED TO CONTAIN A DOG KENNEL AND SOME PARTS OF THAT STILL REMAIN. IT HAS BEEN CLEANED UP SINCE. THE PROPERTY -- NOW, ALL THE

EXISTING AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS CONFINED TO AN ALREADY DISTURBED PORTION OF THE PROPERTY AND NO PROPOSED WORK WILL BE WITHIN THE PROTECTED ZONE OF ANY OAK TREE. UNDER THE RECENTLY ADOPTED ONE VALLEY ONE VISION PLAN, THE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA BOUNDARY WAS ADOPTED TO SEE THE BOUNDARY OF THE GROUND DISTURBANCE, THE PROPERTY STILL CONTAINS THE PORTION OF A SEA AND A CUP IS STILL REQUIRED. NOW, THIS PROJECT IS BEING PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION AS A DISCUSSION ITEM FOR CONCURRENCE AS TO APPROPRIATE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, A SEPARATE PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE SCHEDULED FOR THE ACTION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, A DRAFT INITIAL STUDY AND SUPPORTING REPORTS HAVE SHOWN THAT ALL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS WITH SUPPORT OF SEATAC RECOMMENDATIONS ARE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT AS PROPOSED, THEY HAVE REVIEWED THE PROJECT AND HAVE MADE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PROJECT, AND BASED ON THIS INFORMATION, A DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN PREPARED. AT THIS STAGE, STAFF IS REQUESTING THE COMMISSION'S CONCURRENCE ON STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION BASED ON THE COMPATIBILITY WITH THE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA AND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF SEATAC, THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU. SIR?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: A QUESTION IN RELATION TO -- DID YOU GIVE US A MOTION?

>> MR. GLASER: I DID PROVIDE IT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: DISCUSSION?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THE ONLY COMMENT I HAVE IS THAT THERE IS A PAD ZONE THAT IS A RESIDENCE THAT WAS REMOVED AND THERE'S NO EXPANSION PLAN TO GO INTO THAT AREA, IS THAT UNDERSTANDING CORRECT?

>> MR. GLASER: THAT IS CORRECT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER PEDERSEN: I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THIS FACILITY WILL BE USED FOR STORAGE ONLY, IT WILL NOT BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AS FAR AS --

>> THAT'S CORRECT, THIS WILL BE A PRIVATE HISTORICAL VEHICLE COLLECTION AND IT WILL NOT BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

>> COMMISSIONER PEDERSEN: OKAY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: IS THERE AN APPLICANT THAT'S PRESENT THAT NEEDS TO MAKE A STATEMENT?

>> MR. GLASER: YES, THERE IS AN APPLICANT PRESENT AND HE'S HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: GREAT, WOULD THE APPLICANT LIKE TO STEP FORWARD?GOOD MORNING.SIR, I DON'T BELIEVE I SWORE YOU IN EARLIER?

>> NO.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: IS THERE ANYONE ELSE WHO PLANS TO -- THERE MAY BE SOME OTHER MATTERS THAT FOLKS WANT TO TESTIFY ON, SO BEYOND YOUR MATTER, IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE WHO PLANS TO TESTIFY ON THIS OR ANY OTHER MATTERS ON OUR AGENDA FOR THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION?IF YOU ARE, PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND AND STAND.DO YOU AND EACH OF YOU SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY (SWEARING IN).PLEASE HAVE A SEAT, AGAIN, YOU'LL HAVE 15 MINUTES, THE GREEN LIGHT WILL BURN WITH 14 AND A HALF, THE YELLOW WILL COME ON WITH 30 SECONDS REMAINING AND THEN THE RED.YOU CAN BEGIN YOUR STATEMENT WITH YOUR NAME.

>> GARY [INAUDIBLE] I DIDN'T HAVE A SPEECH READY OR ANY STATEMENT FOR YOU, I'M WORKING FOR MR. REDDING AND WE PLAN TO DO A PRIVATE

BUILDING FOR HIS PRIVATE COLLECTION, HE'S RETIRED, HE'S NOW SOLD TOYOTA AND WE HAVE HIS COLLECTION AND WE'LL BE MOVING IT TO THIS LOCATION, IT HAD OVER 81 ANIMAL PINS IN SOMEWHAT DISREPAIR, 9 RESIDENCES AND ONE HAD A PERMIT TO IT, AND FROM THE NORTH SIDE OF THE L.A. COUNTY, THEY SITED IT AS ONE OF ITS MOST SITED PROPERTIES IN THE AREA, IT WAS AN EYE SORE.WE'VE MOVED OVER 100 TRUCKLOADS OF TRASH OFF OF THERE, WE RECYCLED 20 POUNDS OF CONCRETE, RECYCLED ALL THE METAL AND IT'S BEEN QUITE A LOT OF WORK.SOME DAYS, THE BOSS WOULD SAY, WHAT ARE YOU DOING, I SAID ALL WE CAN FOCUS ON WHAT'S AHEAD OF US, NOTHING TO THE SIDES, IT WAS SO OVERWHELMING, THE PROPERTY IS VERY CLEAN, VERY CLEAR AND IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE, WORKING WITH EVERYBODY FROM PLANNING, SEATAC, SOME PEOPLE HAVE EVEN COME OUT TO OUR PROPERTY JUST TO TAKE A LOOK TO SEE WHAT WE WERE DOING AND I APPRECIATE IT.SOME PEOPLE TELL ME IT'S A HARD PROCESS AND PAPER WORK AND IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE.SOME OF THESE PEOPLE HAVE BEEN TAKING ME UNDER THE WINGS, ERNIE, THIS IS HOW YOU DO THINGS AND I'VE TAKEN A LOT OF ADVICE, BUT WE LOOK FORWARD TO BEING A VERY RURAL, RUST I CAN, KIND OF DISNEY LAND FOR THIS GENTLEMAN THAT'S RETIRING, I'M GOING TO PUT A FAKE WINDMILL UP, A FAKE WATER TOWER, WE HAVE A FAKE GAS STATION FACADE, AND WE'VE GOT A GENERAL STORE THAT'S ON THE PROPERTY AND IT'S BEEN USED FOR NUMEROUS MOVIE SCENES, WE PLAN ON PUTTING A NICE FENCE IN THE FRONT FOR SECURITY, IT WILL ASK TO THE RUST I CAN CHARM OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND WE PLAN ON BEING LIKE -- I WROTE IN MY

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY WE PLAN ON BEING LIKE THE -- SETTING THE TONE FOR THE NEW OLD ROAD.WE WANT TO BE GOOD NEIGHBORS FOR EVERYBODY, EVERYBODY AROUND HAS BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE, THE RANGERS FROM NEXT DOOR AT THE PARK, ALL THE NEIGHBORS ACROSS THE STREET, THEY KEEP TELLING US WE'RE MAKING THEM LOOK BAD BECAUSE WE TOOK SO MUCH JUNK OFF OF THE PROPERTY AND I'LL DONE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: I APPRECIATE THE COMPLIMENTS, MAYBE YOU CAN WRITE A LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION THAT WE CAN POST, IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU'RE CREATING THE SECOND HAPPIEST PLACE IN THE WORLD.HOW MANY VEHICLES ARE GOING TO BE STORED THERE?

>> WELL, AS OF NOW, WE'VE HAD TO THIN IT OUT A LITTLE BIT SINCE HE DID SELL TOYOTA, HE'S GOT A FACILITY THAT WILL TOLD -- I THINK WE HAVE 18 CARS IN THERE RIGHT NOW, AT ONE TIME, IT WAS 30 CARS.HIS CARS ARE BIGGER AND SOME OF THEM ARE CLASSIC AND RARE TO FIND AND HE'S GOT A 1995 TOYOTA SUPRA THAT THEY STOPPED MAKING YEARS AGO, HE HAS SOME FORDS, JUST A MIX OF CARS, THIS IS WHERE HE GOES TO RELAX AND UNWIND, I MAKE HIM WALK BECAUSE HE'S HAD SURGERIES AND EXERCISE AND LOTS OF PICKET TABLES TO RELAX AND TAKE HIS GRAND KIDS OUT AND IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE.I WORE A SEAT AND TIE FOR 35 YEARS AND NOW MY DRESS CODE IS BOOTS SO I'VE BEEN ENJOYING IT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: VERY NICE, YOU MENTIONED FILMING, IS THERE ANY THOUGHT THERE WOULD BE FILM INCOME GENERATE FRAMED THE FACILITY?

>> NO, NOT NECESSARILY, THE RANCH HAD RENTED IT OUT TO FILMING PEOPLE BEFORE, WE WANT TO KEEP IT PRIVATE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OKAY, THANK YOU. QUESTIONS? PLEASE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, THANK YOU. KEEPING IT PRIVATE, I HOPE THAT IT SAYS THAT PRIVATE PARTIES CAN STILL HAVE OBSERVANCES AND AFFAIRS THERE TO ENJOY THE VEHICLES, IS THAT TRUE?

>> I THINK HE TOLD SOMEBODY ABOUT HAVING A MEETING THERE FOR THE COUNTY, HE HAD TALKED ABOUT THAT BEFORE, AND I KNOW HE'S OPEN THAT. I'M BUILDING ONE ROOM THAT WILL BE VERY NICE AND VERY PRETTY INSIDE WITH ONE OR TWO CARS AND BE A MEETING ROOM, A SITTING AREA, JUST TO GET TOGETHER AND GATHER. THE REST OF IT WOULD BE A BIG STORAGE FACILITY INSIDE, BUT YEAH, HE WAS OPEN TO THAT. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW WHO MR. REDDING WAS, HE WAS INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY, HOSTED LOTS OF CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND MEETINGS LIKE THAT SO HE'S PLANNING ON DOING THE SAME THING NOW.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: SO, WHEN IT SAYS PRIVATE, IT'S NOT GOING TO BE OPEN FOR PUBLIC ADMISSION AS A PUBLIC THING, BUT

PARTIES CAN STILL HAVE AFFAIRS THERE AND IT WILL HOST PRIVATE MEETINGS.

>> A FAMILY REUNION OR SOMETHING LIKE IT, YES, SIR.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: ANY OTHER DISCUSSIONS, QUESTIONS?

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS ONE WHO LIVES A MILE AWAY AND HAS LIVED A MILE AWAY FOR ALMOST 30 YEARS, I DRIVE BY THIS VERY FREQUENTLY BECAUSE IT'S ON MY WAY IN AND OUT OF THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SO I CAN ATTEST TO THE FACT THAT IT'S GONE THROUGH MANY ITERATIONS, FROM THE TIME THERE WAS A RANCH THERE WITH THE ANIMALS ACROSS THE STREET, IT'S A FAIRLY ECLECTIC AREA AND I THINK ANYTHING THAT CAN IMPROVE IT WILL BE A GREAT ADDITION TO THE AREA, SO I LOOK FORWARD TO IT AND HOPE PERHAPS WE MIGHT GET A PRIVATE TOUR OF THE CARS OR SOMETHING AS ONE WHO IS A GREAT ADMIRER OF CARS AND IS A FREQUENT VISITOR OF THE ECLECTIC COLLECTION, AFTER REVIEW OF IF MATERIALS PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION, I MOVE THAT THE REGIONAL COMMISSION CONCUR WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR PROJECT NUMBER R2012-01036 UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NUMBER 201200120.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: SECOND.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: I BEG YOUR PARDON, MAULED AND SECONDED, THOSE IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: AYE.OPPOSED?IT'S APPROVED.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: POINT OF DISCUSSION, AND THAT IS IT WOULD BE NICE TO POSSIBLY HAVE A FIELD TRIP TO TOUR THE FINISHED FACILITY.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND YOU THE ACTION YOU TOOK IS TO APPROVE THE STAFF TO GO AHEAD WITH THE VIRTUAL SO THE HEARING FOR THE ACTUAL USE WILL COME LATER.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.YOUR COMMENT IS SO NOTED.THANK YOU.OKAY.NEXT ITEM, ITEM 7Y, PROJECT NUMBER R200603240, MS. SIEMERS?

>> MS. SIEMERS: GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS, ZONING PERMIT'S NORTH SECTION, AGENDA ITEM 7Y IS A DISCUSSION ITEM IN REGARDS TO PROJECT NUMBER R2006-03240-5, ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NUMBER 2001100161.FROM THE 2004 SEATAC PROCEDURES, ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS

ARE GENERALLY REQUIRED FOR PROJECTS IN SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREAS, STAFF IS REQUIRED TO RELY ON SEATAC COMMENTS IN MAKING ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATIONS ON PROJECTS THAT MAY RESULT IN AN EIR OR NEGATIVE DECLARATION WITH RESPECT TO BIOTIC IMPACTS. WHEN A NEGATIVE DECLARATION IS RECOMMENDED BY THE STAFF, THE PROCEDURES ARE TO BE FORWARDED TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION FOR YOUR DETERMINATION PRIOR TO COMPLETION. THE APPLICANT IN THIS CASE IS AT&T, THE ADDRESS IS 26650 THE OLD ROAD NEWHALL IN THE NEWHALL ZONED DISTRICT. THE PROJECT IS A REQUEST FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A NEW WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY TO BE LOCATED ON AN EXISTING THREE STOREY COMMERCIAL BUILDING IN THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF SANTA CLARITA, THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE WTF LOCATED IN THE C-3 ZONE, THE PROPOSED WTF CONSISTS OF 12 PANEL ANTENNAS WITH ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT, THE ANTENNAS ARE CAMOUFLAGED BY A FREQUENCY FRIENDLY PARA PET WALL INTEGRATED INTO THE FACADE OF THE BUILDING, THE ASSOCIATED TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT IS LOCATED AT THE CENTER WEST OF THE ROOFTOP WITH 9 CABINETS ON A 16 BY 30 FOOT LEASE AREA ON A STEEL PLATFORM. THERE ARE ALREADY THREE .EXISTING ROOF MOUNTED WIRELESS FACILITIES ON THIS BUILDING, ACCESS TO THE FACILITY IS PROVIDED THROUGH A FIVE FOOT WIDE ROOFTOP WALKWAY VIA INTERIOR ACCESS STAIRS WHICH ARE RESTRICTED ONLY TO BUILDING MANAGEMENT. THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS LOCATED ON A PARCEL THAT IS DEVELOPED WITH A

THREE STOREY BUILDING IN WHICH THERE ARE OFFICES AND A BANK AND ASSOCIATED PARKING AND LANDSCAPING.THE PROPERTY WAS DEVELOPED AS A PART OF THE WEST RIDGE COMMUNITY, A LARGE GOLF COURSE SURROUNDS THE PROJECT SITE TO THE SOUTH AND WEST, THE WEST RIDGE COMMUNITY SURROUNDS THE SITE TO THE NORTH AND EAST.SO, AGAIN, THE PROJECT IS LOCATED WITHIN A FULLY DEVELOPED COMMUNITY.HOWEVER, THE PROJECT IS ALSO LOCATED WITHIN SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA NUMBER 64, THE GOLDEN STATE FREEWAY IS LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 500 FEET TO THE EAST OF THE PARCEL.THE PROJECT IS BEING PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION AS A DISCUSSION ITEM FOR CONCURRENCE AS TO THE APPROPRIATE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT, A SEPARATE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROJECT IS SCHEDULED FOR FEBRUARY 19TH BEFORE A HEARING OFFICER.A DRAFT INITIAL STUDY AND SUPPORTING REPORTS HAVE SHOWN THAT ALL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS WITH SUPPORT OF SEATAC RECOMMENDATIONS ARE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT AS PROPOSED, SEATAC HAS REVIEWED THIS PROJECT AND HAS MADE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PROJECT SO THAT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS ARE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.BASED ON THIS INFORMATION, A DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN PREPARED.AT THIS STAGE, STAFF IS REQUESTING THE COMMISSION'S CONCURRENCE ON STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR A NEGATIVE DECLARATION BASED ON THE PROJECT'S COMPATIBILITY WITH THE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM SEATAC.THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, QUESTIONS, DISCUSSION?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I WOULD ASK THIS OF COMMISSIONER MODUGNO PROBABLY, IS THERE A VIEW DOWN ON TOP OF THAT BUILDING FROM THE FREEWAY?OKAY, I COULDN'T FIND ONE, BUT I DIDN'T KNOW EXACTLY WHERE THE BUILDING WAS.THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.IS THERE AN APPLICANT?

>> WE HAVE ONE PERSONAE UP, IT IS THE APPLICANT, MR. POMOROY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: FOR CLARIFICATION, YOU ARE THE APPLICANT.

>> YES, REPRESENTING AT&T.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: ONCE AGAIN, YOU HAVE 15 MINUTES, 30 SECOND WARNING, RED LIGHT.

>> VERY GOOD.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: PLEASE.

>> VANCE POMOROY REPRESENTING AT&T TODAY.WE UNDERSTAND THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COUNTY FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD TO THE COMMISSION, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO NOTE TO THE COMMISSION THAT THIS

APPLICATION WAS FILED IN AUGUST OF 2011 AND THAT A NUMBER OF DECISIONS MADE ALONG THE WAY HAVE MADE A PROCESS THAT COULD HAVE BEEN COMPRESSED CONSIDERABLY TO TAKE THIS LONG SO THAT WE HAVE HAD 6 HEARINGS TOTAL WHEN WE COUNT IN THE ONE NEXT MONTH FOR THIS ITEM ON A PROJECT THAT'S ON A ROOF WITH WIRELESS ALREADY THERE, SO AT&T HAS EXPRESSED CONCERN TO ME TO PROVIDE TO YOU AS TO THE AMOUNT OF TIME IT'S TAKEN TO PROCESS THIS PROJECT.WITH THAT, STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION WE CONCUR WITH.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.YOUR TIMING ISSUES HAVE BEEN SO NOTED.ANY QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT?

>> COMMISSIONER MODUGNO: YEAH, I'M SORRY IT'S TAKEN SO LONG, IT'S THE FIRST TIME WE HAVE SEEN IT.TO KEEP THINGS MOVING, I MOVE THAT THE COMMISSION CONCUR WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF PROJECT R201201036 AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NUMBER 201200120.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MOVED, SECONDED, THOSE IN FAVOR?AYE.OPPOSED?APPROVED.THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MS. SIEMERS: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: OKAY.I BELIEVE WE'VE LOWERED THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA AND NOW ARE MOVING TO THE REGULAR AGENDA, ITEM NUMBER 6, PROJECT NUMBER 02305, MS. MENKE.IF THAT'S GOING TO TAKE A FEW MOMENTS, I SUGGEST WE TAKE AN 8 MINUTE BREAK UNTIL 10:00 AND RECONVENE.THANK YOU.(MEETING IN RECESS FOR 8 MINUTES UNTIL 10:00).

>> CHAIR LOUIE: I'D LIKE TO RECONVENE THE REGULARLY SCHEDULED REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AND I THINK WE'VE GOT OUR TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES RESOLVED AND WE CAN NOW BEGIN ON ITEM NUMBER 6, PROJECT NUMBER 02305, MS. MENKE.

>> MS. MENKE: GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONER, MY NAME IS BRIANNA MENKE AND I'M WITH THE DIVISION STUDIES NORTH SECTION, I'M GOING TO BE GIVING YOU AN OVERVIEW OF THE MOST RECENT UPDATE DRAFT THAT WE HAVE RELEASED FOR THE HILLSIDE MANAGEMENT AREA ORDINANCE.IT IS COMBINED WITH THE SEA ORDINANCE, WE DECIDED TO UPDATE THE HMA ORDINANCE AS WELL.THE LAST DRAFT THAT WE RELEASED WAS A COMBINED DRAFT WITH AN SEA ORDINANCE AND THAT WAS IN NOVEMBER, 2011, WE HAVE BROKEN THOSE INTO TWO SEPARATE ORDINANCES AND THAT'S HOW THEY'LL BE PROCEEDING, WE RELEASED OUR MOST RECENT DRAFT WHICH I'LL BE GOING OVER TODAY ON DECEMBER 6, 2012.SO, THE OVERVIEW OF SOME OF THE MAJOR DIFFERENCES YOU'LL SEE IN THE NEW DRAFT AND I'LL GO INTO MORE DETAIL ABOUT HOSES, WE'RE PROPOSING TO DO AWAY WITH THE NO SLOPE DENSITY CALCULATION WHICH WILL AFFECT THE APPLICANT

AND WE HAVE SOME PROVISIONS WERE THE OPEN SPACE GUIDELINES AS WELL AS A DESIGN SECTION THAT WE'LL INCLUDE IN THIS DRAFT. THE PURPOSE OF THIS DRAFT IS TO PROTECTING THE HILLSIDE GOALS, THIS DRAFT IS FOCUSED ON GOOD DESIGN AND BEST PRACTICES, WE CONVENED IN HMA WORKING GROUP WITH MEMBERS OF OUR CURRENT PLANNING STAFF AND WE'VE KIND OF JUST TRIED TO FOCUS ON WHAT GOOD PROJECT DESIGN IS AND KIND OF WRITE THAT INTO THIS ORDINANCE, THE SECOND PURPOSE IS AVOID EXCESSIVE GRADING AND LAND FORM RESTORATION, ONE OF THE DIFFERENCES YOU'LL SEE IS WE'VE DONE AWAY FROM THE DIFFERENT DEFINITIONS OF URBAN HILLSIDE AREAS COMPARED TO NON-URBAN HILLSIDE AREA, ANY PORT OF A LAW OR PARCEL OF A LAND WITH A NATURAL SLOPE OF 25% OR GREATER. AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THAT LITTLE ANIMATION, IT'S THE PORTION OF THE PARCEL WITH THAT SLOPE, IT WOULDN'T APPLY TO THE ENTIRE PARCEL. CURRENTLY, THE WAY THAT WE DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT A PROJECT IS GOING TO REQUIRE A CUP IN AN URBAN HILLSIDE MANAGEMENT AREA IF THE PROJECT PROPOSING A DENSITY THAT EXCEEDS A MIDPOINT DENSITY RANGE, A CUP WOULD BE REQUIRED, IN A NON-URBAN HILLSIDE AREA, THE APPLICANT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO DO A SLOPE DENSITY CALCULATION WHERE THEY MULTIPLY THE NUMBER OF ACRES IN THREE DIFFERENT SLOPE CATEGORIES BY A DENSITY THRESHOLD AND THEN ADD THE NUMBER OF ACRES AND DIVIDE BY THAT TOTAL NUMBER OF ACRES IN THE PROJECT AREA, IF THEIR PROPOSED DENSITY EXCEEDS THAT LOW DENSITY THRESHOLD, THEN A CUP WOULD BE REQUIRED AND WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IN THIS NEW DRAFT IS TO DO AWAY WITH THAT SLOPE DENSITY

CALCULATION AND HAVE THE ORDINANCE APPLY TO FOUR TYPES OF PROJECTS AND THOSE WOULD BE LAND DIVISION PROJECTS, THE DEVELOPMENT OF TWO OR MORE PAR SELLS OF LAND, THE RELOCATION OF PROPERTY LINES WHICH WOULD CREATE THREE OR MORE LOTS IN A COORDINATED EFFORT AND PRIVATE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS THAT WOULD NOT BE RELATED IN SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT BUT THINGS SUCH AS ROADS AND WIRE AND SEWER MAINTENANCE, IF AN APPLICANT WERE TO UNDERTAKE ONE OF THESE FOUR TYPES OF PROJECTS AND THAT PROJECT WOULD TOUCH A SLOPE OF 25% OR GREATER, A CUP WOULD BE REQUIRED AND THAT'S HOW THE NEW APPLICABILITY SECTION WOULD WORK IN THIS DRAFT. MOVING ON TO THE CUP APPLICATION MATERIAL, WE WORKED WITH STAFF TO SEE WHAT THEY'RE REQUIRING FROM APPLICANTS AND INCLUDE THAT IN THE ORDINANCE, THIS IS THINGS SUCH AS SIGHT PHOTOGRAPHS, PROJECT EXHIBITS THAT WOULD INCLUDE A SLOPE MAP AND AN OPEN SPACE EXHIBIT, INFORMATION ON PROPOSED STRUCTURES AND OUR LANDSCAPE PLAN. SO, MOVING INTO THE DESIGN STANDARDS SECTION, AGAIN, THIS IS NEW SECTION THAT APPEARS IN THIS DRAFT THAT'S NOT IN THE CURRENT DRAFT, THIS DEALS WITH THE OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENT, SO WE ARE ASKING THAT AT LEAST 70% OF THE GROSS AREA OF THE PROJECT SITE WITHIN A NON-URBAN OR RURAL SPACE BE SET ASIDE AS OPEN SPACE AND AT LEAST 25% OF THE AREA BE SET ASIDE AS OPEN SPACE, AND THOSE ARE IN OUR CURRENT ORDINANCE AS WELL, THOSE ARE CARRY-OVERS FROM THE CURRENT HMA ORDINANCE, AND THEN WHAT FOLLOWS IN THE ORDINANCE IS PROVISIONS FOR HOW WE WANT THIS OPEN SPACE TO BE LAID OUT SO WE WANT IT TO REMAIN UNDISTURBED

TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, WE WANT IT TO BE CONTIGUOUS WITH ADJACENT OPEN SPACE, WE'RE NOT ALLOWING RESIDENTIAL OR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, WE WANT IT TO REMAIN UNDISTURBED, THE ONLY IMPROVEMENTS WE WOULD BE ALLOWING SUCH AS PARKS OR RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, COMMUNITY GARDEN, TRAILS, SUCH AS HIKING, CYCLING OR RIDING, MANUFACTURED SLOPES AND VEGETATED SAILS THAT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO DBW GUIDELINES. AND ANOTHER CONCEPT THAT WE'RE INTRODUCING IN THIS SECTION IS SOMETHING WE'RE CALLING PRIORITY OPEN SPACE, AND THOSE ARE HAZARD AND RESOURCE AREAS THAT WE'RE ASKING THE APPLICANT TO MAP ON THE SITE PLAN AND THEN PRIORITIZE THOSE FOR INCLUSION INTO THEIR OPEN SPACE PROVISION, SO THESE WOULD BE AREAS SUCH AS EARTHQUAKE INDUCED LANDSLIDE AND LIQUEFACTION AREAS, STEEP NATURAL SLOPES OF 50% OR GREATER, NATURAL WATER COURSES AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE. SO, FOR LAND [INAUDIBLE], WE'RE ASKING THE APPLICANT CONFIGURE THEIR SPACE INTO AN OPEN LOT THAT'S OCCURRING WHERE THE SAME LOT OF THE DEVELOPMENT IS NOT TAKING PLACE AND THAT OPEN SPACE CAN BE OWNED OR MANAGED BY A GOVERNMENT ENTITY, A NON-PROFIT LAND CONSERVATION AGENCY OR AN HOA AND LAND DIVISION PROJECTS THAT ARE LESS THAN 40 ACRES, SUBJECT TO THIS CUP, THE OPEN SPACE CAN BE SET ASIDE ON THE SAME LOT AND JUST DESIGNATED AS A RESTRICTED USE OR OPEN SPACE AREA. AND THEN THE REST OF THE DESIGN STANDARDS DEAL WITH THINGS LIKE SITE DESIGN, GRADE, STREET AND ACCESS DESIGN AND STORMWATER RUNOFF AND RETAINING WALLS, WE WORKED WITH OUR STAFF THAT IS CURRENTLY

PROCESSING THESE TYPE OF PROJECTS AND HAS GOT AN IDEA OF WHAT THEY'RE ALREADY SEEING COMING THROUGH OR WHAT WOULD BE SOME BEST PRACTICES AND TRY TO WRITE THAT INTO THE ORDINANCE AS BEST AS POSSIBLE AND THOSE ARE SUBJECT TO DISCUSSION AS WE DO OUR OUTREACH, WE'LL GET INPUT ON THAT AND SEE IF THOSE ARE REALLY THE BEST PRACTICES MOVING FORWARD.AND THEN THE LAST SECTION, THE FINAGLED, WE'RE ASKING THAT THE APPLICANT PROVE THAT THEY ARE BEING CONSISTENT WITH THOSE TWO STATED PURPOSES AT THE BEGINNING THAT I DISCUSSED.SO, IN TERMS OF NEXT STEPS, THE DRAFT IS RELEASED ON DECEMBER 6, WE HAVE A COMMENT DEADLINE THAT'S ENDING ON FEBRUARY 4, AFTER THAT, WE'LL TAKE IN ALL O THE PUBLIC COMMENTS AND RELEASE THE DRAFT FOR ANOTHER PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.AND I WELCOME ANY QUESTIONS.THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.ANY QUESTIONS?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: YES, THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.YOUR LAST PHOTOGRAPH IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE OF -- YEAH, THAT ONE RIGHT THERE, THE LITTLE ONE IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE OF MODIFIED OPEN SPACE AND I KNOW IT WAS A PROBLEM IN THE NORTH AREA PLAN, AND THAT'S PROBABLY A PICTURE FROM THE NORTH AREA PLAN, BUT HOW DO YOU PROPOSE TO HAVE AN IMPACT ON THAT?

>> MS. MENKE: WELL, THE ONLY TYPES OF IMPROVEMENTS WE WOULD ALLOW IN OPEN SPACE, WE WOULDN'T ALLOW THINGS LIKE THAT, IT WOULD BE PARKS AND PLAYGROUNDS, COMMUNITY GARDENS, THOSE TRAILS I MENTIONED, THE VEGETATED SWALE, AND BRUSH CLEARANCE, SO IT WOULDN'T BE THINGS LIKE VINEYARDS OR GOLF COURSES OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT MOVING FORWARD AS PROPOSED IN THAT DRAFT AND THAT'S OPEN TO DISCUSSION AS WE TAKE THIS OUT TO THE PUBLIC AND GET FEEDBACK BUT THAT'S WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IN THIS DRAFT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: SO, IN THIS DRAFT, WE ARE TAKING CARE OF THAT PROBLEM.

>> MS. MENKE: WE'RE ATTEMPTING YOU, YES.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: FANTASTIC.I HAVE A CONCERN IN RELATION TO YOU LISTING HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION AS ONE OF THE AGENCIES IT CAN GO TO.HOW DO YOU MAINTAIN THE PROTECTION UNDER A HOMEOWNER POSITION, IT CAN BE CHANGED BY THAT BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT ANY TIME.

>> MS. MENKE: YOU MEAN WHAT'S PRESENT ON THE OPEN SPACE, OKAY, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK INTO MOVING FORWARD AND THAT'S NOT A VIABLE AGENCY TO MANAGE THAT OPEN SPACE,

THEN THAT MIGHT BE SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE TO WORK OUT IN THE NEXT DRAFT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: SOMETHING I MIGHT SUGGEST THERE IS THAT IF YOU HAVE UNDER THE GUIDANCE AND MAINTENANCE OR THE OPERATION OF THE HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION, BUT IT IS IN CONCURRENCE OF SOMETHING LIKE THE MOUNTAIN'S RESTORATION TRUST OR A PUBLIC NON-PROFIT, AND AT THAT POINT, YOU NOW HAVE TWO AGENCIES THAT HAVE TO CONCUR ON IT AND IT PROVIDES THE STABILIZING INFLUENCE FOR THE HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION THAT MIGHT WANT TO TAKE IT AND MAKE IT INTO RECREATION OR SOME OTHER DENOTED IN SOME WAY OR ANOTHER. THE NUMBER OF PICTURES YOU HAD IN THERE THAT HAD SOME OPEN SLOPE ZONES, MAYBE THERE WAS ABOUT 8 OF THEM BACK, A LOT OF THOSE ARE CAUSED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT -- WELL, NOT NECESSARILY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, BUT BY THE WEED ABATEMENT OF THE COUNTY REQUIRING THAT CERTAIN STANDARDS BE MET. IS THERE A CHANCE THAT AS THIS IS WORKED ON THAT THERE BE A DISCUSSION WITH THE WEED ABATEMENT PEOPLE AS TO WHAT PROVIDES A FIRE PROTECTION. THERE'S A NEW BOOKLET OUT IN THE TOPANGA CANYON AREA, SOMETHING PLANNED FOR FIRE PROTECTION, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH IT? IT WAS PRESENTED AT A FIRE MANAGEMENT MEETING I WENT TO AND I THOUGHT IT WAS QUITE GOOD, IN OTHER WORDS, THEY WEREN'T CUTTING DOWN ALL THE BRUSH AREA NEXT TO THE HOUSE BUT IT WAS CONTROLLED SO THERE WASN'T GOING TO BE A FIRE CREEP UP INTO THE HOUSE TYPE OF THING, AND I THINK THAT WAS -- I THOUGHT ONE OF

THE FIRST PRACTICAL AND APPLICABLE APPROACHES I'VE SEEN FOR FIRE PROTECTION.THE ASPECT OF UNDISTURBED AND CONTIGUOUS WITH OTHER OPEN SPACE AREAS, I WOULD SAY THAT WOULD BE A HIGH PRIORITY THAT SOMETIMES IS NOT POSSIBLE AND SO WE HAVE THAT ONE CASE THAT IS I THINK STILL PENDING WHERE IT'S AN OLD ORCHARD AND THEY HAVE WHERE THEY WANT TO PUT IN 12 FAMILY UNITS ORIGINALLY AND THERE'S BEEN SOME GRADING, OTHER PROBLEMS THAT -- IT'S STILL PENDING, I BELIEVE, BUT WHAT IS UNDISTURBED WHEN THEY WERE TRYING TO TELL ME THAT THAT WAS OPEN SPACE AND IF I'M GOING TO BUY AN ACRE AND A HALF OF LAND AND I CAN'T PUT A CHICKEN HOUSE ON IT OR I CAN'T HAVE A HORSE CORAL ON IT, I HAVE SOME QUESTION AS TO ARE WE AS A COUNTY MAKING SENSE?

>> MS. MENKE: THAT'S A COMMENT THAT WE'VE GOTTEN FROM SOME OTHER PARTIES L AS WELL AND IT'S SOMETHING WE HAVE TO LOOK INTO, THE LANGUAGE IN THIS ORDINANCE IS TO THE EXTENT FEASIBLE, IT SHOULD REMAIN UNDISTURBED AND INCONTIGUOUS, WE HAVE SOME THINGS OUTLINED IN THE ORDINANCE THAT WILL PREVENT IT FROM BEING DISTURBED IN THE FUTURE, SO IT'S SOMETHING WE'LL WORK ON IN THE NEXT ITERATION OF THE DRAFT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I LIKE THE DIRECTION YOU'RE GOING.I'M THRILLED WITH THE ASPECT THAT THERE'S A HANDLE BEING PLACED UPON THE OPEN VINEYARDS, THAT HAS BEEN SUCH ON EYE SORE AS FAR AS I'M

CONCERNED AND ONE OF THE DISAPPOINTMENTS OF THE NORTH AREA PLAN, WE ACCOMPLISHED AN AWFUL LOT WITH THE NORTH AREA PLAN, AND AS WE BRING FORWARD THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS PLAN TO THE COASTAL COMMISSION, IT'S GOING TO BE A HASSLE STILL BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME VERY STRONG WINERY INTERESTS IN THE MOUNTAINS.THE DIRECTION'S GREAT.

>> MS. MENKE: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, THOUGHTS?YOUR BRIEFING IS MUCH APPRECIATED AND AS THIS IS A DISCUSSION ITEM ONLY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MS. MENKE: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: I'M SORRY.

>> NO ONE SIGNED UP TO TESTIFY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: YES, SIR.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: ONE OTHER COMMENT, AND DO WE REALLY HAVE ZONES THAT ARE WELL MARKED IN RELATION TO LANDSLIDE AND LIQUEFACTION?I KNOW THAT THEY ARE IN THE VALLEY, BUT IS THAT TRUE

IN THE MOUNTAINOUS ZONES?OKAY, I DON'T THINK I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE MAPS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: ITEM NUMBER 7, PROJECT NUMBER 02305, MS. HOWARD.HAS THAT SPEAKER ARRIVED?THANK YOU.

>> MS. HOWARD: MY NAME IS EMMA HOWARD, I WORK IN THE COMMUNITY STUDIES NORTH SECTION, IT'S BEEN A WHILE SINCE I WAS HERE LAST SO I WANTED TO TELL YOU ABOUT WHERE WE ARE FIRST AND THEN I'M GOING TO CONCENTRATE ON OUR RECENT DRAFT OF THE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA ORDINANCE.JUST AS A REFRESHER, SEA'S, THE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREAS ARE ECOSYSTEMS THAT SUPPORT THE BIODIVERSITY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, THEY'RE GENERALLY UNDISTURBED ALTHOUGH THERE ARE INFILL DEVELOPERS AMONGST THE SEA'S, THEY ARE NOT THEMSELVES PRESERVES.WITHIN THE SEA PROGRAM, WE HAVE SEVERAL COMPONENTS, THE FIRST COMPONENT IS THE GENERAL PLAN WHICH SETS UP THE PROVISIONS OF THE SEA MANAGEMENT AREAS, AND THE GENERAL PLAN INCLUDES MAPS, POLICIES AND TECHNICAL DESCRIPTIONS OF THE SEA'S THAT OUTLINE THE BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES PRESENT.THEN WE HAVE THE SEA ORDINANCE ITSELF, THAT'S THE IMPLEMENTATION ARM OF THE SEA PROGRAM AND WE'VE HAD THAT ON THE BOOKS SINCE ABOUT THE TIME OF THE GENERAL PLAN, AND LASTLY, ANY SUPPORTING STUDIES THAT GO WITH IT, THE 2000 STUDY WOULD BE ONE THAT WE'RE GOING TO REFER TO PRETTY CONSTANTLY THROUGHOUT OUR PROGRAM AS WELL AS ANY OTHER KINDS OF STUDIES THAT

WE USE TO DISCUSS THE SEA PROGRAM. JUST TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE DRAFT GENERAL PLAN, THE LAST TIME I WAS HERE, I DON'T THINK WE RELEASED THE 2012 DRAFT OF THE GENERAL PLAN, TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE SEA SPECIFIC PROVISIONS IN THIS DRAFT GENERAL PLAN THAT ARE DIFFERENT FROM WHERE WE ARE NOW, WEAVE OUTLINED ACTIVE MONITORING OF THE SEA'S, WE'D LIKE TO MAKE SURE THERE'S BIENNIAL REPORTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT STUDIES AND ADDITIONAL MEASUREMENTS THAT ARE BEING TAKEN WITHIN THE SEA'S TO MAKE SURE THERE'S AN ONGOING MONITORING PROCESS, THAT'S SOMETHING THE BOARD WAS LOOKING FOR AS FAR BACK AT THE 1990S, WE'RE CONCENTRATING ON COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS T SEA'S ARE NOT PRESERVES BUT WE'RE TRYING TO PRESERVE A PORTION OF THOSE SEA'S IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S SUSTAINABILITY THROUGHOUT, SO THE NEW DRAFT EMPHASIZES PRIORITIZING THESE AREAS FOR MITIGATION ACQUISITION AND WE'VE BEEN ENGAGED IN HAVING CONVERSATIONS WITH SCAG, METRO AND CALTRANS ON THE IDEA THAT WHEN THEY'RE PURCHASING MITIGATION LANDS, THEY SHOULD BE LOOKING AT THE COUNTY'S SEA'S AS ONE OF THE AREAS TO FOCUS, THE MAIN GOAL IS SUSTAINABLE FOR THE SEA'S, SUSTAINABLE MEANING THE ABILITY OF THE SEA TO HAVE BIOLOGICAL VALUE INTO THE FUTURE, TO REPAIR IT AND TO BE CONNECTED THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY AS A REGIONAL CONNECTOR. THAT INCLUDES REPRESENTATIVE SPECIES AS WELL AS PHYSICAL LINKAGES SO WE'RE NOT FOCUSING ON JUST PRESERVING ONE TYPE OF SPECIES BUT HABITAT AND LINKAGES AS WELL, TODAY WE HAVE 61 ADOPTED SEA'S, THOSE WERE ADOPTED IN THE 1980S WITH THE GENERAL

PLAN AND THEY'RE SMALLER THAN THE PROPOSED SEA'S THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT. THIS IS THE MAP OF THE 61 SEA'S AND IN 2011, WE RELEASED OUR PROPOSED BOUNDARY MAP, THIS IS BASED ON MAP THAT'S BEEN RELEASED AS FAR BACK AS 1962 AND IT'S A SIGNIFICANT EXPANSION, IT'S ALL THOSE DARK GREEN AREAS, THE RED LINES INDICATE WHERE THE CURRENT ADOPTED SEA'S ARE. SO, YOU CAN SEE THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT EXPANSION OF THE SEA'S, AND THAT'S WITH THE GOAL OF PROMOTING, ASSISTING ABILITY, MAKING SURE THEY'RE STRONGER, AND ALSO ONE OF THE THINGS TO NOTICE IS THERE'S A GRAYED OUT AREA IN THE SANTA MONICA MOWN TAPE STAINS, WE'RE CALLING THOSE COASTAL, THEY HAVE LOCAL COASTAL PLANS AND THEY DEAL WITH THE COASTAL COMMISSION, WE'D LIKE TO EXEMPT THEM OUT BUT ALSO SHOW THEM ON THE MAP BECAUSE OF THEIR IMMENSE BIOLOGICAL VALUE, TO TALK ABOUT WHERE WE ARE WITH THE ORDINANCE, WE RELEASED AN SEA ORDINANCE IN 2011, WE RELEASED A SUMMARY DRAFT, IT TOOK ALL THE COMMENTS FROM OUR NOVEMBER DRAFT, REALLY REFOCUSED ON OUR APPROACH, WE HAD A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS WITH HOW WE WANTED TO DEAL WITH THE SEA ORDINANCE, IT WAS A SIGNAL POST TO WHERE WE WERE GOING, THE DRAFT THAT WE RELEASED ON DECEMBER 31 OF 2012 IS A FULL DRAFT, SO COMPARATIVELY TO NOVEMBER 2011 DRAFT AND THE DRAFT WE HAVE TODAY ARE THE FIRST TWO DRAFTS THAT RERELEASED TO THE PUBLIC, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A 90 DAY PERIOD TO APRIL 1 ON THIS DRAFT AND WE'RE GOING TO KEEP ROLLING WITH NEW DRAFTS TO THE POINT OF PUBLIC HEARING, SO WE'LL TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT, THAT APRIL 1 DEADLINE LETS PEOPLE KNOW WE'RE

GOING START WORKING ON DRAFT 4.WHAT WE'RE WORKING ON FIXING, THE FIRST IS THAT THE CURRENT ORDINANCE HAS A MORE LIMITED APPROACH THAT WE THINK REDUCES [INAUDIBLE], THERE'S AN ISSUE OF FAIRNESS, SOME EXEMPT USES MAY BE MORE IMPACT THAN ONE THAT IS CURRENTLY NEED A CUP, CURRENT USES NEED A FULL [INAUDIBLE] SO, THAT'S A PRETTY EXPENSIVE CUP AND THAT'S THE BASELINE BEFORE YOU'VE HIRED A BIOLOGIST AND ALL THE OTHER THINGS YOU HAVE TO DO AND LASTLY OUR BURDEN OF PROOF FOR THE CUP LACKS CLARITY, WHERE POSSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO STRENGTHEN THAT CLARITY AND MAKE SURE THAT PROJECTS ARE DEALT WITH IN A CONSISTENT FACTION.TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE SOLUTIONS WE'RE PROPOSING, WE'RE LOOKING AT FOUR LEVELS OF SEA REGULATION TO CREATE FLEXIBILITY, USES THAT WERE FORMERLY EXEMPTED, WE WANT TO ADD SOME STANDARDS AND INSPECTION OF THE SITE TO CAPTURE ANY RED FLAG MOMENTS WHERE A SMALL DEVELOPMENT MIGHT HIT SOMETHING OF SIGNIFICANCE IN THE SEA'S, WE WANT TO OFFER MORE USES, A LESSER PROCESS, MANY OF THE CUP'S AND CURRENTLY BUILT AREAS THAT WE HAVE IN THE SEA'S, WE WANT TO SEE AN EASIER PROCESS FOR THEM AND NEW STANDARDS, WE'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR SPECIFICALLY, NOT THIS BROAD WORDING SO I'M GOING TO BREAK THIS DOWN, THIS GIANT FLOWCHART, BUT THIS IS THE CURRENT SEA ORDINANCE AS IT WORK, IT'S COMBINED WITH THE SEA, THE HILLSIDE AND THE SEA ARE COMBINED ORDINANCE, THERE ARE THREE EXEMPTIONS, ACCESSORY USES, SINGLE FAMILY HOMES AND MODIFICATIONS TO SINGLE FAMILY HOMES, EVERYTHING ELSE IS A SEA CUP, YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH

THE PROCESS OF HIRING A BIOLOGIST, GOING TO SEATAC, GOING BACK TO SEATAC AND THEN COMING TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, THE FIRST IS THE APPLICABLE, EXEMPT USES, THE ACCESSORY USES, SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES AND MODIFICATIONS TO SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES, AND ONE OF THE THINGS WE'VE HEARD FROM BOTH SIDES OF THE AISLE IS THAT THIS ISN'T COMPLETELY WORKING FOR EVERYONE. IF YOU'RE A DEVELOPER FOR INSTANCE AS WE SAW TODAY ON THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR A CELL PHONE TOWER BEING BUILT AND YOU ARE IN THE SEA, YOU WILL NEED A FULL SEA CUP, THE LIKELIHOOD OF CAUSING BIOLOGICAL IMPACTS ARE PRETTY SLIM WHEN YOU'RE ALREADY BUILDING ON TOP AFTER AN EXISTING TOWER, IF YOU'RE BUILDING IN AN UNDISTURBED AREA AND YOU'RE GOING TO BUILD ON A VERNAL POOL, THAT'S BOTH SIDES OF THE PROCESS FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERSPECTIVE, THIS TWO-IN-OR-OUT APPROACH IS COMPLETELY NOT WORKING. TO TALK ABOUT THE CURRENT PROCESS IN THE SEA CUP, WHAT WE'VE HEARD FROM APPLICANTS IS THEY GO BACK AND FORTH THROUGH SEATAC A LOT. THE FIRST PART THAT YOU NEED TO DO IS HIRE A BIOLOGIST TO PREPARE A STUDY OF THE SITE RESOURCE, LOOK WHAT YOU HAVE ON THE SITE AND GO TO SEATAC AND GET COMMENTS ABOUT THAT. A LOT OF TIMES WHAT WE'VE HEARD IS FOLKS ARE COMING TO THE SEATAC PROCESS LATE IN THE GAME, THEY'VE ALREADY PAID AN ENGINEER, PUT TOGETHER THEIR SITE PLANS, THEN THEY GET TO SEATAC AND THEY SAY DO YOU REALIZE YOU'RE LOCATED IN THE WORST SPOT BIOLOGICALLY, THEY'VE SUNK A LOT OF COST AND TIME IN IT, SEATAC IS AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE ONLY, THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS CARRY

WEIGHT BECAUSE OF THE BIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE AND THE WAY YOU DEAL WITH THE EIR, THEY LOOP THROUGH THE SEATAC PROCESS, AND THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH TWO LEVELS, THEY GO THROUGH THE STUDY OF THE SITE RESOURCES AND THE IMPACTS THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE BEFORE THEY COME TO YOUR COMMISSION AND SEATAC MAKES A RULES OF COMPATIBILITY, THAT'S THE PROCESS FOR THE CURRENT SEATAC CUP, SO THIS IS WHAT I'M PROPOSING AND I'M GOING TO BREAK THIS DOWN FROM THIS MORE COMPLICATED THING, THE FIRST IS THAT WE WANT TO HAVE EXEMPT USES, WE'LL STILL HAVE EXEMPT USES, THE SECOND IS WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ADMINISTRIAL REVIEW, HOW WE DO AT THE FRONT COUNTER FOR A HOUSE NOW, BUT WE'RE GOING TO ADD A FEW UNIQUE FACTORS, EVERYTHING ELSE WE'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT GETTING AN SEA CUP BUT WE'D LIKE TO HAVE TWO DIFFERENT KINDS, WHAT WE'RE CALLING TYPE A AND TYPE B, THE TYPE A AND TYPE B WOULD BE DUE TO THE ANTICIPATED LEVEL OF IMPACT, THE LOWER IMPACT, THE MAJORITY OF CUP'S WILL GO THROUGH THE TYPE A PROCESS WHICH WILL REQUIRE REVIEW OF A STAFF OFFICER, THE SUBDIVISION PROJECTS, WE'D LIKE TO SEE GO THROUGH SEATAC, THROUGH THE CURRENT PROCESS WE HAVE RIGHT NOW, SO FOCUSING THE LEVEL OF REVIEW TO PROJECT ANTICIPATED IMPACT. SO, I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE DRAFT ORDINANCE APPLICABILITY, WE'VE BROKEN IT OUT INTO FOUR PIECES, FOR EXEMPT, WE'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT EXISTING USES SO SPECIFICALLY ANY SITE PLAN THAT'S BEEN APPROVED UNDER THE CURRENT ORDINANCE, ANY SITE PLAN THAT WAS APPROVED BEFORE THAT AREA WAS INSIDE THE PROPOSED SEA WHEN WE EXPAND THE BOUNDARY, ANY CUP,

MOBILE HOME PERMIT, ANY OF THOSE PERMITS AS WELL AS THE IDEA THAT ANY PERMIT THAT'S EXPIRED IF YOU'RE COMING BACK FOR ESSENTIALLY THE SAME YEAR, WE HAVE AN ALCOHOL SALES CUP, YOU WEREN'T PREVIOUSLY IN THE SEA, YOUR ALCOHOL CUP EXPIRE, DO YOU NEED AN SEA CUP, WE PROPOSE NO, AND THEN SAFETY ACTIVITIES, THAT WOULD BE HAZARD MANAGEMENT ACT TITS FOR PUBLIC WORKS AND FIRE, THAT WOULD BE FUEL MODIFICATION, INSULATION OF WATER, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THOSE REMAIN EXEMPTED, THEY'RE NOT EXEMPTED RIGHT NOW, WE'RE PUTTING THAT IN AND THEN THE COASTAL AREAS THAT HAVE AN LCP THAT APPLIES TO THEM, WE WANT THEM OUT.THE NEXT IS OUR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW, WE WANT TO PROPOSE THAT AREAS THAT ARE ALREADY BUILT RIGHT NOW ARE DISTURBED, THAT INCLUDES FUEL MODIFICATION, WE MADE A MAP OF THOSE, IF YOU STAY INSIDE THAT FOOTPRINT THAT YOU WOULD ALSO GO THROUGH A LEVEL OF SITE PLAN REVIEW AND HOPEFULLY BE EXEMPTED OUT OF THE ORDINANCE, YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW SOME DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND LASTLY RESTORATION PROJECTS AND RESTORATION PROJECTS WOULD BE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS OR GOVERNMENT AGENCIES THAT WANT TO ENHANCE THE QUALITY OF THE SEA ITSELF, SO IF THEY WANT TO REMOVE INVASIVE SPECIES OR PROTECT A BREEDING AREA, WE'VE HEARD FROM CONSERVATION GROUPS THAT THEY DON'T HAVE TO GET AN SEA CUP TO HELP THE BIOLOGY OF THE AREA, EVERYTHING ELSE WOULD GO THROUGH THE SEA CUP TYPE A THAT THEY'RE PROPOSING AND THE HIGH IMPACT PROJECTS WOULD BE PULLED OUT TO TYPE B.FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW, I'VE GONE OVER THE SINGLE FAMILY HOME IN DISTURBED AREAS AND RESTORATION

PROJECTS, I WANT TO TALK ALTS BIT ABOUT OUR SITE PLAN REVIEW, IT'S OUR STANDARD APPROACH TO PERMITTING FOR ALL OF OUR STANDARD USES, OUR SITE PLAN REVIEW FOR COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT WOULD BE COMPARABLE TO WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING TO THE SEA'S, BECAUSE OF THE ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS AND COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT, IT'S USUALLY MORE EXPENSIVE AND IT WOULD HAVE SOME PROVISIONS THAT ARE UNIQUE TO THE AREA, WHAT'S UNIQUE WOULD BE A BIOLOGIST CHECKLIST REVIEW, THEY WERE PROPOSING TO SEND THE BIOLOGIST OUT TO THE SITE TO INSPECT RESOURCE, WE APPLIED DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, AN INSTANCE OF THAT WOULD BE LOOKING FOR A TREE SPECIES THAT LISTED IN OUR SEA DESCRIPTION AND APPLYING A SETBACK TO THAT TREE AREA AND SAY DON'T BUILD ON THAT TREE OR LOOKING AT A STREAM AND SAY IF THAT STREAM IS PRESENT, YOU NEED TO SETBACK X FEET FROM THE STREAM, FENCING AND LIGHTING, THE IDEA WOULD BE THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE WILDLIFE PERMEABLE FENCING, WE WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE RURAL LIGHTING SO THE RURAL LIGHTING DISTRICT THAT WAS RECENTLY APPROVED, WE WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THAT APPLY WITHIN THE SEA'S, MOST OF THE SEA'S ARE ALREADY IN THAT DISTRICT, IN THE PUENTE HILLS DISTRICT, THAT COULD BE VALUABLE FOR SPECIES, LASTLY, THE IDEA THAT YOU CAN MOVE UP TO A CUP IF THERE ARE RESOURCES FOUND.SPECIFICALLY IF YOUR BIOLOGIST CONFIRMS THE PRESENCE OF A SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES AND AN ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES IN REGARDS TO THAT SEA SPECIFICALLY, THEN WE WOULD WANT TO MOVE TO A CUP TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE HANDLING THE SITE ADEQUATELY.THAT'S THE

IDEA BEHIND IT. IT'S MEANT TO BE CONCRETE AND OBJECTIVE, HOPEFULLY EVERYONE KNOWS WHETHER OR NOT THEY WOULD BOUNCE UP BASED ON WHAT'S FOUND TO THE SITE, IT'S NOT MEANT TO BE OPEN ENDED IN ANY MANNER. TO TALK ABOUT OUR DEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREAS MAP, WE WOULD LIKE TO EXEMPT OUT FROM THE SEA ORDINANCE FOR PROJECTS INSIDE THE MAPPED SEA UNDEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREAS WITH THE APPLICATION OF A FEW DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, SO THEY DON'T NEED TO GO THROUGH A BIOLOGIST REVIEW IF THEY'RE INSIDE THIS UNDEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREA, BUT THEY HAVE TO FOLLOW FENCING, LIGHTING AND PREVENTION SPECIES FOR LANDING, THOSE ARE THE THREE THEY NEED. INSIDE A DEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREAS, IF YOU WANT TO CHANGE USES, BUILD MORE BUILDINGS, PROVIDING YOU CAN DO IT OUTSIDE THE FOOTPRINT OF THE DISTURBED AREAS, YOU WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THE ORDINANCE. WHERE WE HAPPEN TO DEVELOP A DISTURBED AREA IN OUR MAP, IF THEY'RE IN A HIGH FIRE HAZARD, WE'VE INCLUDED THE FUEL MODIFICATION, AS LONG AS YOU CAN STAY INSIDE OF IT, THAT'S FINE. THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF OUR DEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREAS MAP UP ON THE BOARD, THE LITTLE ORANGE DOTS ARE WHERE THE DEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREAS ARE, AND I'M GOING TO ZOOM IN THAT CIRCLE THAT I'M SHOWING YOU, SO THE LIGHT GREEN AREAS ARE PROPOSED SEA AREAS IN THE SANTA MONICA AREAS, THE DARK GREEN ARE CURRENT SEA'S AND THE ORANGE ARE DEVELOPED AND DISTURBED, AND YOU CAN SEE THAT FIRST OF ALL, THE FIRST THING THIS DOES AND IT'S A GREAT EFFORT BY OUR GES SECTION, IT'S LETTING US KNOW WHERE DEVELOPMENT IS ONGOING,

THE SECOND THING IT DOES IS LET US KNOW WHERE THERE IS LINKAGE POINTS THAT ARE BEING CONSTRUCTED, YOU CAN SEE AT THE EAST END THERE'S A HIGHER LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT SO IN THAT AREA, WE WANT TO PRIORITIZE THAT SOME AREAS STAY OPEN FOR SPECIES TO BE ABLE TO MOVE ACROSS, THE LAST THING IT DOES IS IT ALLOWS PEOPLES TO SEE WHERE THEY WOULD BE LOCATED NEAR EXISTING DEVELOPMENT. AND THIS IS JUST A CLOSE UP OF OUR MAP. THIS WILL ALL BE AVAILABLE ON GAS NET THROUGH TO THE PUBLIC SOON, GIS 3 IS UNDERGOING SOME MAINTENANCE NOW, AND IT'S NOT YET AVAILABLE, ONE OF THE THINGS WE WOULD LIKE, PEOPLE WHO WE FEEL SHOULDN'T BE INSIDE THE SEA'S, THEY SAY, WELL, MY PROPERTY'S ALREADY DISTURBED, I DON'T THINK I SHOULD BE INSIDE THE SEA, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THEY REFLECT ON THE DISTURBED AREAS MAP, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THOSE FOLKS ARE DEALT WITH, SO THIS SHOWS SOME HOMES WITH FUEL MODIFICATION AROUND THEM. AND THEN LASTLY WE'LL BE USING THIS INFORMATION PLUS OTHER FEATURES AND OTHER INFORMATION TO CREATE A HABITAT LINKAGES AND CORRIDORS MAP. THAT WILL BE A MAPPING OF AREAS OF VITAL POINTS FOR THIS MOVEMENT OF SPECIE AND IS WE'LL BE USING THAT IN THE ORDINANCE TO MAKE SURE YOU DON'T BUILD YOUR HOME IN A SPOT THAT'S CRUCIAL TO THE MOVEMENT OF WILDLIFE, THAT'S WHERE YOU WOULD GET FLAGGED DOWN, THE CUP IS THE PROPOSAL. OKAY, SO TO TALK ABOUT THE CUP'S, THE VERY FIRST THING THAT'S DIFFERENT ABOUT THE TYPE A AND B CUP'S IS OUR INITIAL PROJECT INITIAL PHASE AND THAT'S BASED ON THE ONE STOP PROCESS THAT WE CURRENTLY USE WHERE APPLICANTS COME IN AND TALK TO

FIRE, PUBLIC WORKS, IT'S BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL IN GIVING PEOPLE FAIR WARNING ABOUT WHAT REGULATIONS MIGHT APPLY TO A PROJECT THAT THEY THINK OF CONSIDERING BEFORE THEY PUT ANY MONEY DOWN ON THE TABLE AND REALLY GOT SUNK IN. OUR INITIAL PROJECT APPRAISAL IS NOT A VOLUNTARY PROJECT APPRAISAL, WE REQUIRE BEFORE YOU SUBMIT ANY OTHER MATERIALS FOR US, BEFORE WE TAKE ANY MONEY FOR THE SEA APPLICATION, YOU COME AND HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH A BIOLOGIST AND A PLANNER, AND WHAT YOU SUBMIT TO US IS SITE PHOTOGRAPHS, WHERE YOUR PARCEL IS, WHERE THE SEA BOUNDARY ON YOUR PARCEL IS AND WHAT YOU'RE PLANNING TO DO, YOU DON'T BRING A LOT WITH YOU, IT SHOULD BE LOW COST AND THE IDEA IS TO GIVE FOLKS FAIR WARNING ABOUT WHAT TO DO, HOW THE BIOLOGISTS THINK OF WHAT THEY SEE ON THAT SITE. AFTER YOU GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS, THE SEA'S WILL STILL PREPARE THE STUDY AND IMPACT REPORT, BUT IT WILL DIFFER COMPARED TO THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS, THEY WILL GO THROUGH THE STAFF BIOLOGIST AND HEARING OFFICER, SO WE'RE ANTICIPATING MORE OF WHAT YOU SAW TODAY, THIS PROJECT DEFUSING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION, THE PROPOSED IMPACTS ARE NOT SO HIGH, THOSE THINGS WE WOULD IMAGINE FOR TYPE A, A LOT OF WIRELESS FACILITIES, THOSE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWERS TEND TOO GO THROUGH THE SEA CUP PROCESS AND THEN SEATAC SAYS YOU PROBABLY DON'T NEED A FULL EIR FOR THIS, TYPE B WOULD BE OUR SUBDIVISION PROJECTS AND THAT WOULD GO THROUGH THE SEATAC AND REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT OUR TYPE B CUP'S, WE USE A CRITERIA OF ANTICIPATED IMPACTS AND THE IMPACTS

ARE IMPACTS TO CONNECTIVITY, WATER RESOURCES, WE HAVE A STANDARD OF TRANSFORMATION OF LAND THAT IS UNPAVED TO PAVE BECAUSE THAT'S WHETHER THE BIOLOGICAL VALUE OF THAT AREA WILL REALLY SHIFT AND ADVERSE IMPACT TO SEA SPECIFIC SPECIES, SO THE SEA TECHNICAL DESCRIPTIONS WHICH ARE IN THE GENERAL PLAN OUTLINE WHICH SPECIES OF ARE SIGNIFICANCE IN THAT SEA AND IF THERE'S AN ANTICIPATION THAT YOU'RE GOING TO COMPROMISE ITS FUTURE VIABILITY, THAT'S SOMETHING WE WANT TO LOOK AT AND OUR IMPACTS BASED CRITERIA, IT'S INTENDED TO SEPARATE THINGS OUT BY WHAT WE THINK WOULD NEED AN EIR AND THE OTHER THING IS TYPE B CUP'S HAVE A PROVISION FOR OPEN SPACE, A 2 TO 1 RATIO TO OPEN AREA, AND THEN WE HAVE A PRIORITIZATION SCHEME THAT WOULD START WITH REPLACING WHAT A HABITAT THAT'S SIMILAR TO THE HABITAT YOU'RE DISPLACING OR RESOURCES YOU'RE DISPLACING AND IT WORKS DOWN PRIORITIZING DIVISION OF LAND, THE HABITAT THAT YOU'RE DISRUPTING AND OTHER SEA'S, SO HOPEFULLY AN APPLICANT WILL BE ABLE TO PURCHASE LAND SOMEWHERE, EVEN IF THEY CAN'T DO IT ON THEIR PROJECT SITE.SO, THAT'S TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE TYPE B CUP AND THAT PROVISION FOR OPEN SPACE, BRIANNA WAS HERE TALKING ABOUT HOW WE HAVE A PROVISION IN THE HILLSIDE MANAGEMENT, IN HILLSIDE AREAS, YOU NEED TO PRESERVE 25 OR 75% OF THE PARCEL, HOWEVER, THE SEA ORDINANCE WHICH IS A COMBINED ORDINANCE DOESN'T INCLUDE THAT AND WE THINK THAT'S SOMEWHAT CONFUSING GIVEN THAT THE SEA AREAS ARE REALLY WHERE WE NEED TO PRESERVE THAT SPACE AS BIOLOGICAL

RESOURCE, IT'S MEANT TO BE COMPATIBLE WITH THE WAY THE HILLSIDE ORDINANCE IS STRUCTURED.LASTLY I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE FINDINGS IN OUR DRAFT ORDINANCE.WE HEARD FROM A LOT OF FOLKS AND THIS IS WHERE WE WERE SAYING WE HEAR DIFFERENT PROJECTS GO THROUGH A DIFFERENT REVIEW PROCESS, THE CURRENT FINDINGS ARE PRETTY OPEN ENDED.ONE OF THE CURRENT FINDINGS THAT I TOOK OUT OF THE ORDINANCE SAYS THE PROJECT IS HIGHLY COMPATIBLE WITH BIOTIC RESOURCES PRESENT, WHEN WE'RE MAKING A DETERMINATION OF WHAT'S HIGHLY COMPATIBLE AND WHAT'S SUFFICIENT, THERE'S NOT MUCH GUIDANCE PROVIDED TO THE STAFF, OUR NEW DRAFT FINDINGS INCLUDE ARE YOU BISECTING AN SEA, ARE YOU CLOSING A LINKAGE, ARE YOU MOVING HABITAT THAT'S THE ONLY KNOWN LOCATION OF A SPECIES, SO OUR NEW FINAGLED ARE GOING TO PROVIDE MORE GUIDANCE AS TO WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR IN THAT SEA THAT WOULD BE A NEGATIVE IMPACT THAT WOULD COMPROMISE THE SUSTAINABILITY OVER THE SEA'S, SO THAT'S WHERE WE'RE GOING WITH THIS.JUST TO TALK BROADLY, OUR NEW ORDINANCE, ITS OBJECTIVE, WE'RE TRYING TO PROVIDE A LOT OF CLARITY ABOUT WHAT THE COUNTY WOULD LIKE TO SEE HAPPEN IN THOSE SEA'S AND WE'D LIKE TO BUILD IN MORE FLEXIBILITY SO WE CAN BUILD WITH PROJECTS THAT ARE HIGHER OR LOWER IMPACT.LASTLY, THERE ARE SOME ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS AND PROGRAMS WHICH ARE FORTHCOMING AS PART OF THE SEA PROGRAM.THE DEVELOPED AND DISTURBED AREAS MAP WILL BE AVAILABLE ONLINE AS SOON AS IT'S BACK UP AGAIN, OUR HABITAT LINKAGE MAP WE HOPE TO RELEASE WITH THE NEXT DRAFT OF THE SEA ORDINANCE, WE WILL

BE PRODUCING THAT, IT WILL BE BASED ON THE SOUTH COAST MISSING LINKAGES PROJECT WORK. OUR SEA DESIGN MANUAL WHICH IS MENTIONED IN THE ORDINANCE IS MOSTLY A LIST OF BEST PRACTICE SO THE 2000 STUDY FOR THE SEA BACKGROUND REPORT HAD RECOMMENDATIONS OF WAYS TO DESIGN THE SEA'S, SO THEY WERE SEA SPECIFIC OR THEY WERE CERTAIN USE SPECIFIC, WE'RE GOING TO PUT THOSE INTO THE DESIGN MANUAL SO OUR PLANERS KNOW WHAT SORT OF THINGS WERE RECOMMENDED, IF THERE'S ANY BEST PRACTICE THAT IS NEW, WE CAN UPDATE AS THAT COMES. HOW TO DESIGN OPEN SPACE, HOW TO RESTORE OPEN SPACE, THOSE WOULD BE THE SORTS OF THINGS THAT GO IN THE DESIGN MANUAL, AS WELL AS INVASIVE SPECIES OF TREE SPECIES. WE'RE REPORTING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NEXT WEEK, WE'RE SUPPOSED TO REPORT YESTERDAY BUT THEY CONTINUED IT ON HOW WE'RE DOING WITH THE SEA ORDINANCE AND HOW WE'RE DOING WITH SEATAC IMPROVEMENTS WHICH THEY ASKED US TO LOOK AT. AS YOU KNOW, THE ONE VALLEY ONE VISION PLAN WAS ADOPTED AND DURING THAT ADOPTION, THE SEA'S IN THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY WERE EXPANDED DUE TO OUR PROPOSED SEA MAP, THEY ASKED US TO LOOK HOW SEATAC WAS WORKING, HOW THE PROCESS WAS WORKING. SO, WE'RE WORKING ON IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SEATAC PROCESS, SOME OF THE THINGS WE'RE DOING, WE BROUGHT SEATAC DOWN HERE SO THEY'RE NOW ON VIDEO, WE HAVE ARCHIVED VIDEO FOOTAGE AND MORE COMFORTABLE CHAIRS TO SIT IN. WE'RE WORKING ON THEIR PROCEDURAL MANUAL AND THEIR APPROACH AND LOOKING AT HOW THE DOCUMENTS ARE PREPARED AND WE'RE SCANNING AND ARCHIVING A LOT OF THE SEATAC MANUALS SO THEY CAN SEE THE

INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN GATHERED OVER THE YEARS.I WANT TO PLUG MY WEB PAGE AS ALWAYS, THE WEB PAGE HAS ALL OF THE MATERIALS, ALL OF THE ORDINANCE DRAFTS, TONS OF STUDIES AND RESOURCES, GIS DATA, FOR ANYBODY WHO'S WATCHING THIS AT HOME OR WHO'S HERE TODAY, COME TO OUR WEB PAGE, WE HAVE A LOT OF INFORMATION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: MS. HOWARD, I THANK YOU VERY MUCH.I TELL YOU, LISTENING TO A SEA ORDINANCE IS SOMETHING I COULD DO ALL DAY AND FOR A MOMENT THERE, I THOUGHT I WAS, ACTUALLY, KIDDING ASIDE, IT'S VERY ENCOURAGING TO ME TO FEEL THE COMPETENCY AND THE ENTHUSIASM, FRANKLY THE PASSION THAT YOU PUT INTO THIS, SO I THINK THE DEPARTMENT'S VERY FORTUNATE TO HAVE YOU WORKING ON THIS, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MS. HOWARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: ANY QUESTIONS, THOUGHTS.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TOP OF MY PAPER, I'M IMPRESSED, I GUESS MY FIRST QUESTION IS WHAT'S YOUR BACKGROUND?

>> MS. HOWARD: I HAVE A COMMUNITY -- I HAVE A MASTERS IN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING FROM CLARK UNIVERSITY AND MY

UNDERGRADUATE DEGREES ARE IN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND STUDIO ART.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: FANTASTIC.

>> MS. HOWARD: NOT NECESSARILY THAT BIOLOGICALLY.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: NO, AND THAT'S WHAT'S GOOD ABOUT IT.THERE ARE A LOT OF PLACES, I WROTE DOWN HERE SOME PLACE, A LOT OF TIMES AS WE LOOK AT BIOLOGISTS, THEY ARE ENVIRONMENTS AND I THINK WHAT I HEARD TODAY IS NOT A SITUATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTALIST COMING IN AND SAYING, THIS IS THIS, THIS AND THAT, I HEARD A PLANNER COME IN AND SAY ENVIRONMENT HAS VALUE, AND THERE'S A DRAMATIC DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THAT POSITION AND I COMPLIMENT YOU AND YOUR WORKING GROUP ON THAT BECAUSE IT SHOWS.

>> MS. HOWARD: THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: THE CONCERN IN RELATION TO TYING THIS WITH THE HILLSIDE ORDINANCE, I THINK THAT IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT.SOME OF THE DISTURBED AREAS I SEE, I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT, YOUR STATEMENT ABOUT ACCEPTING THE CLEARED AREAS AND IF IT'S WITHIN THAT ALREADY DISTURBED AREA, THAT IT CAN RUN A SHORT PROCESS OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE TYPE OF THING.I HAVE CONCERNS

IN SOME OF THE AREAS WHERE PEOPLE HAVE TAKEN AND GONE BEYOND THE BRUSH CLEARANCE ZONES THAT REQUIRED AND DOES THAT MEAN THAT THEY WILL GET SHORT PROCESS IF THEY STAYED WITHIN 200 FEET OF THE EDGE OF THAT ZONE THAT THEY'VE ALREADY CLEARED EVEN THOUGH IT WAS AN ENLARGED ZONE, AND SO I CHALLENGE YOU WITH HOW DO YOU MEET THAT CONCERN OF NOT LETTING A LARGE AREA BE CLEARED AND COMING IN AND SAYING I CAN DEVELOP OUT TO THAT AREA, I NO LONGER HAVE MY FOOTPRINT FOR THAT LARGER AREA. THE ASPECT OF THE RURAL LIGHTING, THAT PORTION OF IT, I'M IN HOPES THAT IT'S TIED TO THE DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT, NOT THE CLEARED OR UNDISTURBED AREA, BUT THAT LIGHTING SHOULD BE TIED TO THE DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT AND HOPEFULLY THERE'S MOTION SENSORS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT THAT ARE TIED TO IT, NOT INFRARED SENSORS, MOTION SENSORS, BECAUSE THE INFRARED IS TURNED ON WHEN A COYOTE COMES IN OR A RACCOON COMES ON, AND YOU WANT THE MOTION PROTECTION. I'VE HAD A HARD TIME ACCEPTING THE ONE STEP PROCESS, YES, I REALIZE -- WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAS NEEDS TO BE SIMPLIFIED. WHAT I HEARD YOU TALK ABOUT TODAY IN RELATION TO THE ONE-STEP PROCESS, IF THAT'S THE WAY IT CAN FUNCTION, I HAVE A BETTER OPINION OF IT AND SO I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO SEEING MORE OF THAT IN OPERATION. IF YOU TAKE AND LOOK AT THE AS PECK OF THRESHOLDS AND STANDARDS, YOUR DISCUSSION WAS MEANINGFUL AND TO THE POINT AND I THINK THAT THAT IS AN OVERALL CONCEPT THAT WE WANT TO KEEP IN THIS WHOLE OPERATION. AS I READ THE WRITTEN MATERIAL THAT I RECEIVED AND THE DRAFT MATERIAL, I DIDN'T REALLY HAVE A MAP

LIKE THAT, THAT I COULD RELATE TO AND I WOULD LIKE TO GET A THREE FOOT BY THREE FOOT PRINT OUT OF THAT MAP SO I CAN HAVE IT AT HOME ON A WALL BY A DESK AND LOOK AT IT PERIODICALLY AND ENJOY IT. I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE AND BE ABLE TO BRING THE THRESHOLDS AND STANDARDS INTO MEANINGFUL DISCUSSION AS WE LOOK AT A LOT OF THAT AREA THAT IS OUT BEHIND PALMDALE, LANCASTER, AND THAT AREA TO THE EAST. IT HAS TO WHAT HAS BEEN DONE NEEDS TO BE DONE BUT THE PROBLEM THAT OCCURS AS YOU WALK THAT LAND OR YOU OWN A PIECE OF THAT LAND, IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO DISTINGUISH BECAUSE UNLESS YOU'RE A GEOLOGIST OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE, THAT LAND FORM HAS VERY LITTLE MEANING AND THE PECULIARITIES THAT IT HAS, WE HAVE A CASE COMING UP IN APRIL THAT'S GOING TO HAVE A FLOOD PLANE PROBLEM, AND PEOPLE SAY IT HASN'T HAPPENED IN 100 YEARS, BUT IT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO HAPPEN WHEN THIS RAIN COMES, AND THOSE THINGS OF THRESHOLDS AND STANDARDS, THEY BECOME A DIFFICULT PIECE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH. YOU TALK ABOUT AN SEA DESIGN MANUAL. CAN I GET A COPY?

>> MS. HOWARD: RIGHT NOW, THE COPY IS JUST A LIST OF TREE SPECIES AND INVASIVE SPECIES THAT WE WANT TO PROHIBIT FROM LANDSCAPING, WE'LL BE PUTTING THAT TOGETHER FOR THE NEXT RELEASE, AS SOON AS WE HAVE ONE OF THOSE, WE'LL MAKE SURE YOU'RE E-MAILED ONE.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: OR MAYBE GET ME A PRELIMINARY COMMENTS AND I CAN MAKE SOME COMMENTS ON IT.

>> MS. HOWARD: I CAN MAKE YOU GET THE BACKGROUND STUDY, IT WAS PREPARED BY OUR BIOLOGICAL FIRM AND RECOMMENDING WHERE WE SHOULD PROPOSE SEA EXPANSION AND THAT CONTAINS MANY RECOMMEND DIALYSES ABOUT HOW TO DESIGN IN THE SEA, SO THOSE WILL ALL BE INCORPORATED AND THAT'S THE FIRST BULK OF THAT DESIGN MANUAL, SO WE CAN MAKE SURE YOU GET A COPY OF THAT.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: BEFORE YOU SEND THAT ONE TO ME, LET ME LOOK AT MY BOOK MATERIALS, I MAY HAVE A COPY OF THAT. I AM PLEASED WITH THE STATUS OF THE SEATAC MOVING AND I ASSUME IT'S THIS HEARING ROOM. I THINK THAT IS VERY WORTHWHILE, I'VE HEARD COMMENTS FROM SEATAC PEOPLE ABOUT WE OAR JUST STUCK IN A LITTLE COMMITTEE ROOM, AND MOVING TO THIS ROOM I THINK HAS SOME REAL GOOD POSITION, NOT ONLY FOR THEM BUT FOR THE PUBLIC THAT IS BRINGING CASES TO THEM. AND LIKE I STARTED OUT THIS POSITION, MY COMMENT AT THE TOP OF THE PAPER, I'M IMPRESSED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MS. HOWARD: THANK YOU.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION?

>> COMMISSIONER PEDERSEN: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO COMMENT ON THE TWO PATHS, THE A AND B PATHS, I THINK I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THAT IDEA BECAUSE I THINK IT LENDS AN ASPECT OF THE USE OF COMMON SENSE FOR THESE MATTERS WHERE YOU CAN TAKE SOMETHING THAT HAS VERY LITTLE IMPACT, THAT IS IN AN SEA AND RUN IT THROUGH THE ADMINISTERIAL PROCESS RATHER THAN FORCING IT TO GO THROUGH THE PROCESS, SO I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF POLICIES LIKE THAT AND IDEAS LIKE THAT WHERE YOU CAN USE SOME COMMON SENSE.THANKS.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: ONE OTHER COMMENT, AND THAT IS I DIDN'T MENTION ON IT, WHAT HAS BEEN THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT AND FISH AND GAME?

>> MS. HOWARD: WE'VE HAD A PRETTY GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM, WE'VE HAD A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS, WE SEND THEM OUR DRAFTS, WE HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM, FISH AND GAME, THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE PRESERVATION AND SPECIES PRESERVED AND THEY AT ONE POINT SUGGESTED EVERYTHING NEEDS A CUP, AND I SAID, WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S GOING TO WORK FOR OUR AREA, SO THEY'VE GIVEN US VERY GOOD COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO MORE DIALOG WITH THEM BUT WE'VE BEEN HAVING A GOOD WORKING RELATIONSHIP AND GOOD COMMENTS WITH THEM.THEY HELPED SHAPE OUR DRAFTS AS WE WENT FORWARD, IT'S HELPFUL BECAUSE WE GET CONVERSATIONS WITH FISH AND GAME AND WE GET CONVERSATION WIDTHS DEVELOPMENT GROUPS AND THAT

HAS CREATED A HELPFUL MIDDLE GROUND TO FIGURE OUT WHERE WE CAN IMPROVE ON BOTH ENDS AND COME TOGETHER.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: AND THEY'VE HAD STAFF ENOUGH TO RESPOND TO YOU?

>> MS. HOWARD: WE DRAG THEM TO A MEETING WITH US AND THAT'S HOW WE GET OUR COMMENTS SO IT WORKS WELL.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU, ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> WE DON'T HAVE ANYBODY SIGNED UP FOR THIS MATTER.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.AGAIN, THIS BEING A BRIEFING, YOUR THOUGHTS AND COMMENTS ARE MUCH APPRECIATED AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH, VERY NICE PRESENTATION.

>> MS. HOWARD: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONERS.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU.NOW ANY GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> NO ONE'S SIGNED UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: POSSIBLE CALL FOR
REVIEW?COMMISSION/COUNSEL/DIRECTOR REPORTS?

>> MR. CHAIRMAN, IN HONOR OF YOUR BIRTHDAY COMING UP, I HAVE A
BIRTHDAY CARD FOR YOU.

>> HAPPY BIRTHDAY.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: HOW OLD DO YOU HAVE TO BE TO GO TO
MEDICARE?YEAH, I'LL KEEP THAT IN MIND.THIS GUY REFERRED TO ME AS
KID, I HAVEN'T HAD THAT APPLIED TO ME IN SEVERAL DECADES, SO THANK
YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE BIRTHDAY WISHES,.DIRECTOR, ANY REPORTS?

>> AS WAS MENTIONED, THE BOARD DID CONTINUE THE DISCUSSION OF THE
SEA, SO THAT WILL BE HOPEFULLY ON NEXT WEEK'S BOARD AGENDA AND WE
ARE LOOKING @ THIS POINT FEBRUARY 27 FOR A HEARING ON UNIVERSAL
STUDIOS EVOLUTION PLAN WHICH IS THEIR NEW MASTER PLAN.YOU DID
RECEIVE COPIES SOME TIME AGO OF THE DRAFT, THE EIR, WE WILL BE
PROVIDING COPIES OF THE FINAL WHICH WAS CERTIFIED BY THE CITY OF
L.A., A DIFFERENT PROCESS THAN YOU'RE USED TO BUT WE'LL PROVIDE A
COMPLETE STAFF REPORT ON THAT AND YOU WILL BE GETTING ERS.I
BELIEVE WE'RE TRYING TO HOLD A MAJORITY IF NOT ALL OF THAT MEET ON
THE 27TH FOR THAT DISCUSSION.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.YES, SIR?

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: A QUESTION IN RELATION TO DOWN THE ROAD, WE'RE GOING TO BE SEEING UNIVERSAL.

>> FEBRUARY 27TH.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: DO WE HAVE PRELIMINARY MATERIALS THAT ARE -- BECAUSE I WOULD EXPECT THAT TO BE QUITE A BIT OF READING MATERIAL?

>> YOU ALREADY HAVE THE DRAFT EIR, YOU HAVE HAD IT AND YOU'VE HAD IT FOR A LONG TIME SO IF YOU DON'T RECALL, I DON'T RECALL IT BEING SENT TO YOU, SO IF YOU WOULD LIKE ANOTHER COPY OF THAT OR WE COULD GET YOU THE FINAL EARLY, THE MATERIALS ARE BEING FINALIZED NOW AND I SUSPECT IN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS, YOU'LL HAVE THAT MATERIAL, BUT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT WHICH AS I SAID WAS CERTIFIED BY THE CITY OF L.A., AND THEY ARE THE LEAD AGENCY, THEY'RE THE RESPONSIBLE AGENCY, IS AVAILABLE, IF YOU WANT THE FINAL, WE CAN GET THAT OUT TO YOU IN SHORT ORDER.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: CAN I GET THAT ON A DISK?I DON'T WANT PAPER.

>> I BELIEVE IT IS AVAILABLE THAT WAY AND IF ALL THE COMMISSIONERS WOULD LIKE IT THAT WAY, WE CAN GET THAT.I BELIEVE IT'S AVAILABLE ELECTRONICALLY.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I WANT IT ELECTRONICALLY.

>> IF NOT, WE'LL FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET IT TO YOU ELECTRONICALLY.

>> COMMISSIONER HELSLEY: I APPRECIATE THAT, AND THE LAST THING I WANT TO MAKE COMMENT, REMEMBER NEXT WEEK, WE HAVE A TUESDAY AFFAIR AT THIS TIME AT 9:00 AND WE HAVE A WEDNESDAY AFFAIR AT 9:00.

>> AND I'M REMINDED THAT THE COMMISSION WISHES TO MEET HERE AND WALK OVER TOGETHER TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND WE CAN ALL MEET YOU HERE AND WALK OVER.

>> CHAIR LOUIE: EXCELLENT, THANK YOU FOR THE REMINDER, WITH THAT SAID, I WILL ADJOURN THIS MEETING UNTIL WEDNESDAY JANUARY 30, 2013.THANK YOU VERY MUCH.(MEETING IS ADJOURNED).